

# Infinite Norm Decompositions of $C^*$ -algebras

F.N. Arzikulov

**Abstract.** In the given article the notion of infinite norm decomposition of a  $C^*$ -algebra is investigated. The infinite norm decomposition is some generalization of Peirce decomposition. It is proved that the infinite norm decomposition of any  $C^*$ -algebra is a  $C^*$ -algebra.  $C^*$ -factors with an infinite and a nonzero finite projection and simple purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebras are constructed.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2000).** Primary 46L35, 17C65; Secondary 47L30.

**Keywords.**  $C^*$ -algebra, infinite norm decompositions.

## Introduction

In the given article the notion of infinite norm decomposition of a  $C^*$ -algebra is investigated. It is known that for any projection  $p$  of a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  the next equality is valid  $A = pAp \oplus pA(1-p) \oplus (1-p)Ap \oplus (1-p)A(1-p)$ , where  $\oplus$  is a direct sum of spaces. The infinite norm decomposition is some generalization of Peirce decomposition. First such infinite decompositions were introduced in [1] by the author.

In this article a unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with an infinite orthogonal set  $\{p_i\}$  of equivalent projections such that  $\sup_i p_i = 1$ , and the set  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j = \{\{a_{ij}\} : \text{for any indexes } i, j, a_{ij} \in p_i A p_j, \text{ and } \|\sum_{k=1, \dots, i-1} (a_{ki} + a_{ik}) + a_{ii}\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ at } i \rightarrow \infty\}$  are considered. Note that all infinite sets like  $\{p_i\}$  are supposed to be countable.

The main results of the given article are the next:

- For any  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with an infinite orthogonal set  $\{p_i\}$  of equivalent projections such that  $\sup_i p_i = 1$  the set  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra with the componentwise algebraic operations, the associative multiplication and the norm.
- There exist a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and different countable orthogonal sets  $\{e_i\}$  and  $\{f_i\}$  of equivalent projections in  $A$  such that  $\sup_i e_i = 1$ ,  $\sup_i f_i = 1$ ,  $\sum_{ij}^o e_i A e_j \neq \sum_{ij}^o f_i A f_j$ .

- If  $A$  is a  $W^*$ -factor of type  $II_\infty$ , then there exists a countable orthogonal set  $\{p_i\}$  of equivalent projections in  $A$  such that  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  is a  $C^*$ -factor with a nonzero finite and an infinite projection. In this case  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  is not a von Neumann algebra.
- If  $A$  is a  $W^*$ -factor of type  $III$ , then for any countable orthogonal set  $\{p_i\}$  of equivalent projections in  $A$ . The  $C^*$ -subalgebra  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  is simple and purely infinite. In this case  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  is not a von Neumann algebra.
- There exists a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with an orthogonal set  $\{p_i\}$  of equivalent projections such that  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  is not a two-sided ideal of  $A$ .

## 1. Infinite norm decompositions

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\{p_i\}$  be an infinite orthogonal set of projections with the least upper bound 1 in the algebra  $A$  and let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\{p_i a p_j\} : a \in A\}$ . Then,*

- 1) *the set  $\mathcal{A}$  is a vector space with the next componentwise algebraic operations*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda\{p_i a p_j\} &= \{p_i \lambda a p_j\}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \\ \{p_i a p_j\} + \{p_i b p_j\} &= \{p_i (a + b) p_j\}, a, b \in A, \end{aligned}$$

- 2) *the algebra  $A$  and the vector space  $\mathcal{A}$  can be identified in the sense of the next map*

$$\mathcal{I} : a \in A \rightarrow \{p_i a p_j\} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

*Proof.* Item 1) of the lemma can be easily proved.

Proof of item 2): We assert that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a one-to-one map. Indeed, it is clear, that for any  $a \in A$  there exists a unique set  $\{p_i a p_j\}$ , defined by the element  $a$ .

Suppose that there exist different elements  $a$  and  $b$  in  $A$  such that  $p_i a p_j = p_i b p_j$  for all  $i, j$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{I}(a) = \mathcal{I}(b)$ . Then  $p_i(a - b)p_j = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Observe that  $p_i((a - b)p_j(a - b)^*) = ((a - b)p_j(a - b)^*)p_i = 0$  and  $(a - b)p_j(a - b)^* \geq 0$  for all  $i, j$ . Therefore, the element  $(a - b)p_j(a - b)^*$  commutes with every projection in  $\{p_i\}$ .

We prove  $(a - b)p_j(a - b)^* = 0$ . Indeed, there exists a maximal commutative  $*$ -subalgebra  $A_o$  of the algebra  $A$ , containing the set  $\{p_i\}$  and the element  $(a - b)p_j(a - b)^*$ . Since  $(a - b)p_j(a - b)^*p_i = p_i(a - b)p_j(a - b)^* = 0$  for any  $i$ , then the condition  $(a - b)p_j(a - b)^* \neq 0$  contradicts the equality  $\sup_i p_i = 1$ .

Indeed, in this case  $p_i \leq 1 - 1/\|(a - b)p_j(a - b)^*\|(a - b)p_j(a - b)^*$  for any  $i$ . Since by  $(a - b)p_j(a - b)^* \neq 0$  we have  $1 > 1 - 1/\|(a - b)p_j(a - b)^*\|(a - b)p_j(a - b)^*$ , then we get a contradiction with  $\sup_i p_i = 1$ . Therefore  $(a - b)p_j(a - b)^* = 0$ .

