

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Terrorism, Prevention and the Criminal Justice System	1
1.2	Conceptualizing the Subject	3
1.2.1	Defining Anticipative Criminal Investigation	3
1.2.2	Selection of Countries	6
1.2.3	The Rule of Law	7
1.2.4	Criminal Procedural Law	11
1.2.5	The Relation Between Criminal Investigation and Criminal Procedural Law	16
1.2.6	The Relation Between Criminal Investigation and Intelligence Investigation	16
1.3	Contextualizing States' Preventive Measures	18
1.3.1	The Influence of International Institutions	19
1.3.2	A Duty to Prevent Terrorism under International Human Rights Law Obligations	22
1.3.3	The Influence of Theories on the Risk Society, the Culture of Control and the Precautionary Principle on Mobilizing Criminal Law for the Purpose of Prevention	25
1.4	Framing the Subject	26
1.5	Research Question	29
1.6	Approach and Methodology	30

Part I The Netherlands

2	The System of Criminal Investigation in the Netherlands	37
2.1	Introduction	37
2.1.1	Goals of the Chapter	37
2.1.2	The Dutch Criminal Justice System	39

2.1.3	Meaning of Some Principles and Fundamental Rights Relevant to the Criminal Investigation in Dutch	
	Criminal Procedural Law	42
2.1.3.1	The Principle of Legality	43
2.1.3.2	The Right to Respect for Private Life	46
	2.1.3.2.1 Article 8 ECHR	47
	2.1.3.2.2 Article 10 Constitution	51
2.1.3.3	The Right to a Fair Trial	52
	2.1.3.3.1 The Presumption of Innocence	52
	2.1.3.3.2 Implications of Article 6 ECHR for Pre-Trial Proceedings	55
2.1.3.4	The Principles of the Due Administration of Justice	58
	2.1.3.4.1 Proportionality and Subsidiarity	59
	2.1.3.4.2 Prohibition on Détournement de Pouvoir	61
2.2	The Sword Function of the Dutch Criminal Investigation	61
2.2.1	The Actors Responsible for Truth-Finding	61
	2.2.1.1 The Police	63
	2.2.1.2 The Public Prosecution Service (PPS)	65
	2.2.1.3 The Examining Magistrate	67
	2.2.1.4 The AIVD (General Intelligence and Security Service)	69
2.2.2	The Powers Available for Truth-Finding in the Criminal Investigation	71
	2.2.2.1 Article 2 Police Act 1993	73
	2.2.2.2 Investigative Techniques with a Specific Basis in the Law	79
	2.2.2.2.1 The Act on Special Investigative Techniques of 1999	79
	2.2.2.2.1.1 Classical investigation	80
	2.2.2.2.1.2 Organized Crime Investigation	81
	2.2.2.2.2 Investigative Methods with a Specific Legal Basis in Special Criminal Acts	83
	2.2.2.3 The Preliminary Investigation	86
	2.2.2.4 The Investigative Powers of the Intelligence Community in Relation to Criminal Justice	87
	2.2.2.4.1 The Investigative Powers of the AIVD	87
	2.2.2.4.2 Transfer of Information Obtained by the AIVD to Law Enforcement Services	89
2.2.3	Conclusion	91

2.3	The Shield Function of the Dutch Criminal Investigation	93
2.3.1	The Responsibility of the Actors Towards a Fair Procedure	93
2.3.1.1	The Police	94
2.3.1.2	The Public Prosecutor	96
2.3.1.3	The Examining Magistrate	101
2.3.1.4	The Trial Judge	105
2.3.1.5	The Position of the Suspect	106
2.3.1.6	The Position of Other Subjects of Criminal Investigative Activities.	109
2.3.2	The Protective Elements in the System of Criminal Investigation.	111
2.3.2.1	Regulation Following the Principle of Legality and the Right to Respect for Private Life.	112
2.3.2.1.1	The Requirements of the Restrictive Clause of Article 8 ECHR.	113
2.3.2.1.2	The Requirements of the Principle of Legality and the Right to Respect for Private Life in the Regulation of Criminal Investigative Powers.	118
2.3.2.2	The Separation of Criminal Investigation from other Forms of Investigation	121
2.3.2.2.1	The Definition of a Criminal Investigation	122
2.3.2.2.2	Separation from Administrative Supervision	125
2.3.2.2.3	Separation from Intelligence Investigation	127
2.3.2.2.4	The Relation Between the Preliminary Investigation and the Criminal Investigation	129
2.3.2.3	The Suspicion Requirement	131
2.3.2.3.1	The Definition of a Suspect: Article 27 CCP.	132
2.3.2.3.2	Different Degrees of Suspicion.	137
2.3.2.3.2.1	Title IVA: The Classical Investigation	138
2.3.2.3.2.2	Title V: The Organized Crime Investigation.	139
2.3.2.3.2.3	Title Ve: The Preliminary Investigation	143
2.3.2.3.2.4	The Threshold of Indications in Special Criminal Acts	145

