

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

A Note on the Author

Preface

Introduction

## **Part I - Legal Reasoning and Practical Rationality**

### **Chapter 1 - Practical Rationality**

#### 1.1. Implicit Cognition

1.1.1 Fixed Reflexes

1.1.2. Conditioned Reflexes

#### 1.2. Explicit Cognition

1.2.1. Cognitive Functions and Cognitive Organs

1.2.2. Reason As a Mental Organ

1.2.3. Epistemic Reasoning

1.2.4. Practical Reasoning

1.2.5. The Foundations of Rationality

#### 1.3. The Nature of Practical Cognition

1.3.1. Basic Conative States

1.3.2. Adoption and Withdrawal of Conative States

1.3.3. Abstract Plans and Subplanning

1.3.4. The Structure of Plans

1.3.5. The Evaluation of Plans

1.3.6. Epistemic Desires: Knowledge and Interest

1.3.7. Strategies, Standing Plans, and Instructions

#### 1.4 The Function of Intentions

1.4.1 Intentions and Instructions

1.4.2. Reasoning with Intentions

1.4.3. Behavioural and Cognitive Instructions

1.4.4. Other-Directed Intentions and Commands

1.4.5. General and Collective Intentions

1.4.6. May-Instructions and Intentions

#### 1.5. Reasoning and Cognition

1.5.1. Failures of Reasoning

1.5.2. Reasoning's Dependency on Implicit Cognition

1.5.3. Reasoning's Contribution to Cognition

### **Chapter 2 - Basic Forms of Reasoning**

#### 2.1. Ratiocination

2.1.1. Mental States, Noemata, Sentences, and Speech Acts

2.1.2. Reasoning Schemata and Instances

2.1.3. The Adoption of a Reasoning Schema

#### 2.2. Conclusive and Defeasible Reasoning

2.2.1. Validity and Truth-Preservation

2.2.2. Monotonic and Nonmonotonic Reasoning

2.2.3. The Rationale of Defeasibility

2.2.4. The Logical Function of Defeasible Reasoning Schemata

2.2.5. Collision and Defeat

2.2.6. Collisions and Incompatibility

2.2.7. Undercutting Collisions

2.2.8. Preference-Based Reasoning

- 2.2.9. Reinstatement
- 2.2.10. Undercutting in Practical Reasoning
- 2.2.11. Defeasible Reasoning and Probability
- 2.3. Some Notes on Defeasibility in Law and Morality
  - 2.3.1. The Idea of Defeasibility in the Practical Domain
  - 2.3.2. Defeasibility in Legal Language
  - 2.3.3. The Defeasibility of Legal Concepts and Principles
  - 2.3.4. Defeasibility and Legal Procedures
  - 2.3.5. Overcoming Legal Defeasibility?
- 2.4. Heuresis and Coherence Evaluation
  - 2.4.1 The Construction of Conjectures
  - 2.4.2. Functions of Heuresis
  - 2.4.3. Heuresis and Ratiocination: An Overview

### **Chapter 3 - The Doxification of Practical Reasoning**

- 3.1. Doxification
  - 3.1.1. Adoption-Worthiness and Bindingness
  - 3.1.2. The Notion of Doxification
  - 3.1.3. The Rationale for Doxification
  - 3.1.4. The Doxification of Conative States
  - 3.1.5. The Idea of Cognitive Bindingness
  - 3.1.6. The Doxification of Cognitive Instructions
- 3.2. The Projection of Practical Beliefs
  - 3.2.1. Doxed Shall-Intentions
  - 3.2.2. Doxifying May-Intentions
- 3.3. Normative Beliefs and Normative States of Affairs
  - 3.3.1. Normative States of Affairs and Current Cognitive States
  - 3.3.2. Normative States of Affairs and Optimal Cognitive States
  - 3.3.3. Cognitive Optimality and Collective Inquiry
  - 3.3.4 Cognitive Optimality and Dialogues
  - 3.3.5 The Supervenience of Normative States of Affairs
  - 3.3.6. The Relativisation of Practical Cognition
  - 3.3.7 Practical Cognition and the Existence of Normative States of Affairs