Hence, since  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, than  $\|(a - b)p_j(a - b)^*\| = \|((a - b)p_j)((a - b)p_j)^*\| = \|((a - b)p_j)\| \|((a - b)p_j)^*\| = \|(a - b)p_j\|^2 = 0$  for any  $j$ . Therefore  $(a - b)p_j = 0$ ,  $p_j(a - b)^* = 0$  for any  $j$ . Analogously, we can get  $p_j(a - b) = 0$ ,  $(a - b)^*p_j = 0$  for any  $j$ . Hence the elements  $a - b$ ,  $(a - b)^*$  commute with every projection in  $\{p_i\}$ . Then there exists a maximal commutative  $*$ -subalgebra  $A_o$  of the algebra  $A$ , containing the set  $\{p_i\}$  and the element  $(a - b)(a - b)^*$ . Since

$p_i(a-b)(a-b)^* = (a-b)(a-b)^*p_i = 0$  for any  $i$ , then the condition  $(a-b)(a-b)^* \neq 0$  contradicts the equality  $\sup_i p_i = 1$ .

Therefore,  $(a-b)(a-b)^* = 0$ ,  $a-b = 0$ , i.e.,  $a = b$ . Thus the map  $\mathcal{I}$  is one-to-one.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\{p_i\}$  be an infinite orthogonal set of projections with the least upper bound 1 in the algebra  $A$  and  $a \in A$ . Then, if  $p_iap_j = 0$  for all  $i, j$ , then  $a = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in \{p_i\}$ . Observe that  $p_iap_ja^* = p_i(ap_ja^*) = ap_ja^*p_i = (ap_ja^*)p_i = 0$  for all  $i, j$  and  $ap_ja^* = ap_jp_ja^* = (ap_j)(p_ja^*) = (ap_j)(ap_j)^* \geq 0$ . Therefore, the element  $ap_ja^*$  commutes with all projections of the set  $\{p_i\}$ .

We prove  $ap_ja^* = 0$ . Indeed, there exists a maximal commutative  $*$ -subalgebra  $A_o$  of the algebra  $A$ , containing the set  $\{p_i\}$  and the element  $ap_ja^*$ . Since  $p_i(ap_ja^*) = (ap_ja^*)p_i = 0$  for any  $i$ , then the condition  $ap_ja^* \neq 0$  contradicts the equality  $\sup_i p_i = 1$  (see the proof of Lemma 1). Hence  $ap_ja^* = 0$ .

Hence, since  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, then

$$\|ap_ja^*\| = \|(ap_j)(ap_j)^*\| = \|(ap_j)\| \|(ap_j)^*\| = \|ap_j\|^2 = 0$$

for any  $j$ . Therefore  $ap_j = 0$ ,  $p_ja^* = 0$  for any  $j$ . Analogously we have  $p_ja = 0$ ,  $a^*p_j = 0$  for any  $j$ . Hence the elements  $a, a^*$  commute with all projections of the set  $\{p_i\}$ . Then there exists a maximal commutative  $*$ -subalgebra  $A_o$  of the algebra  $A$ , containing the set  $\{p_i\}$  and the element  $aa^*$ . Since  $p_iaa^* = aa^*p_j = 0$  for any  $i$ , then the condition  $aa^* \neq 0$  contradicts the equality  $\sup_i p_i = 1$  (see the proof of Lemma 1). Hence  $aa^* = 0$  and  $a = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra on a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $\{p_i\}$  be an infinite orthogonal set of projections in  $A$  with the least upper bound 1 in the algebra  $B(H)$  and  $a \in A$ . Then  $a \geq 0$  if and only if for any finite subset  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset \{p_i\}$  the inequality  $pap \geq 0$  holds, where  $p = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k$ .*

*Proof.* By positivity of the operator  $T : a \rightarrow bab, a \in A$  for any  $b \in A$ , if  $a \geq 0$ , then for any finite subset  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset \{p_i\}$  the inequality  $pap \geq 0$  holds.

Conversely, let  $a \in A$ . Suppose that for any finite subset  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset \{p_i\}$  the inequality  $pap \geq 0$  holds, where  $p = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k$ .

Let  $a = c + id$  for some nonzero self-adjoint elements  $c, d$  in  $A$ . Then  $(p_i + p_j)(c + id)(p_i + p_j) = (p_i + p_j)c(p_i + p_j) + i(p_i + p_j)d(p_i + p_j) \geq 0$  for all  $i, j$ . In this case the elements  $(p_i + p_j)c(p_i + p_j)$  and  $(p_i + p_j)d(p_i + p_j)$  are self-adjoint. Then  $(p_i + p_j)d(p_i + p_j) = 0$  and  $p_idp_j = 0$  for all  $i, j$ . Hence by Lemma 2 we have  $d = 0$ . Therefore  $a = c = c^* = a^*$ , i.e.,  $a \in A_{sa}$ . Hence,  $a$  is a nonzero self-adjoint element in  $A$ . Let  $b_n^\alpha = \sum_{kl=1}^n p_k^\alpha ap_l^\alpha$  for all natural numbers  $n$  and finite subsets  $\{p_k^\alpha\}_{k=1}^n \subset \{p_i\}$ . Then the set  $(b_n^\alpha)$  ultraweakly converges to the element  $a$ .

