

Errata for  
“An Introduction to Operators on the  
Hardy–Hilbert Space”,  
by Rubén A. Martínez-Avendaño and Peter Rosenthal.  
Graduate Texts in Mathematics 237, Springer 2006.

Last update: May 17, 2007.

Thanks to Dvir Kleper.

- Page 46, line 5. Statement of Corollary 2.2.6.  
It reads:  $\mathcal{M}_E = \{f \in \mathbf{H}^2 : f(e^{i\theta}) = 0 \text{ a.e. on } E\}$ .  
It should read:  $\mathcal{M}_E = \{f \in \mathbf{L}^2 : f(e^{i\theta}) = 0 \text{ a.e. on } E\}$ .
- Page 46, line 8. In the proof of Corollary 2.2.6.  
It reads:  $\mathcal{M}_E = \{f \in \mathbf{H}^2 : f(e^{i\theta}) = 0 \text{ a.e. on } E\}$ .  
It should read:  $\mathcal{M}_E = \{f \in \mathbf{L}^2 : f(e^{i\theta}) = 0 \text{ a.e. on } E\}$ .
- Page 54, line -8. In the proof of Example 2.4.5.  
It reads:  $|\phi(0)| < \prod_{j=k}^n |z_k|$ .  
It should read:  $|\phi(0)| < \prod_{k=1}^n |z_k|$ .
- Page 72, line -9. In the proof of Theorem 2.6.7.  
It reads:  $\mu_1(E) \leq \mu_2(E)$ .  
It should read:  $\mu_1(E) \geq \mu_2(E)$
- Page 75, line -3. In the proof of Theorem 2.6.8.  
It reads:  $B_n \mathbf{H}^2$ .  
It should read:  $B_m \mathbf{H}^2$ .
- Page 77, line -1. In the proof of Corollary 2.6.11.  
It reads: all the inner parts of all the functions in  $\mathbf{H}^2$ .  
It should read: all the inner parts of all the functions in  $\phi \mathbf{H}^2$ .
- Page 81, line 6. In the proof of Lemma 2.7.1.  
It reads: such that  $\{g(r_n e^{i\theta})\} \rightarrow g(e^{i\theta})$  a.e.  
It should read: such that  $\{g(r_n e^{i\theta})\} \rightarrow \tilde{g}(e^{i\theta})$  a.e.

- Page 84, lines 8 through 15. The statement and proof of Corollary 2.7.5 have many  $\theta$ 's where there should be  $t$ 's. Also, the last  $F$  should be  $\tilde{F}$ . The correct statement and proof should be:

**Corollary 2.7.5.** *If  $f$  is in  $\mathbf{H}^2$ ,  $f$  is not identically 0, and  $F$  is defined by*

$$F(z) = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log |\tilde{f}(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right),$$

*then  $|\tilde{F}(e^{it})| = |\tilde{f}(e^{it})|$  a.e.*

*Proof.* Since  $F$  is in  $\mathbf{H}^2$ ,

$$|\tilde{F}(e^{it})| = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} |F(re^{it})| = \exp \left( \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t) \log |\tilde{f}(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right).$$

By the corollary to Fatou's theorem (Corollary 1.1.27),

$$\exp \left( \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P_r(\theta - t) \log |\tilde{f}(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right) = \exp \left( \log |\tilde{f}(e^{it})| \right) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Since  $\exp \left( \log |\tilde{f}(e^{it})| \right) = |\tilde{f}(e^{it})|$ , it follows that  $|\tilde{F}(e^{it})| = |\tilde{f}(e^{it})|$  a.e.  $\square$

- Page 88, line 3. In the statement of Theorem 2.7.7.

It reads:  $F(z) = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log |f(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right),$

It should read:  $F(z) = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log |\tilde{f}(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \right),$

- Page 109, line 14. In the proof of Theorem 3.3.1.

It reads: Then each  $h_n$  is in  $\mathbf{H}^2$ .

It should read: Then each  $h_n$  is in  $\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}^2$ .

- Page 114, line 11. In the proof of Theorem 3.3.15.

It reads: it contains  $\sigma(T_\phi)$  by Theorem 1.2.11.

It should read: it contains  $\sigma(T_\phi)$  by Corollary 3.3.7.

- Page 114, line -2. In the proof of Theorem 3.3.15.

It reads: implies that  $\bar{f}e_n$  is in  $\mathbf{L}^2 \ominus \mathbf{H}^2$

It should read: implies that  $\bar{f}e_n$  is in  $\mathbf{L}^2 \ominus \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}^2$

- Page 156, line 10. In the proof of Theorem 4.5.7.

It reads:  $= a \left( \chi_{E \cup E^*}(e^{i\theta}) - \chi_{E \cap E^*}(e^{i\theta}) \right) + 2b$

It should read:  $= a \left( \chi_{E \cup E^*}(e^{i\theta}) + \chi_{E \cap E^*}(e^{i\theta}) \right) + 2b$

- Page 156, line -3. In the proof of Theorem 4.5.7.  
It reads:  $= \chi_{E \cup E^*}(e^{i\theta}) - \chi_{E \cap E^*}(e^{i\theta}) = \chi_{E \cup E^*}(e^{i\theta})$ ,  
It should read:  $= \chi_{E \cup E^*}(e^{i\theta}) + \chi_{E \cap E^*}(e^{i\theta}) = \chi_{E \cup E^*}(e^{i\theta})$ ,
- Page 167, line -4. In the proof of Lemma 5.1.9.  
It reads:  $(f, C^*k_\lambda) = (f, k_{\phi(\lambda)})$   
It should read:  $(f, C_\phi^*k_\lambda) = (f, k_{\phi(\lambda)})$



<http://www.springer.com/978-0-387-35418-7>

An Introduction to Operators on the Hardy-Hilbert  
Space

Martinez-Avendano, R.A.; Rosenthal, P.

2007, XII, 220 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-0-387-35418-7