### **Chapter 4 - Rationalisation, Reflexivity, Universality**

- 4.1. Rationalisation
  - 4.1.1. Upwards Practical Reasoning
  - 4.1.2. Rationalisation and Critical Thinking
  - 4.1.3. Rationalisation in Legal Reasoning
  - 4.1.4. Practical Theories and Their Coherence
- 4.2. Reflexive Reasoning and Universality
  - 4.2.1. Planar and Reflexive Reasoning
  - 4.2.2. Reasoning and Meta-Reasoning
  - 4.2.3. Descriptive and Ideal Rationality
  - 4.2.4. Particularised and Universal Rationality
  - 4.2.5 Limits of Rationality
- 4.3. An Example in Practical Reasoning
  - 4.3.1. Creon's Reasoning
  - 4.3.2. Antigone's Reasoning

#### 4.3.3. Comparison of the Two Reasonings

### **Chapter 5 Bounded Rationality: Cognitive Delegation**

#### 5.1. The Notion of Bounded Rationality

##### 5.1.1. The Limitations of Human Rationality

##### 5.1.2. Substantive and Procedural Rationality

#### 5.2. Bounded Rationality and Teleology

##### 5.2.1. Failure to Achieve Teleological Optimality

##### 5.2.2. The Evaluation of Outcomes

##### 5.2.3. Pareto Efficiency

##### 5.2.4. Weighing Alternatives

##### 5.2.5. Simplifying Evaluations

#### 5.3. Cognitive Delegation and Authority

##### 5.3.1. The Concept of Delegation

##### 5.3.2. Types of Cognitive Delegation

##### 5.3.3. Authority and Normative Power

##### 5.3.4. Rules and Teleology

##### 5.3.5. The Notion of an Exclusionary Reason

##### 5.3.6. Exclusionary Reasons and Normative Delegation

##### 5.3.7. Authorities as Exclusionary or Independent Reasons

##### 5.3.8. Commands and Reasons

##### 5.3.9. The Independence of Normative Syllogism from Teleological Reasoning

### **Chapter 6 - Bounded Rationality: Factors**

#### 6.1. Propensities

##### 6.1.1. Propensities and Intentions

##### 6.1.2. Factors and Teleology

##### 6.1.3. Logical Function of Propensities

#### 6.2. Factors

##### 6.2.1. Binary Factors and Dimensions

##### 6.2.2. Factors and Principles

##### 6.2.3. Factors, Dimensions and Standards

##### 6.2.4. Factors in Legislation

##### 6.2.5. Factors in Case-Based Reasoning

##### 6.2.6. The Role of Factors in Practical Inference

##### 6.2.7. Factors, Dimensions, and Prototypes

### **Chapter 7 - Preference-Eased Reasoning: Rules**

#### 7.1. Rules and Preferences

##### 7.1.1. Undecided Conflicts

##### 7.1.2. Priority Beliefs

#### 7.2. Kinds of Rule Preferences

##### 7.2.1. Source-Based Priority

##### 7.2.2. Time-Based Priority

##### 7.2.3. Specificity-Based Priority

##### 7.2.4. Checking Specificity

##### 7.2.5. Priority of Exceptions

##### 7.2.6. Value-Based Priorities

- 7.2.7. Factor-Based Priorities
- 7.3. Reasoning with Rule-Priorities
  - 7.3.1. Multiple Priorities and Their Ordering
  - 7.3.2. General Priority-Rules
  - 7.3.3. Meta-Priorities
  - 7.3.4 Reasoning with Priorities and Belief Revision

## **Chapter 8 - Preference-Based Reasoning: Factors**

- 8.1. Reasoning with Factors
  - 8.1.1. An Example in Factor-Based Reasoning
  - 8.1.2. Factor-Based A-Fortiori Reasoning
  - 8.1.3. Factor-Based Inference
  - 8.1.4. From Binary Factors to Dimensions
  - 8.1.5. Dimension-Based Inference
  - 8.1.6. Dimensional A-Fortiori Reasoning
- 8.2. The Accrual of Reasons
  - 8.2.1. The Accrual Thesis
  - 8.2.2. The Negation of the Accrual Thesis
  - 8.2.3. A Terminological Clarification
  - 8.2.4. Merging and Adding Reasons

