

ON THE COMPLETENESS OF SETS OF q -BESSEL FUNCTIONS $J_{\nu}^{(3)}(x; q)$

L. D. Abreu*

Department of Mathematics

Universidade de Coimbra

Coimbra, PORTUGAL

daniel@mat.uc.pt

J. Bustoz†

Department of Mathematics

Arizona State University

Tempe, Arizona 85287-1804

bustoz@asu.edu

Abstract We study completeness of systems of third Jackson q -Bessel functions by two quite different methods. The first uses a Dalgner-type criterion and relies on orthogonality and the evaluation of certain q -integrals. The second uses classical entire function theory.

1. Introduction

For $0 < q < 1$ define the q -integral on the interval $(0, a)$ by

$$\int_0^a f(x) d_q x = (1 - q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f(aq^n) aq^n. \quad (1.1)$$

*Research partially supported by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia and Centro de Matemática da Universidade de Coimbra.

†Joaquín Bustoz (1939–2003) passed away in August 2003 as a consequence of a car accident. He will be missed both as a mathematician and for his work on teaching mathematics, in particular on getting students from minorities into higher education.

$L_q^2(0, 1)$ will denote the Hilbert space associated with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)g(x)d_qx.$$

It is a well known fact that the third Jackson q -Bessel function $J_\nu^{(3)}(z; q)$, defined as

$$J_\nu^{(3)}(z; q) = z^\nu \frac{(q^{\nu+1}; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{q^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}}{(q^{\nu+1}; q)_k (q; q)_k} z^{2k} \quad (1.2)$$

satisfies the orthogonality relation

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 x J_\nu(j_{n\nu}qx; q^2) J_\nu(j_{m\nu}qx; q^2) d_qx \\ &= \frac{q-1}{2q^2} J_{\nu+1}(j_{n\nu}; q^2) J_\nu(j_{n\nu}; q^2) \delta_{n,m} \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where $j_{1\nu} < j_{2\nu} < \dots$ are the zeros of $J_\nu^{(3)}(z; q^2)$ arranged in ascending order. Important information on the zeros of $J_\nu^{(3)}(z; q^2)$ has been given recently (Ismail, 2003; Koelink and Swarttouw, 1994; Koelink, 1999; Abreu et al., 2003). The orthogonality relation (1.3) is a consequence of the second order difference equation of Sturm-Liouville type satisfied by the functions $J_\nu^{(3)}(z; q^2)$ (Swarttouw, 1992; Koelink and Swarttouw, 1994). In this paper we consider completeness properties of the third q -Bessel function in the spaces $L_q(0, 1)$ and $L_q^2(0, 1)$. We will approach the problem from two substantially different directions. In one case we will apply a q -version of the Dalzell Criterion (Higgins, 1977) to prove completeness of the system $\{J_\nu^3(j_{n\nu}qx; q^2)\}$ in $L_q^2(0, 1)$. In another case we will use the machinery of entire functions and the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle to prove completeness of the system $\{J_\mu^3(j_{n\nu}qx; q^2)\}$, $\mu, \nu > 0$ in $L_q^1(0, 1)$. This theorem is in the spirit of classical results on Bessel functions (Boas and Pollard, 1947) that state the completeness of systems $\{J_\nu(\lambda_n(z))\}$ where the numbers λ_n are allowed a certain freedom. Although the entire function argument is more general, there is reason to present the Dalzell Criterion approach as well because it relies solely on techniques of q -integration and on properties of orthogonal expansions in a Hilbert space. Also, this approach requires the calculation of some q -integrals of q -Bessel functions that parallel results for classical Bessel functions. Thus this method of proof extends the q -theory of orthogonal functions.

