

Chapter 2

Social Networks and Privacy

“You have zero privacy anyway. Get over it”.

—Sun Microsystems’ Scott McNealy (January 1999)

“If you have something that you don’t want anyone to know, maybe you shouldn’t be doing it in the first place”.

—Google’s Eric Schmidt (December 2009)

2.1 Zero Privacy

Scott McNealy, co-founder and CEO of Sun Microsystems for 22 years, told a group of reporters and analysts in January 1999: “You have zero privacy anyway. Get over it” [1]. In December 2009, Google’s then-CEO Eric Schmidt said, “If you have something that you don’t want anyone to know, maybe you shouldn’t be doing it in the first place” [2].

A friend of my wife, in his early 20s, graduated in 2008 from a well-known university with a Master’s degree in computer science. Instead of looking for a well-paying job, he prefers to spend most of his time living in a remote countryside without a cell phone and Internet connection. He is a skilled archer who hunts for food in the forest. He is also a self-proclaimed magician (think Tim Kring’s *Heroes*, not David Copperfield). He enjoys a life of solitude and privacy. Nevertheless, even an eccentric person like him cannot completely escape the temptation of social networks. He has a Facebook profile with a handful of photos of himself and his handmade enchanted objects. He updates his Facebook page very rarely, but when he does, his small circle of college friends would be glued to the computer screen to find out what he is up to. He has limited social interaction by offering limited glimpse into his life to a limited number of friends. As a result, he enjoys reasonably good privacy.

In the year 2012 when more than two billion people are connected online [3], an Arizona man in Phoenix distrusts technology so much that he refuses to use a phone or computer altogether. In order to communicate with his business partner who lives across town in Phoenix, the Arizona man sends his messages via carrier pigeons [4]. We can call it old fashion or paranoia. The fact is that very few people today are determined to safeguard their own privacy with strong convictions, while the overwhelming majority of people are willing to give up some part of their privacy in exchange for being connected. An old English proverb says, “If you can’t beat them, join them.”

Most people have families, friends, and business acquaintances to keep in constant contact through in-person meetings, emails, phone calls, and online social networking services such as Facebook, Google+, and Twitter. Most people enjoy making new friends. However, social interaction inevitably raises the privacy issue. Online social networks only exacerbate privacy concerns. The risk is often outweighed by the consumer's need to communicate, as Facebook CEO and co-founder Mark Zuckerberg said about the new Facebook Timeline feature: "it's an important next step to help you tell the story of your life" [5].

Zuckerberg's message resonated with Robert Scoble, a former technology evangelist at Microsoft best known for his blog Scobleizer. "I make everything public on my Facebook account, and I'm not worried about privacy because the more I share about who I am and what interests me, the more Facebook can bring me content that I care about," said Scoble, "Yes, people have lost jobs because of things they have posted on Facebook, but you can also end up getting jobs and making all kinds of great connections because you've posted about your passions" [6].

2.2 The Pervasiveness of Facebook

Facebook's mission is "to give people the power to share and make the world more open and connected" [7]. Launched in February 2004 by Mark Zuckerberg, Facebook has quickly become one of the most pervasive interpersonal communication tools. One month after its official launch, Facebook expanded from Harvard to Stanford, Columbia, and Yale University. Within one year, in December 2004, Facebook reached nearly 1 million active users [8].

In September 2011, Facebook's chief technology officer Bret Taylor confirmed that Facebook has more than 800 million active users, 350 million of whom use Facebook on mobile devices each month [9]. In February 2012, the Facebook IPO filing revealed that the company has reached 845 million users, 483 million of them use the site every day [10] and 425 million of them access Facebook on mobile devices [11]. In July 2012, Facebook revised the total number of monthly active users to 955 million [12]. Practically one out of every two Americans has a Facebook account. In August 2012, Facebook has approximately 160 million active users in the United States [13].

In May 2011, Americans spent a total of 53.5 billion minutes a month on Facebook, more than Yahoo! (17.2 billion minutes), Google (12.5 billion), AOL (11.4 billion), MSN (9.5 billion), YouTube (9.1 billion), eBay (4.5 billion), Blogger (724 million), Tumblr (624 million), and Twitter (565 million) [14].

A 2011 research from NM Incite, a Nielsen McKinsey company, reveals no surprise that knowing someone in real life is the top reason (82 %) cited for friending someone on Facebook [15]. The second main reason (60 %) is to add friends of their mutual friends online. Other reasons include business networking (11 %), physical attractiveness (8 %), increasing friend count (7 %), and friend everyone (7 %). The so-called "friend collectors" send requests out of curiosity and nosiness,

as many Facebook users are afflicted with the oh-so itch-able question, “I wonder what so-and-so is doing now” [16]. Omar Gallaga, reporter for the *Austin American-Statesman*, admitted his Facebook addiction on *CNN*, “More than just a daily habit, Facebook has become the place where I get important, often surprising glimpses into the lives of the 1,365 people with whom I’ve chosen to connect. (That’s not counting friends-of-friends, for Facebook’s tentacles are ever-extended)” [17].

Most people have the unquenchable needs to communicate and share information. As Mark Zuckerberg wrote in his letter for the Facebook IPO filing on February 1, 2012, “We live at a moment when the majority of people in the world have access to the internet or mobile phones—the raw tools necessary to start sharing what they’re thinking, feeling and doing with whomever they want” [18].

“People don’t want to be talked to, they want to be talked with,” said Roy Sekoff, founding editor of *The Huffington Post* [19]. However, the busy lifestyle and fast-paced society have deprived people of the face-to-face quality time among friends, families, and acquaintances. *CNN* producer Kiran Khalid, a self-admitted social-media addict, tried to disconnect from all electronic communications for five days in December 2011. Her conclusion was that severing her dependency on social networks removed an obstacle to real conversations [20].