## **Chapter 9 - Multi-Agent Practical Reasoning**

- 9.1. The Concerns of an Agent
  - 9.1.1. Three Types of Concerns
  - 9.1.2. The Construction of Collective-Directed Concerns
  - 9.1.3. Reasoning and Collective-Directed Concerns
- 9.2. Acting in a Social Context
  - 9.2.1 External Motivation and Threats
  - 9.2.2. Double Contingency
- 9.3. Strategic Dilemmas
  - 9.3.1. Prisoner's dilemmas
  - 9.3.2. Prisoner-Dilemma Structured Situations
  - 9.3.3. The Tragedy of the Commons
  - 9.3.4. Strategic Dilemmas and Collective Concerns
  - 9.3.5. Coordination Dilemmas
  - 9.3.6. Salience
  - 9.3.7. Assurance Dilemmas

## **Chapter 10 - Collective Intentionality**

- 10.1. Coordination and Collective Intentionality
  - 10.1.1. Collective Cognitive States
  - 10.1.2. Collective Rationality and Collective Cognitive States
  - 10.1.3. Rational Adoption of a Collective Cognitive State
  - 10.1.4. Collective and Plural Optimality
  - 10.1.5. The Gamble of Participation
- 10.2. Participation as a Coordination Game
  - 10.2.1. Salience as a Guide to Success
  - 10.2.2. Rational Participation and Consent
  - 10.2.3. Rational Participation in a Future Collective State of Mind
  - 10.2.4. The Intrinsic Value of Participation

- 10.2.5. The Process of Collective Reasoning
- 10.2.6. Collective Practical Theories
- 10.2.7. Collective Adoption of Multi-Agent Plans
- 10.2.8. Collective Adoption of Acceptance Policies
- 10.2.9. Collective Normative Beliefs
- 10.3. Sanctions and Assurance
  - 10.3.1. The Possibility of Non-Compliance
  - 10.3.2. The Role of Sanctions
- 10.4. Plural Legal Intentionality
  - 10.4.1 Collective Reasoning and Authoritarianism
  - 10.4.2. Collective Values

## **Chapter 11 - Collective Cognition and Dialogues**

- 11.1. Dialogues and Cognition
  - 11.1.1 Dialogues and Dialectical Systems
  - 11.1.2. How to Characterise Dialectical Systems
  - 11.1.3. The Structure of Dialectical Systems
  - 11.1.4. The Persuasion Dialogue: The Structure
  - 11.1.5. The Persuasion Dialogue: The Position of the Parties
  - 11.1.6. Other Kinds of Dialogue: Information Seeking, Negotiation and Reconciliation
  - 11.1.7. Combination of Dialogues, Dialogue Shifts, and Inversion of the Burden of Proof
- 11.2. Dialogues and Procedures
  - 11.2.1. What Dialogues for What Procedures
  - 11.2.2. Dialogue and Collective Choices
  - 11.2.3. Deliberation, Democracy and Cognition
  - 11.2.4. Adversarial Models of Legal Argumentation
  - 11.2.5. Formal Analyses of Legal Disputation

## **Chapter 12 - Cognitive and Legal Bindingness**

- 12.1. The Paradox of Legal Validity
- 12.2. A Legal Example
  - 12.2.1. Prima-Facie Reasoning
  - 12.2.2. The Adoption (Endorsement.) of a Legal Rule
  - 12.2.3. Substantive Adoption Policies
- 12.3. Validity, Bindingness, Adoptability
  - 12.3.1. The Social Impact of Legal Reasoning
  - 12.3.2. Legal Reasoning as a Contribution to the Legal Process
  - 12.3.3. Participation in Legal Beliefs
  - 12.3.4. Reasoning about Legal Bindingness
- 12.4. Bindingness and Rationality
  - 12.4.1. Convergence as a Ground for Bindingness
  - 12.4.2. Why Share Legal Rules and Meta-Rules
  - 12.4.3. Participation in Currently Shared Rules
  - 12.4.4. Participation in Future Rules
  - 12.4.5. Coordination and Prioritisation

