

Subject Index

- Amsterdam Treaty 154, 175, 175 nn. 144–6, 176, 190, 205
- analogy argument (*Kelsen*) 143–4
- applicability 3, 5, 61, 62–3, 62 n. 87, 64, 65, 149, 150, 150 n. 81
 - “directly applicable law” 134, 151, 153 ff, 168, 179, 185, 187, 192, 194, 196, 197, 200, 201 *et passim*
 - “non-applicable law” 5 n. 9, 134, 149, 149 n. 80, 150, 160, 165, 170, 171 n. 115, 182, 191
- authorization 21, 22, 22 nn. 60–1, 23, 29, 30, 30 n. 96, 35, 41–2, 43, 78, 90, 147
- Autobahn*-example 140
- autopoietic system 34, 34 n. 125
- Bananas* Cases 157, 182–4, 182 nn. 190–1, 183 n. 193
- Bananas* Judgement 183, 183 n. 194
- Basic Charter, *see* European ‘Constitution’
- basic norm, *see* *Grundnorm*
- basic norm problematic 15
- basic norm doctrine, reconstruction of (*Raz*) 93–5
- basic norms, *Raz*’s view of 91, 91 n. 107, 92
- basic norm-transformation (*Peczenik*) 75, 85, 86–9, 95
- begründen*, *Begründung* (*Geltungsbegründung*) 16 n. 5, 17, 20, 24 ff, 29, 31, 32–3, 34 n. 129, 35–6, 42, 44–5, 45 n. 201, 46, 46 n. 204, 75 n. 1, 85, 115 n. 61, 125 n. 2
- binding force, *see* bindingness
- bindingness (*Verbindlichkeit*) 7, 7 n. 14, 13, 16, 23, 24, 33, 63, 65, 71, 72, 84, 85, 91, 91 n. 108, 92, 92 n. 110, 93, 105, 115, 125, 131, 185, 202, 217, 219, 220 *et passim*
- Bosch* case 158–9, 169
- Bundesverfassungsgericht* 151, 154 n. 5, 156, 177–84, 178 n. 160, 179 n. 165, 180 n. 172, 184 n. 200, 206 *et passim*
- ‘clash’ (between norms) 133, 136, 136 n. 16
- ‘classical’ phase (*Kelsen*), *see* periodization of *Kelsen*’s theory
- collision (between norms) 133, 136 n. 16
- collision norms 141
- ‘committed’ legal statements (*Raz*) 111–2, 111 n. 29, 114
- communitarianism 186 n. 217
- competence 21, 22–3, 29, 78, 83 *et passim*
- conditional basic norm (*Peczenik*) 85, 89, 89 n. 98, 203, 216–8, 220
- conflicts, *see* norm conflicts
- conformity statements (*Hart*) 138–9
- constitution, general 11, 12, 12 n. 6, 15, 16, 16 n. 6, 18 n. 27, 20, 21, 21 nn. 50, 52, 22, 24, 29, 30, 31, 33, 46, 71, 82, 84, 86–7, 87 n. 81, 89, 93, 147–8, 148 n. 72, 150, 153, 154–5, 174–6, 179, 185, 186, 188, 190, 191, 198, 199, 201, 215, 216, 218 *et passim*
- Kelsen*’s concept of 34–5, 34 n. 129, 35 nn. 133, 136–7, 39, 75 f, 87, 88
- ‘historically first’ c. (or legislator) 22, 23, 30, 35, 37, 44, 81, 91 n. 107
- in the ‘transcendental-logical’ sense 35, 41
- Dutch c. 189–90, 192, 194
- French c. 185 n. 209, 188–9
- Italian c. 197–8
- U.S. Constitution 155 n. 8
- constitutional courts 148, 149, 156, 165, 165 n. 68
 - Austrian 148 n. 74
 - French 188–9, 188 n. 225, 190, 191–2
 - German, *see* *Bundesverfassungsgericht*
- contradiction (between norms) 133, 133 n. 2, 134, 137, 144–6
- Costa v. ENEL* case 161–2, 170
- degree, concept of (*Eng*) 116, 118–9
- delegation 80 n. 32
- democracy (principle of) 2 n. 3, 5, 6, 6 n. 11, 7, 8, 8 n. 16, 178–80, 181, 203, 205–6, 205 n. 1, 213, 216, 218, 220–1 *et passim*
- Habermas’s theory of 207, 210–1, 210 nn. 33–4
- Kelsen*’s theory of 207–10, 207 nn. 9–10, 15, 208 nn. 17–8, 209 n. 26, 210 n. 30, 211–2 on the supra-national level 205–6

