

Index

- Abilities of members, 10
Adaptability, 67; *see also* Rigidity
Administration, politics of, 73
Administrative detail, attention to, 61
Age
 of executive directors, 135, 161
 of influential board members, 140–141
Aged, modification of programs to serve, 143
Agencies/organizations
 conception of, 16
 inter-agency organizations, 54–57
 unresponsive to change, 14
Agency director role behavior instrument, 196–199
Agency directors: *see* Executive directors
Agency personnel; *see also* Governing board personnel
 range of stimuli to which they respond, 148, 160–164
Agency services provided by other agencies, discontinuing, 138
Agenda setting, 3
Aging, Committee on, v–vi
Alternative programs, search for and awareness of, 127, 128, 150–151, 174–177
Aspiration, level of, 127–128, 209, 210
Assertive pragmatism, 103–106; *see also* Pragmatic orientation
Authority, 53; *see also* Control; Executive director role behavior; Power
 of board, director's resistance to, 46–48
 delegation of, to subordinates, 78–79, 81, 182; *see also* Responsibility, accepting
 division of, between director and board, 46–48, 156, 218–220
Autonomy, agency, 54–57

Bidwell, C. E., 105, 184
Board members
 approval of director's innovative activity, 146–147, 153–156
 influential, 101–102, 114, 117, 140, 208, 214
 interviews of, 25
 perceptions of executive director role behavior, 101–112, 146, 207
Board of directors, 18–19, 23–24, 206; *see also* Executive director role behavior; *specific topics*
 influential board member perceptions of, 208
 role behavior of
 and implementation of program change, 113–117
 instrument for assessing, 202–205
Budget, annual operating
 increases in, 136
Bureaucracies, 15
Bureaucratic orientation, 178–179
Bureaucratic Personality, 75–82
Bureaucratic types, 126–127, 172
 functional bureaucrats, 66, 167, 168
 job bureaucrats, 70, 172

Career-bound executives/superintendents, 74, 120–121, 164–166, 169
Carlson, R. O., 164–165
Cartwright, D., 170
Cattell, R. B., 31
Choice problems, 17
Clarifying stage, 4
Client orientation, 95–97, 137, 148; *see also* Professional peers
Cohen, A. M., 175–176
Collins, B. E., 79, 80, 94, 108, 127, 180

- Community
 - interest in agency shown by, 143
 - local, directors who have lived or worked in, 143
- Community Council of Houston and Harris County, v–vii, 23–24, 54–57
- Community groups, identification with, 168–169
- Community mobility: *see* Mobility orientation
- Community power structure, partisan involvement in, 41
- Community pressures, board's protecting director from, 142
- Community response to executive director performance, 126, 129, 130
- Community social welfare agencies, vi, 13, 15, 20–21
 - with greatest program innovation, 16
- Community thinking, programs in advance of, 142
- Community values and agency vested interests
 - board member independence in context of, 147–148, 156–160
 - commitment to, 43, 71–75, 168–169; *see also* Conservative values
- Competence of members, 10
- Conservatism, political, 57–58
- Conservative values and attitudes, local, 114–117; *see also* Community values
- Control and reliability, bureaucratic demand for, 50–53, 75–82
- Cosmopolitan orientation, 43, 66–67, 168
- Decision making, 16–17, 81, 170; *see also* Responsibility, accepting
 - persons most involved in, 17–19
- Decisions, carrying out, 46–47
- Directors: *see* Executive directors
- Division of labor, complexity of, 2, 3
- Economic view of innovation, 10
- Education of executive director, 134–135, 148–149
- Elderly, modification of programs to serve, 143
- Etzioni, A., 166
- Evaluative functions of directors, 39–40
- Executive director interviews, 25
- Executive director role behavior, 35–36, 51, 88–89; *see also specific topics*
 - board member perceptions of, 101–112, 146, 207
- Executive director role behavior (*cont.*)
 - derivation of role behavior variables, 36–38
 - thirteen rotated factors, 38–65, 88–89; *see also specific factors*
 - dimensions of, and implementation of program change, 63–82, 88–89
 - instruments for assessing, 196–199
 - open-ended questions, 201
- Executive directors, 18, 19; *see also* Agency director; *specific topics*
 - having lived or worked in Houston or Harris County, 143
 - and IPCI scores of agencies, 83–88
 - judgment of own agency compared with others, 211–213
 - leaving initiative for suggesting program changes to board, 138–139
 - perception of board behavior, 114
- Expectations, normative, 16
- Expertise and expert skills, 66, 68, 108, 139, 165, 167, 168, 170, 171
- Feldman, J., 128
- Financial matters; *see also* Funding
 - director's being businesslike in, 144
- Flexibility: *see* Adaptability; Policy; Rigidity
- Funding, 7–8
 - from federal government agencies, 136–137
- Ghiselli, E. E., 81, 185
- Ginzberg, E., 19
- Goals, organizational
 - changing, 157–160
 - director's awareness of alternative, 59–60
 - director's susceptibility to influence concerning, 50–53, 75–82
- Gouldner, A. W., 64, 66, 167–168
- Governing board personnel, lack of change in, 14
- Guetzkow, H., 79, 80, 94, 108, 127, 180
- Harris County (Texas), 222–225
- Houston County (Texas), 222–225
- Ideas, proliferation of, 4
- Implementation of program change, vi–vii, 16–17; *see also specific topics*
 - lack of data regarding, 15–16
 - in nonprofits, 8–9
- Implementation of Program Change Index (IPCI), 27–30, 32, 33, 64, 67, 68