Paul Miller, a senior editor for *The Verge*, decided to leave the Internet for a year beginning on May 1, 2012 [21]. “I think there are two kinds of people who live with technology constantly in their face: people who freak out when they’re forcefully separated from their devices or connectivity, as if their arm has been cut off, and people who feel really chill when they’re forcefully separated from their devices or connectivity, as if they’ve been let out of prison. I’ve spoken to many of both kinds as I’ve prepared for leaving the internet, and thankfully I fall in the latter camp” [22]. Most people, however, cannot survive without their digital fixations.

Facebook is the prolific communication tool that fills the void created by the lack of real face-to-face conversations. As far back as September 2005, *TechCrunch* reported that 85 % of college students use Facebook to communicate with friends, both on campus and from their former high schools [23]. Today, it is almost inconceivable for a university student not to have a Facebook page.

Facebook is more convenient than emails and less intrusive than phone calls. Someone may wake up at 3 in the morning, post a new photo and write some comments on Facebook. The information goes out to all their online friends. However, there is no distinction between best friends who can keep a secret, casual friends who may laugh at it, and strangers who either do not care about it or use the information for malicious purposes. “We are close, in a sense, to people who don’t necessarily like us, sympathize with us or have anything in common with us,” Prof. Jon Kleinberg of Cornell University told *The New York Times*. “It’s the weak ties that make the world small” [24].

By satisfying the insatiable desire for communication with others who seem to be willing to listen, people have voluntarily sacrificed some degrees of personal privacy. “Have one’s cake and eat it too” does not apply to personal privacy in the world of ubiquitous social networks.

2.3 Facebook and Personal Privacy

“Hacking is core to how we build at Facebook,” the company said in a blog post announcing Facebook’s 2012 Hacker Cup competition [25]. “Whether we’re building a prototype for a major product like Timeline at a Hackathon, creating a smarter search algorithm, or tearing down walls at our new headquarters, we’re always hacking to find better ways to solve problems” [26].

In 2003, Mark Zuckerberg hacked into the Harvard computer network and stole private dormitory student ID photos in order to create Facemash, the predecessor to Facebook. Similar to the Hot or Not website founded in 2000 by James Hong and Jim Young, Facemash placed two photos next to each other at a time and asked users to choose the hotter person. Facemash attracted 450 visitors and more than 22,000 photo views in its first day of launch before the website was forced to shut down. Zuckerberg was charged by the Harvard administration with breach of security, violating copyrights and individual privacy [27]. Zuckerberg wrote in an email to *The Harvard Crimson* in November 2003, “Issues about violating people’s privacy don’t seem to be surmountable. I’m not willing to risk insulting anyone”.

Zuckerberg, an ingenious hacker, has been known for meeting with prospective investors wearing pajamas. He remains true to himself and does not answer to anybody. “Mark and his signature hoodie: He’s actually showing investors he doesn’t care that much; he’s going to be him,” said Michael Pachter, an analyst for Wedbush Securities. “I think that’s a mark of immaturity. I think that he has to realize he’s bringing investors in as a new constituency right now, and I think he’s got to show them the respect that they deserve because he’s asking them for their money” [28].

Although Facebook became a publicly traded company in May 2012, Zuckerberg continues to hold majority control over the company. Firstly, his 23 % shares of Facebook stock carry outsized voting rights that give him 31 % voting power. Secondly, he has “irrevocable proxy” over the voting power of almost 56 % of Facebook’s shares held by other stakeholders. The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) asked Facebook in February 2012 to “more fully explain how the risk of Mr. Zuckerberg’s control affects ... the Class A common stockholders on a short-term and long-term basis” [29].

Based on Zuckerberg’s history and his personal attitude towards people’s privacy, it came as no surprise that Facebook was charged by the U.S. Federal Trade Commission (FTC) for failing to keep privacy promises and violating federal law—the Federal Trade Commission Act [30]. The FTC eight-count complaint lists a number of instances in which Facebook allegedly made promises that it did not keep [31]. The charges include the following:

1. In December 2009, Facebook changed its website so certain information that users may have designated as private—such as their Friends List—was made public. They didn’t warn users that this change was coming, or get their approval in advance.

2. Facebook represented that third-party apps installed by the users would have access only to user information that they needed to operate. In fact, the apps could access nearly all of users' personal data—data the apps didn't need.
3. Facebook told users they could restrict sharing of data to limited audiences—for example with “Friends Only”. In fact, selecting “Friends Only” did not prevent their information from being shared with third-party applications their friends used.
4. Facebook had a “Verified Apps” program and claimed it certified the security of participating apps. It didn't.
5. Facebook promised users that it would not share their personal information with advertisers. It did.
6. Facebook claimed that when users deactivated or deleted their accounts, their photos and videos would be inaccessible. But Facebook allowed access to the content, even after users had deactivated or deleted their accounts.
7. Facebook claimed that it complied with the U.S.-EU Safe Harbor Framework that governs data transfer between the U.S. and the European Union. It didn't.

Without putting up a legal fight, Facebook in November 2011 agreed to the proposed settlement [32] that the company is: [33].

- barred from making misrepresentations about the privacy or security of consumers' personal information;
- required to obtain consumers' affirmative express consent before enacting changes that override their privacy preferences;
- required to prevent anyone from accessing a user's material more than 30 days after the user has deleted his or her account;
- required to establish and maintain a comprehensive privacy program designed to address privacy risks associated with the development and management of new and existing products and services, and to protect the privacy and confidentiality of consumers' information; and
- required, within 180 days, and every two years after that for the next 20 years, to obtain independent, third-party audits certifying that it has a privacy program in place that meets or exceeds the requirements of the FTC order, and to ensure that the privacy of consumers' information is protected.

Apart from the accusations from the U.S. government, five Facebook members in California sued Facebook for publicizing their “likes” of certain advertisers on the “sponsored stories” feature without paying them or giving them a way to opt out. In May 2012, Facebook agreed to pay \$10 million to charity in settling the would-be class-action lawsuit for violating users' rights to control the use of their own names, photographs, and likenesses [34].