## **Chapter 13 - The Foundation of Legal Bindingness**

- 13.1. Definitional and Substantive Issues

- 13.1.1 Definitions of Legal Bindingness
- 13.1.2. Grounds for Bindingness
- 13.1.3. Terminological Disputes and Substantive Disagreement:  
The Stance of the Enactment Positivist
- 13.1.4. Terminological Disputes and Substantive Disagreement:  
The Stance of the Practice Positivist
- 13.1.5. Terminological Disputes and Substantive Disagreement:  
The Stance of the Inclusive Positivist
- 13.1.6. The Normativity of Legal Bindingness (Validity)
- 13.2. Political Conflicts and Legal Bindingness
  - 13.2.1. Legal Bindingness and Optimal Law
  - 13.2.2. Unacceptability on Substantive Grounds
  - 13.2.3. Constitutions and Constitutionalism
  - 13.2.4. Law Power, Sovereignty
  - 13.2.5. Legal Bindingness and Moral Bindingness
  - 13.2.6. Bindingness Propositions
  - 13.2.7. Legal Reasoning and Detachment
  - 13.2.8. Legal Reasoning and Shared Legal Opinions
  - 13.2.9. Overcoming the Paradox of Legal Validity

## **Part II - Legal Logic**

### **Chapter 14 Law and Logic**

- 14.1. Introduction: Logic and Legal Reasoning
  - 14.1.1. The Attraction between Law and Logic
  - 14.1.2. The Conflict between Law and Logic
- 14.2. Deduction and Formal Logic in Legal Reasoning
  - 14.2.1. The Deductive Model of Legal Reasoning
  - 14.2.2. Deduction and Anticipation
  - 14.2.3. The Tension between Legal Logic and Legal Practice
  - 14.2.4. The Anti-Deductive Critiques
  - 14.2.5. Defences of the Axiomatic-Deductive Model
  - 14.2.6. Legal Logic Beyond Deduction

### **Chapter 15 - Classical Logic and the Law**

- 15.1. Propositional Logic
  - 15.1.1 Propositional Formalisation
  - 15.1.2. Propositional Connectives
  - 15.1.3. Normalisation
  - 15.1.4. Inference Rules or Propositional Logic
- 15.2. The Application of Propositional Logic to the Law
  - 15.2.1. Material Conditional and Hypothetical Propositions
  - 15.2.2. *Ex Falso Sequitur Quod libet* in Legal Reasoning
  - 15.2.3. Logical Inference and Truth Preservation
- 15.3. Predicate Logic and the Law
  - 15.3.1. Predicates and Terms
  - 15.3.2. Quantifiers
  - 15.3.3. Inference Rules for Predicate Logic

- 15.3.4. Normative Syllogism
- 15.4. Time in Predicate Logic
  - 15.4.1. Propositions and Fluents
  - 15.4.2. From Fluents to Propositions
  - 15.4.3. Representing Temporally-General Rules
- 15.5. Conclusions on Predicate Logic in the Law

## **Chapter 16 - Actions**

- 16.1. The Characterisation of Actions
- 16.2. Logical Analysis of Action
  - 16.2.1. Two Action-Operators
  - 16.2.2. The Logic of Action
  - 16.2.3. Actions-Descriptions as Propositions and as Terms
  - 16.2.4. Connections Between Behavioural and Productive Actions
- 16.3. Omission
  - 16.3.1. The Notion of an Omission
  - 16.3.2. Logical Analysis of Omission
  - 16.3.3. Some Abbreviations for Representing Actions

## **Chapter 17 - Deontic Notions**

- 17.1. Obligation
  - 17.1.1 The Representation of Obligations
  - 17.1.2. Positive and Negative Obligations
- 17.2. Prohibition
  - 17.2.1. The Representation of Prohibitions
  - 17.2.2. Connections between Obligation and prohibition
- 17.3. Permission
  - 17.3.1. The Representation of Permissions
  - 17.3.2. Positive and Negative Permission
  - 17.3.3. Connections between Obligations, Prohibitions and Permissions
  - 17.3.4. A Fourth Deontic Status: Facultativeness
  - 17.3.5. Commands and Deontic Statuses
- 17.4. An Axiomatisation for Deontic Reasoning
  - 17.4.1 Relationships between Obligations and Permissions
  - 17.4.2. Reasoning Schemata for Action Logic and Deontic Logic
- 17.5. Negative Corollaries on Permission
  - 17.5.1 Permission Does Not Entail Facultativeness
  - 17.5.2. Permission Does not Entail Prohibition to Prevent
  - 17.5.3. Permission Does Not Presuppose Prohibition
- 17.6. General Deontic Propositions
  - 17.6.1 The Representation of Categorical Deontic Propositions
  - 17.6.2. Personally-General and Specific Deontic Propositions
- 17.7. Standard Deontic Logic and Deontic Paradoxes
  - 17.7.1. Standard Deontic Logic
  - 17.7.2. Paradoxes of Standard Deontic Logic
  - 17.7.3. How to Avoid Deontic Paradoxes