WHY GRUNDNORM?

- d. deficit 213
- Denkökonomie* 100 n. 166
- derogation norms 141–2
- descriptive-normative dimension (*Eng*) 118–9
- ‘detached’ legal statements
 - MacCormick’s 109–10, 109 nn. 15, 17, 110 n. 19, 123
 - Raz’s 94–5, 111–3, 112 n. 30, 113 n. 43, 114, 115, 123, 124
- disaffirmation (*Lindahl*) 138, 138 n. 26
- dualism (dualistic tradition) 190, 196–9, 196 n. 267, 200–1, 203
 - legally-technically 190, 197–8
- Dworkin’s theory 4–5
- EC law (EC legal system) 5, 6, 61, 63, 129, 130, 131, 150, 158, 159, 189, 200–1
 - et passim*
 - autonomy of 153, 156, 161, 163, 170, 171, 173, 185, 188, 196, 197, 199, 203
 - basic norm of 130 n. 10
 - direct effect of 159, 164, 164 n. 61, 167, 168–9, 171, 192–3, 194–5
 - Kelsen and EC law 189 n. 227
 - precedence of 129, 151–2, 153–4, 156, 170, 173, 174, 177 n. 157, 185, 190, 192, 193, 197, 199, 200, 202 *et passim*
 - preemption of 170
 - norm hierarchy 30 nn. 9, 10, 154 n. 2, 218
 - ‘rank’ of 153–4
 - sui generis* character of 154–5, 158, 206
 - supremacy of 163
- EC constitutional law 175–6, 177 n. 157
- EC legal principles 155, 158, 161, 164, 169–70, 187, 188, 200, 201, 203 *et passim*
 - autonomy 158–60, 170, 187 ff, 201
 - direct applicability 158, 159, 164–7, 164 n. 61, 192 ff, 202
 - non-discrimination 183 n. 193
 - precedence 157, 158, 160–2, 165, 170, 171, 190–2, 203
 - proportionality 163, 163 n. 55, 182 n. 193, 183
- EEA Agreement 171–2
- effectiveness, principle of 3, 31, 186
- erkänna* (see also Rule of Recognition) 52, 65 n. 100
- Erzeugungszusammenhang* 35, 78 n. 19
- European citizenship (*Weiler*) 213
- European ‘Constitution’ (Basic Charter) 129 n. 4, 131 n. 11, 155, 157 n. 19, 172–3, 173–6, 176 n. 151, 201
- European Court of Justice (*ECJ*) 129, 130, 131, 131 n. 11, 151, 155, 156–7, 156 nn. 11–2, 157–8, 157 n. 15, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 167 n. 89, 168, 169–70, 171–3, 172 n. 127, 173 n. 131, 174, 175, 178, 180, 181–4, 183 nn. 193, 195, 184, 184 n. 200, 187–8, 193, 195–6, 199, 200, 202, 206, 218 *et passim*
- interpretation methods 156 n. 11, 159, 160, 167, 168, 170
- European *demos* 214
- European Parliament 176, 178 n. 161, 180, 206, 213
- European Union 192, 205–6, 213, 218
 - et passim*
 - norm system 154, 203
 - federative traits of 154–6, 154 n. 3
- Fehlerkalkül* (*Merkel*) 146–7, 146 n. 64
- Foto-Frost* case 157 n. 15
- Foundation Treaties 129, 130, 153, 155, 156, 158, 173, 174, 176, 194, 203, 218 *et passim*
- French Ramel Case* 191, 194
- fundamental human rights 163–4, 164 n. 60, 173, 177, 177 n. 157, 178 n. 160, 180, 180 n. 175, 182–4, 199–200, 199 n. 284, 207, 209–11, 211 nn. 93–40, 212, 218
- ‘fused’ modality (*Eng*) 116–8, 116 n. 68, 119, 119 n. 84,
 - criteria of 117–8, 117 n. 74, 120–1, 124
- Geltungsbegründung*, see *begründen*, *Begründung*
- Geltungsgrund* (*Grund der Geltung*) 11 n. 1, 16 n. 6, 17, 17 n. 16, 22, 22 n. 59, 24 ff, 32, 34, 41 n. 173, 42, 46, 216
- ‘generalized’ lawyer (*Eng*) 117, 119–20, 121, 124, 124 n. 1
- Grund* (ground) 1, 3, 15, 16 20, 33, 39, 42, 69 86, 86 n. 76, 89, 89 n. 94, 95, 115, 115 n. 61, 125 n. 2, 127, 184, 203 *et passim*
 - qua* ultimate principle 45–6
 - qua* cause (*Beweggrund*) 45
 - the word ‘Grund’ 44–5
- Grundnorm* (basic norm) 1, 3, 11, 11 n. 6, 13, 13 n. 15, 15, 15 nn. 2, 4, 16, 16 n. 6, 18, 129, 129 n. 4, 186, 202, 203, 215, 216, 218, 219, 220 *et passim*
 - addressee(s) of 104 ff, 124, 125
 - change of 186
 - comparison with Rule of Recognition 69–70
 - qua* competence norm 19 ff, 90, 91
 - qua* constitution in the transcendental-logical sense 17, 17 n. 23, 26, 27
 - content of 22, 57 n. 54, 70 n. 7
 - for EC law 215–8