Indeed, we have  $A \subseteq B(H)$ . Let  $\{q_\xi\}$  be a maximal orthogonal set of minimal projections of the algebra  $B(H)$  such, that  $p_i = \sup_\eta q_\eta$  for some subset  $\{q_\eta\} \subset \{q_\xi\}$  for any  $i$ . For arbitrary projections  $q$  and  $p$  in  $\{q_\xi\}$  there exists a number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such, that  $qap = \lambda u$ , where  $u$  is an isometry in  $B(H)$ , satisfying the

conditions  $q = uu^*$ ,  $p = u^*u$ . Let  $q_{\xi\xi} = q_{\xi}$ ,  $q_{\xi\eta}$  be such element that  $q_{\xi} = q_{\xi\eta}q_{\xi\eta}^*$ ,  $q_{\eta} = q_{\xi\eta}^*q_{\xi\eta}$  for all different  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ . Then, let  $\{\lambda_{\xi\eta}\}$  be a set of numbers such that  $q_{\xi}aq_{\eta} = \lambda_{\xi\eta}q_{\xi\eta}$  for all  $\xi, \eta$ . In this case, since  $q_{\xi}aa^*q_{\xi} = q_{\xi}(\sum_{\eta} \lambda_{\xi\eta}\bar{\lambda}_{\xi\eta})q_{\xi} < \infty$  we have the quantity of nonzero numbers of the set  $\{\lambda_{\xi\eta}\}_{\eta}$  ( $\xi$ th string of the infinite-dimensional matrix  $\{\lambda_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi\eta}$ ) is not greater then the countable cardinal number and the sequence  $(\lambda_n^{\xi})$  of all these nonzero numbers converges to zero. Let  $v_{q_{\xi}}$  be a vector of the Hilbert space  $H$  which generates the minimal projection  $q_{\xi}$ . Then the set  $\{v_{q_{\xi}}\}$  forms a complete orthonormal system of the space  $H$ . Let  $v$  be an arbitrary vector of the space  $H$  and  $\mu_{\xi}$  be a coefficient of Fourier of the vector  $v$ , corresponding to  $v_{q_{\xi}}$  in relative to the complete orthonormal system  $\{v_{q_{\xi}}\}$ . Then, since  $\sum_{\xi} \mu_{\xi}\bar{\mu}_{\xi} < \infty$  we have the quantity of all nonzero elements of the set  $\{\mu_{\xi}\}_{\xi}$  is not greater then the countable cardinal number and the sequence  $(\mu_n)$  of all these nonzero numbers converges to zero.

Let  $\nu_{\xi}$  be the  $\xi$ th coefficient of Fourier (corresponding to  $v_{q_{\xi}}$ ) of the vector  $a(v) \in H$  in relative to the complete orthonormal system  $\{v_{q_{\xi}}\}$ . Then  $\nu_{\xi} = \sum_{\eta} \lambda_{\xi\eta}\mu_{\eta}$  and the scalar product  $\langle a(v), v \rangle$  is equal to the sum  $\sum_{\xi} \nu_{\xi}\mu_{\xi}$ . Since the element  $a(v)$  belongs to  $H$  we have quantity of all nonzero elements in the set  $\{\nu_{\xi}\}_{\xi}$  is not greater then the countable cardinal number and the sequence  $(\nu_n)$  of all these nonzero numbers converges to zero.

Let  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrary positive number. Then, since quantity of nonzero numbers of the sets  $\{\mu_{\xi}\}_{\xi}$  and  $\{\nu_{\xi}\}_{\xi}$  is not greater then the countable cardinal number and  $\sum_{\xi} \nu_{\xi}\bar{\nu}_{\xi} < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{\xi} \mu_{\xi}\bar{\mu}_{\xi} < \infty$  we have there exists  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^l \subset \{p_i\}$  such that for the set of indexes  $\Omega_1 = \{\xi : \exists p \in \{f_k\}_{k=1}^l, q_{\xi} \leq p\}$  the next equality holds

$$\left| \sum_{\xi} \nu_{\xi}\mu_{\xi} - \sum_{\xi \in \Omega_1} \nu_{\xi}\mu_{\xi} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Then, since quantity of nonzero numbers of the sets  $\{\mu_{\xi}\}_{\xi}$  and  $\{\lambda_{\xi\eta}\}_{\eta}$  is not greater then the countable cardinal number, and  $\sum_{\eta} \lambda_{\xi\eta}\bar{\lambda}_{\xi\eta} < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{\xi} \mu_{\xi}\bar{\mu}_{\xi} < \infty$  we have there exists  $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset \{p_i\}$  such that for the set of indexes  $\Omega_2 = \{\xi : \exists p \in \{e_k\}_{k=1}^m, q_{\xi} \leq p\}$  the next equality holds

$$\left| \sum_{\eta} \lambda_{\xi\eta}\mu_{\eta} - \sum_{\eta \in \Omega_2} \lambda_{\xi\eta}\mu_{\eta} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence for the finite set  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^n = \{f_k\}_{k=1}^l \cup \{e_k\}_{k=1}^m$  and the set  $\Omega = \{\xi : \exists p \in \{p_k\}_{k=1}^n, q_{\xi} \leq p\}$  of indexes we have

$$\left| \sum_{\xi} \nu_{\xi}\mu_{\xi} - \sum_{\xi \in \Omega} \left( \sum_{\eta \in \Omega} \lambda_{\xi\eta}\mu_{\eta} \right) \mu_{\xi} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

At the same time,  $\langle (\sum_{kl=1}^n p_k a p_l)(v), v \rangle = \sum_{\xi \in \Omega} (\sum_{\eta \in \Omega} \lambda_{\xi\eta}\mu_{\eta}) \mu_{\xi}$ . Therefore,

$$\left| \langle a(v), v \rangle - \left\langle \left( \sum_{kl=1}^n p_k a p_l \right)(v), v \right\rangle \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, since the vector  $v$  and the number  $\varepsilon$  are chosen arbitrarily we have the net  $(b_n^\alpha)$  ultraweakly converges to the element  $a$ .

