
Diagnostic and Prognostic Biomarkers in Melanoma: Current State of Play

2

Jochen Utikal, Jürgen C. Becker, and Selma Ugurel

Introduction

Melanoma is the most deadly skin cancer. The incidence and mortality rates of this tumor have been increasing over the last number of decades. Besides clinical and histopathological characteristics (i.e., anatomic site and subtype of the primary tumor, Breslow thickness, ulceration, vascular invasion, mitotic index), an increasing variety of molecular markers have been identified, providing the possibility of a more detailed diagnostic and prognostic categorization of melanoma. Recently published gene expression and proteomic profiling data indicate new candidate molecules involved in melanoma pathogenesis, which are currently being validated. This ongoing process of biomarker identification and validation is resulting in a rapidly changing molecular view of cutaneous melanoma, which holds the promise of improving our diagnostic and prognostic classification systems, as well as identifying therapeutic targets. In this chapter, we provide a comprehensive overview of the currently known serological and immunohistochemical biomarkers in melanoma.

J. Utikal, M.D.
Department of Dermatology, University Medical Center
Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany

J.C. Becker, M.D., Ph.D. (✉) • S. Ugurel, M.D.
Department of Dermatology, Medical University
of Graz, Graz, Austria
e-mail: juergen.becker@meduni-graz.at

Tumor Tissue-Based Biomarkers

Cutaneous melanoma develops in three sequential stages (i.e., radial growth phase, vertical growth phase and metastases). The prognosis in any stage is only partially explained by morphological and histopathological parameters, such as primary tumor localization, patient gender and age, mitotic rate, tumor thickness and ulceration. Moreover, while some parameters seem to reflect merely the tumor burden, others, such as ulceration, appear to be intrinsically related to tumor biology. Additional technologies that help to assign patients to specific risk groups include immunohistochemistry, gene expression profiling, comparative genomic hybridization, and gene mutational analysis.

For diagnostic purposes, a small panel of melanocytic lineage markers (i.e., S100, MART-1, and gp100/HMB45) is sufficient to discriminate melanoma from non-melanocytic skin cancer. However, no marker has proven useful in distinguishing spindle cell/desmoplastic melanoma from other tumors. Ki-67 remains the most useful adjunct in distinguishing benign from malignant melanocytic tumors [1].

For prognostic classification, the situation is more complex. The transformation from benign melanocytes to metastatic melanoma results from a combination of genetic alterations contributing to the hallmarks of cancer (i.e., uncontrolled proliferation, unlimited replicative potential,

apoptosis resistance, invasion, and angiogenesis). Several marker molecules involved in these genetic alterations have been identified, and their expression in primary melanoma has been studied and correlated with prognosis. Table 2.1 summarizes the most important tissue biomarkers known for melanoma, whose abnormal expression is associated with patient prognosis. It is likely that the most detailed prognostic classification for melanoma will not result from analysis of one biomarker, but rather from a panel of multiple biomarkers in this list.

In a recent retrospective study, primary melanomas (for which long-term clinical follow-up was available) were analyzed using a cDNA expression microarray [22]. The authors described a signature of 174 genes that identified patients at risk of developing distant metastasis. From these 174 genes, 141 were underexpressed and 33 overexpressed in tumors whose host remained free of metastasis for 4 years. Thirty of these 174 genes had already been studied in melanoma; these genes are involved in cell cycle regulation (CKS2, CDC2, CCNB1, CENPF, and DHFR), mitosis

Table 2.1 Immunohistochemical markers of melanoma associated with impaired prognosis

	Association with impaired prognosis	References
Melanocyte lineage/differentiation antigens		
gp100/HMB45	Increased expression	Niezabitowski et al. [2]
Tumor suppressors/oncogenes/signal transducers		
AP-2 (activator protein-2alpha) transcription factor	Loss of nuclear AP-2 expression	Berger et al. [3]
bcl-6	Expression	Alonso et al. [4]
c-Kit	Expression	Janku et al. [5]
c-met	Expression	Cruz et al. [6]
c-myc	Increased expression	Kraehn et al. [7]
CYLD	Decreased expression	Massoumi et al. [8]
EGFR (epidermal growth factor receptor)	Increased expression	Udart et al. [9]
ERK (extracellular signal-regulated kinase)	Absence of cytoplasmic ERK activation	Jovanovic et al. [10]
HER3	Increased expression	Reschke et al. [11]
HDM2 (human homologue of murine mdm2)	Increased expression	Polsky et al. [12]
ING3/ING4	Decreased nuclear expression	Wang et al. [13]
MITF (microphthalmia-associated transcription factor)	Gene amplification	Ugurel et al. [14]
P16 ^{INK4A}	Decreased expression	Mihic-Probst et al. [15] Alonso et al. [4]
p-Akt (activated serine-threonine protein kinase B)	Increased expression	Dai et al. [16]
pRb (retinoblastoma protein)	Inactivation due to protein phosphorylation	Roesch et al. [17]
PTEN	Decreased expression	Mikhail et al. [18]
SNF5	Loss of expression	Lin et al. [19]
Cell cycle-associated proteins		
Cyclin A, B, D, E	Increased expression	Florenes et al. [20] Florenes et al. [21]
Geminin	Increased expression	Winnepeninckx et al. [22]
Ki-67 (detected by MIB-1)	Increased expression	Gimotty et al. [23] Alonso et al. [4] Ostmeier et al. [24]
P21 ^{CIP1}	Decreased expression	Alonso et al. [4]
PCNA (proliferating cell nuclear antigen)	Increased expression	Winnepeninckx et al. [22]

