Solitary Waves
Observed By Cluster In the Solar Wind

M.Fränz*, T.S.Horbury†, V.Génot**, O.Moullard‡, H.Rème, I.Dandouras§, A.N.Fazakerley¶, A.Korth* and F.Frutos-Alfaro*

MPI für Aeronomie, D-37191 Katlenburg-Lindau, D; Email: fraenz@linmpi.mpg.de
†Imperial College, London SW7 2BW, UK
**Queen Mary, Univ. London, London E1 4NS, UK
‡ESTEC, Noordwijk, NL
§CESR, F-31028 Toulouse cedex 4, F
¶MSSL, Dorking RH5 6NT, UK

Abstract. Short dropouts of the magnetic field intensity have been frequently observed in the solar wind on interplanetary spacecraft. But so far it could not be established whether these are caused by kinetic instabilities or whether they can be described as solitary MHD waves. The multi-satellite observations of the Cluster-mission allow for the first time to measure proton and electron distributions with a sufficient temporal and spatial resolution to tackle this question. We use measurements by the FGM magnetometer, the CIS ion spectrometer, the PEACE electron instrument and the Whisper plasma wave instrument to investigate the role of protons, heavy ions and electrons for the stability of the structures. We also use the 4-satellite observations of the Cluster magnetic field instrument to determine the proper motion of these structures relative to the solar wind. The presence of foreshock waves close to the Earth bowshock strongly limits the event selection. In the current paper we discuss a 10 s linear wave without sufficient particle data resolution and a 4 min wave for which particle distributions are available. The larger wave shows that the stability of the structure might be caused by changes in the thermal electron distributions while proton and α distributions are unaffected.

MAGNETIC HOLES - SOLITONS?

Magnetic field dropouts are commonly observed in planetary magnetosheaths in high plasma β conditions. These observations are usually explained by mirror mode instabilities characterized by anisotropic proton distributions [1, 2]. Ulysses data have shown that field dropouts are also common in the solar wind [3]. But it could not be established that these favorably occur in high plasma β conditions and are correlated with proton temperature anisotropies [4]. Recently it was suggested that these dropouts can be explained as solitary MHD waves in MHD models with additional friction [5] or bi-ion plasmas [6]. The frequency of field drops in the interplanetary field suggests that they can significantly affect energetic ion diffusion [7]. The existence of MHD solitary waves in astrophysical plasmas would be of high interest for MHD theory and would have impact on the occurrence of plasma instabilities.

CLUSTER OBSERVATIONS

4-spacecraft observations in the solar wind allow for the first time to determine proper speed and spatial structure of magnetic holes in the solar wind. For that purpose a working group has been established involving the Cluster ion and electron instruments (CIS, PEACE) and the magnetic and electric field investigations (FGM, EFW, STAFF, WHI)1. This paper concentrates on preliminary observations of the CIS ion spectrometer[8], FGM magnetometer[9], the PEACE electron spectrometer[10] and the WHI wave spectrometer[11]. The Cluster spacecraft encountered the solar wind for several hours on each orbit for the periods January to June 2001 and again from January 2002. We first determined the solar wind periods by eye using the CIS proton energy spectra. Then we did an automatic search through those periods looking for field drops of more than 3 σ in 4 min windows using a 12 s sliding average of the 4 s field data similar to the method used previously for Ulysses.

1 See: http://www.linmpi.mpg.de/~fraenz/magholes
data[4]. This gave us a list of 14 events for data until 15 Feb 2002. The main problem was then to exclude events related to the proximity of the Earth bow shock, specifically fore-shock cavities as discussed by [12]. It turned out that the only safe method to do this is to compare with data from an upstream satellite. From the 14 events there was one left which was also visible in ACE key parameters and not associated with a field reversal. This is the second event discussed below.