Although Facebook was found liable for many of the online privacy issues, many Facebook users have not been vigilant in safeguarding their own privacy. A May 2010 report by Pew Internet indicated that only two-thirds of Facebook users said they had ever changed the privacy settings to limit what they share with others online [35]. A January 2012 study by *Consumer Reports Magazine* revealed, “Almost 13

million users said they had never set, or didn't know about, Facebook's privacy tools. And 28 % shared all, or almost all, of their wall posts with an audience wider than just their friends" [36].

The official Facebook statistics reports that an average user has 130 online friends [37]. A Georgetown University study shows a much higher number among college students—young adults reported an average of 358 Facebook friends, with young women reporting 401 friends and young men reporting 269 friends [38]. However, according to the GoodMobilePhones survey in January 2011, the average Facebook user does not know one fifth of the people listed as friends on the site [39].

To prove the point, a group of students at Millburn High School in New Jersey created a Facebook account in 2009 for a fictional new student in their school [40]. They named her "Lauren" and gave her a fake profile including a picture of a random high school girl downloaded from the Internet. This "Lauren" requested to be Facebook friends with 200 of her classmates. Only two students messaged "Lauren" to question who she was. Nearly 60 % of the 200 students accepted her friendship, and an additional 55 Facebook users requested "Lauren" to be their friends, even though they obviously did not know her.

In fact, of all the reasons why a Facebook user removes a friend online, 41 % of the answers is: "Don't know him/her well" [41]. Those unknown friends, or rather strangers—a more accurate description, have access to photos and information that are meant for only the intended audiences or trusted friends and families. A February 2012 report from Pew Internet and American Life Project indicates that less than 5 % of users hide content from another user on their Facebook feed [42]. Even if a Facebook user decides to delete uploaded photos for whatever reasons, the "deleted" Facebook photos may still be online indefinitely and are accessible via direct links (URLs) [43].

Indeed, everything posted on the Internet is public. Things that had been taken down from a website may live on forever in *The Internet Archive* that offers permanent storage and free access to over 150 billion archived web pages including texts, images, movies, and documents [44]. Synonymous with the term "Wayback Machine," *The Internet Archive* allows us to see what previous versions of websites used to look like and to visit old websites that no longer exist.

2.4 Facebook, Children, and COPPA

To make matter worse, more than 55 % of parents help their underage children to lie to get on Facebook, violating the site's terms of service that prohibit kids under 13 from joining. A 2011 survey conducted by Harris Interactive shows that one in five parents acknowledged having a 10-year-old on Facebook, 32 % of parents allowing their 11-year-olds and 55 % of parents allowing their 12-year-olds to use Facebook [45]. According to *Consumers Reports* in May 2011, there are at least 7.5 million children under 13 and 5 million children ages 10 and under who are actively using Facebook [46].

Perhaps parents are not the only ones to blame, because children of all ages are facing increasing peer pressure from their friends and schoolmates. “I need your advice,” a mother posed a question to Danah Boyd, coauthor of *Why parents help their children lie to Facebook about age: Unintended consequences of the Children’s Online Privacy Protection Act*, “My 11-year-old daughter wants to join Facebook. She says that all of her friends are on Facebook. At what age do you think I should allow her to join Facebook?” [47].

Children are also most vulnerable to advertisements. In September 2010, *The Wall Street Journal* investigated 50 popular websites aimed at teens and children and 50 most popular U.S. sites overall [48]. The investigators found that popular children’s websites install 30 % more tracking technologies (e.g. cookies and beacons) than do the top U.S. websites [49]. Although the tracking data does not include the children’s names, it can include their ages, races, hobbies, online habits, posted comments, likes and dislikes, as well as their general locations such as the cities of residence.

In 1998, the U.S. Congress enacted the Children’s Online Privacy Protection Act (COPPA), requiring the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) to regulate commercial websites targeted at children and web operators who have actual knowledge of a child’s participation [50]. COPPA requires web site owners to notify parents and obtain their consent before collecting, using, or disclosing children’s personal information.

I was a senior staff engineer at Disney Online since 1996. Being a family-oriented company, Disney took COPPA and children’s online safety very seriously. We made sure that the Disney websites were COPPA-compliant and addressed COPPA-related issues at the weekly senior staff meetings.

The first-ever Disney MMORPG game *ToonTown Online* debuted in 2003 allows players, most of whom are children, to communicate with other players in *ToonTown* via a free-form chat if and only if the players know each other outside the game world [51]. A “True Friends” verification involving a six-digit secret code is required to gain access to free-form chat.

Online safety for kids is number one in the website design and business decisions at Disney Online. Moreover, Disney is highly selective in accepting advertisements to display on its websites targeted for families and children. When I was a senior producer at Disney Online, I worked closely with strategic partners Google, Yahoo!, and WebSideStory. I had to write special software code to filter out the inappropriate ads before any sponsored ads are displayed among the search results on the Disney websites such as Disney.com and FamilyFun.com.

I left Disney after 10 years in 2006. Disney Online acquired Club Penguin with 700,000 paid users in 2007 [52]. By mid-2011, Club Penguin has 12 million members, essentially becoming the world’s largest social network for kids [53]. A combination of games, educational resources, and social networking, Club Penguin presents a fictional world made up of user-created penguins that act as avatars for the millions of kids aged 8–11 in more than 190 countries around the world [54].

After Club Penguin, Disney Online acquired social-gaming company Playdom with 42 million players in 2010 [55]. In May 2011, the Federal Trade Commission

charged that Disney's Playdom violated COPPA between 2006 and 2010 when children under the age of 13 were able to register for the site, share their ages and email addresses, all without parental consent. Playdom continued to violate COPPA after the merger with Disney in August 2010. It results in a tarnished reputation and a \$3 million fine [56].