(continued)

Table 2.1 (continued)

	Association with impaired prognosis	References
Regulators of apoptosis		
APAF-1 (Apoptotic protease activating factor-1)	Decreased expression	Fujimoto et al. [25]
Bak	Decreased expression	Fecker et al. [26]
Bax	Decreased expression	Fecker et al. [26]
bcl-2	Increased expression	Tas et al. [27]
Survivin	Increased expression	Tas et al. [27]
Molecules involved in angiogenesis		
LYVE-1 (lymphatic vascular endothelial hyaluronan receptor-1)	Increased expression	Dadras et al. [28]
PTN (pleiotrophin)	Increased expression	Wu et al. [29]
Molecules involved in cell adhesion and motility		
Beta-catenin	Loss of nuclear staining	Bachmann et al. [30]
CEACAM1 (carcinoembryonic-antigen-related cell-adhesion molecule 1)	Increased expression	Thies et al. [31]
Dysadherin	Increased expression	Nishizawa et al. [32]
E-cadherin	Decreased expression	Andersen et al. [33]
Integrins beta1 and beta3	Increased expression	Saalbach et al. [34]
MMPs (matrix metalloproteinases)	Increased expression	Redondo et al. [35]
Osteonectin [also termed BM40 or SPARC (secreted protein, acidic and rich in cysteine)]	Increased expression	Massi et al. [36]
P-cadherin	Strong cytoplasmic expression	Bachmann et al. [30]
Immunoregulators		
HLA allele frequency	Specific expression	Luongo et al. [37] Ostmeier et al. [24]
Others		
ALCAM/CD166 (Activated leukocyte cell adhesion molecule)	Increased expression	Swart et al. [38]
CXCR4 receptor	Increased expression	Scala et al. [39]
HSP90 (heat shock protein 90)	Increased expression	McCarthy et al. [40]
MCM4 and MCM6 (minichromosome maintenance complex component 4 and 6)	Increased expression	Winnepenninckx et al. [22]
Melastatin	Decreased expression	Duncan et al. [41]
Metallothionein	Increased expression	Weinlich et al. [42]
NCOA3 (nuclear receptor coactivator 3)	Increased expression	Kashani-Sabet et al. [43]
Nestin	Increased expression	Bakos et al. [44] Piras et al. [45]
Nodal	Increased expression	Strizzi et al. [46]
Nuclear 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine	Increased expression	Murtas et al. [47]
Osteopontin (OPN, SPP1)	Increased expression	Rangel et al. [48] Kashani-Sabet et al. [43]
RGS1 (regulator of G-protein signaling 1)	Increased expression	Kashani-Sabet et al. [43]
Sox9 (sex determining region Y-box 9)	Increased expression	Bakos et al. [44]
TA (telomerase activity)	Increased expression	Carvalho et al. [49]

(HCAP-G and STK6), mitotic spindle checkpoint (BUB1), inhibition (BIRC5) or stimulation (GPR105) of apoptosis, DNA replication (TOP2A, RRM2, TYMS, PCNA, MCM4, and MCM6), stress response (GLRX2, DNAJA1, HSPA4, HSPA5, HSPD1, and TXNIP), ubiquitin cycle (SIP), actin and calmodulin binding (CNN3), intracellular signaling (STMN2), negative regulation of the Wnt signaling pathway (CTNNBIP1), inhibition of MITF expression (EMX2), regulation of proteolysis (TNA), testis cancer (CML66), and metastasis suppression (NME1). The authors speculated that the use of immunohistochemistry with antibodies directed against corresponding encoded proteins would facilitate improved prognostication of melanoma patients, and thereby allow for treatment stratification. In particular, determination of karyopherin- α 2, MCMs (minichromosome maintenance proteins), geminin and PCNA could be used to screen for melanoma patients with poor clinical outcome.

In another recent study, Gould Rothberg et al. used a multimarker prognostic assay to help triage patients at increased risk of recurrent melanoma [50]. Protein expression for 38 candidate biomarkers relevant to melanoma oncogenesis was evaluated, using an automated quantitative analysis (AQUA) method for immunofluorescence-based testing in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) specimens. A favorable prognosis was predicted by the expression of ATF2, p21(WAF1), p16(INK4A), beta-catenin and fibronectin. Primary tumors that met at least four of these five conditions were considered a low-risk group, and those that met three or fewer conditions formed a high-risk group for metastasis development.

Similarly, genetic abnormalities have recently been recognized to influence the prognosis of cancer patients. Indeed, a new classification system for melanoma that combines genetic aberrations with histomorphological characteristics has been proposed by Bastian et al. [51–53]. Of note, MITF gene copy number in tumor cells seems to be a useful prognostic marker in metastatic melanoma [14].

Moreover, it has recently been shown that an *in vitro* ATP-based chemosensitivity assay helps to differentiate between chemosensitive and chemoresistant melanoma patients, and can be used as a biomarker of chemotherapy response and survival outcome. A phase II study evaluating this assay in 53 patients with metastatic melanoma, followed by sensitivity-directed individualized chemotherapy, demonstrated that the chemosensitivity profile of an individual patient (reflected by the best individual chemosensitivity index [BICSI]) correlated with therapeutic outcome [54]. A surprisingly high proportion (~40%) of the investigated patient cohort were classified as chemosensitive, the remaining (~60%) classified as chemoresistant. Objective response was reported as 36.4% in chemosensitive patients compared to 16.1% in chemoresistant patients ($p=0.114$); progression arrest (CR+PR+SD) was 59.1% versus 22.6% ($p=0.01$). Chemosensitive patients showed an increased overall survival of 14.6 months compared to 7.4 months in their chemoresistant counterparts ($p=0.041$).