- qua* fiction 17, 18 n. 33, 34, 37–9, 37
nn. 148–9, 38 n. 156, 85 n. 71, 94, 94
n. 126
- formulations of 20–1, 75, 75 nn. 1–2
- functions of 16, 21, 24, 31, 41, 43, 69, 76
n. 3, 78–9, 79 n. 23, 91, 219
- qua* hypothesis 46 n. 205
- 'hypothetical' 31, 33, 34, 43, 43 n. 187, 44,
76–7, 79, 81, 84 n. 64
- of international law 130 n. 9, 186
- qua* juridico-logical constitution 17, 18, 42,
78, 78 n. 16
- Kelsen's expressions for 16–8, 18 n. 28
- presupposition of (in juristic thinking) 3,
15, 30, 30 n. 98, 36, 41, 81, 84–5, 85
n. 71, 88, 94 n. 124, 105, 125, 126 n. 8,
218 *et passim*
- of natural law 20, 23, 32, 43
- qua* norm of action 19 ff, 86, 90, 110
- Grundgesetz* (German Basic Law) 155, 155
nn. 6, 8, 163, 163 n. 55, 177 n. 157, 178–80,
178 n. 160, 183, 197, 199–200, 199 n. 284
- Grundloven* 181
- gründen*, *see* *begründen*
- Hart's theory (*see also* Rule of Recognition)
- external aspect of law (statements) 13, 47,
72, 111, 197
- hierarchy of criteria 69, 69 n. 3
- internal aspect of law (statements) 4, 12
n. 9, 13, 47, 48, 64, 66, 67, 68, 70, 107–8,
108 n. 8, 109, 110, 111, 121
- primary and secondary rules 12, 12 n. 12,
60, 60 n. 71, 66, 71–2
- rules and habits 12, 58
- hierarchy, *see* norm hierarchy
- Højesteret* 181–2
- identity (of legal systems) 61, 79, 219
- imputation, *see* normative imputation
- Inclusive Legal Positivism 216, 218
- incompatibility 133, 134, 135, 141, 159, 161
et passim
- empirical 139–40, 140 n. 33
- logical 137–9, 150, 202
- normative (evaluative) 141
- pragmatical 141 n. 38
- teleological 141 n. 38
- inconsistency 133, 136, 136 n. 13, 138
- internality (*MacCormick*)
- cognitive 107, 109–11, 109 n. 15, 123
- volitional 108 n. 6, 109–11, 123
- International legal order 130 n. 9, 151, 154,
154 n. 4, 160 n. 30, 184, 185–9, 185 n. 209,
186 nn. 215, 217, 190–2, 194, 195, 196–7,
196 n. 267, 200–1, 205, 215
- International Organisations 5, 158 n. 19,
174–5, 178 n. 160, 181, 194, 205, 215
- Internationale Handelsgesellschaft* case 163–4,
199
- 'is'/'ought', *Sein/Sollen* distinction 1, 13, 13
n. 14
- joint compliance (conformity) 136, 136 n. 14,
139
- judicial review 6, 147–8, 147 n. 69, 148
nn. 72, 75, 182
- American model 148–9, 148 n. 73
- European model 148–9, 148 nn. 73–4
- Kant's transcendental argument 28 n. 86
- Kantian ('transcendental') argument
(*Kelsen*) 25–8, 25 n. 73, 27, 27 n. 83, 79,
79 n. 26, 81 n. 39
- Paulson's reconstruction of 28 n. 85
- Kupferberg* case 194–6
- law-creating jump (*Peczenik*) 87–9, 216–7
- lawyers' propositions de lege lata
(*Eng*) 116–8, 120–1, 124
- legal man, doctrine of the (*Raz*) 75, 85, 90–5,
95 nn. 129–30, 113–4
- legal point of view
- Kelsen 144–5, 219
- Raz 112–4, 113 nn. 43–4, 123–4
- legal positivism 4, 80, 129–30, 129 n. 4, 131
et passim
- Kelsen's view of 20, 25, 83–4
- Dworkin's attack on 4, 4 n. 8
- legal validity
- qua* applicability 3
- qua* bindingness 2
- qua* membership 2–3, 3 n. 6
- conceptions of 2–5
- criteria (principles) of 2, 4, 12 *et passim*
- legitimation (justification) of 16, 20
- legitimacy (legitimation) 5–6, 6 n. 11, 180,
186, 210, 215 *et passim*
- qua* acceptance of the law 5, 6
- democratic 6, 157, 178–80, 179 n. 168, 181,
203, 205–6, 207, 215
- formal 1, 2, 15 n. 1, 16, 34, 42, 46
- functional 6, 6 n. 10
- juridico-internal 1, 5, 39, 40, 43, 71–2, 219
- procedural 207–8, 211–2, 212 n. 43
- of supra-nationality 7, 203, 205, 219
- systemic 210
- Les Verts* case 173 n. 135