Now there exists a maximal orthogonal set  $\{e_\xi\}$  of minimal projections of the algebra  $B(H)$  of all bounded linear operators on  $H$  such that the element  $a$  and the set  $\{e_\xi\}$  belong to some maximal commutative  $*$ -subalgebra  $A_o$  of the algebra  $B(H)$ . We have for any finite subset  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset \{p_i\}$  and  $e \in \{e_\xi\}$  the inequality  $e(\sum_{kl=1}^n p_k a p_l)e \geq 0$  holds by the positivity of the operator  $T : b \rightarrow ebe, b \in A$ .

By the previous part of the proof the net  $(e_\xi b_n^\alpha e_\xi)_{\alpha n}$  ultraweakly converges to the element  $e_\xi a e_\xi$  for any index  $\xi$ . Then we have  $e_\xi b_n^\alpha e_\xi \geq 0$  for all  $n$  and  $\alpha$ . Therefore, the ultraweak limit  $e_\xi a e_\xi$  of the net  $(e_\xi b_n^\alpha e_\xi)_{\alpha n}$  is a nonnegative element. Hence  $e_\xi a e_\xi \geq 0$ . Therefore, since  $e_\xi$  is chosen arbitrarily we have  $a \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra on a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $\{p_i\}$  be an infinite orthogonal set of projections in  $A$  with the least upper bound 1 in the algebra  $B(H)$  and  $a \in A$ . Then*

$$\|a\| = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{kl=1}^n p_k a p_l \right\| : n \in N, \{p_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \{p_i\} \right\}.$$

*Proof.* The inequality  $-\|a\|1 \leq a \leq \|a\|1$  holds. Then  $-\|a\|p \leq pap \leq \|a\|p$  for all natural numbers  $n$  and finite subsets  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset \{p_i\}$ , where  $p = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k$ . Therefore

$$\|a\| \geq \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{kl=1}^n p_k a p_l \right\| : n \in N, \{p_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \{p_i\} \right\}.$$

At the same time, since the finite subset  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^n$  of  $\{p_i\}$  is chosen arbitrarily and by Lemma 6 we have

$$\|a\| = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{kl=1}^n p_k a p_l \right\| : n \in N, \{p_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \{p_i\} \right\}.$$

Otherwise, if

$$\|a\| > \lambda = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{kl=1}^n p_k a p_l \right\| : n \in N, \{p_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \{p_i\} \right\}$$

then by Lemma 3  $-\lambda 1 \leq a \leq \lambda 1$ . But the last inequality is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra on a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $\{p_i\}$  be an infinite orthogonal set of projections in  $A$  with the least upper bound 1 in the algebra  $B(H)$ , and let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\{p_i a p_j\} : a \in A\}$ . Then,*

- 1) *the vector space  $\mathcal{A}$  is a unit-order space with respect to the order  $\{p_i a p_j\} \geq 0$  ( $\{p_i a p_j\} \geq 0$  if for any finite subset  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset \{p_i\}$  the inequality  $pap \geq 0$  holds, where  $p = \sum_{k=1}^n p_k$ ) and the norm*

$$\|\{p_i a p_j\}\| = \sup \left\{ \left\| \sum_{kl=1}^n p_k a p_l \right\| : n \in N, \{p_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \{p_i\} \right\}.$$

- 2) the algebra  $A$  and the unit-order space  $\mathcal{A}$  can be identified as unit-order spaces in the sense of the map

$$\mathcal{I} : a \in A \rightarrow \{p_i a p_j\} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

*Proof.* This lemma follows by Lemmas 1, 3 and 4.  $\square$

*Remark.* Observe that by Lemma 4 the order and the norm in the unit-order space  $\mathcal{A} = \{\{p_i a p_j\} : a \in A\}$  can be defined as follows to:  $\{p_i a p_j\} \geq 0$  if  $a \geq 0$ ;  $\|\{p_i a p_j\}\| = \|a\|$ . By Lemmas 3 and 4 they are equivalent to the order and the norm, defined in Lemma 5, correspondingly.

Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\{p_i\}$  be a countable orthogonal set of equivalent projections in  $A$  such that  $\sup_i p_i = 1$  and

$$\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j = \left\{ \{a_{ij}\} : \text{for any indexes } i, j, a_{ij} \in p_i A p_j, \text{ and} \right. \\ \left. \left\| \sum_{k=1, \dots, i-1} (a_{ki} + a_{ik}) + a_{ii} \right\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ at } i \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

If we introduce a componentwise algebraic operations in this set then  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  becomes a vector space. Also, note that  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  is a vector subspace of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Observe that  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  is a normed subspace of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\|\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} a_{ij}\| \rightarrow 0$  at  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for any  $\{a_{ij}\} \in \sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$ .

Let  $\sum_{ij}^o a_{ij} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}$  for any  $\{a_{ij}\} \in \sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  and

$$C^*(\{p_i A p_j\}_{ij}) := \left\{ \sum_{ij}^o a_{ij} : \{a_{ij}\} \in \sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j \right\}.$$

Then  $C^*(\{p_i A p_j\}_{ij}) \subseteq A$ . By Lemma 5  $A$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  can be identified. We observe that, the normed spaces  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  and  $C^*(\{p_i A p_j\}_{ij})$  can also be identified. Further, without loss of generality we will use these identifications.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\{p_i\}$  be a countable orthogonal set of equivalent projections in  $A$  and  $\sup_i p_i = 1$ . Then  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  with the componentwise algebraic operations, the associative multiplication and the norm.*

*Proof.* We have  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  is a normed subspace of the algebra  $A$ .

Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence of elements in  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  such that  $(a_n)$  norm converges to some element  $a \in A$ . We have  $p_i a_n p_j \rightarrow p_i a p_j$  at  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Hence  $p_i a p_j \in p_i A p_j$  for all  $i, j$ . Let  $b^n = \sum_{k=1}^n (p_{n-1} a p_k + p_k a p_{n-1}) + p_n a p_n$  and  $c_m^n = \sum_{k=1}^n (p_{n-1} a_m p_k + p_k a_m p_{n-1}) + p_n a_m p_n$  for any  $n$ . Then  $c_m^n \rightarrow b^n$  at  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . It should be proven that  $\|b_n\| \rightarrow 0$  at  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then there exists  $m_o$  such that  $\|a - a_m\| < \varepsilon$  for any  $m > m_o$ . Also for all  $n$  and  $\{p_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset \{p_i\}$   $\|(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k)(a - a_m)(\sum_{k=1}^n p_k)\| < \varepsilon$ . Hence  $\|b^n - c_m^n\| < 2\varepsilon$  for any  $m > m_o$ . At the same time,  $\|b^n - c_{m_1}^n\| < 2\varepsilon$ ,  $\|b^n - c_{m_2}^n\| < 2\varepsilon$

for all  $m_o < m_1, m_2$ . Since  $(a_n) \subset \sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  then for any  $m$   $\|c_m^n\| \rightarrow 0$  at  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, since  $\|c_{m_1}^n\| \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|c_{m_2}^n\| \rightarrow 0$  at  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have there exists  $n_o$  such that  $\|c_{m_1}^n\| < \varepsilon$ ,  $\|c_{m_2}^n\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\|c_{m_1}^n + c_{m_2}^n\| < 2\varepsilon$  for any  $n > n_o$ . Then  $\|2b_n\| = \|b^n - c_{m_1}^n + c_{m_1}^n + c_{m_2}^n + b^n - c_{m_2}^n\| \leq \|b^n - c_{m_1}^n\| + \|c_{m_1}^n + c_{m_2}^n\| + \|b^n - c_{m_2}^n\| < 2\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon + 2\varepsilon = 6\varepsilon$  for any  $n > n_o$ , i.e.,  $\|b_n\| < 3\varepsilon$  for any  $n > n_o$ . Since  $\varepsilon$  is chosen arbitrarily we have  $\|b_n\| \rightarrow 0$  at  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore  $a \in \sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$ . Since the sequence  $(a_n)$  is chosen arbitrarily we have  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  is a Banach space.

Let  $\{a_{ij}\}, \{b_{ij}\}$  be arbitrary elements of the Banach space  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$ . Let  $a_m = \sum_{kl=1}^m a_{kl}$ ,  $b_m = \sum_{kl=1}^m b_{kl}$  for all natural numbers  $m$ . We have the sequence  $(a_m)$  converges to  $\{a_{ij}\}$  and the sequence  $(b_m)$  converges to  $\{b_{ij}\}$  in  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$ . Also for all  $n$  and  $m$   $a_m b_n \in \sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$ . Then for any  $n$  the sequence  $(a_m b_n)$  converges to  $\{a_{ij}\} b_n$  at  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $\{a_{ij}\} b_n \in \sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$ . Note that  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j \subseteq A$ . Therefore for any  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$  there exists  $n_o$  such that  $\|\{a_{ij}\} b_{n+1} - \{a_{ij}\} b_n\| \leq \|\{a_{ij}\}\| \|b_{n+1} - b_n\| \leq \varepsilon$  for any  $n > n_o$ . Hence the sequence  $(\{a_{ij}\} b_n)$  converges to  $\{a_{ij}\} \{b_{ij}\}$  at  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  is a Banach space then  $\{a_{ij}\} \{b_{ij}\} \in \sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$ . Since  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j \subseteq A$  we have  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.  $\square$

Let  $H$  be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space,  $B(H)$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators. Let  $\{p_i\}$  be a countable orthogonal set of equivalent projections in  $B(H)$  and  $\sup_i p_i = 1$ . Let  $\{\{p_j^\xi\}_j\}_i$  be the set of infinite subsets of  $\{p_i\}$  such that for all distinct  $\xi$  and  $\eta$   $\{p_j^\xi\}_j \cap \{p_j^\eta\}_j = \emptyset$ ,  $|\{p_j^\xi\}_j| = |\{p_j^\eta\}_j|$  and  $\{p_i\} = \cup_i \{p_j^\xi\}_j$ . Then let  $q_i = \sup_j p_j^i$  in  $B(H)$  for all  $i$ . Then  $\sup_i q_i = 1$  and  $\{q_i\}$  be a countable orthogonal set of equivalent projections. Then we say that the countable orthogonal set  $\{q_i\}$  of equivalent projections is defined by the set  $\{p_i\}$  in  $B(H)$ . We have the next corollary.

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra on a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $\{p_i\}$  be a countable orthogonal set of equivalent projections in  $A$  and  $\sup_i p_i = 1$ . Let  $\{q_i\}$  be a countable orthogonal set of equivalent projections in  $B(H)$  defined by the set  $\{p_i\}$  in  $B(H)$ . Then  $\sum_{ij}^o q_i A q_j$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of the algebra  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{\{p_j^\xi\}_j\}_i$  be the set of infinite subsets of  $\{p_i\}$  such that for all distinct  $\xi$  and  $\eta$   $\{p_j^\xi\}_j \cap \{p_j^\eta\}_j = \emptyset$ ,  $|\{p_j^\xi\}_j| = |\{p_j^\eta\}_j|$  and  $\{p_i\} = \cup_i \{p_j^\xi\}_j$ . Then let  $q_i = \sup_j p_j^i$  in  $B(H)$  for all  $i$ . Then we have for all  $i$  and  $j$   $q_i A q_j = \{\{p_\xi^i a p_\eta^j\}_{\xi\eta} : a \in A\}$ . Hence  $q_i A q_j \subset A$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ .

The rest part of the proof is the repeating of the proof of Theorem 6.  $\square$

**Example.** 1. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the closure on the norm of the inductive limit  $\mathcal{M}_o$  of the inductive system

$$C \rightarrow M_2(C) \rightarrow M_3(C) \rightarrow M_4(C) \rightarrow \dots,$$

where  $M_n(C)$  is mapped into the upper left corner of  $M_{n+1}(C)$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra ([1]). The algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  contains the minimal projections of the form  $e_{ii}$ ,

where  $e_{ij}$  is an infinite-dimensional matrix, whose  $(i, i)$ th component is 1 and the rest components are zeros. These projections form the countable orthogonal set  $\{e_{ii}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of minimal projections. Let

$$M_n^o(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ \sum_{ij} \lambda_{ij} e_{ij} : \lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for any indexes } i, j \text{ and } \left\| \sum_{k=1, \dots, i-1} (\lambda_{ki} e_{ki} + \lambda_{ik} e_{ik}) + \lambda_{ii} e_{ii} \right\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ at } i \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

Then  $\mathbb{C} \cdot 1 + M_n^o(\mathbb{C}) = \mathcal{M}$  (see [2]) and by Theorem 6  $M_n^o(\mathbb{C})$  is a simple  $C^*$ -algebra. Note that there exists a mistake in the formulation of Theorem 3 in [2].  $\mathbb{C} \cdot 1 + M_n^o(\mathbb{C})$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. But the algebra  $\mathbb{C} \cdot 1 + M_n^o(\mathbb{C})$  is not simple. Because  $\mathbb{C} \cdot 1 + M_n^o(\mathbb{C}) \neq M_n^o(\mathbb{C})$  and  $M_n^o(\mathbb{C})$  is an ideal of the algebra  $\mathbb{C} \cdot 1 + M_n^o(\mathbb{C})$ , i.e.,  $[\mathbb{C} \cdot 1 + M_n^o(\mathbb{C})] \cdot M_n^o(\mathbb{C}) \subseteq M_n^o(\mathbb{C})$ .

2. There exist a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and different countable orthogonal sets  $\{e_i\}$  and  $\{f_i\}$  of equivalent projections in  $A$  such that  $\sup_i e_i = 1$ ,  $\sup_i f_i = 1$ ,  $\sum_{ij}^o e_i A e_j \neq \sum_{ij}^o f_i A f_j$ . Indeed, let  $H$  be an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space,  $B(H)$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators. Let  $\{p_i\}$  be a countable orthogonal set of equivalent projections in  $B(H)$  and  $\sup_i p_i = 1$ . Then  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i B(H) p_j \subset B(H)$ . Let  $\{\{p_j^i\}_j\}_i$  be the set of infinite subsets of  $\{p_i\}$  such that for all distinct  $\xi$  and  $\eta$   $\{p_j^\xi\}_j \cap \{p_j^\eta\}_j = \emptyset$ ,  $|\{p_j^\xi\}_j| = |\{p_j^\eta\}_j|$  and  $\{p_i\} = \cup_i \{p_j^i\}_j$ . Then let  $q_i = \sup_j p_j^i$  for all  $i$ . Then  $\sup_i q_i = 1$  and  $\{q_i\}$  be a countable orthogonal set of equivalent projections. We assert that  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i B(H) p_j \neq \sum_{ij}^o q_i B(H) q_j$ . Indeed, let  $\{x_{ij}\}$  be a set of matrix units constructed by the infinite set  $\{p_j^1\}_j \in \{\{p_j^i\}_j\}_i$ , i.e., for all  $i, j$ ,  $x_{ij} x_{ij}^* = p_j^1$ ,  $x_{ij}^* x_{ij} = p_j^1$ ,  $x_{ii} = p_i^1$ . Then the von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  generated by the set  $\{x_{ij}\}$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $B(\mathcal{H})$  for some Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . We note that  $\mathcal{N}$  is not a subset of  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i B(H) p_j$ . At the same time,  $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \sum_{ij}^o q_i B(H) q_j$  and  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i^1 \mathcal{N} p_j^1 \subseteq \sum_{ij}^o p_i B(H) p_j$ .

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $A$  be a unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra on a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $\{p_i\}$  be a countable orthogonal set of equivalent projections in  $A$  and  $\sup_i p_i = 1$ . Let  $\{q_i\}$  be a countable orthogonal set of equivalent projections in  $B(H)$  defined by the set  $\{p_i\}$  in  $B(H)$ . Then  $\sum_{ij}^o q_i A q_j$  is a simple  $C^*$ -algebra.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 6  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i A p_j$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $\{\{p_j^i\}_j\}_i$  be the set of infinite subsets of  $\{p_i\}$  such that for all distinct  $\xi$  and  $\eta$   $\{p_j^\xi\}_j \cap \{p_j^\eta\}_j = \emptyset$ ,  $|\{p_j^\xi\}_j| = |\{p_j^\eta\}_j|$  and  $\{p_i\} = \cup_i \{p_j^i\}_j$ . Then let  $q_i = \sup_j p_j^i$  in  $B(H)$ , for all  $i$ . Then we have  $q_i A q_j = \{\{p_\xi^i a p_\xi^j\} : a \in A\}$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Hence  $q_i A q_j \subset A$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . By Corollary 7  $\sum_{ij}^o q_i A q_j$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra.