Serological Markers

Despite a large research effort, the prognosis of metastasized melanoma is still poor, and best results have been achieved in cases when the tumor is still amendable to surgical intervention. Thus, the search for reliable methods to detect early metastases and identify patients with high risk of disease progression who should undergo more vigorous follow-up is of major importance. Serological markers for tumor progression combine several advantages, such as the ease of obtaining serum samples and the availability of numerous methods to detect small molecules or proteins that correlate with tumor burden. Accordingly, several serological biomarkers have been established. In a number of European countries, the melanocyte lineage/differentiation antigens S100-beta and melanoma inhibitory activity (MIA) are routinely used for early detection of tumor relapse or metastasis during follow-up of melanoma patients (Table 2.2). Both

Table 2.2 Serologic markers of melanoma

	Serologic marker	References
Melanocyte lineage/ differentiation antigens	S100-beta	Guo et al. [55] Schultz et al. [56] Hauschild et al. [57] Krahn et al. [58] Garbe et al. [59]
	MIA (melanoma inhibitory activity)	Bogdahn et al. [60] Blesch et al. [61] Bosserhoff et al. [62] Stahlecker et al. [63] Garbe et al. [59]
	Tyrosinase	Agrup et al. [64]
	5-S-Cysteinyldopa	Wimmer et al. [65]
	L-dopa/L-tyrosine	Stoitchkov et al. [66]
Proangiogenic factors	VEGF (vascular endothelial growth factor)	Ugurel et al. [67]
	BFGF (basic fibroblast growth factor)	Ugurel et al. [67]
	IL-8 (interleukin-8)	Ugurel et al. [67]
Molecules involved in cell adhesion and motility	sICAM-1 (soluble intracellular adhesion molecule 1)	Hirai et al. [68] Vuoristo et al. [69]
	sVCAM (soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule 1)	Franzke et al. [70] Vuoristo et al. [69]
	Matrix metalloproteinases (MMP-1 and MMP-9)	Nikkola et al. [71]
	Tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases (TIMP-1 and TIMP-2)	Yoshino et al. [72]
Cytokines and cytokine receptors	IL-6 (interleukin-6)	Mouawad et al. [73]
	IL-10 (interleukin-10)	Dummer et al. [74]
	sIL-2R (soluble interleukin-2-receptor)	Nemunaitis et al. [75] Boyano et al. [76]
HLA molecules	sHLA-DR (soluble HLA-DR)	Rebmann et al. [77]
	sHLA-class-I (soluble HLA-class I)	Westhoff et al. [78]
Others	LDH (lactate dehydrogenase)	Sirott et al. [79]
	CRP (C-reactive protein)	Deichmann et al. [80]
	Albumin	Sirott et al. [79]
	TuM2-PK (tumor pyruvate kinase type M2)	Ugurel et al. [81]
	CD95 (Fas)	Ugurel et al. [82]
	YKL-40	Schmidt et al. [83] Schmidt et al. [84]
	CYT-MAA (cytoplasmic melanoma-associated antigen)	Vergilis et al. [85]
	HMW-MAA (high-molecular-weight melanoma-associated antigen)	Vergilis et al. [85]
	sULBP2 (soluble UL16 binding protein 2)	Paschen et al. [86]
	TA90IC (tumor-associated antigen 90 immune complex)	Faries et al. [87]
	Serum amyloid A	Findeisen et al. [88]
	anti-HERV-K antibodies	Hahn et al. [89]

proteins show high (but not exclusive) specificity for melanoma cells, and both correlate with the patient's tumor load.

The S100 protein is a 21-kd thermo-labile acidic dimeric protein which was originally isolated from the central nervous system. It consists of two subunits, alpha and beta in any pairing (i.e., alpha/alpha, alpha/beta, and beta/beta). It affects the assembly and disassembly of microtubules

and also interacts in a calcium-dependent manner with p53, the product of a tumor suppressor gene. The beta subunit is expressed by cells of the central nervous system as well as cells of melanocytic lineage. Initially, the presence of S100-beta in the cerebrospinal fluid was used as a marker of central nervous system damage [90]. More recently, it was observed that S100-beta was elevated in the serum of melanoma patients [55].

MIA was originally detected in melanoma cell culture supernatants [60], and has been shown to exert an important role in cell-matrix-interaction and metastasis [61].

Studies comparing both these serum markers have demonstrated that S100-beta is superior to MIA as an early indicator of tumor progression, relapse or metastasis [58, 91]; hence, S100-beta is more often used in this setting [92]. Both markers have been shown to be useful prognostic markers in melanoma patients with distant metastases [56, 57], but have failed to provide prognostic significance in early stages of melanoma, especially in patients who are tumor-free after surgical excision [63]. Moreover, S100-beta fails to identify patients with lymph node micrometastases detected by sentinel lymph node biopsy [93]. Nonetheless, the correlation of serum S100 concentration with tumor load makes it a useful marker for monitoring therapeutic response in patients with advanced metastatic melanoma [57].