WHY GRUNDNORM?

- Letztfragen (Luhmann)* 32 n. 111
lex mercatoria 61
- Maastricht Judgement* 154 n. 5, 157, 158, 177–81, 181 n. 180, 182, 183, 184, 206
Maastricht Treaty 8 n. 16, 178–9
 majority principle 209, 210, 212
Marbury v. Madison (5 U.S. 137, 1803) 148 n. 72
 ‘middle way’ (*Kelsen*), *see also* normativity
 problematic 25 n. 72, 80, 80 n. 28, 220
 monism (monistic tradition) 184–94, 200–1, 203 *et passim*
 in a legal-theoretical sense 184, 185, 190
 in a legal-technical sense 184–5, 193
 Kelsen’s two alternatives 185–201
- natural law 76, 78 n. 16, 80, 89 n. 93
 and Pure Theory 31, 32, 44 n. 188
 Neo-Kantianism (*Kelsen’s*) 76–7, 79, 80, 82, 82 n. 47, 92
- norm conflicts 4, 133 ff, 135 n. 9, 136, 136 n. 14, 139, 140, 141–2, 145 n. 61, 146, 147, 149–51, 154, 160, 165, 166, 166 n. 81, 170, 171 n. 115, 190, 191, 197, 199, 201–2
 et passim
 compliance conflict 138
 consequences of 141 ff, 147 ff
 contradictory and contrary 140
 express (explicit) 133, 135, 137, 144, 146
 Kelsen’s conception of 142–6, 142 nn. 42–3, 143 nn. 45–6, 145 n. 58, 202
- normative imputation 27–8, 27 n. 84, 95, 105, 220
- normativity 42, 44, 80, 80 n. 36, 81, 95
 et passim
- normativity problematic 80 n. 28
- normativity thesis (*Kelsen*) 25, 220
- norm hierarchy (*Stufenbau*) 3, 6–7, 11, 11 n. 5, 19, 22, 23, 24, 31, 33, 34, 35, 39, 41, 42, 44, 45, 69, 69 n. 3, 76–7, 76 n. 5, 81, 82, 82 n. 47, 83, 88, 89, 89 n. 95, 130, 130 n. 9, 147, 148, 151, 160, 162, 179, 185, 187, 188–9, 190, 191, 197, 199, 200–1, 217
 et passim
- obedience statements 133, 137, 137 n. 20
- objective meaning’ (*Kelsen*) 30, 71, 84, 85 n. 72
- ‘objectively valid’ (*Kelsen*) 15, 29, 35 n. 140, 36, 41, 42, 43, 44, 46, 85 n. 71, 125 n. 2
- Opinion 1/91* 171–3, 173 n. 135
- ‘ought’ (*Sollen*) 3, 7, 20, 30, 30 n. 94, 80, 80 n. 38, 81, 82 n. 47, 88, 96, 97–8, 98 n. 155, 99–103, 105, 111, 144–5, 145 n. 56, 220
