

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	xi
<b>Table of Abbreviations</b>	xiii
<b>Introduction</b>	1
1 Purpose of the Treatise	1
2 Terms and Theories	2
2.1 Validity	2
2.2 Applicability	5
2.3 Legitimacy	5
3 Method and Delimitations	6
4 Structure	8

PART I. TRADITIONAL THEORIES: Kelsen v. Hart

Introduction	11
Ch.1 Kelsen's Doctrine of the Basic Norm	15
Introduction	15
1.1 The Basic Norm of the Pure Theory (1960)	19
1.1.1 Norm of Action or/and Competence Norm?	19
1.1.2 <i>Geltungsgrund</i> and <i>Geltungsbegründung</i>	24
1.2 Kelsen's post-1960 Explanations of His Doctrine	28
1.2.1 'On the Basis of Legal Validity' (1960)	29
1.2.2 'Die Selbstbestimmung des Rechts' (1963)	32
1.2.3 'The Function of a Constitution' (1964)	34
1.2.4 'Professor Stone and the Pure Theory of Law' (1965)	40
1.3 Summary	42
Ch.2 Hart's Doctrine of the Rule of Recognition	47
Introduction	47
2.1 Rule of Recognition – a Rule of Qualification, a Conceptual Rule, or a Duty-Imposing Rule?	47
2.1.1 A Rule of Qualification?	51
2.1.2 A Conceptual Rule?	54
2.1.3 A Duty-Imposing Rule?	59

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

2.2	The Instant of Recognition	63
2.3	Summary	67
<b>Ch.3</b>	<b>Choice of Doctrine</b>	69
<b>PART II.</b>	<b>JURISTIC CONSCIOUSNESS</b>	73
<b>Ch.1</b>	<b>Presupposing the Basic Norm (I)</b>	75
1.1	Kelsen's View(s) on the Addressee of the Basic Norm	75
1.2	Reconstructions of the "Presupposition"	85
1.2.1	Aleksander Peczenik: Basic Norm-Transformation	86
1.2.2	Joseph Raz: The Legal Man	90
1.3	The Outcome of Presupposing the Basic Norm	95
1.3.1	Kelsen's Terminology	96
1.3.2	A Descriptive <i>Mention</i> of the "Ought"	99
1.3.3	A Descriptive <i>Use</i> of the "Ought"	103
<b>Ch.2</b>	<b>Presupposing the Basic Norm (II)</b>	107
2.1	Cognitive and Volitional Internality	107
2.2	"Committed" and "Detached" Legal Statements	111
2.3	"Fused" Modality	116
2.3.1	The Criteria of "Fused Modality"	117
2.3.2	The Perspective of the "Generalized Lawyer"	119
<b>Ch.3</b>	<b>Summary and Conclusion</b>	123
<b>PART III.</b>	<b>KELSEN AND BEYOND</b>	129
	Introduction	129
<b>Ch.1</b>	<b>Norm Conflicts</b>	133
	Introduction	133
1.1	Conflict Types	134
1.1.1	Logical Incompatibility	137
1.1.2	Empirical Incompatibility	138
1.1.3	Normative (evaluative) Incompatibility	141
1.2	Consequences of Norm Conflicts	141
1.2.1	Consequence 1: Of Two Conflicting Norms, One is Necessarily Invalid	142
1.2.2	Consequence 2: Both Conflicting Norms Remain Valid Although Only One of Them is Applicable	147
<b>Ch.2</b>	<b>Directly Applicable EC Law</b>	153
	Introduction	153
2.1	Constitutionalizing EC Law: Process and Result	157
2.1.1	The "EU Perspective"	158
2.1.1.1	<i>The Autonomy Principle</i>	158
2.1.1.2	<i>The Principle of Precedence</i>	160

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

2.1.1.3	<i>The Principle of Direct Applicability</i>	164
2.1.1.4	<i>Summary and Interim Balance</i>	169
2.1.2	The “Basic Charter” of the European Union	173
2.2	Traditional Views of “Legal System” v. “EU Perspective”	184
2.2.1	The Monistic Perspective	184
2.2.1.1	<i>The Autonomy Principle, as Seen from the Monistic Point of View</i>	187
2.2.1.2	<i>The Principle of Precedence, as Seen from the Monistic Point of View</i>	190
2.2.1.3	<i>The Principle of Direct Applicability, as Seen from the Monistic Point of View</i>	192
2.2.2	The Dualistic Perspective	196
2.2.2.1	<i>Dualistic Systems</i>	196
2.2.2.2	<i>The Attitude of Dualistic States vis-à-vis the Three Main EC Principles</i>	197
PART IV.	WHY Kelsen’s BASIC NORM?	203
Introduction		203
<b>Ch.1</b>	<b>Democracy on the Supra-National Level</b>	205
<b>Ch.2</b>	<b>A Basic Norm for EC Law</b>	215
<b>Ch.3</b>	<b>Outlook</b>	219
<b>Bibliography</b>		223
1	Monographs, Anthologies etc	223
2	Articles	226
3	Collections	231
4	Table of Cases	231
5	Other relevant works not cited in the footnotes	232
<b>Name Index</b>		237
<b>Subject Index</b>		241

<http://www.springer.com/978-90-411-1867-7>

Why Grundnorm?

A Treatise on the Implications of Kelsen's Doctrine

Bindreiter, U.

2003, XIV, 249 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-90-411-1867-7