

Table of Contents

Abbreviations and Citations	xix
§ 1 Introduction	1
Part I Historical Background	5
§ 2 Limitation of Liability and Wilful Misconduct	5
A. Unlimited Liability	6
I. General Principle	6
II. Exceptions	6
B. Limited Liability in Transport Law	7
I. Historical Development	7
1. Carriage by sea	7
a) First appearance	7
b) England	10
2. Carriage by land	11
II. Motives behind the Limitation of Liability	11
1. General	11
a) Protection of an industry	11
b) Joint adventure	14
c) High value cargo	15
d) Insurance	16
aa) Liability insurance	16
(1) Insurance premiums	16
(2) Freight rates	17
bb) Cargo insurance or personal insurance	19
e) Liability regime	20
aa) Balance of different interests	20
bb) Loss prevention	21
f) Unification of law	22
g) Litigation	23
2. Carriage by air	24
C. Wilful Misconduct and Breaking the Limits	25
§ 3 Roots of Wilful Misconduct	27
A. Criminal Liability under Admiralty Law	27

I.	Merchant Shipping Act, 1894 § 419.....	27
II.	Cases and “Wilful Fault”.....	28
1.	Cases.....	28
a)	<i>Bradshaw v. Ewart-James (The “N.F. Tiger”)</i>	28
b)	<i>Taylor v. O’Keefe (The “Nordic Clansman”)</i>	29
2.	Meaning of the term.....	29
B.	Private Law.....	30
I.	Carriage by Rail.....	30
1.	Cases.....	30
a)	<i>Glenister v. Great Western Railway Company</i>	30
b)	<i>Lewis v. GWR</i>	31
c)	<i>Haynes v. GWR</i>	32
d)	<i>Gordon v. GWR</i>	32
e)	<i>Forder v. GWR</i>	33
f)	<i>Bastable v. North British Railway Company</i>	33
2.	Meaning.....	34
II.	Marine Insurance Act, 1906 § 55 (2)(a).....	35
1.	Basic principles.....	35
a)	Provision.....	35
b)	Risk-related principles.....	36
2.	Case law and wilful misconduct.....	37
a)	Cases.....	37
aa)	Hull insurance.....	37
bb)	P&I insurance.....	40
b)	Meaning.....	41
3.	Wilful misconduct v. negligence.....	42
III.	Causation.....	43
1.	Carriage by rail.....	43
2.	Marine insurance.....	44
IV.	Burden and Standard of Proof.....	45
1.	Burden of proof.....	45
a)	Carriage by rail.....	45
b)	Marine insurance.....	46
2.	Standard of proof.....	47
Part II	Wilful Misconduct in Transport Law.....	49
§ 4	First Time in an International Transport Convention: Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules relating to International Carriage by Air, 1929.....	49
A.	Article 25.....	49
I.	Historical Background.....	49
1.	Degree of fault.....	51
2.	English version.....	52
3.	Outcome.....	53
4.	Result.....	53

5. Inaccurate translation	56
II. Article 25 in Practice	57
1. Approach by civil law	58
a) Carriage of passengers	59
b) Carriage of cargo	60
2. Approach by common law	62
a) Carriage of passengers	69
aa) Passengers	69
bb) Luggage	73
b) Carriage of cargo	74
3. Result	76
B. The Hague Protocol, 1955	78
I. Modification of Article 25	78
1. Reason for modification	78
2. New wording	79
a) Legislative history	79
b) Comments on the new wording	82
c) Components	84
aa) Intent to cause damage	84
bb) Act or omission done recklessly and with knowledge that damage would probably result	86
(1) Recklessness	86
(a) Degree of fault	86
(b) Aviation context	87
(2) Knowledge	90
(a) Knowledge as to the consequences	90
(b) Knowledge as to the probability	92
(c) Damage	94
cc) Result	96
II. Cases on Article 25 as amended by the Hague Protocol	97
1. Civil law	97
a) Carriage of passengers	98
aa) Passengers	98
bb) Luggage	99
b) Carriage of cargo	100
c) Assessment	104
2. Common law	104
a) Carriage of passengers	105
b) Carriage of cargo	106
c) Assessment	108
3. Result	108
C. The Present Regime	109
I. Liability and the Limitation of Liability	110
II. Loss of the Right to Limit	110
D. Servants and Agents	111

I.	Liability	111
1.	Vicarious liability	111
2.	Criterion	112
3.	Limitation of liability and breaking the limits	114
II.	Scope of Employment	116
1.	Term	116
2.	Theft	117
§ 5	Carriage by Sea	119
A.	Carriage of Goods and Passengers	119
I.	Carriage of Goods	119
1.	Hague Rules as amended by the Visby Protocol	119
a)	Hague Rules and limitation of liability	119
b)	Visby Protocol and breaking the limits	121
aa)	Historical background	121
bb)	Similarities and differences in the wording	122
(1)	The carrier	124
(2)	Damage	127
c)	Particulars	128
aa)	Geographical deviation	129
bb)	Carriage on deck	130
cc)	Unseaworthiness	131
d)	Actual fault or privity	134
e)	Inadequate organisational structure (<i>Organisationsverschulden</i>)	135
2.	Hamburg Rules	138
a)	Liability and limitation of liability	139
b)	Loss of the right to limit	140
aa)	Historical background	140
bb)	Differences in the wording	142
(1)	Carrier and actual carrier	142
(2)	Loss, damage or delay	143
(3)	Carriage on deck	144
3.	Rotterdam Rules	145
a)	General remarks on the liability regime	146
b)	Loss of the right to limit	147
II.	Carriage of Passengers – Athens Convention	150
1.	Liability and limitation	151
2.	Loss of the right to limit	151
a)	Link between Art. 3 and Art. 13	151
b)	Carrier and performing carrier	153
c)	Damage	155
B.	Pollution Conventions	155
I.	Strict Liability	156
II.	Right to Limit Liability	157
III.	Loss of the Right to Limit	158