Since projections of the set  $\{p_i\}$  are pairwise equivalent we have the projection  $q_i$  is equivalent to  $1 \in A$  for any  $i$ . Hence  $q_i A q_i \cong A$  and  $q_i A q_i$  is a simple  $C^*$ -algebra for any  $i$ .

Let  $q$  be an arbitrary projection in  $\{q_i\}$ . Then  $qAq$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\sum_{ij}^o q_i A q_j$ . Let  $I$  be a closed two-sided ideal of the algebra  $\sum_{ij}^o q_i A q_j$ . Then  $IqAq \subset I$  and  $Iq \cdot qAq \subset Iq$ . Therefore  $qIqqAq \subseteq qIq$ , that is  $qIq$  is a closed two-sided ideal of the subalgebra  $qAq$ . Since  $qAq$  is simple then  $qIq = qAq$ .

Let  $q_1, q_2$  be arbitrary projections in  $\{q_i\}$ . We assert that  $q_1 I q_2 = q_1 A q_2$  and  $q_2 I q_1 = q_2 A q_1$ . Indeed, we have the projection  $q_1 + q_2$  is equivalent to  $1 \in A$ . Let  $e = q_1 + q_2$ . Then  $eAe \cong A$  and  $eAe$  is a simple  $C^*$ -algebra. At the same time we have  $eAe$  is a subalgebra of  $\sum_{ij}^o q_i A q_j$  and  $I$  is a two-sided ideal of  $\sum_{ij}^o q_i A q_j$ . Hence  $IeAe \subset I$  and  $Ie \cdot eAe \subset Ie$ . Therefore  $eIeeAe \subseteq eIe$ , that is  $eIe$  is a closed two-sided ideal of the subalgebra  $eAe$ . Since  $eAe$  is simple then  $eIe = eAe$ . Hence  $q_1 I q_2 = q_1 A q_2$  and  $q_2 I q_1 = q_2 A q_1$ . Therefore  $q_i I q_j = q_i A q_j$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . We have  $I$  is norm closed. Hence  $I = \sum_{ij}^o q_i A q_j$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{ij}^o q_i A q_j$  is a simple  $C^*$ -algebra.  $\square$

## 2. Applications

*Definition.* A  $C^*$ -algebra is called a  $C^*$ -factor, if it does not have nonzero proper two-sided ideals  $I$  and  $J$  such that  $I \cdot J = \{0\}$ , where  $I \cdot J = \{ab : a \in I, b \in J\}$ .

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a  $W^*$ -factor of type  $II_\infty$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $\{p_i\}$  be a countable orthogonal set of equivalent projections in  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $\sup_i p_i = 1$ . Then for any countable orthogonal set  $\{q_i\}$  of equivalent projections in  $B(H)$  defined by the set  $\{p_i\}$  in  $B(H)$  the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\sum_{ij}^o q_i \mathcal{N} q_j$  is a  $C^*$ -factor with a nonzero finite and an infinite projection. In this case  $\sum_{ij}^o q_i \mathcal{N} q_j$  is not a von Neumann algebra.*

*Proof.* By the definition of the set  $\{q_i\}$  we have  $\sup_i q_i = 1$  and  $\{q_i\}$  be a countable orthogonal set of equivalent *infinite* projections. By Theorem 6 we have  $\sum_{ij}^o q_i \mathcal{N} p_j$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Let  $q$  be a nonzero finite projection of  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then there exists a projection  $p \in \{q_i\}$  such that  $qp \neq 0$ . We have  $q\mathcal{N}q$  is a finite von Neumann algebra. Let  $x = pq$ . Then  $x\mathcal{N}x^*$  is a weakly closed  $C^*$ -subalgebra. Note that the algebra  $x\mathcal{N}x^*$  has a center-valued faithful trace. Let  $e$  be a nonzero projection of the algebra  $x\mathcal{N}x^*$ . Then  $ep = e$  and  $e \in p\mathcal{N}p$ . Hence  $e \in \sum_{ij}^o q_i \mathcal{N} q_j$ . We have the weak closure of  $\sum_{ij}^o q_i \mathcal{N} q_j$  in the algebra  $\mathcal{N}$  coincides with this algebra  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then by the weak continuity of the multiplication  $\sum_{ij}^o q_i \mathcal{N} q_j$  is a  $C^*$ -factor. Note since  $1 \notin \sum_{ij}^o q_i \mathcal{N} q_j$  then  $\sum_{ij}^o q_i \mathcal{N} q_j$  is not weakly closed in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Hence the  $C^*$ -factor  $\sum_{ij}^o q_i \mathcal{N} q_j$  is not a von Neumann algebra.  $\square$

**Remark.** Note that, in the article [3] a simple  $C^*$ -algebra with an infinite and a nonzero finite projection have been constructed by M.Rørdam. In the next corollary we construct a simple purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebra. Note that simple purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebras are considered and investigated, in particular, in [4] and [5].

