

Index

- Affirmative action, 108
- Apple, M., 142
- Aronowitz, S., 77
- Autonomy, *see* Research ethics

- Barber, B., 68, 70, 75–77
- Beauchamp, T., 115
- Behaviorism, 18–20, 36, 73
 - and positivism, 19, 37, 71
 - and the ontology of the self, *see* Ontology of the self, behaviorist conception
- Benhabib, S., 67, 75n, 79n, 109, 121
- Bernstein, R., 35, 36, 45n, 75n
- Betebenner, D., 140
- Bias, 3, 7, 10, 15, 22–24, 50, 51, 69, 76, 96, 113, 124, 133
 - and pre-interpreted categories, *see* Positivism, and pre-interpreted categories in social research
 - and research methods, 102
 - claims of as vacuous, 8, 96, 97, 102
 - control of, *see* Inclusion, as bias control; Objectivity, as procedural
 - dictionary definition, 96
 - epistemological, 7, 8, 95, 97, 100–106, *see also* Epistemology, as racist and “civilizational racism”, 8, 101 and positivism, 8–9, 102–106
 - in testing, 57, 58
 - criterion bias, 58
 - essential criterion bias, 58–60
 - predictive bias, 58
 - technical vs. ordinary senses of, 59
 - vs. objectivity, 96, 97
- Burbules, N., 76, 77, 79n, 129, 131

- Campbell, D., 3, 15, 18, 22–24, 34, 47–49, 53, 54, 60, 61
- Caplan, A., 117

- Care theory, 9, 120, 131n, *see also* Research ethics, care theory
- Chase, S., 126
- Code, L., 79n, 104–106, 108
- Coleman, J., 34
- Collins, P.H., 104–106, 109
- Communitarianism, 9, 120, *see also* Research ethics, communitarianism
- Compatibilism, 3, 29, 30, 35, 37–39, 42, 44, 45, 109n, *see also* Pragmatism; Transformationism
 - and “dialectical tacking”, 38
 - and analysis, 33–34
 - and criteria for evaluating educational research, 39–42
 - and data
 - intentionalist vs. measurement meaning, 31–33
 - quantification as objectifying, 32
 - and epistemological paradigms, 34–38
 - and interpretation of results, 34
 - and research design, 33–34
 - and the “double hermeneutic”, 38
 - as a middle course, 38
 - between postmodernists and transformationists, 78–79
 - conjunctive vs. disjunctive combinations of methods, 29
 - methods/paradigms equilibrium, 35, 36
 - “logic-in-use” vs. “reconstructed logic”, 36, 37
 - against the “tyranny of method”, 36
- Compatibility thesis, *see* Compatibilism
- Conceptual scheme, 6, 7, 18, 20, 21, 43, 49, 50, 76, 83, 87
- Connell, R.W., 72
- Constructivism, 81, 127, 138
 - and interpretivism, 6, 67, 81
 - and postmodernism, 74
 - and progressivism, 94n