1. CLC and HNS	158
2. Bunker Convention	159
C. Global Limitation	160
I. Main Features	160
II. Breaking the Limits	162
1. 1957 Brussels Convention.....	162
2. 1976 London Convention.....	165
a) Preliminary.....	165
b) Loss of the right to limit.....	166
aa) Historical background.....	166
bb) “Almost” unbreakable limits	167
(1) Personal fault	170
(2) Such loss.....	172
D. Persons.....	175
I. Owner	175
1. Registered owner.....	175
2. Disponent owner	175
II. Manager or Operator.....	176
III. Charterer	177
1. Voyage charterer.....	179
2. Time charterer	179
3. Slot charterer	179
IV. Carrier.....	180
1. Contractual carrier.....	180
2. Actual or performing carrier	181
a) Definitions.....	181
b) Bill of lading contract?	183
c) Identity of carrier and demise clauses	185
V. Salvor.....	187
VI. Servant and Agent.....	188
1. Vicarious liability.....	189
2. Personal liability and limitation	189
a) Hague Rules	189
b) Other conventions	191
3. Loss of the right to limit.....	193
a) Principle	193
b) Theft and scope of employment	196
c) Breaking the channelling.....	197
VII. Liability Insurer	197
E. Corporate Liability and Attribution	200
I. Attribution	202
1. Company’s bodies.....	202
2. The identification doctrine	205
a) The doctrine	205
b) The Meridian rule.....	209
c) Examples from carriage by sea.....	210

d) Result	213
II. ISM Code	215
1. The code.....	215
2. Impacts.....	216
a) Generally.....	216
b) On the attribution	216
§ 6 Conventions on Other Means of Transportation	220
A. Conventions.....	220
I. Carriage by Road	220
II. Carriage by Rail.....	222
III. Carriage by Inland Waterways	223
IV. Multimodal Transport.....	225
B. Loss of the Right to Limit	226
I. Carrier.....	226
1. Wilful misconduct or equivalent fault.....	226
a) Scope of application.....	226
aa) General	226
bb) Time limitation.....	229
b) Approach by civil law	230
aa) Interpretation	230
bb) Examples	233
c) Approach by common law	234
2. Wilful misconduct or gross negligence.....	236
3. Definition of wilful misconduct.....	236
a) COTIF 1999	236
b) CMNI.....	239
c) CLNI	240
d) Multimodal Transport Convention.....	240
II. Servant or Agent.....	241
1. Definition and vicarious liability	241
2. Right to limit.....	241
3. Loss of the right to limit.....	242
Part III Proof & Concept of Fault.....	245
§ 7 Causation and Proof.....	245
A. Causal Connection.....	245
I. Connection.....	245
II. Condition sine qua non.....	246
III. Proximate Cause.....	247
IV. Intervening and Concurrent Causes.....	248
B. Proof.....	251
I. Burden	251
1. Wording of the conventions.....	251
2. Proof of criteria.....	254

II.	Standard.....	255
1.	General rule.....	255
2.	Specific principles.....	260
a)	Procedural cooperation duty.....	260
b)	<i>Res ipsa loquitur</i>	265
III.	Result.....	266
§ 8	Degrees of Fault and Wilful Misconduct	269
A.	Wilful Misconduct under Common Law	269
I.	Intention.....	270
1.	Direct (purposive) intention	270
2.	Oblique intention.....	271
II.	Recklessness	272
1.	Subjective (advertent) recklessness.....	272
2.	Objective (inadvertent) recklessness	273
III.	Negligence	273
1.	Definition	273
2.	Degrees of negligence	274
3.	Negligence and wilful misconduct.....	275
B.	Wilful Misconduct under Civil Law	275
I.	Negligence (<i>culpa</i> , <i>Fahrlässigkeit</i> , <i>ihmal</i>)	276
1.	Slight negligence (<i>culpa levis</i> , <i>einfache Fahrlässigkeit</i> , <i>hafif ihmal</i>).....	277
2.	Gross negligence (<i>culpa lata</i> , <i>grobe Fahrlässigkeit</i> , <i>ağır ihmal</i>)	277
a)	Definition	277
b)	Gross negligence and wilful misconduct.....	278
c)	Advertent and inadvertent gross negligence.....	278
aa)	Inadvertent gross negligence	279
bb)	Advertent gross negligence	279
3.	<i>Leichtfertigkeit</i>	281
II.	Intentional Wrongdoing (<i>dolus</i> , <i>Vorsatz</i> , <i>kasıt</i>).....	282
1.	Direct intention (<i>dolus directus</i> , <i>Absicht/direkter</i> <i>Vorsatz</i> , <i>doğrudan kasıt</i>).....	283
2.	<i>Dolus eventualis</i> (<i>bedingter Vorsatz</i> , <i>dolaylı kasıt</i>)	285
a)	Definition	285
b)	Difference from other fault concepts.....	285
c)	Wilful misconduct – a degree of fault between <i>dolus eventualis</i> and advertent (gross) negligence?.....	286
d)	<i>Dolus eventualis</i> and wilful misconduct.....	287
aa)	Argument against.....	287
bb)	Argument in favour	288
cc)	Result.....	288
§ 9	Conclusion.....	291
	Bibliography.....	295



<http://www.springer.com/978-3-642-21508-7>

Wilful Misconduct in International Transport Law

Damar, D.

2011, XXII, 316 p., Softcover

ISBN: 978-3-642-21508-7