"Let's be clear: Whether you are a virtual world, a social network, or any other interactive site that appeals to kids, you owe it to parents and their children to provide proper notice and get proper consent," said Jon Leibowitz, Chairman of the Federal Trade Commission. "It's the law, it's the right thing to do, and, as today's settlement [with Disney's Playdom] demonstrates, violating COPPA will not come cheap" [57].

COPPA, however, does not address the issue that addiction to social networks at an early age can be detrimental to normal child development. A 2012 Stanford University study examined the children behaviors from a sample of nearly 3,500 girls aged 8–12. The researchers concluded that tween girls who spend much of their waking hours switching frantically between YouTube, Facebook, television, and text messaging are more likely to develop social problems [58]. Spending too many hours online takes away the time for face-to-face personal interactions that are essential for normal mental development.

At the 119th Annual Convention of the American Psychological Association held in August 2011, Professor Larry. Rosen at California State University, Dominguez Hills, gave a plenary talk entitled, "Poke Me: How Social Networks Can Both Help and Harm Our Kids." Rosen discussed the disturbing findings that "teens who use Facebook more often show more narcissistic tendencies while young adults who have a strong Facebook presence show more signs of other psychological disorders, including antisocial behaviors, mania and aggressive tendencies" [59].

2.5 Facebook and Peer Pressure

Facebook extends peer pressure from the physical world to the larger online world. Marlon Mundt from University of Wisconsin, Madison, studied the influence of peer social networks on adolescents. The findings suggest that adolescents are more likely to start drinking alcoholic beverages when they have large social networks of friends [60]. Soraya Mehdizadeh from York University, Toronto, published revealing research results that "individuals higher in narcissism and lower in self-esteem were related to greater online activity" and that women in particular used pictures that "include revealing, flashy and adorned photos of their physical appearance" [61].

In March 2012, CNN columnist Amanda Enayati reported on a story of a college student named Amanda Coleman who decided to quit Facebook. Being the president of her sorority, Coleman has counseled many young girls at her university. "They would call or come into see me for advice, crying that they were

stressed out,” Coleman said. “At some point I began noticing that Facebook was being mentioned in some way in just about every conversation. ... It’s as if somewhere along the line, Facebook became the encyclopedia of beauty and status and comparisons. ... [The young girls, many of them college freshmen] were walking around saying, ‘I’m not good enough. I’m not enough this or that’ [62].

The story reminds us of Facemash, the predecessor to Facebook, which placed two photos next to each other at a time and asked users to choose the hotter person. In spite of Facebook’s sophistication over Facemash, users can still compare themselves to their friends and their friends’ friends in terms of looks, fashion, popularity, and so forth.

Children and young teens are particularly susceptible to messages and images coming from their friends on Facebook. How are they going to react to their “enemies” on Facebook? A new Facebook app “EnemyGraph,” launched in March 2012, could exacerbate peer pressure by bonding like-minded haters online. EnemyGraph is “a Facebook application that allows you to list your enemies. Most social networks attempt to connect people based on affinities, but people are also connected and motivated by things they dislike, which joins them in ways not usually supported by social media platforms” [63]. So far the top trending enemies are teen pop Justin Bieber, GOP presidential hopeful Rick Santorum, and interestingly the Internet Explorer (IE) [64].

In his 2009 book *The Dumbest Generation: How the Digital Age Stupefies Young Americans and Jeopardizes Our Future*, Professor Mark Bauerlein at Emory University argues that the younger generation today is less informed, less literate, and more self-absorbed because the immediacy and intimacy of social-networking sites have focused young people’s Internet use on themselves and their friends instead of on learning new knowledge and useful skills. He observed that the language of Internet communication, with its peculiar spelling, grammar, and punctuation, actually encourages illiteracy by making it socially acceptable [65].

In 2012, former U.S. Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice issued an alarming report in which she warned that “although the United States invests more in education than almost any other developed nation, its students rank in the middle of the pack in reading and toward the bottom in math and science. On average, U.S. students have fallen behind peers in Korea and China, Poland and Canada and New Zealand. This puts us on a trajectory toward massive failure” [66].

It is high time for a major overhaul of the U.S. educational system. Peter Thiel, co-founder of PayPal, argues that colleges and universities do a poor job promoting innovation. He predicts that higher education is the next bubble waiting to burst [67]. In a provocative move, Thiel awarded each of the 24 winners of the 2011 Thiel Fellowship \$100,000 not to attend college for two years but to develop business ideas instead [68].

2.6 Reality TV and Social Media

Beginning in the year 2000, we have witnessed the exploding popularity of reality television shows such as *Big Brother*, *Survivor*, *American Idol*, *America's Next Top Model*, *Dancing With the Stars*, *The Apprentice*, and *Fear Factor*. A 2010 study showed that 15 of the top 20 highest-rated television programs among young adults 18–49 were reality shows [69].

Following the footsteps of Donald Trump's *The Apprentice*, other businesses are also taking a page from reality TV shows to discover new stars. The world's largest retailer Walmart launched its "Get on the Shelf" program on the same day *American Idol* began its eleventh season on January 18, 2012 [70]. The "Get on the Shelf" contest allows anyone in the U.S. to submit a video online pitching his or her invention. The public will vote on the products and three winners will have their products sold on Walmart.com, with the grand prizewinner also getting shelf space in select stores. Unlike *The Apprentice*, Walmart chose the Internet, instead of television, as the reality show medium.

While some reality shows have a certain amount of entertaining and educational values, others have little to no redeeming quality at all. *The Real Housewives of Beverly Hills*, for instance, is a prime example of legalized voyeurism and exhibitionism where the television viewers are the voyeurs and the participants in the reality show are the exhibitionists. Millions of people seem to enjoy access to private information that are really none of their business; and quite a large number of people want to expose their private lives to strangers in spite of potentially dangerous consequences including suicide [71] and murder [72]. In fact, a Brigham Young University study in 2010 reported that a reality show on average contains 52 acts of verbal, relational, or physical aggression per hour [73].