SELECTED EVENTS

The first set of solitary waves (Fig. 1) observed by Cluster on 13 Feb 2001 is shown here because of its obvious wave form. It was not discovered by an automatic search. Unfortunately the size of only 10 s (5000 km) is too small to get any reliable particle measurements from within the waves. Also the wave is not visible in the public (16s) ACE data sets. This makes it difficult to account for spacecraft potential - the data in panel 1 can only be used qualitatively. Also it cannot be determined whether the parallel temperature dropouts correspond to a change in anisotropy. Electron densities from WHI in panel 2 may be used quantitatively and show that electron density variations are much stronger than proton density variations but correlation with the field dropouts is complex. We have not yet analyzed electric field data for this event. Plasma conditions in the surrounding plasma where: \( n_p = 12 \text{ cm}^{-3}, n_a = 0.9 \text{ cm}^{-3}, T_p = 0.2 \text{ MK}, v_{\text{GSE}}^p = (-450, 20, -10) \text{ km/s}. \) This corresponds to an ion thermal pressure of \( P_i = 0.04 \text{ nPa} \) or \( \beta_i = 4.4 \) and an Alfvén speed \( v_A = 27 \text{ km/s} \) for a 5 nT field.

The motion of 3 structure boundaries in the plasma rest frame has been determined from the 4-point measurement to be between 7-14 km/s. This is still in agreement with convection with the solar wind - taking into account the error in calculation.

The large wave (Fig. 2, bottom) observed on 22 Feb 2001 has a temporal extent of 4 min -indicated by the black frame - corresponding to a size of about 100000 km. Data in Fig. 2 have a time resolution of 4 s if not stated otherwise. The event is also seen in 16 s ACE magnetic field data (bottom panel) 210 RE upstream of Cluster. Thus it is clearly of solar wind origin. Also the time delay of 50 min between ACE and Cluster proves the stability of the structure. Though the holes are not linear (panel 8, 9), there is no indication that the event forms a magnetic cloud, nor that it is associated with a current sheet crossing since there is no field reversal. The large size allows detailed particle measurements within the structure. There is no strong signature in thermal proton moments (panel 5, 7), also no change in \( \alpha \) densities (panel 6).

4-point measurements of the motion of 4 structure boundaries results in a speed of 5 km/s for two boundaries and 30 km/s for the other two. While the second value is closer to the Alfvén speed we must emphasize that the precision of the determination is currently not good enough to draw strong conclusions from that. We hope to improve on these measurements by considering the timing measurements relative to the ACE spacecraft.
FIGURE 2. Large wave observed by ACE and Cluster on 22 Feb 2001 at 19.6 RE and MLT=12.5 h. The panels show from top to bottom: (1)Parallel electron temperature (PEACE) for C1(black), C2(red), C3(green), C4(magenta), not corrected for SC-potential; (2)Electron density (WHI) for C1,C2,C4; 16s proton spectra of the CIS C1 CODIF(3) and HIA(4) sensors, respective onboard proton densities(5), the ground(16 s) and onboard(4 s) α density on C4(6), ground(16s) parallel and perpendicular temperature(7); magnetic field GSE theta(8), phi(9) angles and magnitude(10) for all 4 SC. The bottom panel shows also 16 s magnetic field magnitude at the ACE spacecraft shifted in time by about 50 min, using the ACE distance and solar wind velocity (orange).
CONCLUSIONS

For the detection of solar wind magnetic holes with Cluster it is very advisable to correlate data with an upstream spacecraft. This sets a lower limit of about 30 s on the size of detectable structures. Also proton velocity distributions on Cluster are only obtained with 16 s time resolution at 11° angular resolution in normal telemetry mode. The comparison with ACE data allows to clearly identify holes of solar wind origin. Nevertheless we show one event which has a smooth solitary and linear wave form and might be described as a MHD soliton. It is the only event of this type discovered in Cluster data for 2001. But the size of only 8 s does not allow a more detailed particle analysis. The 4 s onboard moments do not show significant changes.

A systematic search for events larger than 30 s visible at ACE and Cluster resulted in only one event without field reversal for which particle distributions are available. This event does neither show significant proton temperature anisotropies indicative of proton mirror modes, nor density increases or velocity vector sweeps expected for Hall-MHD solitons. The signature in electrons - specifically temperature dropouts and density variations are much stronger. This agrees with the observation that magnetic holes observed by Ulysses are often associated with Langmuir waves[14]. Determination of proper speed is difficult at Cluster separations of ~ 600 km. Both events discussed show proper speeds in agreement with convection with solar wind. For the second event we hope to improve the analysis using higher resolution data from ACE. Another large hole observed by AMPTE and ISEE1 upstream of the Earth bowshock [15] was also convected with the solar wind and has some features in common with the event discussed here.

We are confident that the combination of Cluster ion, field and wave data at larger spacecraft separations in the later parts of the mission will elucidate the physics of magnetic holes in the solar wind.
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