

# Contents

<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Purpose	2
1.2 Organization	4
<b>2. Representations for Genetic and Evolutionary Algorithms</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Genetic Representations	10
2.1.1 Genotypes and Phenotypes	10
2.1.2 Decomposition of the Fitness Function	11
2.1.3 Types of Representations	13
2.2 Genetic and Evolutionary Algorithms	15
2.2.1 Principles	15
2.2.2 Functionality	16
2.2.3 Schema Theorem and Building Block Hypothesis	19
2.3 Problem Difficulty	22
2.3.1 Reasons for Problem Difficulty	22
2.3.2 Measurements of Problem Difficulty	25
2.4 Existing Recommendations for the Design of Efficient Representations for Genetic and Evolutionary Algorithms	28
2.4.1 Goldberg's Meaningful Building Blocks and Minimal Alphabets	29
2.4.2 Palmer's Tree Encoding Issues	29
2.4.3 Ronald's Representational Redundancy	30
<b>3. Three Elements of a Theory of Genetic and Evolutionary     Representations</b>	<b>31</b>
3.1 Redundancy	33
3.1.1 Definitions and Background	33
3.1.2 Decomposing Redundancy	36
3.1.3 Population Sizing	37
3.1.4 Run Duration and Overall Problem Complexity	39
3.1.5 Empirical Results	40
3.1.6 Conclusions, Restrictions and Further Research	44
3.2 Building Block-Scaling	45
3.2.1 Background	46
3.2.2 Domino Model without Genetic Drift	47

3.2.3	Population Sizing for Domino Model and Genetic Drift	50
3.2.4	Empirical Results	53
3.2.5	Conclusions	56
3.3	Distance Distortion	57
3.3.1	Influence of Representations on Problem Difficulty	59
3.3.2	Locality and Distance Distortion	61
3.3.3	Modifying BB-Complexity for the One-Max Problem	63
3.3.4	Empirical Results	67
3.3.5	Conclusions	71
3.4	Summary and Conclusions	73
<b>4.</b>	<b>Time-Quality Framework for a Theory-Based Analysis and Design of Representations</b>	<b>77</b>
4.1	Solution Quality and Time to Convergence	78
4.2	Elements of the Framework	79
4.2.1	Redundancy	79
4.2.2	Scaling	80
4.2.3	Distance Distortion	81
4.3	The Framework	84
4.3.1	Uniformly Scaled Representations	85
4.3.2	Exponentially Scaled Representations	86
4.4	Implications for the Design of Representations	89
4.4.1	Uniformly Redundant Representations Are Robust	90
4.4.2	Exponentially Scaled Representations Are Fast, but Inaccurate	92
4.4.3	BB-Modifying Representations Are Difficult to Predict	94
4.5	Summary and Conclusions	96
<b>5.</b>	<b>Analysis of Binary Representations of Integers</b>	<b>99</b>
5.1	Two Integer Optimization Problems	100
5.2	Binary String Representations	101
5.3	A Theoretical Comparison	105
5.3.1	Redundancy and the Unary Encoding	105
5.3.2	Scaling, Modification of Problem Difficulty, and the Binary Encoding	107
5.3.3	Modification of Problem Difficulty and the Gray Encoding	108
5.4	Empirical Results	111
5.5	Conclusions	116
<b>6.</b>	<b>Analysis of Tree Representations</b>	<b>119</b>
6.1	The Tree Design Problem	120
6.1.1	Definition	120
6.1.2	Metrics and Distances	122
6.1.3	Tree Structures	123

6.1.4	Schema Analysis for Graphs .....	124
6.1.5	Scalable Test Problems for Graphs .....	125
6.1.6	Tree Encoding Issues .....	128
6.2	Prüfer Numbers .....	130
6.2.1	Historical Review.....	130
6.2.2	Construction.....	132
6.2.3	Properties .....	134
6.2.4	The Low Locality of the Prüfer Number Encoding ...	136
6.2.5	Summary and Conclusions.....	148
6.3	The Link and Node Biased Encoding.....	149
6.3.1	Introduction .....	150
6.3.2	Motivation and Functionality .....	151
6.3.3	Biased Initial Populations and Non-Uniformly Redundant Encodings.....	153
6.3.4	The Node-Biased Encoding .....	155
6.3.5	The Link-and-Node-Biased Encoding .....	159
6.3.6	Empirical Results .....	162
6.3.7	Conclusions.....	165
6.4	The Characteristic Vector Encoding .....	166
6.4.1	Encoding Trees with the Characteristic Vector .....	167
6.4.2	Repairing Invalid Solutions .....	168
6.4.3	Bias and Stealth Mutation.....	169
6.4.4	Summary.....	173
6.5	Conclusions .....	174
7.	<b>Design of Tree Representations .....</b>	<b>177</b>
7.1	Network Random Keys (NetKeys) .....	178
7.1.1	Motivation .....	178
7.1.2	Functionality .....	179
7.1.3	Advantages .....	183
7.1.4	Bias .....	185
7.1.5	Population Sizing and Run Duration for the One-Max Tree Problem .....	187
7.1.6	Conclusions.....	189
7.2	A Direct Tree Representation (NetDir) .....	190
7.2.1	Historical Review.....	191
7.2.2	Properties of Direct Representations .....	191
7.2.3	Operators for NetDir .....	193
7.2.4	Summary.....	196
8.	<b>Performance of Genetic and Evolutionary Algorithms on Tree Problems .....</b>	<b>199</b>
8.1	GEA Performance on Scalable Test Tree Problems.....	200
8.1.1	Analysis of Representations .....	200
8.1.2	One-Max Tree Problem .....	202

8.1.3	Deceptive Tree Problem .....	210
8.2	GEA Performance on the Optimal Communication Spanning Tree Problem .....	215
8.2.1	Problem Definition .....	216
8.2.2	Theoretical Predictions .....	216
8.2.3	Palmer's Test Instances .....	217
8.2.4	Raidl's Test Instances .....	221
8.2.5	Test Instances from Berry, Murtagh, and McMahon (1995) .....	225
8.2.6	Selected Real-World Test Instances .....	229
8.3	Summary .....	235
9.	Summary, Conclusions and Future Work .....	237
9.1	Summary .....	237
9.2	Conclusions .....	239
9.3	Future Work .....	242
A.	Optimal Communication Spanning Tree Test Instances ...	245
A.1	Palmer's Test Instances .....	245
A.2	Raidl's Test Instances .....	250
A.3	Berry's Test Instances .....	254
A.4	Real World Problems .....	256
	<b>References</b> .....	263
	<b>List of Symbols</b> .....	281
	<b>List of Acronyms</b> .....	285
	<b>Index</b> .....	287



<http://www.springer.com/978-3-7908-1496-5>

Representations for Genetic and Evolutionary  
Algorithms

Rothlauf, F.

2002, XIV, 290 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-7908-1496-5

A product of Physica-Verlag Heidelberg