

## PREFACE

This Project, long in gestation, owes a great deal to the help and support of numerous friends and colleagues over the past several years. Among the many whom I would like to thank for their comments and discussions: Ty Lightner, Will Alabran, George Pappas, Robert Batterman, John Carriero, Roger Florka, Helen Hattab, Paul Hoffman, Marleen Rozemond, Alan Nelson, Margaret Atherton, Andrew Black, and Dennis Des Chene. In particular, I owe a great deal to the invaluable assistance and friendship of Ronald Laymon, Jonathan Bennett, and Daniel Garber: without their help, the philosophical content of this work would have been significantly diminished (although I take full responsibility for the final published results). Above all, however, I have two people to thank for their friendship and professional guidance: Calvin Normore and Mark Wilson. Their vast store of knowledge, insights, and ideas in the history and philosophy of science served as the catalyst for this project, and I am greatly indebted and thankful for the opportunity to have worked so closely with them over the years. Moreover, although many portions of this work were directly inspired by Prof. Wilson's previous research, it should be noted that the conclusions reached in this investigation often differ quite considerably from his own views and conclusions (as is most evident in chapter 9).

Previous portions of the work have appeared, in an earlier form, in several philosophical journals. I am grateful to the following journals for granting me permission to use this material: the appendix to chapter 3 appeared as, "Descartes and Circular Inertia," in *The Modern Schoolman*, 77, 1999, 1-11; part of chapter 4 appeared in, "Perfect Solidity: Natural Laws and the Problem of Matter in Descartes' Universe," *History of Philosophy Quarterly*, vol. 13, no. 2, April 1996, 187-204, and, "Descartes and Individual Corporeal Substance," in *British Journal for the History of Philosophy*, vol. 9, no. 1, 1-17; sections of chapter 5 in, "Descartes' Quantity of Motion: 'New Age' Holism meets the Cartesian Conservation Principle," in *Pacific Philosophical Quarterly*; vol. 99, no. 2, June 1999, 178-203; portions of chapter 6 in, "Descartes, Space-Time, and Relational Motion," in *Philosophy of Science*, 66 (March 1999) 117-139; portions of chapter 7 in, "Descartes' Forgotten Hypotheses on Motion: Kinematic Logic and

Relational Transfer," forthcoming in *Journal of Philosophical Research*; portions of chapter 8 in, "Huygens' Center-of-Mass Space-Time Reference Frame: Constructing a Cartesian Dynamics in the Wake of Newton's '*De Gravitatione*' Argument," in *Synthese*, 112: 247-269, 1997; and finally, portions of chapter 9 in, "Cartesianism and the Kinematics of Mechanisms: Or, How To Find Fixed Reference Frames in a Cartesian Space-Time," in *Noûs* 32:3 (1998) 364-385. Unless otherwise noted, all of the figures (illustrations) come from the Adam and Tannery complete edition of Descartes' *Oeuvres*, with each illustration linked to the specific citation provided in the text. The original illustrations/figures are not marked, however (namely, figures 1-7, 10, 17, 21, 24, 26-30).

Cartesian Spacetime

Descartes' Physics and the Relational Theory of Space  
and Motion

Slowik, E.

2002, XII, 252 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-1-4020-0265-6