 et passim
 ‘descriptive ought’ (rules of law in a descriptive sense) 100, 100 n. 166, 102–4, 110, 111, 123, 127
 ‘legal ought’ 25, 29, 32, 43, 44, 88 n. 89, 105, 124, 127, 144–5, 145 n. 55, 217, 218, 220
 Grund des Sollens 32
 Sinn des Sollens 98, 98 n. 157, 99 n. 166, 220
 Wollen and *Sollen* 36, 36 nn. 146–7
- periodization of *Kelsen’s* theory
 ‘classical’ phase 7, 13, 36, 39, 76, 76 n. 4, 79, 81, 95, 96, 97 n. 137, 125–6, 143–5
 ‘sceptical’ phase 7, 18 n. 33, 19, 31 n. 103, 37, 37 n. 153, 76 n. 4, 142 n. 42, 145, 145 n. 58, 146
- pluralistic view of law 197 n. 267
- positivistic theory of law, *see* legal positivism
- presuppose, presupposition
 the verb ‘to presuppose’ 84, 123, 124, 125
- private international law 62, 62 n. 86, 150
pro tanto 145 n. 55
- rational discourse, principle of
 (*Habermas*) 210–1, 211 n. 37, 212, 212 n. 43
- rational reconstruction (of a normative system) 100, 101–2, 101 n. 173, 104, 216
- realizability (*Lindahl*) 138 nn. 24, 26
- Rechtsnorm* and *Rechtssatz* (*Kelsen*) 55 n. 39, 95, 96 n. 137, 97–8, 97 n. 138, 99, 99 n. 164, 103, 103 n. 190, 107, 116, 123, 143–4, 143 n. 46, 144 n. 50, 146, 219
- Rechtsstaat* 6, 208
- recognition, *see* Hart’s theory and Rule of Recognition
- recognition in international law 50 n. 15
- recognition in psychology 50 n. 16
- regression of validity 11, 11 n. 5
- Rome Treaty 156, 157 n. 15, 159–60, 161–2, 165, 166, 167, 167 n. 84, 169, 170, 172–3, 175, 175 n. 146, 190, 193, 194, 196, 197
 et passim
- Rückkoppelung* 179–80
- Rule of Recognition (*Hart*), *see also* Hart’s theory 4, 12, 33 n. 123, 47, 48, 48 n. 9, 49, 49 n. 10, 51, 51 n. 20, 52, 54, 54 n. 33, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 63, 64, 64 n. 98, 66, 67, 67 nn. 112–3, 69, 70, 71, 131, 205
 qua conceptual rule 54–7, 58, 67
 qua duty-imposing rule 48, 54, 55, 57, 59–60, 63, 67, 69