In addition to reality TV, popular television programs also have shown to increase online social activities on Twitter, Facebook, and other social networks [74]. Super Bowl XLVI on February 5, 2012 created an all-time record high of 17.46 million tweets, public Facebook posts, GetGlue check-ins, and Miso check-ins [75].

Analyst Nick Thomas at Informa wrote in a recent report on the future of TV worldwide, "Many [are] already using Facebook and Twitter and other tools to communicate via the handheld devices about the content they are simultaneously viewing on the TV" [76].

2.7 YouTube and Facebook Videos

While reality television may be losing steam [77] and the percentage of U.S. homes with a television set is declining [78], the Internet is flourishing with reality content and attentive audience. Mahir Çağrı, for instance, became an Internet celebrity in 1999 for his infamous homepage [79] and arguably was the main inspiration for British comedian Sacha Baron Cohen as the fictional Kazakhstan reporter "Borat" [80].

With the launch of the video-sharing website YouTube in February 2005, the Internet is becoming the new reality TV. Touted as “The Beast With A Billion Eyes” by the *Time Magazine* [81], YouTube reports in January 2012 that 4 billion online videos are viewed every day [82]. More than tripling the prime-time audiences of all three major U.S. broadcast networks combined, over 800 million unique users visit YouTube each month, and 30 % of whom are from the U.S. Users upload the equivalent of 240,000 full-length films every week. In fact, more video is uploaded to YouTube in one month than the 3 major U.S. networks created in 60 years [83].

In December 2011, more than 100 million Americans watch online video on an average day, representing a 43 % increase a year ago [84]. The numbers continue to skyrocket. In January 2012, some 181 million U.S. Internet users watched about 40 billion online videos [85]. Internet marketing research firm comScore’s Video Metrix shows that YouTube has over 50 % share of content videos viewed, followed by the distant second Vevo, Hulu, Yahoo!, Microsoft, Viacom, AOL, Netflix, ESPN, Mevio, and others [86]. Thanks to Netflix, Amazon Prime, and Hulu, Americans watch more online movies than DVDs in 2012 [87].

Facebook took fifth place in the U.S. online video rankings in December 2011, with 238 million videos viewed in a month [88]. Together with Facebook, YouTube has ushered in the new era of exhibitionism and voyeurism. Not everyone can be on TV, but everyone can be on YouTube. The HTML title of youtube.com is “YouTube—Broadcast Yourself” and the description meta tag reads “Share your videos with friends, family, and the world”.

Google video head Salar Kamangar said at News Corp.’s D: Dive Into Media 2012 conference, “We want YouTube to be the platform of these next generation of channels,” [89] referring to bringing its audiences more high-quality content in partnership with Disney and other media companies in 2012 [90].

Nevertheless, the lion share of the YouTube videos have been and will continue to be created by amateurs [91]. Anyone can literally create a 15 min of fame on YouTube. Aspiring young singers hope to become the next pop star Justin Bieber who was discovered via his homemade YouTube videos [92]. Kate Upton’s YouTube video of herself at a Los Angeles Clippers game “doing the Dougie” helped catapult her onto the cover of *Swimsuit Illustrated* [93].

In addition to individuals showcasing their talents, YouTube has also become an outlet for many teenagers seeking approval. Videos asking “Am I pretty or ugly” have popped up all over YouTube, some of them has accrued millions of views, rivaling blockbuster movie trailers and hit music videos. In the opening of one “Am I pretty or ugly” video, the YouTuber says: “Hey guys, this is my first video ... but before I post any more videos making a fool of myself, and I know there’s hundreds of videos like this. ... I just wanna know, am I pretty or ugly? Cuz at school I get called ugly all the time” [94].

Indeed, many of those YouTubers are victims of bullying. Naomi Gibson’s 13-year-old daughter Faye is constantly bullied by schoolmates who call her ugly. Faye went to YouTube to get a second opinion from strangers and received mixed reactions with nearly 3,000 comments [95]. Her mother appealed to YouTube to

try to take down all those “Am I pretty or ugly” videos, but to no avail. Gibson told *ABC Good Morning America*, “I took away her Facebook and Twitter account because of bullying. She needs to stop putting herself out there. Now people are walking around asking her if she’s pretty to her face. It’s hurting her more in the long run, I think” [96].

Bullying victims are not limited to a young age group. On June 21, 2012, a 10 min YouTube video showed a 68-year-old bus monitor named Karen Klein being verbally bullied by a group of middle schoolers, on their way home from the Athena Middle School in Greece, New York [97]. The video was viewed one million times within a week. Klein received widespread support from sympathizers and nearly \$650,000 in donations [98].

Another high-profile case is a graphic video uploaded to YouTube in October 2011 by 23-year-old Hillary Adams, showing Aransas County Court Judge William Adams viciously whipping her with a strap seven years earlier when she was 16 [99]. The video has been viewed almost seven million times as of January 2012 [100]. It is debatable whether the victim wanted to raise public awareness of child abuse or to retaliate against her father for withdrawing his financial support seven years after the incident [101].

YouTube has become the reality TV broadcast medium for the masses, and Facebook is the effective tool to disseminate the YouTube videos. Christopher Carpenter from Western Illinois University conducted research on the link between Facebook and narcissism. He concluded, “Facebook gives those with narcissistic tendencies the opportunity to exploit the site to get the feedback they need and become the center of attention” [102]. Self-promoters show signs of two narcissistic behaviors: grandiose exhibition (GE) and entitlement/exploitativeness (EE). GE refers to people who love to be the center of attention. EE indicates how far people will go to get the respect and attention that they think they deserve.

Although the GE and EE readings may be off the scale on many Facebook users and YouTubers, the situation is not necessarily as nefarious as some media have portrayed. Carol Hartsell, comedy editor of *The Huffington Post*, commented at the 2012 South by Southwest Interactive festival that social media has democratized comedy by giving everyone a platform to be funny. “People just naturally want to make other people laugh,” said Hartsell. “It’s hard to find an audience when you want to be funny. When you’re a kid, it’s your family. But when you’re an adult, the Internet gives you a constant audience. It may just be 20 friends on Facebook, but it’s an audience” [103].