The third Jackson q -Bessel function was also studied by Exton (Exton, 1983) and sometimes appears in the literature as *The Hahn-Exton q -Bessel Function*. There are other two analogues of the Bessel function introduced by Jackson (Jackson, 1904). The notation of Ismail (Ismail, 1982; Ismail, 2003), denoting all three analogues by $J_\nu^{(k)}(z; q)$, $k = 1, 2, 3$ has become common and we adhere to it here. However, because the present work will deal exclusively with $J_\nu^{(3)}(z; q^2)$, to simplify notation we write from now on

$$J_\nu(z) = J_\nu^{(3)}(z; q^2).$$

It is critical to keep in mind that in definition (1.2) the q -Bessel function is defined with base q , whereas in defining $J_\nu(z)$ we have changed the base to q^2 . Thus the series definition for $J_\nu(z)$ is

$$J_\nu(z) = z^\nu \frac{(q^{2\nu+2}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{q^{k(k+1)}}{(q^{2\nu+2}; q^2)_\infty (q^2; q^2)_\infty} z^{2k}.$$

Let $z_{n\nu}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ denote the positive roots of $J_\nu^{(3)}(z; q)$ arranged in increasing order. From (Kvitsinsky, 1995) we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (z_{n\nu})^{-2} = \frac{q}{(1-q)(1-q^{\nu+1})}. \quad (1.4)$$

Replacing q by q^2 , we find for the roots $j_{n\nu}$ of $J_\nu(z)$ that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (j_{n\nu})^{-2} = \frac{q}{(1-q^2)(1-q^{2\nu+2})}. \quad (1.5)$$

Expression (1.5) will be used in Section 2.

2. Completeness: A Dalzell type criterion

It is easy to verify (Higgins, 1977) that if $\{\Phi_n\}$ and $\{\Psi_n\}$ are two sequences in a Hilbert space H , with Ψ_n complete in H and Φ_n complete in Ψ_n and orthogonal in H , then Φ_n is also complete in H . Then, if Ψ_n is complete in H , a necessary and sufficient condition for the orthogonal sequence Φ_n to be complete in H is that it satisfies the Parseval relation

$$\sum_n |\langle \Phi_n, \Psi_k \rangle|^2 = \|\Psi_k\|^2, \text{ for every } \Psi_k, k = 0, 1, \dots \quad (2.1)$$

This fact was used by Dalzell to derive a completeness criterion and apply it to several sequences of special functions (Dalzell, 1945). In this

section we will derive a similar criterion suitable to be used in $L_q^2(0, 1)$. Then, we use it to prove completeness in $L_q^2(0, 1)$ of the orthonormal set of functions

$$\Phi_n(x) = \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\nu(j_{n\nu}qx)}{\left\| x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\nu(j_{n\nu}qx) \right\|}.$$

To do so, we will evaluate explicitly some q -integrals using the results from the preceding section. We start by stating and proving the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $g \in L_q^2(0, 1)$ such that $g(q^n) > 0$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Define $\chi_n(x) = 1$ if $x \in [0, q^n]$ and $\chi_n(x) = 0$ otherwise. Then $\{g\chi_n\}$ is complete in $L_q^2(0, 1)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in L_q^2(0, 1)$ be such that

$$\int_0^1 f(x)g(x)\chi_n(x)d_qx = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now, by (1.1) and using the fact that $\chi_n(q^k) = 0$ if $k < n$, we get:

$$A_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} f(q^k)g(q^k)q^k = 0.$$

Then,

$$0 = A_n - A_{n+1} = f(q^n)g(q^n)q^n$$

because $g(q^n) > 0$ it follows that

$$f(q^n) = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

□

Theorem 2.2. *Let $g \in L_q^2(0, 1)$ such that $g(q^n) > 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and let $w(x)$ be such that $\int_0^1 w(x)d_qx$ exists and $w(q^n) > 0$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then an orthonormal sequence $\{\Phi_n\} \subset L_q^2(0, 1)$ is complete in $L_q^2(0, 1)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_n \int_0^1 \left| \int_0^r \Phi_n(x)g(x)d_qx \right|^2 w(r)d_qr = \int_0^1 \left[\int_0^r |g(x)|^2 d_qx \right] w(r)d_qr. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Writing $\Psi_k = g\chi_k$ in (2.1), by the preceding lemma, the sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ is complete in $L_q^2(0, 1)$ if and only if

