

Contents (overview)

Introduction ... 1

Chapter 1

Concept and Inference: Descartes and Beeckman
on the Fall of Bodies ... 9

Chapter 2

Conservation and Contrariety: The
Logical Foundations of Cartesian Physics ... 71

Chapter 3

Proofs and Paradoxes: Free Fall and Projectile
Motion in Galileo's Physics ... 135

Chapter 4

Epilogue ... 279

Chapter 5

Documents ... 287

Bibliography ... 383

Indices ... 405

Contents

Introduction	1
 Chapter 1: Concept and Inference: Descartes and Beeckman on the Fall of Bodies	 9
1.1 Introduction.....	9
1.2 The Medieval Tradition.....	12
1.2.1 Proportions.....	12
1.2.2 The “Moments” of Motion and the Quantification of Motion by Proportions.....	15
1.2.3 The Configuration of Qualities and Motions	17
1.2.4 “Impetus” as the Cause of Motion and of Acceleration	22
1.3 Descartes’ Proof of the Law of Fall	24
1.3.1 Descartes’ Initial Document (1618).....	25
1.3.2 Beeckman’s Note in his Journal (1618)	29
1.3.3 Descartes’ Diary Note (1618).....	32
1.3.4 Descartes’ Letters to Mersenne (1629-1631).....	33
1.3.5 Later References to the Original Proof.....	36
1.4 The Law of Fall Derived within the Context of the Theory of Gravity	38
1.4.1 Beeckman’s Commentary on Descartes’ Initial Document.....	38
1.4.2 Descartes’ Alternative “Law of Free Fall” in his Initial Document	40
1.4.3 Descartes’ Revised “Law of Fall”	43
1.5 The Conceptual and Deductive Structure of Descartes’ Proof of the Law of Fall	46
1.5.1 Conceptualization as a Condition for the Proof	47
1.5.1.1 Conceptualization of Free Fall in Classical Mechanics.....	47
1.5.1.2 Conceptualization of Free Fall in Descartes’ Proof.....	47
1.5.2 Geometrical Representation of Free Fall.....	49

1.5.2.1	Meaning of the Figure in Classical Mechanics	50
1.5.2.2	Meaning of the Figure in Descartes' Proof	50
1.5.3	Deductive Structure of the Proof.....	51
1.5.3.1	The Structure of the Proof in Classical Mechanics	51
1.5.3.2	The Structure of Descartes' Proof	53
1.5.4	The Internal Contradiction in Descartes' Argument.....	57
1.5.4.1	Functional Interpretation of the Geometrical Representation.....	58
1.5.4.2	Descartes' Erroneous Abstraction of a General Rule.....	60
1.5.4.3	Generalization of Descartes' Deductive Argument.....	62
1.5.4.4	The Source of the Contradiction.....	64
1.5.5	The Beeckman-Descartes Dialogue Revisited.....	66

Chapter 2: Conservation and Contrariety: The

Logical Foundations of Cartesian Physics..... 71

2.1	Introduction.....	71
2.2	Principles of Conservation and Conservation Laws.....	72
2.2.1	The Foundational Function of Conservation Laws.....	72
2.2.2	Descartes' Conservation Laws	77
2.3	The Logic of Contraries and its Application in Physics.....	81
2.3.1	Contrariety and Contradiction.....	82
2.3.2	The Logic of Contraries.....	85
2.3.3	Aristotle's Physics of Contraries.....	88
2.3.4	Contraries in 17th Century Logic and Physics	90
2.3.5	Descartes' Physics of Contraries.....	92
2.4	Descartes' Derivation of the Rules of Impact.....	94
2.4.1	The Means of Representation.....	94
2.4.2	Contrary Predicates and Opposing Modes	96
2.4.3	The Rules of Impact	100
2.4.3.1	The Opposition between Determinations	102
2.4.3.2	Double Opposition: Determination vs Determination and Motion vs Rest	104
2.4.3.3	Double Opposition: Determination vs Determination and Swiftness vs Slowness.....	105

2.4.4	Analysis of Difficulties.....	105
2.5	Determination	108
2.5.1	The Argument of the Dioptrics.....	112
2.5.1.1	Reflection.....	113
2.5.1.2	Refraction.....	117
2.5.2	Criticisms of the Dioptrics.....	120
2.5.2.1	Pierre Fermat.....	121
2.5.2.2	Thomas Hobbes.....	124
2.5.3	Oblique Impact.....	127
2.6	Conclusion.....	130

Chapter 3: Proofs and Paradoxes: Free Fall and Projectile Motion in Galileo's Physics..... 135