- Implementation of Program Change Index (IPCI) (*cont.*)
 - directors of agencies with highest vs. lowest, 83–88
 - nine factors and, 152–190
- Implementation of Program Change Index (IPCI) scores, 102, 111, 113–114, 117; *see also specific topics*
 - agencies with highest, 83–86
 - agencies with lowest, 86–88
 - combined factor score, and implementation of program change, 82–83, 97–98, 190–191
 - mobility orientation and, 120–122
 - variables associated with, 91–97, 132–144, 191–193
 - correlation coefficients, 64–65, 133–134, 144, 145, 221
 - factor analysis, 144–152
- Implementation phase, 3–4
- Impulsivity, 44–46
- Independence of community values and sanctions, 147–148
- Informational power, 170
- Initiation of program change, 18; *see also specific topics*
- Initiation phase, 3
- Innovation: *see* Program change
- Innovation idea, proliferating into multiple ideas, 4
- Innovation research
 - future, and unanswered questions, 193
 - historical perspective on, 5
- Innovative process
 - institutionalization of, 111
 - phases of, 3
- Inter-agency organizations, 54–57
- Inter-unit/inter-organizational ventures, 5
- Interviews conducted, 24–25
- Investors, top, 4

- Job satisfaction, among executive directors, 125, 129–130, 143, 215–217
 - rotated factors, IPCI scores, and, 125–130
- Johns, R., 14, 18–19, 36, 66, 107–108, 111, 161–164, 169, 171–172, 174–175, 178, 180–181, 184–186, 188–189
- Judgment, discretionary
 - willingness to exercise, 151–152, 184–186

- Kahn, R. L., 14–15, 69–70, 129, 154, 172–173
- Kanter, H. E., 128

- Leadership, 50
- Learning, 5
- Liberalism, political, 57–58
- Likert, R., 79
- Local values: *see* Community values; Conservative values
- “Locals,” 168
- Lodahl, T. M., 81, 185
- Loyalty: *see* Universalistic welfare principles

- Management, top, 4
- March, J. G., 13, 16–18, 35–36, 65, 76–78, 96–97, 155, 161, 162, 168–169, 172, 173, 175, 177–179, 181–183, 187, 188
- Matching, 3
- Minnesota Innovation Research Program, 4
- Mobility orientation, 119–120, 122–123
 - and IPCI scores, 120–122

- Network perspective, 10–11
- Nonprofit organizations, innovation in, 1–2, 5–11
 - accountability, 6–7
 - environment of nonprofit sector and, 10
 - goals and performance standards, 6, 9
 - personnel, 8
 - scope of activity, 7–8, 10
- Normative expectations, 16