- and the mind/world dualism, 86
 - and the values/world dualism, 90
 - and transformationism, 74
 - as a learning theory, 93
 - as a pedagogical approach, 93, 94
 - as epistemology, 7, 82, 93
 - constructivist turn, 6, 81
 - half-way
 - empiricism, 82
 - positivism, 87
 - rationalism, 82
 - in natural vs. social science, 89
 - Kantian, 6, 83
 - post-Kantian, 6, 84–85
 - and moral relativism, 89–90
 - and relativism, 7, 85–87
 - radical, 6, 7
 - and relativism, 7, 90–92
 - as positivist, 6
 - thoroughgoing, 7, 94
- Cook, T., 3, 16
- Cornett, J., 126
- Critical theory, 9, 78, 79n, 101, 136, *see also*
 Research ethics, critical theory
 opposition to technical control, 121
- Daniels, N., 27n
- Data
- as theory-laden, *see* Vocabulary of social science, as theory-laden
 - as value-laden, *see* Vocabulary of social science, as value-laden
 - brute, *see* Positivism, and pre-interpreted categories in social research
 - quantitative vs. qualitative, *see* Vocabulary of social science
- Davidson, D., 42, 43
- Deconstruction, 74
 - as the “rhetoric of dismantlement”, 75
 - postmodernist, 73
 - among educationists, 76
 - as a “neo-Cartesian mind game”, 75
 - as politically self-defeating, 75–76
 - transformationist, 79
- Deliberation, 10
 - as a democratic requirement, 11, 136
 - as a methodological requirement, 11
 - as a species of critical dialogue, 10
- Democracy in educational research, 1, 10
- deliberative, 10, 135, 138–141
 - applied to pedagogical research, 142–143
 - applied to policy research, 140–141
 - dialogue, 137–141, *see also* Dialogue general framework, 135–140
 - inclusion, 137, 140
 - emotive, 4, 10, 48, 49, 52–56, 135, *see also* Fact/value dogma, the received view
 - as anti-democratic, 54, 55, 136
- Dennis, R., 125, 128
- Denzin, N., 41
- Descartes, R., 75, 82, 83, 85
- Description, *thick*
 - and qualitative data, 128
 - value of, 129
 - vs. *thin*, 129, 130
- Dewey, J., 35, 37, 66, 67, 76, 86, 93, 94n, 99, 136, 142
- Dialogue, 10, 11, 57, 89, 93, 94, 105, 118, 136, 137, 142, *see also* Ontology of the self, as dialogical
 - about research results, 129
 - and informed consent, 126
 - and qualitative methods, 137
 - and quantitative methods, 137
 - as *genuine*, 10
 - as a democratic requirement, 10, 136
 - as a methodological requirement, 10
 - elucidating* vs. *critical*, 10, 138–139
 - shades into deliberation, 138
- Disadvantaged, the
 - as unable to effectively speak for themselves, 54, 55
 - interests of as self-reported vs. misrepresented, 55–56
 - needs vs. interests, 55
 - priority of interests of, 53, 55, 70, 122
- Dougherty, K., 9, 124
- Edwards, R., 76
- Eisenhart, M., 30, 140, 141
- Elgin, C., 94n
- Ellsworth, E., 68, 75–77
- Empiricism, 67, 83, 84, 90
 - and constructivism, *see* Constructivism, half-way
 - and logical positivism, 87, 102
 - logical, 26n
- Epistemology, *see also* Compatibilism; Constructivism, as epistemology; Empiricism; Fact/value dogma, fact/value distinction as epistemological; Interpretivism; Positivism; Postmodernism; Pragmatism; Rationalism; Standpoint epistemology
 - anti*-epistemology, 8, 99, 101
 - anti*-foundationalism, 11
 - as racist, 8
 - defined, 7
 - foundationalism, 8
 - in use*, 109n

- in use*, 98, 101, 103, 106
 - as unmasked, 99
- moral, 69–70
 - and interpretivism, 70
 - and postmodernism, 70
 - apodictic vs. ad hominem models, 69–70
- naturalized, 85, 100, 106
- normative/descriptive distinction, 8
 - as an epistemological question, 98
 - denied by Nietzscheans, 8, 98, 99
 - the interplay between, 8, 98–99
- paradigm, 3, 16, 29, 30, 35–38, 44
- post-epistemology*, 8, 99
- Erickson, F., 41
- Facio, E., 128
- Fact/value dichotomy, *see* Fact/value dogma
- Fact/value distinction, *see* Fact/value dogma
- Fact/value dogma, 2–3, 5, 10, 15, 21–25, 47
 - descriptive/prescriptive dualism, 4, 48
 - fact/value distinction as *strategic*, 62, 134
 - fact/value distinction as epistemological, 2, 3, 22–23, 48–51
 - fact/value distinction as practical, 3, 51–52
 - fact/value distinction as reducing value bias, 23–24
 - roots in positivism, 2, 3, 5, 22, 48
 - the radical undecidability thesis, 48–51, 53
 - the received view
 - and *interest group depiction*, 54–56
 - and *means depiction*, 53–54
 - in evaluation and social research, 3–4, 48–56
 - in test validation, 4, 57–61
- Facts
 - as thinkable, 104
 - brute*, 50, 72, 105
 - institutional, 72
 - social, 72, 73
- Faden, R., 113
- Fallibilism, 17–20, 25, 93
- Fay, B., 54, 71, 78, 118, 121, 139
- Feminism, *see* Standpoint epistemology; Care theory
- Fine, M., 143
- Foucault, M., 6, 8, 66, 68, 74, 75, 78, 98, 99, 120
- Frazer, N., 66, 79n
- Friere, P., 142
- Garrison, J., 36, 94n
- Gates, H.L., 75
- Geertz, C., 3, 38
- Giddens, A., 38
- Giroux, H., 77, 142
- Glaserfeld, E. von, 90, 91
- Goldman, A., 100
- Goodnow, J., 24
- Gould, S.J., 102
- Griswold v. Connecticut, 117
- Gruender, D., 94n
- Guba, E., 10, 29, 35, 138, 139
- Gutmann, A., 75n, 108, 109, 136
- Hacking, I., 94n
- Harding, S., 8, 79n, 89, 104–106
- Haworth, K., 115
- Herrnstein, R., 50, 123, 134
- Heshusius, L., 29, 35, 36, 42, 45n, 106, 107, 109
- hooks, b., 142
- House, E., 3, 4, 10, 11, 47, 48, 51, 55, 130, 139
- Howe, K., 3, 4, 9–11, 30, 47, 51, 54, 78, 79n, 108, 109n, 123, 124, 130, 139–141
- Huberman, M., 30, 31, 34
- Human nature, *see* Ontology of the self
- Humphreys, L., 115
- Inclusion
 - as a democratic requirement, 10, 136
 - as a methodological requirement, 9, 10
 - as bias control, 95, 106–109
 - in research communities, 108–109
 - in research samples, 107–108
 - in democratic educational research, *see* Democracy in educational research, deliberative
 - passive vs. active, 10, 137
 - representative, 137
 - shades into dialogue, 10, 137
 - thick*, 9, 108
 - as a moral requirement, 9, 108
 - as an epistemological requirement, 108
- Incompatibility thesis, 3, 16, 29–33, 35–37, 43, 45n, 109, *see also* Quantitative/qualitative dogma
- Informed consent, *see* Research ethics
- Insider's perspective, *see* Interpretivism, and the insider's perspective; Qualitative research methods, and the insider's perspective; Research ethics, contemporary problematic; Vocabulary of social science, as intentionalist
- Interpretivism, 1, 3, 5, 35, *see also* Qualitative research methods, as interpretive; Constructivism, and interpretivism; Research ethics, and interpretivism
 - and the insider's perspective, 3, 138
 - as moribund, 3
 - defined, 66
 - the interpretive turn, 5, 9, 65, 79, 123, 137
 - postmodernist, 66, 109
 - transformationist, 66