	2.3.2.3.2.5 Other Degrees of Suspicion and Supplementary Conditions	148
	2.3.2.3.3 The Protective Function of the Suspicion Threshold	149
	2.3.2.4 Other Protective Elements Adopted in the CCP	151
	2.3.2.4.1 The Duty to Compile Records	151
	2.3.2.4.2 The Remedies of Article 359a CCP	153
	2.3.3 Conclusion	156
3	Counterterrorism Measures Affecting Criminal Investigation in the Netherlands	161
3.1	Introduction	161
3.1.1	Counterterrorism Measures Under the Preventive Paradigm	163
3.1.2	The Shift to Anticipative Criminal Investigation	167
3.1.3	Goals of the Chapter	169
3.2	Changes Affecting the Criminal Investigation	170
3.2.1	Legislative Measures	170
	3.2.1.1 The Investigative Domain of Terrorism Investigations: Title Vb	172
	3.2.1.2 Preliminary Investigation for Preparing Terrorism Investigations	177
	3.2.1.3 New Criminal Investigative Powers	181
3.2.2	The Evolvement Towards Intelligence-Led Criminal Law Enforcement	184
3.3	Changes Affecting the Relation Between the AIVD and the PPS	185
	3.3.1 AIVD Reports as Starting Information for a Criminal Investigation	187
	3.3.2 The Shielded Witnesses Act	190
	3.3.3 Parallel Investigations	193
	3.3.4 The Counterterrorism Information Box	197
	3.3.5 Other Measures Enhancing Cooperation	199
3.4	Changes Affecting the Relation Between Administrative Law and Criminal Procedural Law	200
	3.4.1 Administrative Disturbance	202
3.5	Conclusion	205

4	The Implications of Enabling an Anticipative Criminal Investigations to Confront Terrorism for the Objectives of Criminal Procedural Law: The Netherlands	209
4.1	Introduction	209
4.2	Expansion of the Preventive Capacity of the Criminal Investigation	210
4.2.1	Criminal Investigation for the Purpose of Preventing Terrorism	211
4.2.1.1	Expansion of the Scope and Nature of the Criminal Investigation of Terrorism	211
4.2.1.2	The Protective Value of Indications in Comparison to a Reasonable Suspicion	215
4.2.1.3	The Principle of Legality and the Right to Respect for Private Life.	221
4.2.1.3.1	Foreseeability.	222
4.2.1.3.1.1	Title Vb	222
4.2.1.3.1.2	Preliminary Investigation of Terrorist Crimes.	227
4.2.1.3.1.3	Search Powers for the Prevention of Terrorism	228
4.2.1.3.2	Necessary in a Democratic Society	231
4.2.2	Trends in Criminal Investigative Policy.	232
4.2.2.1	Balancing Prevention Against Legal Protection	232
4.2.2.2	Choosing Between the Criminal Investigative Domains	235
4.2.3	Shifting Responsibilities Among the Various Actors	239
4.2.3.1	Authorization of Investigative Methods	241
4.2.3.2	Protective function of the Principles of Proportionality and Subsidiarity and Ex Post Judicial Control	243
4.2.3.3	Conclusion.	246
4.2.4	Final Remarks	247
4.3	Demarcating the Anticipative Criminal Investigation	248
4.3.1	The Relation Between Anticipative Criminal Investigation and Intelligence Investigation	248
4.3.2	Relation Between Anticipative Criminal Investigation and Administrative Supervisory Authority	253
4.3.3	Defining and Positioning the Anticipative Criminal Investigation.	256
4.3.4	Using Intelligence in Criminal Proceedings: An Infected Criminal Process?	259

4.3.4.1	Control over the Use of AIVD or CIE Reports as Starting Information	261
4.3.4.2	Control over Parallel Investigations.	263
4.3.4.3	An Infected Criminal Process?	264
4.4	Conclusion	269
4.4.1	Characterizing the Anticipative Criminal Investigation . . .	269
4.4.2	Implications for the Shield Objective of Criminal Procedural Law.	270
4.4.2.1	Weakened Protection of the Right to Respect for Private Life and the Presumption of Innocence	271
4.4.2.2	An Infected Criminal Process.	273