$$\sum_n \left| \int_0^1 \Phi_n(x) g(x) \chi_k(x) d_q x \right|^2 = \int_0^1 |g(x) \chi_k(x)|^2 d_q x, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_n \left| \int_0^r \Phi_n(x) g(x) d_q x \right|^2 \\ &= \int_0^r |g(x)|^2 d_q x \text{ for every } r \in \{q^k, k = 0, 1, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides of this relation after multiplying by $w(x)$, one gets the relation (2.2). On the other hand, if (2.2) holds, then define

$$F(r) = \int_0^r |g(x)|^2 d_q x - \sum_n \left| \int_0^r \Phi_n(x) g(x) d_q x \right|^2.$$

From the hypothesis,

$$\int_0^1 F(r) w(r) d_q r = 0.$$

Observing that by the Bessel inequality, $F(r)$ is non-negative, we get

$$F(q^k) = 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

□

We proceed to evaluate two important q -integrals.

Lemma 2.3. *For every real number r ,*

$$\int_0^r x^{\nu+1} J_\nu(j_{n\nu} q x) d_q x = \frac{1-q}{q j_{n\nu}} r^{\nu+1} J_{\nu+1}(j_{n\nu} q r).$$

Proof. Express

$$\int_0^r x^{\nu+1} J_\nu(j_{n\nu} q x) d_q x$$

using the power series expansion (1.2). Then interchange the q -integral with the sum and use the following fact:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^r x^{2\nu+2k+1} d_q x &= (1-q)r^{2\nu+2k+2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n (2\nu+2k+2) \\ &= \frac{1-q}{1-q^{2\nu+2k+2}} r^{2\nu+2k+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging terms the result follows in a straightforward manner. \square

Lemma 2.4.

$$\int_0^1 x J_{\nu+1}^2(j_{n\nu}qx) d_q x = \int_0^1 x J_{\nu}^2(j_{n\nu}qx) d_q x.$$

Proof. Consider the following formula from (Koelink and Swarttouw, 1994):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 x J_{\nu+1}^2(aqz) d_q x &= \frac{(1-q)q^{\nu}}{-2a} \{aJ_{\nu+2}(aq)J'_{\nu+1}(a) \\ &\quad - J_{\nu+2}(aq)J_{\nu+1}(a) - aqJ'_{\nu+2}(aq)J_{\nu+1}(a)\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$J_{\nu+1}(xq) = q^{-\nu-1} \left(\frac{1-q^{2\nu}}{x} J_{\nu}(x) - J_{\nu-1}(x) \right). \quad (2.4)$$

Shift $\nu \rightarrow \nu + 1$ in (2.4) and set $x = j_{n\nu}$. This yields

$$J_{\nu+2}(j_{n\nu}q) = \frac{1-q^{2\nu+2}}{q^{\nu+2}j_{n\nu}} J_{\nu+1}(j_{n\nu}).$$

Taking derivatives in both members of (2.4), changing $\nu \rightarrow \nu + 1$ and again setting $x = j_{n\nu}$ the result is

$$\begin{aligned} J'_{\nu+2}(j_{n\nu}q) &= q^{-\nu-3} \left\{ (1-q^{2\nu+2}) \left[\frac{1}{j_{n\nu}} J'_{\nu+1}(j_{n\nu}) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{1}{j_{n\nu}^2} J_{\nu+1}(j_{n\nu}) \right] - J_{\nu}(j_{n\nu}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this in (2.3) we get the simplification:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 x J_{\nu+1}^2(j_{n\nu}qx) d_qx &= \frac{(1-q)}{-2q^2} J'_\nu(j_{n\nu}) J_{\nu+1}(j_{n\nu}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(1-q)q^{\nu-2} J_{\nu+1}(j_{n\nu}q) J_\nu(j_{n\nu}) = \int_0^1 x J_\nu^2(j_{n\nu}qx) d_qx \end{aligned}$$

where (1.3) was used in the last identity. \square

Theorem 2.5. *The orthonormal sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ defined by*

$$\Phi_n(x) = \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\nu(j_{n\nu}qx)}{\left\| x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\nu(j_{n\nu}qx) \right\|}$$

is complete in $L_q^2(0,1)$.