3.1	Introduction.....	135
3.2	Free Fall and Projectile Motion in <i>De Motu</i>	141
3.2.1	The Laws of the Motion of Fall.....	141
3.2.2	Acceleration as an Accidental Characteristic of Fall.....	147
3.2.3	The Trajectory of Projectile Motion	152
3.2.4	Summary.....	156
3.3	Free Fall and Projectile Motion in Galileo's Manuscripts between ca. 1592 and ca. 1604.....	158
3.3.1	The Discovery of the Form of the Trajectory and of the Characteristics of Acceleration in Free Fall.....	159
3.3.2	Attempts at a Deductive Treatment of Acceleration around 1604.....	165
3.3.2.1	Derivation of the Law of Fall from a Principle of Acceleration.....	165
3.3.2.2	Derivation of the Double Distance Rule.....	175
3.3.3	Summary.....	179
3.4	Free Fall in Galileo's Manuscripts after ca. 1604.....	180
3.4.1	The Discovery of the Proportionality between the Degree of Velocity and Time.....	180
3.4.1.1	Double Distance Rule.....	182
3.4.1.2	A Reexamination of the Law of Fall.....	188
3.4.2	Other Approaches to the Derivation of the Law of Fall and Further Paradoxes	197
3.4.2.1	The Proportion Proof	198
3.4.2.2	The Mirandum Paradox.....	200

3.4.2.3	The Hollow Paradox as an Argument for Galileo's Principle.....	202
3.4.2.4	The Inclined Plane Proof	205
3.4.3	Summary.....	207
3.5	Projectile Motion in Galileo's Manuscripts after ca. 1604	208
3.5.1	The Size of the Trajectory for Horizontal Projection.....	209
3.5.2	Contradictions in the Analysis of Oblique Projection	216
3.5.2.1	Oblique Projection and Inclined Plane: Folio 175v.....	216
3.5.2.2	Oblique Projection and Uniform Horizontal Motion: Folio 171v	220
3.5.3	The Search for a Composition Rule for Impetuses	223
3.5.3.1	Scalar Addition of Impetuses: Folio 90r.....	224
3.5.3.2	Vertical Height and Compounded Impetus: Folio 80v	227
3.5.3.3	Vectorial Composition of Scalar Impetus: Folio 91v	229
3.5.3.4	Compounded Impetus and Distance Traversed.....	232
3.5.4	Summary	235
3.6	The Laws of Free Fall and Projectile Motion in Galileo's Published Works.....	236
3.6.1	A Failed Revision of the Derivation of the Law of Fall	237
3.6.1.1	A New Proof Technique	238
3.6.1.2	Derivation of the Law of Fall.....	242
3.6.1.3	Refutation of Space Proportionality by the New Proof Technique	244
3.6.1.4	The Problematical Role of the Area in the New Proof Technique	247
3.6.2	Oblique Projection as a Gap in the <i>Discorsi</i>	251
3.6.3	Summary.....	253
3.7	Preclassical Limits to Galileo's Mechanics.....	253
3.7.1	The Aristotelian Concept of Velocity and the Configuration of Qualities in Galileo's Published Works	254
3.7.1.1	Traditional Foundations of Galileo's Derivation of the Law of Fall	254
3.7.1.2	The Unsolved Mirandum Paradox	256
3.7.1.3	The Rules of Kinematics in the <i>Discorsi</i>	258
3.7.2	Impetus as Cause of Motion in Galileo's Published Works.....	261
3.7.2.1	Horizontal Projection and Horizontal Plane.....	261

3.7.2.2	The Paradoxes of Oblique Projection in the <i>Discorsi</i>	263
3.7.2.3	The Role of Causes and Contrariety in Galileo's Understanding of Projectile Motion.....	266
3.7.2.4	The <i>De Motu</i> Theory of Motion in Media in the <i>Discorsi</i>	269
3.7.2.5	Did Galileo Renounce Causal Explanation of Acceleration in the <i>Discorsi</i> ?	270
3.7.3	Summary.....	273
3.8	Descartes' Critique Revisited	274

Chapter 4. Epilogue..... 279

4.1	First Example: Conceptualization of Free Fall.....	280
4.2	Second Example: Composition of Motions.....	281
4.3	Third Example: Conceptualization of Projectile Motion	284

Chapter 5. Documents..... 287

A Note on Sources, Translations, and Figures		287
5.1	Documents to Chapter 1. Concept and Inference.....	288
5.1.1	Descartes' Initial Document (1618).....	288
5.1.2	Beeckman's Note in his Journal (1618).....	290
5.1.3	Descartes' Diary Note (1618).....	293
5.1.4	Descartes' Reasoning on the Compression of Water in Containers (Nov./Dec. 1618).....	294
5.1.5	Descartes: From a Letter to Mersenne (Nov. 13, 1629).....	294
5.1.6	Descartes: From a Letter to Mersenne (Dec. 18, 1629).....	296
5.1.7	Descartes: From a Letter to Mersenne (Oct./Nov. 1631).....	297
5.1.8	Descartes: From a Letter to Mersenne (Aug. 14, 1634).....	298
5.1.9	Descartes: Note on Acceleration in a Vacuum (from the <i>Excerpta Anatomica</i> , 1635 or later).....	299
5.1.10	Descartes: From a Letter to de Beaune (April 30, 1639)	300
5.1.11	Descartes: From a Letter to Mersenne (March 11, 1640)	300
5.1.12	Descartes: From a Letter to Mersenne (June 11, 1640).....	301
5.1.13	Kenelm Digby: On Acceleration in Natural Motion (1644).....	301