Part II The United States

5	The System of Criminal Investigation in the United States	277
5.1	Introduction	277
5.1.1	Goals of the Chapter	277
5.1.2	Framing the Subject	279
5.1.3	The US Criminal Justice System.	282
5.1.4	Constitutional Principles	285
5.1.4.1	Unreasonable Searches and Seizures and the Right to Private Life: Fourth Amendment	286
5.1.4.2	Due Process of Law: Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments	290
5.2	The Sword Function of the US Criminal Investigation.	295
5.2.1	The Actors Responsible for Truth-Finding	295
5.2.1.1	The Police	296
5.2.1.2	The Prosecutors	298
5.2.1.3	The Grand Jury	300
5.2.1.4	National Security Power and the Intelligence Community.	301
5.2.2	The Powers Available for Truth-Finding in the Criminal Investigation	305
5.2.2.1	Conventional Criminal Investigation	306
5.2.2.1.1	Search Powers	307
5.2.2.1.2	The Search Powers of Surveillance and the Use of Undercover Agents and Informants	309
5.2.2.2	The Possibilities for Proactive Criminal Investigations	313
5.2.2.3	The Powers Available in National Security Investigations in Relation to Criminal Justice	314

5.2.2.3.1	The National Security Investigation—FISA	315
5.2.2.3.2	The Transfer of Information Gathered During a National Security Investigation to the Law Enforcement Community	321
5.2.3	Conclusion	324
5.3	The Shield Function of the US Criminal Investigation	326
5.3.1	The Responsibility of the Actors Towards a Fair Procedure	326
5.3.1.1	The Police	326
5.3.1.2	The Public Prosecutor	327
5.3.1.3	The Defense.	330
5.3.1.4	Position of Other Subjects of Criminal Investigative Activities	334
5.3.1.5	Magistrate Judge.	336
5.3.2	The Protective Elements in the System of Criminal Investigation.	337
5.3.2.1	Regulation Following from the Fourth Amendment	337
5.3.2.1.1	Probable Cause.	337
5.3.2.1.1.1	What is Probable Cause?	337
5.3.2.1.1.2	The Protective Function of Probable Cause	345
5.3.2.1.2	Warrant	345
5.3.2.1.3	Reasonableness.	348
5.3.2.1.4	The Exclusionary Rule	353
5.3.2.2	Restrictive Function of the Statutory Regulation of Surveillance under Title III	355
5.3.2.2.1	The Application Procedure.	357
5.3.2.2.2	A Review of the Application by the Magistrate Judge	358
5.3.2.2.3	Execution of an Order under Title III	360
5.3.2.2.4	Exclusion of Evidence Obtained in Violation of Title III	362
5.3.2.3	Regulation of the FBI's Authority to Investigate Through the Issuance of Guidelines by the Attorney General	363
5.3.2.3.1	The Levi Guidelines	364
5.3.2.3.2	The Smith Guidelines	367
5.3.2.4	The Restrictive Function of the Regulation of National Security Investigations under FISA . . .	372

5.3.2.4.1	The Regulation of National Security Investigations	372
5.3.3	Conclusion	377
5.4	Final Remarks	381
6	Counterterrorism Measures Affecting Criminal Investigation in the United States	385
6.1	Introduction	385
6.1.1	Counterterrorism Measures Under the Preventive Paradigm	386
6.1.2	The Shift to Anticipative Criminal Investigation	388
6.1.3	Goals of the Chapter	391
6.2	Changes Affecting Search and Surveillance in the Conventional Law Enforcement Context	392
6.2.1	Legislative Measures	392
6.2.1.1	Broadening the Scope of Title III	392
6.2.1.2	Emergency Disclosure	393
6.2.1.3	Delayed Notice Warrant	393
6.2.1.4	Pen Registers and Trap and Trace Devices	395
6.2.1.5	Consensual Monitoring	396
6.2.1.6	Nationwide Applicability of Search Warrants	397
6.2.2	Developments in Case Law	398
6.2.3	Other Developments Enhancing Proactive Capacities of Law Enforcement Agencies	402
6.3	Changes Affecting the Powers in National Security Investigations	403
6.3.1	Increased FISA Surveillance Powers	403
6.3.2	FISA Court Document Production Orders	407
6.4	Changes Affecting the Interaction Between the Intelligence and Law Enforcement Communities	415
6.4.1	Provisions of the USA PATRIOT ACT of 2001	416
6.4.1.1	Enhancing the Sharing of Information	416
6.4.1.2	Changing the Purpose Language	419
6.4.2	The Influence of the Internal Policy of the Department of Justice and the FBI	422
6.4.2.1	The Memorandum of Attorney General Ashcroft of 6 March 2002 and the Interagency Memorandum of 2003	424
6.4.2.2	The AG Ashcroft Guidelines of 2002 and the AG Ashcroft Guidelines on National Security Investigations of 2003	425
6.4.2.3	Mukasey Guidelines on FBI Operations (2008)	429

