

Contents

Preface	xiii
Acknowledgments	xv
List of figures	xvii
List of tables	xxi
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Why Back-of-the-Envelope engineering?	1
1.1.1 Back-of-the-Envelope engineering; an important adaptation and survival skill for students and practicing engineers	2
1.1.2 Design of a high school science fair electro-mechanical robot	2
1.1.3 Design of a new commercial rocket launch vehicle for a senior engineering student's design project	3
1.1.4 Preliminary design of a new telescope system by an engineer transferred to a new optical project	3
1.1.5 Examining the principles and ideas behind Back-of-the-Envelope estimation.	4
1.2 What is a Back-of-the-Envelope engineering estimate?	4
1.2.1 Tradeoff between complexity and accuracy.	5
1.2.2 Back-of-the-Envelope reasoning	6
1.2.3 Fermi problems	7
1.2.4 An engineering Fermi problem	8
1.3 General guidelines for building a good engineering model	12
1.3.1 Step by step towards estimation.	13
1.3.2 Quick-Fire estimates	14

1.4	Quick-Fire estimate of cargo mass delivered to orbit by the Space Shuttle	15
1.4.1	Cargo mass problem definition	15
1.4.2	Level-0 estimate: the empirical “rule of thumb” model . .	16
1.4.3	Level-1 estimate: cargo mass using a single stage mathematical model based on the ideal rocket velocity equation	17
1.4.4	Level-2 estimate: cargo mass using a two stage vehicle model based on the ideal rocket velocity equation	21
1.4.5	Level-3 estimate: cargo mass delivered by a two stage vehicle; based on a revised estimate for second stage structural mass fraction	23
1.4.6	Impact of added knowledge and degree of model complexity	25
1.4.7	Moving from the Shuttle to the Hubble Space Telescope	27
1.5	Estimating the size of the optical system for the Hubble Space Telescope	28
1.5.1	System requirements for the HST	29
1.5.2	Shuttle constraint on HST size	29
1.5.3	Estimating the length of the HST optical package	30
1.6	Concluding remarks	35
1.7	Outline of this book	35
1.8	References	38
2	Design of a high school science-fair electro-mechanical robot	41
2.1	The Robot-Kicker Science Fair Project	41
2.2	Back-of-the-Envelope model and analysis for a solenoid kicking device	41
2.2.1	Defining basic dimensions and required soccer ball velocity	42
2.2.2	Setting up a BotE model for the solenoid kicking soccer ball problem	43
2.2.3	Model for solenoid kicker work and force	50
2.2.4	Final design requirements for linear-actuator solenoid and supporting electrical system	62
2.3	Appendix: Modeling of the temperature rise produced by ohmic heating from single or multiple solenoid-actuator kicks	63
2.3.1	Quick-Fire problem approach	63
2.3.2	Problem definition and sketch	69
2.3.3	The baseline mathematical model	69
2.3.4	Physical parameters and data	71
2.3.5	Numerical results	72
2.3.6	Interpretation of results	74
2.4	References	75

3	Estimating Shuttle launch, orbit, and payload magnitudes	77
3.1	Introduction	77
3.1.1	Early Space Shuttle goals and the design phase.	78
3.1.2	The Shuttle testing philosophy and the need for modeling	79
3.1.3	Back-of-the-Envelope analysis of Shuttle launch, orbit, and payload magnitudes.	80
3.2	Shuttle launch, orbit, and reentry basics.	80
3.2.1	The liftoff to orbit sequence	80
3.2.2	Reentry.	82
3.3	Inventory of the Shuttle's mass and thrust as input to the calculation of burnout velocity.	83
3.3.1	Burnout velocity	83
3.3.2	The velocity budget.	84
3.3.3	Mass inventory.	84
3.3.4	Thrust and specific impulse inventory	84
3.4	Mass fraction rules of thumb.	87
3.5	Quick-Fire modeling of the takeoff mass components and takeoff thrust using SMAD rules of thumb.	89
3.5.1	Quick-Fire problem approach	89
3.5.2	Problem definition and sketch	90
3.5.3	Mathematical/"Rule of Thumb" empirical models	90
3.5.4	Physical parameters and data	92
3.5.5	Numerical calculation of total takeoff mass, cargo bay mass, and total takeoff thrust	95
3.5.6	Interpretation of the Quick-Fire results	97
3.5.7	From Quick-Fire estimates to Shuttle solutions using more accurate inputs	98
3.6	Ideal velocity change Δv for each stage of an ideal rocket system	98
3.6.1	Propellant mass versus time	99
3.6.2	Time varying velocity change	100
3.6.3	Effective burnout time and average flow rate	100
3.6.4	Ideal altitude or height for each rocket stage	101
3.7	Δv_{ideal} estimate for Shuttle first stage, without gravity loss.	102
3.7.1	Estimate of SSME propellant mass burned during first stage	103
3.7.2	First stage mass ratio and average effective exhaust velocity.	104
3.7.3	Average specific impulse for the "parallel" (solid + liquid) first stage burn	104
3.7.4	Δv_{ideal} estimate for Shuttle first stage	106
3.7.5	Δv_{ideal} and altitude as functions of time, for the Shuttle first stage	106
3.8	The effect of gravity on velocity during first stage flight	108
3.8.1	Modeling the effects of gravity for a curved flight trajectory.	108