- Occupational mobility: *see* Mobility orientation
- Organic organizational structures, 2, 3
- Organizational change, types of, 157–160
- Organizational hierarchy, 17–19
- Organizational innovation
 - climate for, 3
 - general findings, 1–5
 - receptivity to, 14–15
- Organizational mobility: *see* Mobility orientation
- Organizational patterns, 79–80
- Organizations: *see* Agencies

- Parochial orientation, 43–44
- Pattern maintenance of director’s role, 48–49
- Patterned normative expectations, 16
- Peabody, R. L., 18, 37, 73, 81–82, 184
- Performance programs: *see* Programs

- Personnel: *see* Agency personnel; Governing board personnel
- Place-bound administrators, 74, 120, 121, 164–165
- Planning, long-term program, 110–111, 142
- Planning functions of directors, 39–40
- Policy, board
making exceptions to, 141–142
- Policy changes, resistance to, 14–15
- Political processes, 5
- Power, 35, 73; *see also* Authority; Control
discretionary, 96–97; *see also* Judgment
expert: *see* Expertise and expert skills
- Power orientation, 179
- Pragmatic orientation, 92–94, 103–106, 108–109
- Professional groups, identification with, 166–167
- Professional orientation and attainment, 96, 109, 148–149, 164–171; *see also* Client orientation; Professional peers
- Professional peers as reference group, viewing, 62–69, 107; *see also* Client orientation
- Professional staff, ingrown, 14
- Profit standards/measures, 6
- Program change
lack of need for, 81
suggestions for, lack of initiation of, 139–140, 152, 186–189
- Program expansion
director's influence concerning, 140
willingness of board to seek, 135–136
- Programs, agency, 13
conception of, 16
factors influencing, 13–14
search for and awareness of alternative, 127, 128, 150–151, 174–177
viewed as ends in themselves, 75
- Progressivism, 186
- Questionnaires, 25, 26
- Redefining/restructuring stage, 3
- Reference groups, positive, 65; *see also* Professional peers
- Referent power, 71, 174
- Reilly, E. W., 19
- Reissman, L., 66, 70, 72, 77, 126–127, 167
- Relationships, intra-agency interpersonal, 48–49
- Responsibility, 105, 137–138
acceptance of, for subordinates' actions, 137–138, 151, 178–183
- Rigidity; *see also* Adaptability
organizational, 79–80
psychological, 41–42, 69–71, 92–93, 149–150, 171–174, 179
- Risk-taking, climate for, 3, 180
- Rogers, E., 3, 4
- Role behavior, 16, 19, 26
- Rourke, F. E., 18, 37, 73, 81–82, 184
- Routinizing stage, 4
- Schutz, W. C., 179
- Scope of activity, 10
- Securing sanctions and support, 105
- Seeman, M., 121
- Self-confidence and self-reliance, 44–46, 81, 185
- Self-evaluation of directors, 62–63
- Self-orientation scale, 80–81
- "Service bureaucrats," 126–127
- Setbacks, 4
- Shocks, innovations stimulated by, 4
- Simon, H. A., 13, 16–18, 35–36, 65, 76–78, 96–97, 155, 161, 162, 168–169, 172, 173, 177–179, 181–183, 187, 188
- Social environment, 13–14
- Social structure of organization, 157–160
- Social welfare agencies, non-governmental: *see* Community social welfare agencies
- Staff relationships, 48–49
- Starbuck, W. H., 79–80, 157–158
- Status-role unit, 16
- Statuses, 16
- Strategic planning processes, 9–10
- Study group, 23–24
- Success, criteria for, 4–5
- Surprises, 4
- Task structure of organization, 157–160
- Udy, S. H., Jr., 108
- United Fund, 23, 24, 54–57
- United Fund Budget Committee, 57, 71
director's relationships with members of, 141
- Universalistic welfare principles, commitment to, 43, 71–75

Validation, seeking objective, 107–109; *see*
also Professional peers

Welfare agencies: *see* Community social
welfare agencies

Welfare practices, modern
knowledge of, on part of directors, 139

White, L. D., 36

Wickesberg, A. K., 94

Wolf, J., 7

Organizational Innovation

Studies of Program Change in Community Agencies

Kaplan, H.B.; Poole, M.S.

2003, IX, 239 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-0-306-47726-3