The strongest prognostic serum biomarker in advanced metastatic melanoma is lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), a nonspecific marker that indicates high tumor load in a variety of human tumors, including melanoma. Studies comparing LDH, S100-beta and MIA, using multivariate data analysis, showed that LDH was the strongest independent prognostic factor in stage IV melanoma patients [91]. Due to its high prognostic significance, in addition to its easy and cost-efficient detection, serum LDH is the only molecular marker that has been incorporated into the current melanoma staging and classification system of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) [94]. In fact, it serves as a stratification parameter in most randomized clinical trials that test therapeutic interventions in advanced melanoma, and may also be used to monitor therapeutic response in these patients.

Other potential serum biomarkers have been reported to correlate with tumor load and disease progression in melanoma. These are related to different characteristic features of melanoma, such as melanocytic differentiation (i.e., tyrosinase), tumor angiogenesis (i.e., VEGF, bFGF, IL-8), cell adhesion and motility (i.e., ICAM-1, MMPs), cytokines and their receptors (i.e., IL-6,

IL-10), antigen presentation (i.e., HLA molecules), tumor cell metabolism (i.e., TuM2-PK), apoptosis (i.e., Fas/CD95), and many others (Table 2.2). However, none of these markers has been demonstrated to be superior to S100-beta or LDH in reflecting the prognosis of patients with advanced stage disease. Moreover, these markers have also failed to be of prognostic relevance in early-stage tumor-free patients.

An innovative approach to identify novel, potentially better serological biomarkers in melanoma patients is serum proteomic profiling. This methodology offers the possibility of screening the whole serum proteome for markers which correlate with different criteria, such as prognostic significance and prediction of therapeutic response. Using this technology, marker proteins from thematic fields, that are different to those mentioned above, might be found and subsequently validated for their clinical utility. Studies have shown that stage I and stage IV melanoma patients can be differentiated by their serum proteomic profiles [95]. Another recent proteomic profiling study reported the identification of serum amyloid A as a new prognostic serum biomarker in melanoma patients [88].

Perspective

Melanoma is a highly aggressive form of skin cancer which is difficult to treat once the tumor has metastasized beyond the locoregional area. Established biomarkers include the morphological and histopathological characteristics of the primary tumor. More recently, molecular biomarkers have been identified, facilitating more detailed diagnostic and prognostic categorization of melanoma patients and allowing for stratified or even personalized therapy.

References

1. Ohsie SJ, Sarantopoulos GP, Cochran AJ, Binder SW. Immunohistochemical characteristics of melanoma. *J Cutan Pathol.* 2008;35:433–44.
2. Niezabitowski A, Czajewski K, Rys J, Kruczek A, Gruchała A, Wasilewska A, Lackowska B, Sokołowski A,