- Jackson, P., 30, 31, 34
 James, W., 35, 86, 94
 Jayaratne, T., 106
 Johannsen, A., 125
 Johnson, C., 129
- Kant, I., 6, 7, 83, 84, 116
 Kantianism, *see* Research ethics, Kantian framework; Constructivism, Kantian
 Kaplan, A., 36, 37, 109n
 Kelman, H., 116, 117
 Kozol, J., 50
 Kuhn, T., 6, 7, 18, 26, 27n, 35, 43, 69, 87, 88
 Kymlicka, W., 9, 122, 123
- Language game, 6, 7, 85, 87, 91
 Lather, P., 71, 73, 77, 78, 121
 Laudan, L., 100
 Leviton, L., 3, 48
- Liberal theory, 9, 79n, 136, *see also* Research ethics, contemporary liberalism
 and the distributivist paradigm, 122, 123
 and the politics of difference, 77
 as a "meta-narrative", 5, 67, 72
 as committed to an essential human self, 71
 as emancipatory, 67
 contemporary, 9, 123
 and the participatory paradigm, 123
 liberal-egalitarian strand, 122–123
 utilitarian strand, 122
- Lincoln, Y., 10, 125–128, 138, 139
 Lindblom, C.E., 53
 Linguistic turn, the, 6, 87
 Longino, H., 88
 Lyon, D., 66, 75n
 Lyotard, J., 66–68, 75
- MacIntyre, A., 52, 115, 116, 118, 119, 122
 Mackenzie, B., 19, 20, 37
 MacKinnon, C., 75, 79n
 Madaus, G., 59
 Martin, J.R., 142
- Marxism
 and the politics of difference, 77
 as a "meta-narrative", 5, 67, 72
 as committed to an essential human self, 71
 as emancipatory, 67
- Matthews, M., 94n
 McCarthy, C., 75, 142
- Meaning
 "constitutive" vs. "common", 92
 as "taken as shared", 90–92
 as constructed, 6, 7, 127, 128
 as grounded in social practices, 8, 85, 102, 103
 as interpreted, 102
 as negotiated, 138
 as shared, 6, 7, 89–91, 94
 different meanings of, 92
 thrown into a network of, 89, 91
- Melden, A.I., 19
 Messick, S., 19, 47, 57
 Milgram, S., 41, 114, 117
 Moser, P., 7, 97
 Murphy, M., 125
 Murray, C., 50, 123, 134
- Nagel, T., 120
 Noddings, N., 120, 123, 129, 131n, 142
- Normalization
 as oppressive, 6, 68, 72, 74, 98, 120
 as unavoidable, 6
 forms of that are good, 6, 74
- Nozick, R., 52
- Objectivity, *see also* Observation, as objective; Quantitative research methods, as objective
 and standpoint epistemology
 "strong" vs. "weak", 104
 as "taking subjectivity into account", 105
 as an untenable ideal, 107
 as procedural, 97
 vs. bias, *see* Bias, vs. objectivity
- Observation, *see also* Positivism, theory vs. observation
 as neutral, 2, 17, 67, 87, 88, 98
 as objective, 17, 18
 as theory-laden, *see* Vocabulary of social science, as theory-laden
 as value-laden, *see* Vocabulary of social science, as value-laden
- Ontology of the self, 71–74
 "activist" conception, 71
 as constructed, 72
 as dialogical, 73, 74
 as epistemological and political, 71, 74
 behaviorist conception, 71
 controversy between postmodernists and transformationists, 6, 71, 73–74
 essentialism, *see* Liberal theory, as committed to an essential human self; Marxism, as committed to an essential human self
 intentionality, *see also* Vocabulary of social science, as intentionalist
 "background" of, 73
 "collective", 72
 postmodernist conception, 71, 76
- Ornstein, P., 73
- Paradigm, 6, 7, 16, 18, 20, 49, 69, 87, 88