## **Chapter 18 - Negation, Permission, and Completeness**

- 18.1. Permission, Ignorance, Non-Derivability

- 18.1.1. Being Permitted and Not Being Prohibited
- 18.1.2. Permission as Ignorance
- 18.1.3. The Case of Socrates, the Judge Who Knows  
That He Does not Know
- 18.1.4. Permission as Non-Derivability
- 18.2 Completeness of the Law
  - 18.2.1. The Completeness of Legal Cognition: A Noble Dream?
  - 18.2.2. Completeness of Sections of the Law
  - 18.2.3. Closure Meta-Rules
  - 18.2.4. Strong Permission and Weak Permission
  - 18.2.5. Closure Rules and Bounded Legal Cognition
  - 18.2.6. Ignorance and Autoepistemic Inferences
  - 18.2.7. Some Conclusions on Negation, Permission, and Completeness

## **Chapter 19 - Obligational Concepts**

- 19.1. Teleology of Normative Propositions
  - 19.1.1. The Teleological Stance toward Normative Proposition
  - 19.1.2. A Notation for Normative Teleology
- 19.2. Directed Obligations
  - 19.2.1. Other-Directed Obligations
  - 19.2.2. The Representation of Other-Directed Obligations
  - 19.2.3. Other-Directed Permissions
  - 19.2.4. Reasoning with Directed Obligations
- 19.3. Obligational Rights
  - 19.3.1. Representation of Obligational Rights
  - 19.3.2. The Benefit Theory of Rights
- 19.4. A Formalisation the Hohfeldian Obligational Set
  - 19.4.1. The Hohfeldian Obligational Set: Original Formulation
  - 19.4.2. The Hohfeldian Obligational Set: Logical Reformulation
- 19.5. Further Kinds of Rights
  - 19.5.1. Permissive Rights
  - 19.5.2. Absolute and Relative Rights
  - 19.5.3. Exclusionary Rights
- 19.6. Rights and Norms
  - 19.6.1. Rights in Authoritarian Legal Systems
  - 19.6.2. The Supposed Conflict between Rights and “Norms”

## **Chapter 20 - Normative Conditionals and Legal Inference**

- 20.1. The Cognitive Function of Normative Conditionals
  - 20.1.1. Conditional Instructions and Propositions
  - 20.1.2. Kinds of Normative Conditionals
- 20.2. Specific and General Normative Conditionals
  - 20.2.1. Specific Normative Conditionals
  - 20.2.2. Normative Conditionals and Causality
  - 20.2.3. General Normative conditionals
  - 20.2.4. Operative Facts, Precondition-Types and Tokens
  - 20.2.5. Must and Relative Necessity
- 20.3. The Negation of Normative Conditionals
- 20.4. Inferences for Normative Conditionals
  - 20.4.1. Detachment



- 20.4.2. Specification and Universal Conditionals
- 20.4.3. Normative Syllogism
- 20.4.4. Chaining Syllogisms
- 20.4.5. Syllogism and Subsumption
- 20.4.6. Subsumption Rules
- 20.4.7. Subsumption and Learning
- 20.5. Inapplicable Inferences
  - 20.5.1. Inferring a Conditional from a Falsity
  - 20.5.2. Normative Conditionals and Contraposition

## **Chapter 21 - Varieties of Normative Conditionals**

- 21.1 Types of Normative Conditionals
  - 21.1.1 Different Kinds of Normative Determination
  - 21.1.2. Counts-as Connections and Constitutive Rules
- 21.2 Intermediate Legal Concepts
  - 21.2.1. Alf Ross's Theory of Legal Concepts
  - 21.2.2. The Inferential Meaning of Intermediate Legal Concepts
  - 21.2.3. Cognitive Function of Non-Deontic Legal Concepts
- 21.3 Kelsen's View of Legal Conditionality
  - 21.3.1. Causality and Imputation
  - 21.3.2. Problems with the Kelsenian Conditionality
- 21.4. Normative Conditionals and Time
  - 21.4.1 Temporal Predicates
  - 21.4.2. Temporally Specific Normative Initiation
  - 21.4.3. Temporal Generality and Normative Initiation
  - 21.4.4. Temporal Persistence
  - 21.4.5. Temporalised Normative Syllogism
  - 21.4.6. Time and Normative Emergence
  - 21.4.7. Temporalised Counts-As