- Skzarski W. Prognostic evaluation of cutaneous malignant melanoma: a clinicopathologic and immunohistochemical study. *J Surg Oncol.* 1999;70:150–60.
3. Berger AJ, Davis DW, Tellez C, Prieto VG, Gershenwald JE, Johnson MM, Rimm DL, Bar-Eli M. Automated quantitative analysis of activator protein-2alpha subcellular expression in melanoma tissue microarrays correlates with survival prediction. *Cancer Res.* 2005;65:11185–92.
4. Alonso SR, Ortiz P, Pollan M, Perez-Gomez B, Sanchez L, Acuna MJ, Pajares R, Martinez-Tello FJ, Hortelano CM, Piris MA, Rodriguez-Peralto JL. Progression in cutaneous malignant melanoma is associated with distinct expression profiles: a tissue microarray-based study. *Am J Pathol.* 2004;164:193–203.
5. Janku F, Novotny J, Julis I, Julisova I, Pecan L, Tomancova V, Kocmanova G, Krasna L, Krajsova I, Stork J, Petruzella L. KIT receptor is expressed in more than 50% of early-stage malignant melanoma: a retrospective study of 261 patients. *Melanoma Res.* 2005;15:251–6.
6. Cruz J, Reis-Filho JS, Silva P, Lopes JM. Expression of c-met tyrosine kinase receptor is biologically and prognostically relevant for primary cutaneous malignant melanomas. *Oncology.* 2003;65:72–82.
7. Kraehn GM, Utikal J, Udart M, Greulich KM, Bezold G, Kaskel P, Leiter U, Peter RU. Extra c-myc oncogene copies in high risk cutaneous malignant melanoma and melanoma metastases. *Br J Cancer.* 2001;84:72–9.
8. Massoumi R, Kuphal S, Hellerbrand C, Haas B, Wild P, Spruss T, Pfeifer A, Fässler R, Bosserhoff AK. Down-regulation of CYLD expression by snail promotes tumor progression in malignant melanoma. *J Exp Med.* 2009;206:221–32.
9. Udart M, Utikal J, Krahn GM, Peter RU. Chromosome 7 aneusomy. A marker for metastatic melanoma? Expression of the epidermal growth factor receptor gene and chromosome 7 aneusomy in nevi, primary malignant melanomas and metastases. *Neoplasia.* 2001;3:245–54.
10. Jovanovic B, Kröckel D, Linden D, Nilsson B, Egyhazi S, Hansson J. Lack of cytoplasmic ERK activation is an independent adverse prognostic factor in primary cutaneous melanoma. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2008;128:2696–704.
11. Reschke M, Mihic-Probst D, van der Horst EH, Knyazev P, Wild PJ, Hutterer M, Meyer S, Dummer R, Moch H, Ullrich A. HER3 is a determinant for poor prognosis in melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2008;14:5188–97.
12. Polsky D, Melzer K, Hazan C, Panageas KS, Busam K, Drobnjak M, Kamino H, Spira JG, Kopf AW, Houghton A, Cordon-Cardo C, Osman I. HDM2 protein overexpression and prognosis in primary malignant melanoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2002;94:1803–6.
13. Wang Y, Dai DL, Martinka M, Li G. Prognostic significance of nuclear ING3 expression in human cutaneous melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2007;13:4111–6.
14. Ugurel S, Houben R, Schrama D, Voigt H, Zapatka M, Schadendorf D, Bröcker EB, Becker JC. Microphthalmia-associated transcription factor gene amplification in metastatic melanoma is a prognostic marker for patient survival, but not a predictive marker for chemosensitivity and chemotherapy response. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2007;13:6344–50.
15. Mihic-Probst D, Mnich CD, Oberholzer PA, Seifert B, Sasse B, Moch H, Dummer R. p16 expression in primary malignant melanoma is associated with prognosis and lymph node status. *Int J Cancer.* 2006;118:2262–8.
16. Dai DL, Martinka M, Li G. Prognostic significance of activated Akt expression in melanoma: a clinicopathologic study of 292 cases. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23:1473–82.
17. Roesch A, Becker B, Meyer S, Hafner C, Wild PJ, Landthaler M, Vogt T. Overexpression and hyperphosphorylation of retinoblastoma protein in the progression of malignant melanoma. *Mod Pathol.* 2005;18:565–72.
18. Mikhail M, Velazquez E, Shapiro R, Berman R, Pavlick A, Sorhaindo L, Spira J, Mir C, Panageas KS, Polsky D, Osman I. PTEN expression in melanoma: relationship with patient survival, Bcl-2 expression, and proliferation. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2005;11:5153–7.
19. Lin H, Wong RP, Martinka M, Li G. Loss of SNF5 expression correlates with poor patient survival in melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009;15:6404–11.
20. Florenes VA, Faye RS, Maelandsmo GM, Nesland JM, Holm R. Levels of cyclin D1 and D3 in malignant melanoma: deregulated cyclin D3 expression is associated with poor clinical outcome in superficial melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2000;6:3614–20.
21. Florenes VA, Maelandsmo GM, Faye R, Nesland JM, Holm R. Cyclin A expression in superficial spreading malignant melanomas correlates with clinical outcome. *J Pathol.* 2001;195:530–6.
22. Winnepenninckx V, Lazar V, Michiels S, Dessen P, Stas M, Alonso SR, Avril MF, Ortiz Romero PL, Robert T, Balacescu O, Eggermont AM, Lenoir G, Sarasin A, Tursz J, van den Oord JJ, Spatz A, Melanoma Group of the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer. Gene expression profiling of primary cutaneous melanoma and clinical outcome. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2006;98:472–82.
23. Gimotty PA, Van Belle P, Elder DE, Murry T, Montone KT, Xu X, Hotz S, Raines S, Ming ME, Wahl P, Guerry D. Biologic and prognostic significance of dermal Ki67 expression, mitoses, and tumorigenicity in thin invasive cutaneous melanoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23:8048–56.
24. Ostmeier H, Fuchs B, Otto F, Mawick R, Lippold A, Krieg V, Suter L. Prognostic immunohistochemical markers of primary human melanomas. *Br J Dermatol.* 2001;145:203–9.
25. Fujimoto A, Takeuchi H, Taback B, Hsueh EC, Elashoff D, Morton DL, Hoon DS. Allelic imbalance of 12q22-23 associated with APAF-1 locus correlates with poor disease outcome in cutaneous melanoma. *Cancer Res.* 2004;64:2245–50.