- "shift", 17, 88
 - as absent from social science, 27n
 - cliques, 44
 - epistemological, *see* Epistemology, paradigm
- Passmore, J., 26
- Peressini, D., 143
- Peshkin, A., 106, 107
- Phillips, D.C., 38, 42, 82, 94n, 129
- Politics of difference, *see also* Liberal theory, and the politics of difference; Marxism, and the politics of difference
 - postmodernism vs. transformationism, 77–79
- Positivism, 35
 - analytic/synthetic distinction, 26n
 - and pre-interpreted categories in social research, 8, 102
 - and technical control, 78, 121
 - and the means-ends bifurcation, 121–122
 - as undemocratic, 122
 - and the fact/value dogma, *see* Fact/value dogma, roots in positivism
 - and the quantitative/qualitative dogma, *see* Quantitative/qualitative dogma, roots in positivism
 - and value-freedom, *see* Fact/value dogma, roots in positivism
 - as a biased epistemology, *see* Bias, epistemological
 - as dominant in educational research, 1
 - as moribund, 3, 16
 - as spawning the two dogmas, 2
 - logical, 15, 26n
 - scientific inference, 15, 20–21
 - and confirmation, 20
 - as the same in natural and social science, 15, 20, 21
 - theory vs. observation, 2, 18
 - verificationism, 2, 26n
- Post-positivism, 18, 20–22, 26n, 44
 - scientific inference, 20–21
- Postmodernism, 9, *see also* Compatibilism, between postmodernists and transformationists; Constructivism, and postmodernism; Deconstruction, postmodernist; Epistemology, moral; Interpretivism, the interpretive turn; Ontology of the self, postmodernist conception; Research ethics, postmodernism; Subjectivity, emphasis on shared by postmodernists and transformationists
 - "critical vs. "apolitical", 77
 - "strong" vs. "weak", 67
 - and relativism, 5, 67, 68
 - and the politics of difference, 77
 - as *anti*-epistemology, 101
 - as discontinuous with the Enlightenment, 68
 - as self-defeating, 6, 68, 131n
 - defined, 66
 - incredulity toward "meta- narratives", 67
- Power, political
 - and social researchers, 10, 23, 41, 121, 139
 - imbalances of, 4
 - and research ethics, 126
 - as mitigated by social research, 6, 11, 78, 108, 121, 136, *see also* Democracy in educational research, deliberative
 - as served by social research, 4, 52, 54, 55, 62, 127, 136, 139, *see also* Democracy in educational research, emotive, hyper-egalitarian
 - vs. knowledge, 5, 8, 68, 78, *see also* Truth, "regimes" of
- Pragmatism, *see also* Compatibilism; Epistemology, anti-foundationalism
 - and democracy, 144
 - and relativism, 7, 43
 - and truth, *see* Truth, pragmatic conception
 - as blurring methodological-epistemological edges, 11, 143
 - as blurring methodological-epistemological vs. moral-political edges, 11, 144
 - criteria of theory choice, 43, 88
- Price, J., 143
- Privacy, *see* Research ethics
- Punch, M., 128
- Putnam, H., 7, 39, 43, 86, 87, 90
- Qualitative research methods, *see also* Compatibilism, and data; Description, *thick*; Dialogue, and qualitative methods; Quantitative/qualitative dogma; Research ethics, the contemporary problematic
 - and the insider's perspective, 31, 44, 108, 124
 - as congenial to feminists, 106
 - as ethically hazardous, 125, 127
 - as interpretivist, 2, 16, 30
 - as intimate, 9, 124
 - as open-ended, 9, 33, 124, 125
 - as subjective, 15–17
- Quantitative research methods, *see also* Compatibilism, and data; Dialogue, and quantitative methods; Quantitative/qualitative dogma; Research ethics, the traditional problematic



<http://www.springer.com/978-1-4020-1226-6>

Closing Methodological Divides
Toward Democratic Educational Research

Howe, K.R.

2002, X, 157 p., Softcover

ISBN: 978-1-4020-1226-6