A September 2010 survey by the Interactive Advertising Bureau (IAB) shows that one-third of the online population is on both YouTube and Facebook [104]. With over 955 million users on both social networks, the seamless integration of Facebook and YouTube videos offers a powerful communication tool for 100 of millions of people to disseminate and consume information, both private and public [105].

In February 2012, Apple is reportedly going to follow the footstep of YouTube in promoting its new iTV television product [106]. In addition to streaming content from iTunes, Apple’s iTV may broadcast user-generated videos taken on iPads and

iPhones. Without the need of a computer, mobile devices greatly simplify video taking, uploading, and sharing. In fact, an increasing number of users are accessing Facebook through mobile devices [107]. According to a December 2011 comScore report, 234 million Americans age 13 and older use mobile devices. 35.3 % of mobile subscribers access social networking sites or blogs [108].

2.8 Netflix and Social Apps on Facebook

In September 2011, Facebook began rolling out new “Read. Watch. Listen. Want.” features that let “social apps” broadcast every interaction users have with them [109]. The apps are opt-in, but few users read the fine print or adjust the default settings [110]. Some users might be surprised to find applications like Spotify, Kobo eBooks, Hulu, Yahoo! News, and Nike + GPS broadcasting every song they stream, book they read, video they watch, news story they glance over, or place they visit [111].

Back in December 2007, Facebook launched Beacon, resulting in a class-action lawsuit against Facebook, Fandango, Blockbuster, Overstock, and Gamefly for violating the 1988 Video Privacy Protection Act (VPPA) that aims at preserving the confidentiality of people’s movie-watching records. Facebook shut down Beacon in 2009 and agreed to pay \$9.5 million to create a new foundation for promoting privacy and security [112].

Notwithstanding the fiasco of the defunct Facebook Beacon program, Netflix, with 26 million subscribers [113] and 1 billion hours of video views in June 2012 [114], allows Facebook users see what films or television content friends are watching and will let users watch as well via Facebook [115]. To clear the hurdle of the Video Privacy Protection Act (VPPA), Netflix has successfully lobbied the U.S. House of Representatives in passing a measure in December 2011 to amend the VPPA, allowing Netflix to integrate with Facebook more easily [116].

Earlier in 2011, Netflix was accused of violating VPPA that requires video rental services to destroy users’ personal information “as soon as practicable, but no later than one year from the date the information is no longer necessary for the purpose for which it was collected.” In February 2012, Netflix settled a class-action privacy lawsuit for \$9 million; [117] and in May, Netflix announced that it would “decouple” former customers’ movie rental history from their personal information within one year after they cancel their accounts [118].

With Netflix and social apps’ integration with Facebook, we no longer need to call up our friends to ask what they were doing last weekend or what they are doing at the moment. Everything is on Facebook in real time. The “all-knowing” persuasive social network has diminished the necessity for real-life personal conversations. Other flourishing social apps such as the microblogging Twitter and the virtual pinboard Pinterest reinforce the popular trend of public sharing of information on the Internet [119].

2.9 Facebook Timeline

Not only does Facebook enable users to share current activities with one another, the social network has introduced the new Timeline feature in September 2011 for users to tell their life stories, share and highlight their most memorable posts, photos, and life events on their timelines [120]. “No activity is too big or too small to share,” said Mark Zuckerberg. “You don’t have to ‘Like’ a movie. You just watch a movie. ... We think it’s an important next step to help you tell the story of your life” [121].

Indeed, “to tell the story of your life” resonates with millions of Facebook addicts. But some critics have cautioned that Facebook may be invading too much into one’s personal life, as the user will be asked to add date of birth, key events, memories, personal events and feelings that happen outside of the social network [122].

To help users tell the story of their life with minimal efforts, Facebook is launching more “frictionless sharing” features to allow users automatically publish information from other websites and apps to their Timeline profiles. By January 2012, 60 apps have launched with Facebook’s auto-share; they include Hulu, Yahoo! News, *Wall Street Journal*, *USA Today*, *Washington Post*, Digg, Soundcloud, Turntable.fm, Rhapsody, and Spotify [123]. Apple’s iTunes and Pandora, however, do not plan to participate in the Facebook Music auto-publish feature [124].

In March 2012, Facebook announced that nearly 3,000 Timeline apps have launched in the past two months [125]. The apps makers include foursquare, Nike, *The Onion*, Vevo, Fandango, Viddy, Endomondo, RootMusic, Foodspotting, Pose, and Votizen. Fandango, for instance, claims to have tens of millions online visitors and 1.4 million Facebook fans [126]. Launched on March 13, 2012, the Fandango Timeline app “Movies with Friends” allows Facebook fans to share:

- Movies they’ve rated and reviewed on Fandango, from “Must Go” to “Oh No!”
- Movies they want to see, indicated by the “I’m In!” button
- Movie trailers, clips and celebrity interviews they have just watched
- Articles they’ve read on Fandango’s “Freshly Popped” blog

By using Facebook’s Timeline and social apps, an average user is knowingly communicating with an average of 130 online friends. Unknowingly, however, many of the popular apps on Facebook have been transmitting user information such as names and their friends’ names to dozens of advertising and Internet tracking companies. *The Wall Street Journal’s* investigation in October 2010 uncovered that Rapleaf, a database marketing company in San Francisco, complied and sold profiles of Facebook users based in part on their online activities [127]. Facebook has since cracked down on apps that sold user data and banned Rapleaf from data scraping on Facebook [128].

2.10 Ambient Social Apps

Ambient social apps are the new generation of mobile apps that automatically share information about the user's whereabouts with nearby people in their social networks by broadcasting their locations at all times to friends.