26. Fecker LF, Geilen CC, Tchernev G, Trefzer U, Assaf C, Kurbanov BM, Schwarz C, Daniel PT, Eberle J. Loss of proapoptotic Bcl-2-related multidomain proteins in primary melanomas is associated with poor prognosis. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2006;126:1366–71.
27. Tas F, Duranyildiz D, Argon A, et al. Serum bcl-2 and survivin levels in melanoma. *Melanoma Res.* 2004;14:543–6.
28. Dadras SS, Paul T, Bertoncini J, et al. Tumor lymphangiogenesis: a novel prognostic indicator for cutaneous melanoma metastasis and survival. *Am J Pathol.* 2003;162:1951–60.
29. Wu H, Barusevicius A, Babb J, et al. Pleiotrophin expression correlates with melanocytic tumor progression and metastatic potential. *J Cutan Pathol.* 2005;32:125–30.
30. Bachmann IM, Straume O, Puntervoll HE, Kalvenes MB, Akslen LA. Importance of P-cadherin, beta-catenin, and Wnt5a/frizzled for progression of melanocytic tumors and prognosis in cutaneous melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2005;11:8606–14.
31. Thies A, Moll I, Berger J, et al. CEACAM1 expression in cutaneous malignant melanoma predicts the development of metastatic disease. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20:2530.
32. Nishizawa A, Nakanishi Y, Yoshimura K, et al. Clinicopathologic significance of dysadherin expression in cutaneous malignant melanoma: immunohistochemical analysis of 115 patients. *Cancer.* 2005;103:1693–700.
33. Andersen K, Nesland JM, Holm R, Florenes VA, Fodstad O, Maelandsmo GM. Expression of S100A4 combined with reduced E-cadherin expression predicts patient outcome in malignant melanoma. *Mod Pathol.* 2004;17:990–7.
34. Saalbach A, Wetzel A, Haustein UF, Sticherling M, Simon JC, Anderegg U. Interaction of human Thy-1 (CD90) with the integrin $\alpha_v\beta_3$ (CD51/CD61): an important mechanism mediating melanoma cell adhesion to activated endothelium. *Oncogene.* 2005;24:4710–20.
35. Redondo P, Lloret P, Idoate M, Inoges S. Expression and serum levels of MMP-2 and MMP-9 during human melanoma progression. *Clin Exp Dermatol.* 2005;30:541–5.
36. Massi D, Franchi A, Borgognoni L, Realì UM, Santucci M. Osteonectin expression correlates with clinical outcome in thin cutaneous malignant melanomas. *Hum Pathol.* 1999;30:339–44.
37. Luongo V, Pirozzi G, Caraco C, Errico S, de Angelis F, Celentano E, Paino F, Chiofalo MG, Luongo M, Mozzillo N, Lombardi ML. HLA allele frequency and clinical outcome in Italian patients with cutaneous melanoma. *Tissue Antigens.* 2004;64:84–7.
38. Swart GW, Lunter PC, Kilsdonk JW, Kempen LC. Activated leukocyte cell adhesion molecule (ALCAM/CD166): signaling at the divide of melanoma cell clustering and cell migration? *Cancer Metastasis Rev.* 2005;24:223–36.
39. Scala S, Ottaiano A, Ascierto PA, et al. Expression of CXCR4 predicts poor prognosis in patients with malignant melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2005;11:1835–41.
40. McCarthy MM, Pick E, Kluger Y, Gould-Rothberg B, Lazova R, Camp RL, Rimm DL, Kluger HM. HSP90 as a marker of progression in melanoma. *Ann Oncol.* 2008;19:590–4.
41. Duncan LM, Deeds J, Hunter J, et al. Down-regulation of the novel gene melastatin correlates with potential for melanoma metastasis. *Cancer Res.* 1998;58:1515–20.
42. Weinlich G, Eisendle K, Hassler E, Baltaci M, Fritsch PO, Zelger B. Metallothionein - overexpression as a highly significant prognostic factor in melanoma: a prospective study on 1270 patients. *Br J Cancer.* 2006;94:835–41.
43. Kashani-Sabet M, Venna S, Nosrati M, Rangel J, Sucker A, Egberts F, Baehner FL, Simko J, Leong SP, Haqq C, Hauschild A, Schadendorf D, Miller 3rd JR, Sagebiel RW. A multimarker prognostic assay for primary cutaneous melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009;15:6987–92.
44. Bakos RM, Maier T, Besch R, Mestel DS, Ruzicka T, Sturm RA, Berking C. Nestin and SOX9 and SOX10 transcription factors are coexpressed in melanoma. *Exp Dermatol.* 2010;19:e89–94.
45. Piras F, Perra MT, Murtas D, Minerba L, Floris C, Maxia C, Demurtas P, Ugalde J, Ribatti D, Sirigu P. The stem cell marker nestin predicts poor prognosis in human melanoma. *Oncol Rep.* 2010;23:17–24.
46. Strizzi L, Postovit LM, Margaryan NV, Lipavsky A, Gadiot J, Blank C, Seftor RE, Seftor EA, Hendrix MJ. Nodal as a biomarker for melanoma progression and a new therapeutic target for clinical intervention. *Expert Rev Dermatol.* 2009;4:67–78.
47. Murtas D, Piras F, Minerba L, Ugalde J, Floris C, Maxia C, Demurtas P, Perra MT, Sirigu P. Nuclear 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine as survival biomarker in patients with cutaneous melanoma. *Oncol Rep.* 2010;23:329–35.
48. Rangel J, Nosrati M, Torabian S, Shaikh L, Leong SP, Haqq C, Miller 3rd JR, Sagebiel RW, Kashani-Sabet M. Osteopontin as a molecular prognostic marker for melanoma. *Cancer.* 2008;112:144–50.
49. Carvalho L, Lipay M, Belfort F, Santos I, Andrade J, Haddad A, Brunstein F, Ferreira L. Telomerase activity in prognostic histopathologic features of melanoma. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* 2006;59:961–8.
50. Gould Rothberg BE, Berger AJ, Molinaro AM, Subtil A, Krauthammer MO, Camp RL, Bradley WR, Ariyan S, Kluger HM, Rimm DL. Melanoma prognostic model using tissue microarrays and genetic algorithms. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27:5772–80.
51. Curtin JA, Fridlyand J, Kageshita T, Patel HN, Busam KJ, Kutzner H, Cho KH, Aiba S, Bröcker EB, LeBoit PE, Pinkel D, Bastian BC. Distinct sets of genetic alterations in melanoma. *N Engl J Med.* 2005;353:2135–47.