5.2 Documents to Chapter 2: Conservation and Contrariety	303
5.2.1 Leibniz on Measurement by Congruence and Equipollence: From a Letter to de l'Hospital (Jan. 15, 1696)	303
5.2.2 Descartes: <i>Principles of Philosophy</i> , Bk. II §§36-53	305
5.2.3 Descartes: From a Letter to Clerselier (Feb. 17, 1645)	312
5.2.4 Descartes: From Chapter 2 of the <i>Dioptrics</i> (1637)	314
5.2.5 Fermat: From a Letter to Mersenne (April or May, 1637)	320
5.2.6 Descartes: From a Letter to Mersenne for Fermat, (Oct. 5, 1637)	321
5.2.7 Fermat: From a Letter to Mersenne for Descartes (Nov. 1637)	322
5.2.8 Descartes: From a Letter to Mydorge (March 1, 1638)	328
5.2.9 Hobbes: From the Optical Manuscript of 1640 (<i>Tractatus opticus II</i>)	330
5.2.10 From Mersenne's <i>Ballistica</i>	333
5.2.11 Descartes: From a Letter to Mersenne for Hobbes (Jan. 21, 1641)	334
5.2.12 Hobbes: From a Letter to Mersenne for Descartes (Feb. 7, 1641)	335
5.2.13 Descartes: From a Letter to Mersenne for Hobbes (March 4, 1641)	338
5.2.14 Hobbes: From a Letter to Mersenne for Descartes (March 30, 1641)	340
5.2.15 Descartes: From a Letter to Mersenne for Hobbes (April 21, 1641)	344
5.2.16 Descartes: From a Letter to Mersenne for Bourdin (July 29, 1640)	345
5.2.17 Descartes: From a Letter to Mersenne (Aug. 30, 1640)	346
5.2.18 Descartes: From a Letter to Mersenne (Dec. 3, 1640)	347
5.2.19 Descartes: From a Letter to Mersenne (April 26, 1643)	347
5.3 Documents to Chapter 3. Proofs and Paradoxes	350
5.3.1 Descartes' Critique of Galileo (from a Letter to Mersenne Oct. 11, 1638)	350
5.3.2 Galileo: From Folio 151r	351
5.3.3 Guidobaldo and Galileo's Experiment	352
5.3.4 Paolo Sarpi: From the Notebook for 1592	354
5.3.5 Galileo: From a Letter to Sarpi (Oct. 16, 1604)	354
5.3.6 Galileo: From Folio 147r	356

5.3.7	Galileo: from Folio 182 (182bv and 182ar)	358
5.3.8	Galileo: Folio 128	360
5.3.9	Galileo: From Folio 163v.....	361
5.3.10	Galileo: Folio 85v	362
5.3.11	Galileo: From Folio 179v.....	362
5.3.12	Galileo's Mirandum Fragment: From Folio 164v.....	363
5.3.13	Galileo: From a Letter to de' Medici (Feb. 11, 1609)	363
5.3.14	Galileo: Folio 152r	364
5.3.15	Galileo: Folio 91v	366
5.3.16	Galileo: Folio 87v	367
5.3.17	Galileo: Folio 90r.....	368
5.3.18	Galileo: Folio 80r.....	371
5.3.19	Galileo: <i>Discorsi</i> , Third Day: Naturally Accelerated Motion (1638)	372
5.3.20	Galileo: <i>Discorsi</i> , Third Day: Naturally Accelerated Motion (1638)	375
5.3.21	Galileo: Addendum on Projectile Motion for a Revised Edition of the <i>Discorsi</i>	376
5.4	Documents for the Epilogue.....	377
5.4.1	Descartes: From a Letter to Huygens (Feb. 18, 1643).....	377
5.4.2	Clerselier: From a Letter to Fermat (May 13, 1662).....	378
5.4.3	Pierre Silvain Regis, Law of Reflection (1691).....	379
5.4.4	Cavalieri: From <i>Lo Specchio Ustorio</i> (1632)	380
Bibliography		383
Index		405
<i>Index locorum</i>		410

Exploring the Limits of Preclassical Mechanics
A Study of Conceptual Development in Early Modern
Science: Free Fall and Compounded Motion in the Work
of Descartes, Galileo and Beeckman
Damerow, P.; Freudenthal, G.; McLaughlin, P.; Renn, J.
2004, XX, 414 p. 38 illus., Hardcover
ISBN: 978-0-387-20573-1