Launched in March 2009, foursquare is a location-based social networking website and mobile app that allows users to check in at a venue, thereby posting their location based on the GPS hardware in the mobile device. As of June 2011, foursquare has 10 million users [129]. Within six months, by January 2012, it has grown to 15 million people worldwide with 1.5 billion check-ins [130]. President Barack Obama is one of its high-profile users. "The White House is now on foursquare, which is the latest way for you to engage with the administration," Kori Schulman, Deputy Director of Outreach for the Office of Digital Strategy, wrote in *The White House Blog*, "Now you'll be able to discover tips from the White House featuring the places President Obama has visited, what he did there, plus historical information and more" [131].

The growing popularity of foursquare has ignited a slew of new ambient social apps. Sonar, for instance, is a mobile app that analyzes Facebook, Twitter, and LinkedIn networks to see if any online friends are nearby physically. According to the Sonar website, they "bottle the 1000s of connections that you miss every day—friends, friends of friends, fellow alumni, likeminded strangers—and put them in the palm of your hand. Sonar helps you use the information you share about yourself online to connect with the person sitting next to you" [132]. A screenshot displayed on the Sonar homepage shows who, among friends and friends of friends, are currently in the Museum of Modern Art and how long they have been there.

Glancee is both a Facebook app and an iPhone/Android app that explores the Facebook profiles of people nearby and notify the user when someone nearby has common friends or mutual interests [133]. The Radar function on Glancee displays how close the friends are in the proximity, from steps away to hundreds of yards apart.

Banjo is another ambient social app that alerts its user about friends who are nearby and pinpoints their locations on a street map. Nine months after its launch, Banjo has registered 1 million users in April 2012 [134]. The Banjo website posted a story that inspired the creation of the app: "Banjo founder Damien Patton was in the Boston airport waiting for a flight to Vegas. A buddy he hadn't seen in years was waiting for a different flight just one gate over. Damien tweeted. His friend checked in. Both posted about their locations, yet they missed connecting simply because they were using different social networks" [135].

Highlight is a free iPhone app that enables its users to learn about each other when they are close by. Using Facebook's data, the users can see each other's names, photos, mutual friends, hobbies, interests, and anything they have chosen to share. Highlight states in its website: "When you meet someone, Highlight helps you see

what you have in common with them. And when you forget their name at a party a week later, Highlight can help you remember it” [136]. Eric Eldon, Editor of *TechCrunch*, speculates that business cards will soon be replaced by Highlight [137].

2.11 Stalking Apps and Facebook for Sex

In May 2012, Facebook acquired Glancee and closed down its app [138]. On June 25, 2012, Facebook quietly tested a new mobile feature “Find Friends Nearby” (aka “Friendshake”) that allowed users find other Facebook members nearby using the mobile web as well as iOS and Android apps [139]. Facebook engineer Ryan Patterson said, “I built Find Friends Nearby with another engineer for a hackathon project. ... For me, the ideal use case for this product is the one where when you’re out with a group of people whom you’ve recently met and want to stay in contact with. Facebook search might be effective, or sharing your vanity addresses or business cards, but this tool provides a really easy way to exchange contact information with multiple people with minimal friction” [140].

However, Dave Copeland of *ReadWriteWeb* called Find Friends Nearby “Facebook’s Newest Stalking App” [141]. Facebook quietly pulled the feature off its website and mobile apps after a few hours of testing. A Facebook spokesperson told *Wired*, “This wasn’t a formal release—this was just something that a few engineers were testing. With all tests, some get released as full products, others don’t. Nothing more to say on this for now, but we’ll communicate to everyone when there is something to say” [142].

Ambient social apps on GPS-enabled devices can be useful and fun, but the tools inadvertently empower stalkers as well. “Girls Around Me” is an epitome of such controversial mobile apps in 2012. At the push of a button, the app would go into radar mode and fill the map with pictures of girls in the neighborhood: girls who have checked into the nearby locations using foursquare, and who have public pictures on their Facebook profiles.

After “Girls Around Me” had been downloaded 70,000 times, foursquare cut off access to the app, rendering the app useless. A foursquare spokeswoman said, “The application was in violation of our API policy, so we reached out to the developer and shut off their API access. foursquare has a policy against aggregating information across venues, to prevent situations like this where someone would present an inappropriate overview of a series of locations” [143].

“Girls Around Me” developer had no choice but to remove the app from the iTunes Store, but the developer defended itself in a public statement, “Girls Around Me does not provide any data that is unavailable to user when he uses his or her social network account, nor does it reveal any data that users did not share with others. The app was intended for facilitating discovering of great public venues nearby. The app was designed to make it easier for a user to step out of door and hang out in the city, find people with common interests and new places to go to” [144].

The demise of “Girls Around Me” only fueled the growing popularity of Badoo—the world’s largest social network for “meeting new people” with 154 million members as of June 2012 [145]. Relatively unknown in the United States, Badoo has become a mass phenomenon in Brazil, Mexico, France, Spain, and Italy after the site launched in 2006. Inspired by the nightclub known as “Telephone Bar” in St. Petersburg, Badoo’s founder Andrey Andreev created the social network to be like a nightclub on the phone [146].

The Badoo website and its mobile app enable users to meet people nearby. The app’s “hook-up” feature accounts for an overwhelming 80 % of usage, and a third of a million U.K. users admitted to using Badoo to find sexual partners [147]. Around the world, Badoo has earned the notorious nickname “Facebook for Sex.” [148].

Andreev defended his company amid controversy over heavy sexual overtones, “Badoo is not for sex, it’s for adventure. If you go to a nightclub, of course you’ve got the opportunity to find a girl or a boy—but it’s not necessarily for sex, it could be to enjoy five mojitos and nothing else. Badoo simply continues the offline lifestyle. Badoo is just a casual way to hook up with people, as you do in the street or nightclub. But we make the world work faster” [149].

As more people are broadcasting their real-time location and personal information, privacy and personal safety are becoming an issue. There have been reports of assaults and rapes of Skout and Grindr members while the majority of crimes tied to location-based apps may go unreported [150].

