

# Contents

## Part I

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Legal Positivism and Real Entities</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Introduction	5
2.2	The Expositor and the Censor	6
2.3	Facts Underlying Prescription	6
2.4	Law Treated as a Real Entity	7
2.4.1	Introduction	7
2.4.2	Bentham	7
2.4.3	Austin	8
2.4.4	Summary	9
2.5	Description of the Real Entity	9
2.6	Problems	10
2.7	Utilitarianism	11
2.8	Conclusion	13
<b>3</b>	<b>H.L.A. Hart and the Framework of Legal Thought</b>	<b>15</b>
3.1	Introduction	15
3.2	Hart's Description	16
3.2.1	Primary Rules	16
3.2.2	The Rule of Recognition	16
3.2.3	Secondary Rules and the Circular System of Recognition	17
3.2.4	The Framework of Legal Thought	17
3.2.5	Validity and Existence	18
3.2.6	Validity Distributed in a System Taken to Be Valid	18
3.2.7	Soft Legal Positivism	19
3.3	Critical Observations regarding Hart's Descriptive Project	19
3.3.1	Validity of What?	19
3.3.2	Accessibility of the Rule of Recognition	20

3.3.3	Preconditions for Description . . . . .	20
3.3.4	One of Many Versions . . . . .	21
3.3.5	Does Hart's Description Provide Certainty and Predictability? . . . . .	22
3.4	Justification and Obligation . . . . .	23
3.4.1	Introduction. . . . .	23
3.4.2	Hart and the Gunman in Earlier Legal Positivism . . . . .	23
3.4.3	The Gunman in Hart's Theory . . . . .	24
<b>4</b>	<b>Joseph Raz – the Social Thesis and the Sources Thesis . . . . .</b>	<b>27</b>
4.1	Description . . . . .	27
4.1.1	Introduction. . . . .	27
4.1.2	Versions of the Social Thesis . . . . .	27
4.1.3	The Conditions of the Social Thesis . . . . .	28
4.1.4	The Sources Thesis and its Support . . . . .	29
4.1.5	The Law and Legal Decisions. . . . .	30
4.1.6	Hard Positivism. . . . .	31
4.2	Objections . . . . .	31
<b>5</b>	<b>Ronald Dworkin and Restricted Legal Interpretation . . . . .</b>	<b>33</b>
5.1	Introduction. . . . .	33
5.2	Outline of Dworkin's Theory . . . . .	34
5.2.1	The Propositional Aspect . . . . .	34
5.2.2	Law as Integrity . . . . .	34
5.3	Principles and Theoretical Disagreement . . . . .	35
5.4	The Separation of Principle and Policy . . . . .	36
5.4.1	Perverse Policies . . . . .	36
5.4.2	Limited Discretion . . . . .	38
5.5	Dworkin's Treatment of His Adversaries . . . . .	38
5.5.1	Three Questions . . . . .	38
5.5.2	Dworkin's Argument Against Legal Pragmatism. . . . .	39
5.5.3	Kinds of Consensus. . . . .	40
5.6	Evaluation of Dworkin's Argumentation . . . . .	40
5.6.1	Between Convention and Pragmatism . . . . .	40
5.6.2	Consensus of Independent Conviction . . . . .	41
5.6.3	Dworkin's Modest Use of Objective. . . . .	42
5.6.4	Dworkin's Strange Picture of the Sceptic. . . . .	43
5.6.5	Justification of What? . . . . .	43
5.7	Conclusion . . . . .	44

**Part II**

<b>6 Common Approaches in Reasoning</b> . . . . .	49
<b>7 The Modernist Approach</b> . . . . .	51
7.1 Distinguishing Traits. . . . .	51
7.2 The Distinguishing Ideas and Classical Philosophy . . . . .	52
7.2.1 Introduction. . . . .	52
7.2.2 Metaphysics . . . . .	52
7.2.3 Descartes. . . . .	53
7.2.4 Truth in Sentences. . . . .	54
7.3 Ideals for Description . . . . .	55
7.4 Realism and Anti-Realism . . . . .	55
<b>8 Scepticism, Relativism, Perspectivism</b> . . . . .	57
8.1 A Postmodernist Alternative to the Modernist Approach? . . . . .	57
8.2 Historical Vestiges of the Approach . . . . .	57
8.2.1 Introduction. . . . .	57
8.2.2 Protagoras . . . . .	58
8.2.3 Socrates and Plato. . . . .	59
8.2.4 Nietzsche. . . . .	60
8.3 Meaning and Truth . . . . .	62
8.3.1 Recurrent Objections to Criticism of Truth-Objectivism. . . . .	62
8.3.2 Dennis Patterson's Objection to Subjectivism . . . . .	66
8.3.3 Coherence, the Sum of Conceptions and the Particularity of Linguistic Formulations . . . . .	67
8.3.4 Language and Truth . . . . .	68
8.3.5 Truth as Correspondence . . . . .	69
8.3.6 The Truth about Truth. . . . .	70
8.3.7 Simplicity of Description . . . . .	73
8.3.8 The Anti-Formalism of Stanley Fish . . . . .	74
8.3.9 Postmodernist Truth . . . . .	76
<b>9 Descriptive Theory of Law</b> . . . . .	79
9.1 The Descriptive Ambition. . . . .	79
9.2 The Object Described by Descriptive Theory of Law . . . . .	80
9.2.1 Adjudication . . . . .	80
9.2.2 Legal Dogmatism . . . . .	80
9.2.3 Form Instead of Content and the Idea of Law . . . . .	81
9.2.4 The Allergy Simile . . . . .	81
9.2.5 Summary. . . . .	82
9.3 Arguments for Description . . . . .	83
9.3.1 Introduction. . . . .	83
9.3.2 What Law Really is. . . . .	83

9.3.3	Description Concerning Language Use .....	83
9.3.4	The Purpose of Law and Description .....	84
9.4	Value Judgements .....	85
9.5	Conclusion .....	86
<b>10</b>	<b>Interpretation .....</b>	<b>87</b>
10.1	Introduction .....	87
10.2	Stanley Fish .....	88
10.2.1	Anti-Formalism in Law .....	88
10.2.2	Rules and Actions in a Circular Relation .....	88
10.2.3	Restrictions on the Discretion of the Judge .....	89
10.2.4	Problems Concerning Fish's View of Interpretive Communities .....	90
10.2.5	Fish's Criticism of Theory .....	91
<b>11</b>	<b>The Quest for the Description of the Law .....</b>	<b>93</b>
11.1	Consensus about Law .....	93
11.1.1	Legal Gauges and Limited Consensus .....	93
11.1.2	Adjudication and Justice .....	94
11.2	The Goal-Theory of Law .....	94
11.3	Is and Ought .....	95
11.4	Why Question the Quest? .....	96
<b>Appendix</b>		
<b>The Originality and Power of the Throwing Thrown. ....</b>		<b>101</b>
<b>Compilation of Law Cases. ....</b>		<b>106</b>
<b>Bibliography .....</b>		<b>107</b>
<b>Index .....</b>		<b>111</b>

The Quest for the Description of the Law

Edvinsson, R.

2009, X, 113 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-540-70501-7