52. Curtin JA, Busam K, Pinkel D, Bastian BC. Somatic activation of KIT in distinct subtypes of melanoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006;24:4340–6.
53. Viros A, Fridlyand J, Bauer J, Lasithiotakis K, Garbe C, Pinkel D, Bastian BC. Improving melanoma classification by integrating genetic and morphologic features. *PLoS Med.* 2008;5:e120.
54. Ugurel S, Schadendorf D, Pfoehler C, Neuber K, Thoeleke A, Ulrich J, Hauschild A, Spieth K, Kaatz M, Rittgen W, Delorme S, Tilgen W, Reinhold U. In vitro drug sensitivity predicts response and survival after individualized sensitivity-directed chemotherapy in metastatic melanoma: a multicenter phase II trial of the Dermatologic Cooperative Oncology Group. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2006;12:5454–63.
55. Guo HB, Stoffel-Wagner B, Bierwirth T, Mezger J, Klingmüller D. Clinical significance of serum S100 in metastatic malignant melanoma. *Eur J Cancer.* 1995;31A:1898–902.
56. Schultz ES, Diepgen TL, Von Den Driesch P. Clinical and prognostic relevance of serum S-100 beta protein in malignant melanoma. *Br J Dermatol.* 1998;138:426–30.
57. Hauschild A, Engel G, Brenner W, Glaeser R, Moenig H, Henze E, Christophers E. Predictive value of serum S100B for monitoring patients with metastatic melanoma during chemotherapy and/or immunotherapy. *Br J Dermatol.* 1999;140:1065–71.
58. Krahn G, Kaskel P, Sander S, Waizenhofer PJ, Wortmann S, Leiter U, Peter RU. S100 beta is a more reliable tumor marker in peripheral blood for patients with newly occurred melanoma metastases compared with MIA, albumin and lactate-dehydrogenase. *Anticancer Res.* 2001;21:1311–6.
59. Garbe C, Leiter U, Ellwanger U, Blaheta HJ, Meier F, Rassner G, Schitteck B. Diagnostic value and prognostic significance of protein S-100beta, melanoma-inhibitory activity, and tyrosinase/MART-1 reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction in the follow-up of high-risk melanoma patients. *Cancer.* 2003;97:1737–45.
60. Bogdahn U, Apfel R, Hahn M, Gerlach M, Behl C, Hoppe J, Martin R. Autocrine tumor cell growth-inhibiting activities from human malignant melanoma. *Cancer Res.* 1989;49:5358–63.
61. Blesch A, Bosserhoff AK, Apfel R, Behl C, Hessdoerfer B, Schmitt A, Jachimczak P, Lottspeich F, Buettner R, Bogdahn U. Cloning of a novel malignant melanoma-derived growth-regulatory protein, MIA. *Cancer Res.* 1994;54:5695–701.
62. Bosserhoff AK, Kaufmann M, Kaluza B, et al. Melanoma-inhibitory activity, a novel serum marker for progression of malignant melanoma. *Cancer Res.* 1997;57:3149–53.
63. Stahlecker J, Gauger A, Bosserhoff A, Büttner R, Ring J, Hein R. MIA as a reliable tumor marker in the serum of patients with malignant melanoma. *Anticancer Res.* 2000;20:5041–4.
64. Agrup P, Carstam R, Wittbjer A, Rorsman H, Rosengren E. Tyrosinase activity in serum from patients with malignant melanoma. *Acta Derm Venereol.* 1989;69:120–4.
65. Wimmer I, Meyer JC, Seifert B, Dummer R, Flace A, Burg G. Prognostic value of serum 5-S-cysteinyl-dopa for monitoring human metastatic melanoma during immunochemotherapy. *Cancer Res.* 1997;57:5073–6.
66. Stoitchkov K, Letellier S, Garnier JP, et al. Evaluation of the serum L-dopa/L-tyrosine ratio as a melanoma marker. *Melanoma Res.* 2003;13:587–93.
67. Ugurel S, Rappl G, Tilgen W, Reinhold U. Increased serum concentration of angiogenic factors in malignant melanoma patients correlates with tumor progression and survival. *J Clin Oncol.* 2001;19:577–83.
68. Hirai S, Kageshita T, Kimura T, et al. Serum levels of sICAM-1 and 5-S-cysteinyl-dopa as markers of melanoma progression. *Melanoma Res.* 1997;7:58–62.
69. Vuoristo MS, Laine S, Huhtala H, et al. Serum adhesion molecules and interleukin-2 receptor as markers of tumour load and prognosis in advanced cutaneous melanoma. *Eur J Cancer.* 2001;37:1629–34.
70. Franzke A, Probst-Kepper M, Buer J, et al. Elevated pretreatment serum levels of soluble vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 and lactate dehydrogenase as predictors of survival in cutaneous metastatic malignant melanoma. *Br J Cancer.* 1998;78:40–5.
71. Nikkila J, Vihinen P, Vuoristo MS, Kellokumpu-Lehtinen P, Kahari VM, Pyrhonen S. High serum levels of matrix metalloproteinase-9 and matrix metalloproteinase-1 are associated with rapid progression in patients with metastatic melanoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2005;11:5158–66.
72. Yoshino Y, Kageshita T, Nakajima M, Funakubo M, Ihn H. Clinical relevance of serum levels of matrix metalloproteinase-2, and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-1 and -2 in patients with malignant melanoma. *J Dermatol.* 2008;35:206–14.
73. Mouawad R, Benhammouda A, Rixe O, et al. Endogenous interleukin 6 levels in patients with metastatic malignant melanoma: correlation with tumor burden. *Clin Cancer Res.* 1996;2:1405–9.
74. Dummer W, Becker JC, Schwaaf A, Leverkus M, Moll T, Brocker EB. Elevated serum levels of interleukin-10 in patients with metastatic malignant melanoma. *Melanoma Res.* 1995;5:67–8.
75. Nemunaitis J, Fong T, Shabe P, Martineau D, Ando D. Comparison of serum interleukin-10 (IL-10) levels between normal volunteers and patients with advanced melanoma. *Cancer Invest.* 2001;19:239–47.
76. Boyano MD, Garcia-Vazquez MD, Lopez-Michelena T, et al. Soluble interleukin-2 receptor, intercellular adhesion molecule-1 and interleukin-10 serum levels in patients with melanoma. *Br J Cancer.* 2000;83:847–52.
77. Rebmann V, Ugurel S, Tilgen W, Reinhold U, Grosse-Wilde H. Soluble HLA-DR is a potent predictive indicator of disease progression in serum from early-stage melanoma patients. *Int J Cancer.* 2002;100:580–5.