## **Chapter 22 - Potestative Concepts**

- 22.1. Powers and Potestative Rights
  - 22.1.1. Generic Power
  - 22.1.2. Action-Power
  - 22.1.3. Abstract Action-Power
  - 22.1.4. Enabling Power
  - 22.1.5. Potestative Right
  - 22.1.6. Powers and Permissions
- 22.2. A Formalisation of the Hohfeldian Potestative Set
  - 22.2.1. The Hohfeldian Potestative Set: Original Formulation
  - 22.2.2. The Hohfeldian Potestative Set: Logical Reformulation

## **Chapter 23 - Proclamations**

- 23.1. The Intentional Production of Legal Results
  - 23.1.1. *Rechtsgeschäft* and Contract
  - 23.1.2. Contracts and Autonomy
- 23.2. The Notion of a Proclamation
  - 23.2.1. The Definition of a Proclamation
  - 23.2.2. Proclamations and Intentions
  - 23.2.3. Proclamations as Attempts

- 23.2.4. Proclamation Rules
- 23.3. The Logic of Proclamation-Based Inference
  - 23.3.1. The Naïve Formulation
  - 23.3.2. The Problem of Substitutional Quantification
  - 23.3.3. How to Climb Ramsey's Ladder
  - 23.3.4. Our Representation of Meta-Syllogism
- 23.4. The Analysis of Proclamations
  - 23.4.1. The Content of Proclamations
  - 23.4.2. Effective and Void Proclamations
  - 23.4.3. Multi-lateral Proclamations (Agreements)
  - 23.4.4. The Logic of Proclamations

## **Chapter 24 - Proclamative Power**

- 24.1. The Notion and the Inferential Role of Proclamative Powers
  - 24.1.1. Proclamative Power and Legal Inference
  - 24.1.2. Proclamative Power and General Inferences
- 24.2. Kinds of Proclamative Power
  - 24.2.1. The Power to Create and Terminate Obligations
  - 24.2.2. The Power to confer Permissions
  - 24.2.3. Empowerment to Command
  - 24.2.4. Empowerment to Renounce a Power
  - 24.2.5. Power to Transfer Property
- 24.3. Empowerment to Empower
  - 24.3.1. Conferring Powers
  - 24.3.2. Representation
  - 24.3.3. Limitations to Individual Legal Autonomy
- 24.4. Public Powers as Proclamative Powers
  - 24.4.1. Proclamations and the Dynamics of the Law
  - 24.4.2. Legal Dynamics and the Applicability of Logic to the Law
  - 24.4.3. Judicial Powers and Logic in the Law

## **Chapter 25 - Normative Texts and Sources of Law**

- 25.1. Proclamations and Their Interpretation
  - 25.1.1. The Efficacy of Proclamations
  - 25.1.2. The Double Effect of Proclamations
  - 25.1.3. Proclaimed Sentences
  - 25.1.4. The Bindingness of Proclaimed Sentences
  - 25.1.5. The Interpretation of Proclamations
  - 25.1.6. Problems in Legal Interpretation
  - 25.1.7. Criteria for Interpretation
- 25.2. Sources of Law
  - 25.2.1. Custom
  - 25.2.2. Precedent
  - 25.2.3. Legal Doctrine as a Source of the Law
  - 25.2.4. The General Idea of a Source of Law
- 25.3. A Logic for Legal Pluralism
  - 25.3.1. The Need for a Pluralist Legal Logic
  - 25.3.2. An Example in Pluralist Normative Reasoning
  - 25.3.3. An Analysis of Pluralist Normative Reasoning

## **Chapter 26 - Argumentation Frameworks**

- 26.1. From Inference Steps to Arguments
  - 26.1.1. The Notion of an Argument
  - 26.1.2. Some Notational Devices
  - 26.1.3. The Lebach Example
  - 26.1.4. Arguments and Premise Sets
  - 26.1.5. Subarguments
- 26.2. Meta-Level Arguments
  - 26.2.1. A Meta-Level Argument
  - 26.2.2. Synthetic and Analytic Arguments
  - 26.2.3. Another Meta-Level Argument
- 26.3. Collisions between Arguments
  - 26.3.1. The Idea of a Collision between Arguments
  - 26.3.2. Rebutting Collisions
  - 26.3.3. Undercutting Collisions
  - 26.3.4. Locating Undercutting Attacks
- 26.4. Argument Defeat
  - 26.4.1. The Notion of Argument Defeat
  - 26.4.2. Decisive Subreasons and the Evaluation of Colliding Reasons
  - 26.4.3. The Preference Relation over Reasons
  - 26.4.4. The Reinstatement of Defeated Arguments