- qua* rule of qualification 51–3, 54, 67
- accepting phase 64, 65, 65 n. 100, 66, 69
- existence and content 70
- identifying (qualifying) phase 64–5, 66, 68
- individuating phase 64, 65, 65 n. 100, 66, 68
- instant of recognition 63–5, 69 n. 3
- interpretation of 51–62
- original and derivative recognition 62, 63
- ‘recognition’ 4, 12, 47, 48, 49, 60 n. 69, 61, 62, 62 nn. 86–7, 63, 64 n. 97, 65, 66–7, 68
- translation of 49, 49 n. 10, 50 n. 17, 51, 51 n. 20, 52
- the words ‘recognize’ and ‘recognition’ 47, 48, 49, 49 n. 14, 50, 51, 52, 54, 59, 60, 61, 65, 68, 126
- rule of law in a descriptive sense, *see* ‘ought’ (*Sollen*)
- rules and principles 2 n. 2, 4
- Scandinavian Legal Realism 38 n. 154, 51 n. 22
- ‘sceptical’ phase (*Kelsen*), *see* periodization of *Kelsen’s* theory
- separability thesis 25
- Simmenthal II* case 164–6, 170
- Slaughtered Cow Case II* 164 n. 61
- Solange I*-decision 151, 177, 177 n. 157, 184
- Solange II*-decision 178 n. 160, 180 n. 175, 184
- Sollen*, *see* ‘ought’
- Sollsatz* 95–6, 98, 102, 124
- sovereignty 129, 154, 198–9, 215
 - divisible s. 157, 157 n. 17, 174
- speech acts 103–5
- Staatenverbund* 177
- Standpunkt des Rechts* 126
- Stufenbau*, *see* norm hierarchy
- sui generis*, *see* EC law
- syllogism (*Kelsen*) 20–1, 20 nn. 42–3, 46
- TEU 181
- transferral of power 197–9, 200–1
- treaty-making power 154, 193–4, 198
- unity of law, thesis of 31, 35, 80 *et passim*
 - formal 79, 82 n. 47, 219
 - material 79, 82 n. 47, 219
- uppenbarhetskrav* 149 n. 80
- Uppsala School, *see also* Scandinavian Legal Realism 38 n. 154, 51 n. 22, 52, 53
- Ursprung, Ursprungsnorm*, *see also Grundnorm* 16, 18, 77, 77 n. 13, 78 n. 18, 91 n. 106
- validity, *see* legal validity
- van Duyn* case 168–9
- van Gend en Loos* case 159–60, 166, 169–70, 187, 192, 193–4
- Verbindlichkeit*, *see* bindingness
- Verpflichtungskonflikt* 202
- Vienna Circle 101 n. 173
- Voraus-Setzung (der Grundnorm)* 81, 81 n. 45, 84, 125
- Widerspruch (Widersprüchlichkeit)* 133, 133 n. 2, 134, 135, 143 n. 46, 146–7, 150
- Widerspruchstest* 137–8
- Zustimmungsgesetz* 178–9, 179 nn. 167–8

Why Grundnorm?

A Treatise on the Implications of Kelsen's Doctrine

Bindreiter, U.

2003, XIV, 249 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-90-411-1867-7