
Contents

Abstract	XIX
Introduction	1
1. Objectives and Clarifications	1
2. Methodology	4
3. Terminology	4
Merger	5
Acquisition	7
Takeover	7
Takeover Bid or Tender Offer	8
M&A	8
 Chapter I: Convergence in Corporate Governance and to the Model of the Market for Corporate Control	11
1. Corporate Governance and the Debate About Convergence	11
1.1. Convergence to the Shareholder-Oriented Model	13
1.2. Structural Impediments and Complementarity Versus Convergence	14
1.3. Types of Convergence	15
1.4. Convergence and Government Players	16
1.5. Convergence to the Most Efficient Set of Rules	18
1.6. Convergence at Substantial and Enforcement Levels	20
2. The Debate on Convergence in Japan	21
3. Persuasive Theories or Persuasive <i>Complementarity</i> ?	25
4. The Agency Problem and the Model of the Market for Corporate Control	30
5. Limitations and Relevance of Testing for Convergence to the Model of the Market for Corporate Control in Japan	35

Chapter II: Evolution of the M&A Environment 39**Section I: The Statistical Test**

1. Evolution of the M&A Market (1969–2000): Milhaupt and West Provide a Starting Point 40
2. An Examination of Cross-Border Deals (1986–2005): Evidence that Supports Milhaupt and West 41
3. Foreign Ownership Ratio 46
4. Tender Offers in Japan 47
5. M&A Transactions: The Whole Picture 49
6. Conclusion: The Statistics Reflect an Expanding M&A Market 52

Section II: The Cultural Test

1. Cultural–Social Barriers 53
2. Acceptable Deals in Old Japan 56
3. The *Merck–Banyu Case* 57
4. A Comparison 58
 - 4.1. The *Cable & Wireless–IDC Case* 60
 - 4.2. The *Japan Telecom Case* 62
 - 4.3. Acquisitions by Private Equity Investment Companies . . . 67
 - 4.3.1. The Carlyle Group 67
 - 4.3.2. Ripplewood Holdings LLC 68
 - 4.3.3. Other Relevant Cases 70
5. Surveys 71
6. Conclusion: A New Culture 74

Section III: The Structural Test

1. Cross- and Stable Shareholdings and *Keiretsu* 74
2. But Was There a Structural Barrier in the First Place? 77
3. Structural Barriers in the Entire Japanese Capital Market, Not Just the Cross-Shareholdings of the Six Big *Keiretsu* 79
4. Structural Barriers in Old Japan and Their Current Evolution 82
5. The Collapse of Japanese Structural Barriers and the New Shareholders 84
6. Conclusion: The Erosion of Structural Barriers 87

Section IV: The Economic–Political Test

1. Japanese Political and Economic Institutions Favor Cross-Border and Domestic M&As 88
2. Legislative Proposals and Reforms 90
 - 2.1. The FSA Proposal for an Amendment of TOB Rules and the Recent Amendment of the Corporate Law 91
 - 2.2. Equity Swaps and Cash-Out Mergers by Foreign Companies 92

3. Conclusion: Economic–Political Support for M&As	95
Conclusions	95
Chapter III: Hostile Takeovers	97
1. Introduction	97
2. Hostility and Frequency	97
3. The <i>Fujiya Case</i> (1982–1986) and the “Greenmails”	101
4. Quasi-Takeovers	102
4.1. The <i>Takuma Case</i> (1987)	103
4.2. The <i>First Miyairi Valve Case</i> (1988)	104
4.3. The <i>Second Miyairi Valve Case</i> (1989)	104
4.4. The Primary Purpose Rule	104
5. The <i>Shuwa Case</i> (1988–1989)	105
6. The <i>Minebea Case</i> (1985)	106
7. The <i>Koito Case</i> (1989–1991)	107
8. The <i>Cable & Wireless-IDC</i> (1999) and <i>Boehringer</i> <i>Ingelheim-SS Pharmaceutical Cases</i> (2000–2001)	109
9. The <i>Shoei Case</i> (2000)	110
10. The <i>Yushiro Chemical</i> and <i>Sotoh Cases</i> (2003–2004)	111
11. The <i>UFJ Case</i> (2004)	114
12. The <i>Nippon Broadcasting System (Livedoor) Case</i> (2005)	118
12.1. The Preamble	119
12.2. The Problems with the Fuji TV Tender Offer and the NBS Poison Pill	120
12.3. Other Anti-Takeovers: White Knights, Crown Jewels, Dividends	122
12.4. Fuji TV: Livedoor’s New Target?	124
12.5. NBS Stock-Lending Strategy and the End of the Battle ..	124
13. The <i>Japan Engineering Consulting Case</i> (2005)	125
14. The <i>Tokyo Broadcasting System Case</i> (2005)	128
15. The <i>Origin Toshu Case</i> (2006)	131
16. Conclusions	132
Chapter IV: The Regulatory Framework	135
1. Introduction	135
2. The Role of Takeover Laws	137
Section I: Takeover Defensive Measures	
1. The Importance of Takeover Defense Regulations	140
2. Legislative Gap, Availability and Unavailability of Takeover Defenses	142
2.1. Shark Repellents	145
2.1.1. Classified/Staggered Board and Provisions Restricting Changes in the Board of Directors ..	145
2.1.2. Limitations on Stockholders Actions	148
2.1.3. Advance Notice Requirement	150