6.4.2.4	Domestic Investigations and Operations Guide of the FBI (2008)	434
6.4.3	Other Developments Enhancing Cooperation Between Intelligence and Law Enforcement	437
6.5	Other Relevant Investigative Powers	438
6.5.1	The NSA Surveillance Program and its Incorporation in FISA	438
6.5.2	National Security Letters	442
6.6	Conclusion	445
7	The Implications of Enabling Anticipative Criminal Investigations to Confront Terrorism for the Objectives of Criminal Procedural Law in the United States	449
7.1	Introduction	449
7.2	Expansion of the Preventive Capacity of Conventional Criminal Law	451
7.2.1	Expansion of the Scope and Nature of Electronic Surveillance	451
7.2.1.1	In General	451
7.2.1.2	Delayed Notice Warrants	452
7.2.1.3	Pen Registers and Trap/Trace Devices	457
7.2.2	A Trend in the Case Law Towards Diminishing the Protective Meaning of the Fourth Amendment for National Security or Terrorism Prevention Interests	460
7.2.2.1	Applying the Special Needs Doctrine to Searches for the Prevention of Terrorism	461
7.2.2.2	Balancing Liberty Against Security in Fourth Amendment Analysis in Terrorism Cases	466
7.2.2.2.1	Probable Cause	466
7.2.2.2.2	Warrant	469
7.2.2.2.3	Reasonableness	472
7.2.2.2.4	The Exclusionary Rule	474
7.2.3	The Changed Role of the Relevant Actors	474
7.3	The Expansion of the Preventive Capacity of National Security Investigations	477
7.3.1	Expansion of the Scope and Nature of FISA Surveillance and Physical Searches	477
7.3.1.1	General Development	477
7.3.1.2	Roving Surveillance	480
7.3.1.3	Pen Register and Trap and Trace Devices	481
7.3.2	FISA Document Production Orders	482
7.3.2.1	The Regulation of Document Production Orders under FISA	483

7.3.2.2	The Non-Disclosure Requirement	487
7.3.2.3	Conclusion	489
7.4	Interaction Between Law Enforcement and Intelligence Communities for the Purpose of Enabling a Preventive Approach	491
7.4.1	Redefining Institutional Positions and Functions	492
7.4.2	Consequences of the Institutional Position and Functions of the Anticipative Criminal Investigation	498
7.4.3	An Infected Criminal Process?	504
7.4.4	Dismantling the Wall: Some Final Remarks	511
7.5	FISA Surveillance Targeting Non-US Persons Outside the US and National Security Letters	513
7.5.1	FISA Surveillance Targeting Non-US Persons Outside the US	513
7.5.2	National Security Letters	515
7.6	Conclusion	516
7.6.1	Characterizing the Anticipative Criminal Investigation . .	517
7.6.2	The Implications for the Shield Objective of Criminal Procedural Law	518
7.6.2.1	Weakened Fourth Amendment Protection	518
7.6.2.2	Abandoning Purpose Limitation	522
7.6.2.3	Infected Criminal Process	523

Part III Theory, Evaluation and Conclusions

8	Regulating the Anticipative Criminal Investigation Under the Rule of Law	533
8.1	Introduction	533
8.1.1	The Path Towards Formulating the Framework of Examination	535
8.1.1.1	The Shortcomings of the Balancing Approach . .	536
8.1.1.2	Building the Architecture of the Anticipative Criminal Investigation Under the Rule of Law	539
8.2	Conclusive Characterization and Positioning of the Anticipative Criminal Investigation	541
8.3	The Common Ground of the Regulation of Criminal Investigations	544
8.3.1	Three Constitutive Principles	545
8.3.1.1	The Presumption of Innocence	547
8.3.1.2	Respect for the Fundamental Right to Private Life	550
8.3.1.3	Due Process/Fair Trial	550

