

Contents

1	Voting Paradoxes and Their Probabilities	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	The Case of More than Two Candidates	3
1.3	Voting Paradoxes	5
1.3.1	Incompatibility Paradoxes	5
1.3.2	Monotonicity Paradoxes	9
1.3.3	Choice Set Variance Paradoxes	11
1.4	Empirical Evidence of the Existence of Voting Paradoxes	12
1.4.1	Empirical Evidence of Condorcet's Paradox	12
1.4.2	Empirical Evidence of Borda's Paradox	15
1.5	Probability Representations for Voting Paradoxes	16
1.5.1	Multinomial Probability Models for Voter Profiles	17
1.5.2	Multinomial Probability Models – Limiting Case for n	21
1.5.3	Probability Models for Voting Situations – Algebraic Approach	24
1.5.4	Probability Models for Voting Situations – EUPIA	32
1.5.5	Probability Models – Ehrhart Polynomials	35
1.5.6	Probability Models – Barvinok's Algorithm	38
1.6	Relevance of DC, IC, IAC and MC Based Probabilities	40
1.6.1	General Arguments	40
1.6.2	Results from the DC Assumption	42
1.6.3	Results from IC–IAC Comparisons	43
1.6.4	Homogeneity and Dependence Connections	45
1.7	Conclusion	47
2	Condorcet's Paradox and Group Coherence	49
2.1	Introduction	49
2.2	Population Specific Measures of Homogeneity	49
2.3	Situation Specific Measures of Homogeneity	50
2.3.1	Weak Measures of Group Coherence	54

2.3.2 Strong Measures of Group Coherence	56
2.4 Obtaining Probability Representations	57
2.4.1 EUPIA2	58
2.5 Cumulative Probabilities that a PMRW Exists	68
2.6 Proportions of Profiles with Specified Parameters	71
2.7 Results with Strong Measures of Group Coherence	73
2.8 Conclusion	78
3 Other Incompatibility Paradoxes	81
3.1 Introduction	81
3.2 Borda's Paradox	81
3.2.1 The Probability of Observing a Strict Borda Paradox	82
3.2.2 The Probability of Observing a Strong Borda Paradox	94
3.2.3 Overall Probabilities for Borda's Paradox	105
3.3 Condorcet's Other Paradox	114
3.3.1 A More Relaxed Condition	117
3.3.2 Another Condition	118
3.4 Conclusion	120
4 Other Voting Paradoxes	123
4.1 Choice Set Variance Paradoxes	123
4.1.1 Ostrogorski's Paradox	123
4.1.2 The Majority Paradox	141
4.2 Monotonicity Paradoxes	150
4.2.1 Monotonicity Paradox Probabilities	151
4.2.2 No Show Paradox Probabilities	152
4.3 The Instability Paradox	153
4.4 Conclusion	154
5 Condorcet Efficiency and Social Homogeneity	157
5.1 Introduction	157
5.2 The Desirability of Using Simple Voting Rules	159
5.3 Early Research on the Condorcet Efficiency of Voting Rules	161
5.3.1 Early Numerical Analysis of Condorcet Efficiency	161
5.3.2 Probability Representations for Condorcet Efficiency	169
5.3.3 Summary of Condorcet Efficiency Results	188
5.4 The Impact of Social Homogeneity on Efficiency	190
5.4.1 Population Specific Measures of Homogeneity	191
5.4.2 Situation Specific Measures of Homogeneity	192
5.4.3 Strong Condorcet Efficiency of Voting Rules	194
5.4.4 Spatial Modeling Results	197
5.4.5 Summary of Social Homogeneity Results	198

6	Coherence and the Efficiency Hypothesis	199
6.1	Introduction	199
6.2	Numerical Evidence	199
6.3	Condorcet Efficiency with Single Peaked Preferences	201
6.4	Efficiency with Weak Measures of Group Coherence	203
6.4.1	Condorcet Efficiency of PR with Weak Measures	203
6.4.2	Condorcet Efficiency of NPR with Weak Measures	209
6.4.3	Condorcet Efficiency of BR with Weak Measures	212
6.4.4	Single-Stage Voting Rules with Weak Measures	213
6.4.5	Single-Stage Voting Rules: A Borda Compromise	217
6.4.6	Two-Stage Rule Efficiencies with Weak Measures	217
6.5	Efficiency with Strong Measures of Group Coherence	226
6.5.1	Single-Stage Rule Representations with Strong Measures	229
6.5.2	Single-Stage Rule Efficiencies with Strong Measures	235
6.5.3	Two-Stage Rule Representations with Strong Measures	239
6.5.4	Two-Stage Rule Efficiencies with Strong Measures	243
6.6	Conclusion	246
7	Other Characteristics of Voting Rules	249
7.1	Introduction	249
7.2	Empirical Studies of Condorcet Efficiency	249
7.2.1	Single-Stage Voting Rules	249
7.2.2	Two-Stage Voting Rules	252
7.3	Practical Factors and Condorcet Efficiency	252
7.4	Voter Indifference and Condorcet Efficiency	259
7.4.1	The Forced Ranking Option	259
7.4.2	Modifying WSR's for Voter Indifference	266
7.5	Voter Abstention and Condorcet Efficiency	269
7.5.1	Two-Candidate Elections	269
7.5.2	Three-Candidate Elections	270
7.6	The Presence of a PMR Cycle and Condorcet Efficiency	276
7.6.1	Methods for Breaking PMR Cycles	276
7.6.2	The Efficiency of WSR's when PMR Cycles Exist	278
7.7	The Impact of Removing Candidates	282
7.8	Results from Saari's Analysis of WSR's	284
7.9	Characterizations of BR	287
7.10	Potential for Manipulation	289
7.10.1	Empirical Results on BR Manipulability	290
7.10.2	Analytical Studies of BR Manipulation	290
7.11	Conclusion	294
8	The Significance of Voting Rule Selection	295
8.1	Introduction	295
8.2	Same Winner with Two Voting Rules	295

8.2.1 Two Voting Rules Winner Coincidence	295
8.2.2 Two Voting Rules Winner Coincidence with the PMRW	296
8.3 The Probability That All WSR's Elect the Same Winner	299
8.4 Homogeneity and Voting Rule Selection Sensitivity	302
8.5 Measures of Coherence and Voting Rule Selection Sensitivity	303
8.5.1 Weak Measures and WSR Selection Sensitivity	307
8.5.2 Strong Measures and WSR Selection Sensitivity	310
8.6 Other Voting Rules	314
8.6.1 Approval Voting	314
8.6.2 Lottery Based Voting Rules	322
8.6.3 Median Voting Rule	326
8.7 Conclusion	328
9 Complete PMR Ranking Efficiencies	331
9.1 Introduction	331
9.2 Candidate Ranking Sensitivity to WSR Selection	331
9.2.1 Empirical Results	332
9.2.2 Probability Representations for the Same WSR Ranking	333
9.3 Condorcet Ranking Efficiency	333
9.3.1 Empirical Results	335
9.3.2 The Impact of Social Homogeneity	335
9.3.3 The Presence of a PMR Cycle	337
9.3.4 The Impact of Group Mutual Coherence	339
9.4 Condorcet Committees	357
9.4.1 Committee Election Paradoxes	357
9.4.2 Condorcet Committee Definitions	359
9.4.3 Condorcet Committee Efficiency	364
9.4.4 Condorcet Committee Efficiency Summary	366
References	367
Index	381

Voting Paradoxes and Group Coherence

The Condorcet Efficiency of Voting Rules

Gehrlein, W.V.; Lepelley, D.

2011, XII, 385 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-642-03106-9