Proof. In (2.2) take $\{\Phi_n\}$ defined as above, $g(x) = x^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}}$ and $w(r) = r^{-2\nu-1}$. We need thus to prove the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \left| \int_0^r \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\nu(j_{n\nu}qx)}{\left\| x^{\frac{1}{2}} J_\nu(j_{n\nu}qx) \right\|} x^{\nu+1} d_qx \right|^2 r^{-2\nu-1} d_qr \\ = \int_0^1 \left[\int_0^r |g(x)|^2 d_qx \right] w(r) d_qr. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 allow us to reduce the left hand member of above to:

$$\frac{(1-q)^2}{q^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j_{n\nu}^2},$$

that is,

$$\frac{1-q}{(1+q)(1-q^{2\nu+2})}$$

by (1.5). It is straightforward to compute

$$\int_0^1 \left[\int_0^r |g(x)|^2 d_qx \right] w(r) d_qr = \frac{1-q}{(1+q)(1-q^{2\nu+2})},$$

and the Theorem is proved. \square

3. Completeness: An entire function approach

From (1.2) we can write

$$J_\nu(w) = \frac{(q^{2\nu+2}; q^2)_\infty}{(q^2; q^2)_\infty} z^\nu F_\nu(w),$$

where

$$F_\nu(w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k q^{k(k+1)} z^{2k}}{(q^{2\nu+2}; q^2)_k (q^2; q^2)_k}.$$

The function $F_\nu(w)$ is entire and it is directly shown that $F_\nu(w)$ has order zero.

$$\text{Set } G(w) = \int_0^1 g(x) F_\mu(qwx) d_q x, \text{ and } h(w) = \frac{G(w)}{F_\nu(w)}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *If $\mu > 0$, $\nu > 0$ and $g(x) \in L_q^1(0, 1)$ then $h(w)$ is entire of order 0.*

Proof. We first show that $G(w)$ is entire of order 0. From the definition of the q -integral we have

$$G(w) = (1 - q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g(q^k) F_\mu(wq^{k+1}q^k). \quad (3.1)$$

The series in (3.1) converges uniformly in any disk $|w| \leq R$. Hence $G(w)$ is entire. Recall that the order $\rho(f)$ of an entire function $f(w)$ is given by

$$\rho(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \ln M(r; f)}{\ln r}$$

where

$$M(r, f) = \max_{|w| \leq r} |f(w)|.$$

From (3.1)

$$M(r; G) \leq M(r; F_\mu) \int_0^1 |g(x)| d_q x.$$

Since $\rho(F_\mu) = 0$ we have that $\rho(G) = 0$.

Both the numerator and the denominator of $h(w)$ are entire functions of order 0. If we write $G(w)$ and $F_\nu(w)$ as canonical products, each factor of $F_\nu(w)$ divides out with a factor of $G(w)$ by the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3. $h(w)$ is thus entire of order 0. \square

Lemma 3.2. *If $\mu > 0$, $\nu > 0$, and $0 < q < 1$ then the quotient $\frac{F_\mu(q^m w)}{F_\nu(w)}$ is bounded on the imaginary w axis.*

Proof. We will make use of the simple inequality

$$(q^\alpha; q)_\infty < (q^\alpha; q)_k < 1, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad 0 < q < 1.$$

Using this inequality we get for $w = iy$, y real,

$$\begin{aligned} F_\mu(q^m iy) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n+1)} q^{2mn} y^{2n}}{(q^{2\mu+2}; q^2)_n (q^2; q^2)_n} < \frac{1}{(q^{2\mu+2}; q^2)_\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n+1)} y^{2n}}{(q^2; q^2)_n}, \\ F_\nu(iy) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n+1)} y^{2n}}{(q^{2\nu+2}; q^2)_n (q^2; q^2)_n} > \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n+1)} y^{2n}}{(q^2; q^2)_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$0 \leq \frac{F_\mu(q^m; y)}{F_\nu(iy)} < \frac{1}{(q^{2\mu+2}; q^2)_\infty}.$$

□

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mu > 0$, $\nu > 0$ and $g(x) \in L_q^1(0, 1)$. If*

$$\int_0^1 g(x) J_\mu(q j_{n\nu} x) d_q x = 0,$$

$n = 1, 2, \dots$ then $g(x) = 0$ for $x = q^m$, $m = 0, 1, \dots$.

