

Contents

Part I Usability Engineering: Definitions, Methods, and Challenges for Integration

- 1 On Usability and Usability Engineering 3**
 - 1.1 Interactive Systems and User Interface 3
 - 1.2 Usability: A Quality Attribute of the Whole System, Not Just the User Interface 6
 - 1.3 Usability in Traditional Software Quality Models 8
 - 1.4 Other Specific Measurement Models 8
 - 1.5 Cost–benefits of Usability Engineering 10
 - 1.6 Involving the End-User is Central, but Not Enough 11

- 2 Usability Engineering Methods Plethora 15**
 - 2.1 Possible Theories for Usability Engineering 15
 - 2.2 Pure Usability Engineering Methods 16
 - 2.2.1 A Taxonomy of the Most Popular Usability Engineering (UE) Methods 17
 - 2.2.2 Expert-Based Evaluation 18
 - 2.2.3 Prototyping Techniques 20
 - 2.2.4 Usability Testing 20
 - 2.2.5 Subjective Assessment 21
 - 2.3 UE Methods and the Development Lifecycle 22
 - 2.4 Other Usability Engineering-Sensitive Methodologies 23
 - 2.4.1 Scenario-Based Design 24
 - 2.4.2 Contextual Design 24
 - 2.4.3 Star Lifecycle 25
 - 2.4.4 Usability Engineering Lifecycle 26
 - 2.4.5 Usage-Centered Design 27
 - 2.5 Extensions to Traditional Software Engineering Methods 27
 - 2.5.1 Adding Usage Scenarios to Object-Oriented Analysis and Design 28

- 2.5.2 Task Analysis Versus Object-Oriented and Use Cases Models 30
 - 2.5.3 UML Notation for User Interface Modeling 30
 - 2.5.4 Enhancing Use Cases for User Interface Prototyping 31
- 3 Pitfalls and Obstacles in the Integration and Adoption Path 35**
 - 3.1 The Fallacious Dichotomy Between User Interface and System Functionality 35
 - 3.2 The Cultural Gap Between Psychologists and Engineers 37
 - 3.3 User-Centeredness is an Organizational Learning Process 38
 - 3.4 The Usability of Usability Engineering Methods 39
 - 3.5 The Lack of Process Support Tools 41
 - 3.6 Collecting Best Practices in UE is Missing 42
 - 3.7 Educational Gap Between Software Professionals and Usability Professionals 42

Part II ACUE Fundamentals, Architecture and Components

- 4 Usability Engineering Integration as an Adoption Problem 47**
 - 4.1 Key Milestones in the Adoption Process 47
 - 4.2 On the Development of Adoption-Centric Usability Methods 49
 - 4.3 Difficulties of Building an Empirical Driven Adoption Method 51
 - 4.4 Adoption-Centric Usability Engineering – Key Principles 55
- 5 ACUE Architecture and Components 59**
 - 5.1 UE Method Kits 59
 - 5.2 Project Context Profile 61
 - 5.3 USEPacks: Knowledge About UE Methods 62
 - 5.3.1 USEPack Textual Description 64
 - 5.3.2 USEPack Reusable Artifacts 65
 - 5.3.3 USEPack Context Profile 65
 - 5.3.4 Acceptance Model 65
 - 5.3.5 Context Model vs. Context Profile 68
 - 5.4 Configuration of a Method Kit to a Specific Project 68
 - 5.5 USEPack Assessment 71
- 6 ACUE Formal Description 73**
 - 6.1 Foundations for ACUE Formalization 73
 - 6.1.1 Fuzzy Sets 74
 - 6.1.2 Multi-Criteria Decision-Making 75
 - 6.1.3 Modeling Context Profiles as Fuzzy Sets 76
 - 6.1.4 Context-Based USEPack Selection as a MCDM Problem 78
 - 6.2 USEPack Assessment Using the Acceptance Model 81

6.3 USEPack Context Profiles Adaptation 82

6.4 Alternative Approaches for Formalizing ACUE 84

Part III Operationalization and Validation

7 How Effective Is ACUE? An Empirical Case Study 89

7.1 Overview of the Study 89

7.1.1 Goals of the Study 90

7.1.2 Materials: The UE Method Kit 90

7.1.3 Subjects 91

7.1.4 Method 91

7.2 Results 92

7.3 Process Sketches 92

7.4 Matching of the Method Kit with Practiced Development Processes 96

7.5 Discussion 98

8 Putting it into Practice: The ProUse Tool 101

8.1 Constraints for Operationalizing the ACUE 101

8.2 Structure and Main Feature 102

8.3 ProUSE Portal 105

8.4 Method Kit Configuration 107

8.5 Method Guidance 109

8.6 Method Capturing and Maintenance 110

9 How Well do ACUE and ProUse Work? Overall Evaluation 113

9.1 Evaluation of ProUSE 113

9.1.1 Context of the Evaluation 113

9.1.2 Subjects 114

9.1.3 Method 114

9.1.4 Data Collection Techniques 115

9.1.5 Tasks 116

9.1.6 Procedure 117

9.2 Results of the Studies and Recommendations 117

9.2.1 Characteristics of the Subject Groups 117

9.2.2 Understandability of the Proposed ACUE 120

9.2.3 ACUE Perceived Usefulness 120

9.2.4 ACUE Perceived Ease-of-Use 122

9.3 Interviewee Statements on the Practiced Software Development Process 123

9.3.1 Overview 123

9.3.2 Knowledge About the Software Development Process 123

9.3.3 Process Models Used by Subjects 123

9.3.4 The Usability Engineering Process 125

9.3.5 Subjects’ Roles as Project Team Members 125

Part IV ACUE in Software Engineering: Current Stage and Perspectives

10 ACUE and the Existing Software Engineering Methodologies 129

10.1 USEPacks Versus Other Approaches for Reusing
 UE Knowledge 129

10.2 ProUSE Versus Other Tools for Reusing UE Knowledge 130

10.3 Overall Comparisons Between ACUE and Software Engineering
 Approaches 134

10.4 ACUE in Relation to Process Improvement Approaches 135

10.5 ACUE as an Approach to Improve Research Utilization 137

10.6 ACUE and Agile Process Concepts 138

11 Conclusion and Perspectives 141

11.1 Conclusion and Limitations 141

11.2 Some Avenues to Explore 142

11.3 A Forum for Cross-Domain Discussion is Needed 143

References 145

Index 153

Adoption-centric Usability Engineering
Systematic Deployment, Assessment and Improvement
of Usability Methods in Software Engineering

Seffah, A.; Metzker, E.

2009, XIV, 222 p. 39 illus., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-1-84800-018-6