2.1.4.	Supermajority Vote, Fair Price, and Ancillary Provisions	152
2.1.5.	Anti-Greenmail Provision	154
2.2.	Employee and Executive Benefit Protection Arrangements	155
2.3.	Asset-Based Strategies	158
3.	The Share Buy-Back Legislation (1994–2003)	158
4.	The Poison Pill: Definition, Types, Functioning, and Legal Problems	163
4.1.	Definition	163
4.2.	Types and Functioning	164
4.2.1.	Flip-Over Pills	164
4.2.2.	Back-End or Put Pills	165
4.2.3.	Standard Flip-In Pills	167
4.2.4.	Voting Pills	168
4.2.5.	Dead Hand and No Hand Pills	169
4.3.	Advantages, Disadvantages, and Legal Problems Associated with Pills	171
4.3.1.	Advantages	171
4.3.2.	Disadvantages	173
4.3.3.	Legal Problems	175
5.	The Poison Pill in Japan Before the METI–MOJ Guidelines	179
5.1.	A Contradictory Debate	179
5.2.	But Which Type of “Poison Pill” are We Referring To? ..	181
5.3.	Problem No. 1: The Adoption of the Rights Plan and the Illusion of the Poison Pill	182
5.4.	Problem No. 2: Making Sure That the Rights Have Economic Value Before the Event Is Triggered	183
5.5.	Problem No. 3: Underlying Shares	184
5.6.	Problem No. 4: Discriminatory Treatment	185
5.7.	Conclusions	186
6.	Towards the New Law on Takeover Defense	187
6.1.	The Corporate Value Study Group	187
6.2.	Livedoor Case: The Decisions of the Tokyo District Court and the Tokyo High Court	190
6.3.	TSE Guideline: “Points of Consideration Regarding Takeover Defense Measures”	192
7.	The METI–MOJ Guidelines of 2005, Judicial Interpretation, and TSE Listing Rule of 2006	192
7.1.	The METI–MOJ Guidelines	193
7.2.	The First Judicial Interpretation: The Nireco Case	196
7.3.	TSE Listing Rule and New Corporate Law	199
8.	Takeover Defense Today	201
8.1.	Surveys and Data	201

8.2.	Cross-Shareholdings	203
8.3.	Dividends Policy	204
8.4.	Poison Pills	206
8.5.	Golden Shares	210
8.6.	Conclusions	212

Section II: Takeover-Related Laws

1.	TOB Rules	215
2.	Disclosure Rules for Financial Data and Large Shareholdings	218
3.	Equity Swaps and Cash-Out Mergers	222
4.	Tax System for M&As	222

Conclusions	223
--------------------------	-----

Chapter V: The Hybrid *Americanized* Version of the Model

of the Market for Corporate Control	225
--	-----

1.	Twelve Factors that Demonstrate the Development of a Market for Corporate Control (Verification of the Convergence Hypothesis	225
1.1.	Increased Number and Value of Cross-Border M&As	226
1.2.	Increased Number and Value of Tender Offers	226
1.3.	Increased Number and Value of M&As	227
1.4.	Floating Shares in the Market	227
1.5.	New and Dynamic Market Participants	228
1.6.	Increased “Dispersion” in Ownership Structure	230
1.7.	Economic–Political Support for M&As	230
1.8.	Increased Number of Hostile Takeovers	231
1.9.	Managerial Fear of Takeovers	231
1.10.	Adequate Regulation	231
1.11.	Restrictions on the Use of Takeover Defenses and No Mandatory Bid for All Shares	232
1.12.	Adequate Judicial Standards	233
2.	Selection of the American (Actually <i>Americanized</i>) Model	233
2.1.	The Contradictions Within the Anglo-American Model ..	234
2.2.	Reasons for Choosing the “American Model”	238
2.2.1.	The Academic and Institutional Debate on the “Transplantation”	238
2.2.2.	The Five Reasons	242
3.	Adopting the American Model Through Hybridism	250
3.1.	The Role of Japanese Institutions	252
3.2.	The Role of Outside Directors and Ad Hoc Committees	253
3.3.	Differences in Corporate Ownership Structure	259

Conclusions	263
1. Final Results	263
2. From Kester to the “Hybrid <i>Americanized</i> Version of the Model of the Market for Corporate Control” to a <i>Japanese</i> <i>Model of Market for Corporate Control</i>	266
Appendix A	
Guidelines Regarding Takeover Defense for the Purposes of Protection and Enhancement of Corporate Value and Shareholders’ Common Interests. May 27, 2005. Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry and Ministry of Justice	273
Appendix B	
Listing Rule Revision with regard to the Adoption of Takeover Defense Measures. January 24, 2006. Tokyo Stock Exchange, Inc.	295
Appendix C	
“Advance Warning Poison Pill,” Toshiba Corporation: “Introduction of Countermeasures to Large-Scale Acquisitions of the Company’s Shares (Takeover Defense Measures)” April 28, 2006.	303
Appendix D	
“Trust Type Poison Pill,” Seino Transportation Co., Ltd: “Issuance of Stock Acquisition Rights for a Trust-Type Rights Plan” May 17, 2005.	325
Appendix E	
“Friendly-Party Poison Pill,” Tokyo Broadcasting System Inc.: “Issue of Stock Acquisition Rights Through Third-Party Allotment and Countermeasures for Takeover Proposals” June 13, 2005.	353
Bibliography	357
The Nihon Keizai Shimbun	373



<http://www.springer.com/978-3-540-71587-0>

The Market for Corporate Control in Japan
M&As, Hostile Takeovers and Regulatory Framework
Colcera, E.
2007, XIX, 387 p., Hardcover
ISBN: 978-3-540-71587-0