8.3.2	Three Parameters	554
8.3.2.1	Accountability	555
8.3.2.2	Proportionality	557
8.3.2.3	Necessity	558
8.4	Seeking Synthesis in the Flexible Area of the Law in the Light of the Circumstances of Modern Society	559
8.4.1	Legitimacy of the Anticipative Criminal Investigation with Regard to the ‘Sword Side’	561
8.4.1.1	The Argument of Necessity or Exigency Justifying ‘Emergency Powers’ Outside the Traditional Legal Framework	561
8.4.1.2	The Argument of Necessity or Exigency Justifying Exceptions to the Shield Elements within the Traditional Legal Framework	564
8.4.1.3	The Argument of the Specific Nature of Terrorist Crimes	565
8.4.1.4	The Argument of the Effectiveness of Preventing Harm	569
8.4.2	The Fundamental Nature of an Institutional Distinction Between Criminal Investigation and Intelligence Investigation	575
8.4.3	Conclusion	577
8.5	Three Pillars of Regulatory Conditions: Legitimization, Integrity and Fairness	580
8.5.1	Legitimization	581
8.5.2	Integrity	588
8.5.2.1	Minimization Mechanisms	589
8.5.2.2	Controlling Mechanisms	590
8.5.2.3	The Availability of a Remedy	595
8.5.2.4	Conditions Concerning Integrity	597
8.5.3	Fairness	598
8.6	Conclusion	602
9	Conclusions	605
9.1	Introduction	605
9.2	General Perspectives	608
9.2.1	Legitimization and Integrity	608
9.2.2	Fairness and Integrity	610
9.3	Regulating Anticipative Criminal Investigations Under the Rule of Law: Conclusions and Recommendations	612
9.3.1	The Netherlands: Legitimization and Integrity	612
9.3.1.1	Relation Between Legitimizing Grounds and the Purpose of the Criminal Investigation	612

9.3.1.2	Relating Legitimization to Integrity: Proportionality and the Legitimized Scope and Purpose	614
9.3.1.2.1	The Legitimized Scope and Purpose	614
9.3.1.2.2	Proportionality as a Minimization Mechanism	617
9.3.1.2.3	Search Methods in Terrorist Crime Investigations	619
9.3.1.2.4	Preliminary Investigation of Terrorist Activities	620
9.3.1.3	Control over the Legitimized Scope and Purpose	622
9.3.1.4	Some Comments on Notification	623
9.3.2	The United States: Legitimization and Integrity	625
9.3.2.1	Regarding the Anticipative Criminal Investigation in Conventional Criminal Law . . .	625
9.3.2.1.1	Attention to Legitimizing Grounds in the Reasonableness Assessment Under the Fourth Amendment	625
9.3.2.1.2	Some Comments on the Special Needs Doctrine.	628
9.3.2.1.3	Some Comments on Pen Registers and Trap and Trace Devices	628
9.3.2.1.4	Some Comments on Notification . .	629
9.3.2.2	Regarding FISA as a Quasi-Law Enforcement Framework	630
9.3.2.2.1	FISA Framework Inadequate for Legitimizing Criminal Investigative Activities	631
9.3.2.2.2	Re-Establishing the Required Legitimization for Anticipative Criminal Investigations	633
9.3.2.3	Minimization and Alternative Controlling Mechanisms Instead of Judicial Control.	634
9.3.3	The Netherlands: Fairness and Integrity.	637
9.3.3.1	Control over the AIVD and CIE.	638
9.3.3.2	Integrity Guarantees Versus Judicial Control: The Fairness of Trial Proceedings . . .	639
9.3.3.3	Comment on the Use of Intelligence as Evidence	642
9.3.4	The United States: Fairness and Integrity.	643
9.3.4.1	Insufficient Control over FISA as a Quasi-Law Enforcement Framework	643

9.3.4.2 CIPA as a Counterbalancing Procedure 644

9.3.4.3 The Fairness of the Trial Proceedings 644

9.4 Final Conclusions 646

Epilogue 651

Bibliography 653

Reports. 667

List of Cases 669

Index 679



<http://www.springer.com/978-90-6704-842-2>

Anticipative Criminal Investigation
Theory and Counterterrorism Practice in the
Netherlands and the United States

Hirsch Ballin, M.F.H.

2012, XXXII, 684 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-90-6704-842-2

A product of T.M.C. Asser Press