Proof. Lemma 3.2 implies that $h(iy)$ is bounded. Since $h(w)$ is entire of order 0, we can apply one of the versions of the Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem (Levin, 1980, p. 49) and Lemma 3.2 and conclude that $h(w)$ is bounded in the entire w -plane. Next by Liouville's theorem we conclude that $h(w)$ is constant. Say that $h(w) \equiv C$. We will prove that $C = 0$. We have

$$G(w) - C F_\nu(w) \equiv 0.$$

In infinite series form this equality produces an identity of the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k w^{2k} \equiv 0.$$

From the identity theorem for analytic functions we conclude that $A_k = 0$. Calculating A_k we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q^{k(k+1)+2k} (1-q) (-1)^k}{(q^{2\mu+2}; q^2)_k (q^2; q^2)_k} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g(q^j) q^{(2k+1)j} \\ & - \frac{q^{k(k+1)} (-1)^k}{(q^{2\nu+2}; q^2)_k (q^2; q^2)_k} C = 0, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Dividing out common factors and letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ gives $C = 0$. We can now conclude that $G(w) \equiv 0$, or that is,

$$\int_0^1 g(x) J_\mu(wqx) d_q x \equiv 0.$$

We complete the proof with a simple argument that gives $g(q^m) = 0$, $m = 0, 1, \dots$. If $G(w) \equiv 0$ then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g(q^j) q^{(2k+1)j} = 0.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow 0$ gives $g(1) = 0$. Then dividing by q^{2k} and again letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ gives $g(q) = 0$. Continuing this process we have $g(q^m) \equiv 0$ and the proof of the theorem is complete. \square

References

- Abreu, L. D., Bustoz, J., and Cardoso, J. L. (2003). The roots of the third Jackson q -Bessel function. *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 67:4241–4248.
- Boas, R. P. and Pollard, H. (1947). Complete sets of Bessel and Legendre functions. *Ann. of Math.*, 48:366–384.
- Dalzell, D. P. (1945). On the completeness of a series of normal orthogonal functions. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 20:87–93.
- Exton, H. (1983). *q -Hypergeometric Functions and Applications*. Ellis Horwood, Chichester.
- Higgins, J. R. (1977). *Completeness and basis properties of sets of special functions*. Cambridge University Press, London, New York, Melbourne.
- Ismail, M. E. H. (1982). The zeros of basic Bessel functions, the functions $J_{\nu+ax}(x)$, and associated orthogonal polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 86:1–19.
- Ismail, M. E. H. (2003). Some properties of jackson's third q -bessel function. Preprint.
- Jackson, F. H. (1904). On generalized functions of Legendre and Bessel. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, 41:1–28.
- Koelink, H. T. (1999). Some basic Lommel polynomials. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 96:345–365.
- Koelink, H. T. and Swarttouw, R. F. (1994). On the zeros of the Hahn-Exton q -Bessel function and associated q -Lommel polynomials. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 186:690–710.
- Kvitsinsky, A. A. (1995). Spectral zeta functions for q -Bessel equations. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 28:1753–1764.
- Levin, B. Y. (1980). *Distribution of zeros of Entire Functions*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI.
- Swarttouw, R. F. (1992). *The Hahn-Exton q -Bessel Function*. PhD thesis, Technische Universiteit Delft.

Theory and Applications of Special Functions

A Volume Dedicated to Mizan Rahman

Ismail, M.E.H.; Koelink, E. (Eds.)

2005, XII, 491 p.,

ISBN: 978-0-387-24233-0