

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Torture At Abu Ghraib	1
1.2	Outlining the Issue	2
1.3	The Occasion	2
1.4	Methodology and Limitations	3
1.5	Definitions	4
1.6	Structure of the Book	6
	References	7
2	The Theory of Mistake of Law in National Criminal Law Systems	9
2.1	Introduction	9
2.2	Mistake of Law in the Common Law Systems of the USA and the UK	10
2.2.1	Introduction: Ignorantia Legis Non Excusat	10
2.2.2	The Exceptions to the Rule	10
2.2.2.1	American Law	10
2.2.2.2	English Law	18
2.2.3	Conclusion: An Ad Hoc Approach	24
2.3	Mistake of Law in the Civil Law Systems of Germany and France	25
2.3.1	Introduction	25
2.3.2	Germany: Mistake of Law is an Excuse	26
2.3.2.1	Das Recht	26
2.3.2.2	The Landmark Decision	27
2.3.2.3	The Codification	33
2.3.2.4	The German Border Guard Cases	40
2.3.2.4.1	The German Border Guard Cases: The Principle of Legality	43
2.3.2.4.2	The German Border Guard Cases: Mistake of Law	44

2.3.2.5	Conclusion	47
2.3.3	France: Mistake of Law is a Ground for Excluding Criminal Responsibility	48
2.3.3.1	The Provision	50
2.3.3.2	Avoidable Mistake	51
2.3.3.3	Conclusion	53
2.4	Superior Orders	54
2.4.1	USA and UK	54
2.4.2	Germany and France	56
2.4.3	Conclusion	58
2.5	Conclusion: Comparative Analysis	58
	References	59
3	Comparing the National Approaches: Theorising About the Issue	63
3.1	Introduction: Twofold and Threefold Structures	63
3.2	Relevant Distinctions	64
3.2.1	Justification and Excuse	64
3.2.2	Wrongdoing and Attribution	67
3.2.3	Defeasible and Comprehensive Rules	68
3.2.4	Conduct Rules and Decision Rules	68
3.2.5	Analysis	70
3.3	Other Issues	71
3.3.1	Criminal Intent	71
3.3.2	Putative Justifications	72
3.3.3	An Element of 'Unlawfulness' in the Crime Definition	73
3.3.4	The Principle of Legality	75
3.4	Conclusion	76
	References	78
4	The Theory of Mistake of Law in International Criminal Law	81
4.1	Introduction	81
4.2	Article 32(2): Mistake of Law	82
4.2.1	Article 32 Mistake	82
4.2.2	Negate the Mental Element	83
4.3	Article 33: Superior Orders	90
4.3.1	Introduction	90
4.3.2	The Provision	90
4.3.3	Criticism: Departure from Customary International Law	91
4.3.4	Criticism: Manifest Illegality	94
4.3.5	Conclusion: Superior Orders a Separate Defence?	95
4.4	Concluding Analysis	96
	References	98

5 Applying the Theory of Mistake of Law: An Analysis of (Inter)national Case Law	101
5.1 Introduction	101
5.2 The Case Law	102
5.2.1 Pre-WWII Case Law	103
5.2.2 WWII Case Law	104
5.2.2.1 United States	104
5.2.2.2 United Kingdom	108
5.2.2.3 Other Countries	111
5.2.3 Trials Related to Other Armed Conflicts After WWII	118
5.2.4 Recent Decisions	122
5.2.4.1 International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia	122
5.2.4.2 Special Court for Sierra Leone	123
5.2.4.3 International Criminal Court	126
5.3 Conclusion	127
References	129
 6 Applying the Theory of Mistake of Law: An Analysis of Elements of Crimes and a Survey of Dilemmas on the Battlefield	 133
6.1 Introduction	133
6.2 Subjective Requirements of Criminal Responsibility	134
6.2.1 Elements of Crimes	134
6.2.1.1 Elements of Crimes Against Humanity	137
6.2.1.1.1 Specific Elements: Deportation, Torture and Persecution	137
6.2.1.2 Elements of War Crimes	138
6.2.1.2.1 Specific Elements: Improper use of a flag, Insignia or Uniform	141
6.2.1.3 Conclusion	143
6.3 Dilemmas on the Battlefield	144
6.3.1 Mistakes About the Prohibition	145
6.3.1.1 Military Objectives	146
6.3.2 Putative Justifications	151
6.3.2.1 Mistake of Self-Defence	151
6.3.2.2 Necessity	152
6.3.3 Mistaken Defences Under Public International Law	154
6.3.3.1 Military Necessity	154
6.3.3.2 Reprisals	157
6.4 Conclusion	161
References	162

7 Concluding Remarks 167

 7.1 Introduction 167

 References 169

Bibliography 171

Table of Cases. 179



<http://www.springer.com/978-90-6704-866-8>

Mistake of Law

Excusing Perpetrators of International Crimes

van Verseveld, A.

2012, XIV, 182 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-90-6704-866-8

A product of T.M.C. Asser Press