3.8.2	Time-varying pitch angle model	110
3.8.3	Effect of gravity on rocket velocity during first stage flight	111
3.8.4	Effect of gravity on rocket height during first stage flight	111
3.8.5	Comparing model velocity and altitude with Shuttle data	112
3.8.6	Gravity loss magnitudes for previously flown launch systems	113
3.8.7	Model velocity, with gravity loss, compared with flight data	114
3.8.8	Calculation of gravity-loss corrected velocity at first stage burnout	115
3.9	The effect of drag on Shuttle velocity at end of first stage flight	116
3.9.1	Modeling the effects of drag in the equation of motion .	116
3.9.2	Estimating first stage drag loss	117
3.9.3	Final drag and gravity-corrected velocity at first stage burnout; key elements of the overall “velocity budget” for the first stage	120
3.10	Calculation of second stage velocities and gravity losses	120
3.10.1	Pitch and gravity loss modeling for the second stage flight period.	121
3.10.2	Time-varying gravity loss solution, region 2a	122
3.10.3	Time-varying velocity solution, region 2b.	124
3.10.4	Combined velocity solution for regions 1, 2a, and 2b and $v(\text{MECO})$	125
3.11	Summary of predicted Δv budget for the Shuttle	126
3.12	Comparison of Back-of-the-Envelope modeled Shuttle velocity and altitude as a function of time to NASA’s numerical prediction for all stages	128
3.12.1	Comparison of model velocity with NASA’s numerical prediction	128
3.12.2	Comparison of model altitude with NASA’s numerical prediction	129
3.12.3	Modeled altitude sensitivity to pitch time scale	130
3.13	Estimating mission orbital velocity requirements for the Shuttle .	132
3.13.1	Part 1: circular orbital velocity	132
3.13.2	Part 2: elliptical orbits and the Hohmann transfer Δv ’s. .	135
3.13.3	Numerical values for transfer orbit Δv ’s	138
3.13.4	Time of flight for a Hohmann transfer	139
3.13.5	Direct insertion to a final orbital altitude (without using a parking orbit).	139
3.14	A Back-of-the-Envelope model to determine Shuttle payload as a function of orbit altitude	140
3.14.1	Analytic model for payload as a function of orbital altitude	141

3.14.2	Approximate linearized solution for payload	145
3.14.3	Reduction in useful cargo mass due to increases in OMS propellant mass	146
3.14.4	OMS models for correcting cargo or payload mass	148
3.14.5	Model for rate of change of “useful cargo” with altitude	150
3.14.6	Approximate analytic model for useful cargo	152
3.14.7	Modeling missions to the International Space Station. . .	154
3.15	Tabulated summary of Back-of-the-Envelope equations and nu- merical results.	155
3.16	References	166
4	Columbia Shuttle accident analysis with Back-of-the-Envelope methods .	169
4.1	The Columbia accident and Back-of-the-Envelope analysis. . . .	169
4.1.1	BotE modeling goals for the Columbia accident	171
4.1.2	Quick estimation vs accurate estimation	172
4.2	Quick-Fire modeling of the impact velocity of a piece of foam striking the Orbiter wing.	172
4.2.1	Interpretation of Quick-Fire results.	176
4.2.2	The bridge to more accurate BotE results	176
4.3	Modeling the impact velocity of a piece of foam debris relative to the Orbiter wing; estimations beyond the Quick-Fire time results	177
4.3.1	Looking at the collision from an earth-fixed or moving Shuttle coordinate system	178
4.3.2	The constant drag approximation.	181
4.3.3	Analytically solving for the impact velocity and mass, given the time to impact	182
4.3.4	Summary of results for constant acceleration model compared to data	189
4.3.5	The non-constant acceleration solution	189
4.3.6	An estimate of impact velocity and particle mass, taking the time to impact as given (the “inverse” problem) . . .	194
4.3.7	Comparing Osheroff’s “inverse” calculations to our “direct” estimate results	195
4.3.8	Concluding thoughts on the impact velocity estimate . . .	197
4.4	Modeling the impact pressure and load caused by impact of foam debris with an RCC wing panel	197
4.4.1	The impact load	197
4.4.2	Impact overview	198
4.4.3	Impact load mathematical modeling	199
4.4.4	Elastic model for the impact stress	200
4.4.5	Elastic-plastic impact of a one-dimensional rod against a rigid-wall.	203