78. Westhoff U, Fox C, Otto FJ. Soluble HLA class I antigens in plasma of patients with malignant melanoma. *Anticancer Res.* 1998;18:3789–92.
79. Sirott MN, Bajorin DF, Wong GY, Tao Y, Chapman PB, Templeton MA, Houghton AN. Prognostic factors in patients with metastatic malignant melanoma. A multivariate analysis. *Cancer.* 1993;72:3091–8.
80. Deichmann M, Kahle B, Moser K, Wacker J, Wust K. Diagnosing melanoma patients entering American Joint Committee on Cancer stage IV, C-reactive protein in serum is superior to lactate dehydrogenase. *Br J Cancer.* 2004;91:699–702.
81. Ugurel S, Bell N, Sucker A, Zimpfer A, Rittgen W, Schadendorf D. Tumor type M2 pyruvate kinase (TuM2-PK) as a novel plasma tumor marker in melanoma. *Int J Cancer.* 2005;117:825–30.
82. Ugurel S, Rapp G, Tilgen W, Reinhold U. Increased soluble CD95 (sFas/CD95) serum level correlates with poor prognosis in melanoma patients. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2001;7:1282–6.
83. Schmidt H, Johansen JS, Sjoegren P, Christensen II, Sorensen BS, Fode K, Larsen J, von der Maase H. Serum YKL-40 predicts relapse-free and overall survival in patients with American Joint Committee on Cancer stage I and II melanoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006;24:798–804.
84. Schmidt H, Johansen JS, Gehl J, Geertsen PF, Fode K, von der Maase H. Elevated serum level of YKL-40 is an independent prognostic factor for poor survival in patients with metastatic melanoma. *Cancer.* 2006;106:1130–9.
85. Vergilis IJ, Szarek M, Ferrone S, Reynolds SR. Presence and prognostic significance of melanoma-associated antigens CYT-MAA and HMW-MAA in serum of patients with melanoma. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2005;125:526–31.
86. Paschen A, Sucker A, Hill B, Moll I, Zapatka M, Nguyen XD, Sim GC, Gutmann I, Hassel J, Becker JC, Steinle A, Schadendorf D, Ugurel S. Differential clinical significance of individual NKG2D ligands in melanoma: soluble ULBP2 as an indicator of poor prognosis superior to S100B. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009;15:5208–15.
87. Faries MB, Gupta RK, Ye X, Lee C, Yee R, Leopoldo Z, Essner R, Foshag LJ, Elashoff D, Morton DL. A Comparison of 3 tumor markers (MIA, TA90IC, S100B) in stage III melanoma patients. *Cancer Invest.* 2007;25:285–93.
88. Findeisen P, Zapatka M, Peccerella T, Matzk H, Neumaier M, Schadendorf D, Ugurel S. Serum amyloid A as a prognostic marker in melanoma identified by proteomic profiling. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27:2199–208.
89. Hahn S, Ugurel S, Hanschmann KM, Strobel H, Tondera C, Schadendorf D, Löwer J, Löwer R. Serological response to human endogenous retrovirus K in melanoma patients correlates with survival probability. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses.* 2008;24:717–23.
90. Persson L, Hårdemark HG, Gustafsson J, Rundström G, Mendel-Hartvig I, Esscher T, Pählman S. S-100 protein and neuron-specific enolase in cerebrospinal fluid and serum: markers of cell damage in human central nervous system. *Stroke.* 1987;18:911–8.
91. Deichmann M, Benner A, Bock M, Jäckel A, Uhl K, Waldmann V, Näher H. S100-Beta, melanoma-inhibiting activity, and lactate dehydrogenase discriminate progressive from nonprogressive American Joint Committee on Cancer stage IV melanoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 1999;17:1891–6.
92. Jury CS, McAllister EJ, MacKie RM. Rising levels of serum S100 protein precede other evidence of disease progression in patients with malignant melanoma. *Br J Dermatol.* 2000;143:269–74.
93. Acland K, Evans AV, Abraha H, Healy CM, Roblin P, Calonje E, Orchard G, Higgins E, Sherwood R, Russell-Jones R. Serum S100 concentrations are not useful in predicting micrometastatic disease in cutaneous malignant melanoma. *Br J Dermatol.* 2002;146:832–5.
94. Balch CM, Gershenwald JE, Soong SJ, et al. Final version of 2009 AJCC melanoma staging and classification. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27:6199–206.
95. Mian S, Ugurel S, Parkinson E, Schlenzka I, Dryden I, Lancashire L, Ball G, Creaser C, Rees R, Schadendorf D. Serum proteomic fingerprinting discriminates between clinical stages and predicts disease progression in melanoma patients. *J Clin Oncol.* 2005;23:5088–93.



<http://www.springer.com/978-1-60761-432-6>

Diagnostic and Prognostic Biomarkers and Therapeutic
Targets in Melanoma

Murphy, M.J. (Ed.)

2012, XIV, 322 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-1-60761-432-6

A product of Humana Press