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a  $W^*$ -factor of type III on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then for any countable orthogonal set  $\{p_i\}$  of equivalent projections in  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $\sup_i p_i = 1$ ,  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i \mathcal{N} p_j$  is a simple purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebra. In this case  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i \mathcal{N} p_j$  is not a von Neumann algebra.*

*Proof.* Let  $p_{i_o}$  be a projection in  $\{p_i\}$ . We have the projection  $p_{i_o}$  can be exhibited as a least upper bound of a countable orthogonal set  $\{p_{i_o}^j\}_j$  of equivalent projections in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then for any  $i$  the projection  $p_i$  has a countable orthogonal set  $\{p_i^j\}_j$  of equivalent projections in  $\mathcal{N}$  such that the set  $\bigcup_i \{p_i^j\}_j$  is a countable orthogonal set of equivalent projections in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Hence the set  $\{p_i\}$  is defined by the set  $\bigcup_i \{p_i^j\}_j$  in  $B(H)$  (in  $\mathcal{N}$ ). Hence by Theorem 8  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i \mathcal{N} p_j$  is a simple  $C^*$ -algebra. Note, since  $1 \notin \sum_{ij}^o p_i \mathcal{N} p_j$  we have  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i \mathcal{N} p_j$  is not weakly closed in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Hence  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i \mathcal{N} p_j$  is not a von Neumann algebra.

Suppose there exists a nonzero finite projection  $q$  in  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i \mathcal{N} p_j$ . Then there exists a projection  $p \in \{p_i\}$  such that  $qp \neq 0$ . We have  $q(\sum_{ij}^o p_i \mathcal{N} p_j)q$  is a finite  $C^*$ -algebra. Let  $x = pq$ . Then  $x\mathcal{N}x^*$  is a  $C^*$ -subalgebra. Moreover  $x\mathcal{N}x^*$  is weakly closed and  $x\mathcal{N}x^* \subset p\mathcal{N}p$ . Hence  $x\mathcal{N}x^*$  has a center-valued faithful trace. Then  $x\mathcal{N}x^*$  is a finite von Neumann algebra with a center-valued faithful normal trace. Let  $e$  be a nonzero projection of the algebra  $x\mathcal{N}x^*$ . Then  $ep = e$  and  $e \in p\mathcal{N}p$ . Hence  $e \in \mathcal{N}$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Example.** Let  $H$  be a separable Hilbert space and  $B(H)$  the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $H$ . Let  $\{q_i\}$  be a maximal orthogonal set of equivalent minimal projections in  $B(H)$ . Then  $\sum_{ij} q_i B(H) q_j$  is a two-sided closed ideal of the algebra  $B(H)$ . Using the set  $\{q_i\}$  we construct a countable orthogonal set  $\{p_i\}$  of equivalent infinite projections such that  $\sup_i p_i = 1$ . Let  $\{\{q_j^i\}_j\}_i$  be the countable set of countable subsets of  $\{q_i\}$  such that for all distinct  $i_1$  and  $i_2$   $\{q_j^{i_1}\}_j \cap \{q_j^{i_2}\}_j = \emptyset$  and  $\{q_i\} = \bigcup_i \{q_j^i\}_j$ . Then let  $p_i = \sup_j q_j^i$  for all  $i$ . Then  $\sup_i p_i = 1$  and  $\{p_i\}$  is a countable orthogonal set of equivalent infinite projections in  $B(H)$  defined by  $\{q_i\}$  in  $B(H)$ .

Let  $\{q_{nm}^{ij}\}$  be the set of matrix units constructed by the set  $\{\{q_j^i\}_j\}_i$ , i.e.,  $q_{nm}^{ij} q_{nm}^{ij*} = q_n^i$ ,  $q_{nm}^{ij*} q_{nm}^{ij} = q_m^j$ ,  $q_{nn}^{ii} = q_n^i$  for all  $i, j, n, m$ . Then let  $a = \{a_{nm}^{ij} q_{nm}^{ij}\}$  be the decomposition of the element  $a \in B(H)$ , where the components  $a_{nm}^{ij}$  are defined as follows

$$a_{11}^{11} = \lambda, a_{12}^{21} = \lambda, a_{13}^{31} = \lambda, \dots, a_{1n}^{n1} = \lambda, \dots,$$

and the rest components  $a_{nm}^{ij}$  are zero, i.e.,  $a_{nm}^{ij} = 0$ . Then  $p_1 a = a$ . Then, since  $a \notin \sum_{ij}^o p_i B(H) p_j$  and  $p_1 \in \sum_{ij}^o p_i B(H) p_j$  we have  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i B(H) p_j$  is not a two-sided ideal of  $B(H)$ . But by theorem 6  $\sum_{ij}^o p_i B(H) p_j$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra. Hence there exists a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  with an orthogonal set  $\{p_i\}$  of equivalent projections such that  $\sum_{ij} p_i A p_j$  is not a two-sided ideal of  $A$ .

## References

- [1] O. Bratteli. *Inductive limits of finite dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 171, pp. 195–234, (1972).
- [2] F.N. Arzikulov. *Infinite order and norm decompositions of  $C^*$ -algebras*. Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, vol. 2, no. 5–8, pp. 255–262, (2008) ([www.m-hikari.com](http://www.m-hikari.com)).

- [3] M. Rørdam. *A simple  $C^*$ -algebra with a finite and an infinite projection*. Acta Math. 191, pp. 109–142 (2003).
- [4] N.C. Philips. *A Classification Theorem for Nuclear Purely Infinite Simple  $C^*$ -Algebras*. Documenta Math. No. 5, pp. 49–114, (2000).
- [5] M. Rørdam. *Classification of Nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras*. Springer, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 126, (2001).

F.N. Arzikulov

Institute of Mathematics and Information Technologies  
of the National Academy of Sciences of Uzbekistan

700143 Tashkent, Usbekistan

e-mail: [arzikulovfn@rambler.ru](mailto:arzikulovfn@rambler.ru)

Recent Progress in Operator Theory and Its  
Applications

Ball, J.A.; Curto, R.E.; Grudsky, S.M.; Helton, J.W.;

Quiroga-Barranco, R.; Vasilevski, N. (Eds.)

2012, X, 346 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-0348-0345-8

A product of Birkhäuser Basel