

---

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Why Does Germany Need a Reform of Capital Income Taxation?</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	The German Tax System of Corporate Taxation	5
2.2	The German Tax Reform 2000	7
2.3	Theoretical and Empirical Arguments for Lower Capital Income Taxation	10
2.4	Germany's Declining Economy and the Increasing Tax Competition in the EU	11
2.5	The Non-Transparent and Complicated German Tax System	16
2.6	Decreasing Revenues From Corporate Taxes	19
2.7	Summary	21
<b>3</b>	<b>The Dual Income Tax</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1	Definition and Main Features of the Dual Income Tax	23
3.2	The Case for a Dual Income Tax	25
3.2.1	The DIT and the Basic Principles of Taxation: Efficiency and Equity	26
3.2.2	Administrative Simplicity	33
3.2.3	Revenue Aspects	34
3.3	The Experience of Nordic Countries	35
3.3.1	Denmark	39
3.3.2	Finland	39
3.3.3	Norway	40
3.3.4	Sweden	42
3.4	Problems Related to the DIT Implementation	45
3.4.1	Difficulties Arising from the Separation of Labor and Capital Income	45
3.4.2	Solutions Applied by the Nordic Countries	45
3.5	Concrete Proposals for Germany	47

3.5.1	Main Features of a DIT System as Proposed by the GCEA (2003) .....	47
3.5.2	The DIT Proposal of Sinn (2003a) .....	48
3.6	Summary .....	51
	Appendix - A Reform Proposal for Switzerland .....	53
<b>4</b>	<b>The Model</b> .....	<b>55</b>
4.1	Overview of Different CGE Models and Their Characteristics .	55
4.2	The Model .....	59
4.2.1	Business Sector .....	59
4.2.2	Stylized Analysis of Tax Policy and Effective Marginal Tax Rates .....	76
4.2.3	Households and General Equilibrium .....	78
4.2.4	General Macroeconomic Equilibrium .....	86
4.2.5	Welfare Analysis and the Marginal Excess Burden of Taxes .....	88
4.2.6	Rest of the World .....	91
<b>5</b>	<b>Simulation Results</b> .....	<b>93</b>
5.1	Model Calibration .....	93
5.1.1	Production Technology Parameters .....	94
5.1.2	Macroeconomic Data .....	96
5.2	The Marginal Excess Burden .....	97
5.3	Implementing a DIT as Suggested by the GCEA (2003) .....	98
5.3.1	Partial Analysis of the Effects of Each Individual Tax Rate Change .....	100
5.3.2	Steady State Comparisons of the Overall Reform Package .....	105
5.3.3	Transition Paths .....	112
5.4	Implementing a DIT as Suggested by Sinn (2003a) .....	119
5.4.1	Steady State Comparisons .....	120
5.4.2	Transition Paths .....	125
5.5	The Two Proposals Compared .....	129
5.6	Sensitivity Analysis .....	133
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b> .....	<b>137</b>
	<b>Appendix A</b> .....	<b>141</b>
	<b>Appendix B</b> .....	<b>143</b>
	<b>Appendix B.1 Hayashi's Proof</b> .....	<b>143</b>
	<b>Appendix B.2 Intertemporal Optimization of Domestic Households</b> .....	<b>145</b>

Appendix B.3 Walras Law .....	147
Appendix B.4 Savings Investment Identity.....	150
Appendix C – Functional Forms .....	153
Appendix C.1 Trend Growth .....	153
Appendix C.2 Factor Demands .....	155
Appendix C.3 Adjustment Cost Function .....	156
Appendix C.4 Agency Cost Function .....	157
Appendix D – Computational Strategy .....	159
References .....	161



<http://www.springer.com/978-3-540-73319-5>

CGE Models and Capital Income Tax Reforms

The Case of a Dual Income Tax for Germany

Radulescu, D.M.

2007, XVI, 168 p., Softcover

ISBN: 978-3-540-73319-5