4.4.6	The elastic–plastic model	204
4.4.7	Numerical results and plotted trends.	205
4.4.8	Impact area estimate	207
4.4.9	Load estimate	208
4.4.10	Impact loading time scale (BotE)	209
4.4.11	Loading time histories, numerical simulations.	210
4.5	Develop a Back-of-the-Envelope engineering stress equation for the maximum stress in the RCC panel face for a given panel load	211
4.5.1	BotE panel stress model.	211
4.5.2	Estimates for the allowable maximum stress or critical load parameters for failure	213
4.5.3	Final comments on the prediction of possible wing damage or failure	215
4.6	Summary of results for Sections 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4	216
4.7	References	220
5	Estimating the Orbiter reentry trajectory and the associated peak heating rates.	221
5.1	Introduction	221
5.2	The deorbit and reentry sequence	222
5.3	Using Quick-Fire methods to crudely estimate peak heating rate and total heat loads from the initial Orbiter kinetic energy	223
5.3.1	Quick-Fire problem definition and sketch	224
5.3.2	The Quick-Fire baseline mathematical model, initial results, and interpretation	224
5.4	A look at heat flux prediction levels based on an analytical model for blunt-body heating	231
5.4.1	Numerical estimates of Stanton number using the Sutton–Graves constant	236
5.5	Simple flight trajectory model	237
5.5.1	A simple BotE model for the initial entry period; the entry solution	239
5.5.2	The equilibrium glide model	249
5.6	Calculating heat transfer rates in the peak heating region	263
5.6.1	Selecting the nose radius	265
5.6.2	Comparing the model maximum rate of heat transfer, $\dot{q}_{w_{\max}}$, with data	266
5.6.3	Model estimate for nose radiation equilibrium temperature, $T_{\max}$	267
5.6.4	Model calculations of $\dot{q}_w$ as a function of time.	268
5.6.5	Model calculations for total heat load at the stagnation point	271
5.7	Appendix: BotE modeling of non-Orbiter entry problems	274
5.8	References	275

6	Estimating the dimensions and performance of the Hubble Space Telescope	277
6.1	The Hubble Space Telescope	277
6.1.1	HST system requirements	277
6.1.2	HST engineering systems	278
6.1.3	Requirements for fitting the HST into the Orbiter	279
6.2	The HST Optical Telescope design	280
6.2.1	The equivalent system focal length	281
6.2.2	How do designers determine the required system focal ratio, F_{eq} ?	284
6.2.3	Telescope plate scale	288
6.2.4	Selection of HST's primary mirror focal ratio, $F_1 = f_1 /D$	289
6.2.5	Calculating the magnification m and exact constructional length L	290
6.2.6	Estimating the secondary mirror diameter	291
6.2.7	Estimating the radius of curvature of the HST secondary mirror	292
6.3	Modeling the HST length	295
6.3.1	The light-shield baffle extension	296
6.3.2	Modeling the length of the light shield	297
6.3.3	The length of the instrument section	298
6.3.4	Calculating the total HST telescope length	298
6.4	Summary of calculated HST dimensions	299
6.5	Estimating HST mass	300
6.5.1	Primary mirror design	300
6.5.2	Estimating primary mirror mass	301
6.5.3	The estimated total HST system mass and areal density	303
6.5.4	Some final words on the HST mass estimation exercise	305
6.5.5	Onward to an estimate of HST's sensitivity	306
6.6	Back-of-the-Envelope modeling of the HST's sensitivity or signal to noise ratio	306
6.6.1	Defining signal to noise ratio	307
6.6.2	Modeling the mean signal, S	307
6.6.3	Modeling the noise	310
6.6.4	Final equation for signal to noise ratio	316
6.6.5	Final thoughts on BotE estimates for HST sensitivity	318
6.7	References	319
	Index	321

Aerospace Engineering on the Back of an Envelope

Alber, I.E.

2012, XXII, 326 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-642-22536-9