## **Chapter 27 - Argument Logic**

- 27.1. The Status of Arguments
  - 27.1.1. Requirements of an Argument Logic
  - 27.1.2. Justified, Defensible, and Overruled Arguments
  - 27.1.3. Semantics and Proof Theory
- 27.2. The Semantics of Inferential Justifiability
  - 27.2.1. *Prima-facie* Defeat
  - 27.2.2. Acceptability of Arguments
  - 27.2.3. Admissibility of Sets of Arguments
  - 27.2.4. Justified Arguments
  - 27.2.5. A Formal Characterisation of Inferential Justifiability
- 27.3. A Proof-Theory for Inferential Justifiability
  - 27.3.1. Proof Trees
  - 27.3.2. The Proof of an Argument
  - 27.3.3. Applications of the Proof-Method
  - 27.3.4. Ross's Paradox of the Self-Amending Constitution
  - 27.3.5. A Solution to the Self-Amendment Paradox: Non Retroactivity
  - 27.3.6. A Second Solution to the Self-Amendment Paradox:  
An Interpretative Assumption
- 27.4. Extensions of the Basic Model
  - 27.4.1. Representing Non-Provability
  - 27.4.2. Non-Provability and Burden of Proof
  - 27.4.3. Combining Defeasible and Conclusive Inferences

## **Chapter 28 - Cases and Theory Construction**

- 28.1. Case-Based Reasoning as Theory Construction
  - 28.1.1 Cases and Theories

- 28.1.2. Levels of Explanation and Justification
- 28.2. The Construction of a Theory
  - 28.2.1. The Example
  - 28.2.2. The Background of Theory Construction
  - 28.2.3. The Components of a Theory
  - 28.2.4. Including Cases and Factors
  - 28.2.5. Constructing Rules
  - 28.2.6. Constructing Preferences

## **Chapter 29 - Theory-Based Dialectics**

- 29.1. The Basic Model
  - 29.1.1. Constructing Theories and Explaining Cases
  - 29.1.2. A Simple Theory
  - 29.1.3. The Introduction of a New Case
  - 29.1.4 Theory-Based Distinguishing
  - 29.1.5. Arbitrary and Value-Based Preferences
  - 29.1.6. Evaluating Theories
- 29.2. Argument Moves and Theory Construction
  - 29.2.1 Citing a Case
  - 29.2.2. Counterexamples and Distinctions
  - 29.2.3. Emphasising Strengths and Showing Weaknesses not Fatal
- 29.3. Dimensions in Theory Constructions
  - 29.3.1. Dimensions and the Representation of Cases
  - 29.3.2. Dimensions and Values
  - 29.3.3. The Extraction of Factors
  - 29.3.4. Additional Reasoning Moves
- 29.4. Stratified Legal Theories
  - 29.4.1. Hierarchies of Factors
  - 29.4.2. Downplaying a Distinction
  - 29.4.3. Distinguishing, Downplaying and Distinguishing Again
- 29.5. Further Extensions of Our Model
  - 29.5.1. Metrics for Theo Coherence
  - 29.5.2. Comparison of Values
  - 29.5.3. Changes in the Social Context
  - 29.5.4. Links to Case-History
  - 29.5.5. Other Ways of Analogising

Conclusion

Bibliography Index of Subjects

Index of Names

A Treatise of Legal Philosophy and General  
Jurisprudence

Volume 1: The Law and The Right, Volume 2:  
Foundations of Law, Volume 3: Legal Institutions and  
the Sources of Law, Volume 4: Scientia Juris, Legal  
Doctrine as Knowledge of Law and as a Source of Law,  
Volume 5: Legal Reasoning, A Cognitive Approach to  
the Law

Pattaro, E. - Editor-in-chief: Pattaro, E.

2005, XCVIII, 1958 p. In 5 volumes, not available  
separately., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-1-4020-3387-2