Pete Cashmore, founder and CEO of Mashable.com, questions whether ambient social networking is “the scariest tech trend of 2012” [151]. Paul Davison, CEO of Highlight, told *CNN* at the 2012 LeWeb London conference, “People freak out, they say it’s creepy. And if they don’t want to share, then that’s fine, they don’t have to. But the social benefits to this far outweigh any cost to privacy.”

What can be creepier than a mobile app equipped with facial recognition?

2.12 Facial Recognition Apps

In October 2011, Alessandro Acquisti, professor of IT and public policy at Carnegie Mellon University’s Heinz College, demonstrated a proof-of-concept iPhone application that can snap a photo of a person and within seconds display their name, date of birth and social security number [152]. “To match two photos of people in the United States in real time would take four hours,” said Acquisti. “That’s too long to do in real time. But assuming a steady improvement in cloud computing time, we can soon get much closer to that reality than many of us believed” [153].

In June 2012, Facebook acquired Face.com—a preeminent provider of facial recognition technology on the Internet [154]. Face.com wrote on its website, “Face.com builds facial recognition software that is not only highly accurate, but also works efficiently at web-scale. Our facial recognition analytics are able to identify faces well, despite difficult circumstances like poor lighting, poor focus,

subjects wearing eyeglasses, facial hair, and even Halloween costumes. Face recognition isn't just for the government or in the movies—you can use it yourself in all kinds of ways, from tagging photos to social networking" [155].

Two years before Facebook's acquisition, face.com rolled out its free facial recognition API (application programming interface) in May 2010 to encourage developers to tap its facial recognition technology for use in their own websites and applications [156]. By November 2011, more than 35,000 developers have built apps to detect and recognize more than 37 billion photos [157].

Some of the popular applications include "Photo Finder," "Photo Tagger," and "Celebrityfindr." "Photo Finder" is a Facebook app that scans the public photos in the user's social network and suggests tags for the photos that are currently untagged or partially tagged [158]. The app recognizes people even if they are making odd facial expressions or are turned to the side. "Photo Tagger" searches through the user's photo albums or the albums of their friends, and tags people in batches. [159] And "Celebrityfindr" scans Twitter and looks for photos of celebrities and lookalikes that have been posted publicly [160].

Other facial recognition software applications in a prototype stage include "HoneyBadger," "Facialytics," and "Emotional Breakdown" [161]. Using face.com's technology, "HoneyBadger" sends an alert text message to the registered owner if the laptop is being used by someone else, "Facialytics" tracks a crowd's emotions over time, and "Emotional Breakdown" examines how happy or sad someone is in a photo.

2.13 Facial Recognition on Facebook, Google+, and iPhone

"We wouldn't exist without Facebook," said Gil Hirsch, CEO of face.com. "By far the biggest scale for face recognition is your friends on Facebook" [162].

Indeed, by April 2009, Facebook users had uploaded over 15 billion digital photographs to the social network, making Facebook the single largest repository of photographs in the world [163]. The growth rate is 220 million new photos per week. Every day, Facebook users are adding more than 100 million tags to photos on Facebook [164].

In December 2010, Facebook began to roll out its own facial recognition technology, Photo Tag Suggest, which scans users' and their friends' photos for recognizable faces, and suggests nametags for the faces by matching them with users' profile photos and other tagged photos on the social network [165]. Facebook automatically opts its users into the Photo Tag Suggest service, which prompted a security firm to issue a warning in June 2011 that Facebook is eroding the online privacy of its users by stealth [166]. Although Facebook users can disable "Suggest photos of me to friends" in the Facebook account's privacy settings, many people are unaware of this extra privacy setting.

When an *Engadget* published an article on Facebook's facial recognition in April 2011, a commenter beneath the story quipped, "Awesome! Now I can take

pictures of cute girls at the grocery store or at the park, upload them and Facebook will tell me who they are!” [167].

Lee Tien, a senior staff attorney at the Electronic Frontier Foundation, wrote in his email to *PCWorld*, “Facial recognition is especially troubling because cameras are ubiquitous and we routinely show our faces. And of course, one can take pictures of crowds, so it scales a bit better than, say, fingerprints. ... If Facebook misidentifies someone, the consequences are not the same as when a police video-camera misidentifies you as a suspect” [168].

Facebook’s acquisition of face.com in June 2012 solidifies the importance of facial recognition in social networking. The move can also be viewed as Facebook’s preemptive tactic against Google and Apple.

Back in September 2008, Google deployed facial recognition technology to its online photo service Picasa [169]. Similarly, Apple released the “Faces” feature in iPhoto in January 2009 [170]. With a reasonable success rate, Picasa and iPhone helped users label their photos with the names of subjects. As facial recognition technology began to mature, Apple added the Faces feature to its professional photography software Aperture in 2010 [171].

In December 2011, Google followed the Facebook footstep and introduced “Find My Face” as a tagging suggestion tool for its Google+ social network [172]. The tool has the same functionality as Facebook’s Photo Tag Suggest. Unlike Facebook, which activates its Photo Tag Suggest by default, Google prompts users to opt into the service before Find My Face is activated. Moreover, if the tagger is not in the tatee’s circles of friends, Google requires the tatee to approve the name tagging before it goes public. Facebook, on the contrary, does not require pre-approval for tags. Facebook allows all tags to go live before notifying the tatees, who are then allowed to remove unwanted tags.

Amid concerns raised by privacy advocates and U.S. Federal Trade Commission, Benjamin Petrosky, product counsel for Google+, said, “Privacy has been baked right into this feature [Find My Face]. ... We’ve been researching vision technologies for many years, including pattern recognition, facial detection, and facial recognition, and our approach is to treat this very carefully. We don’t want to deploy a technology until it’s ready and the appropriate privacy tools are in place” [173]. Meanwhile, Erin Egan, Facebook’s chief privacy policy officer, defended the company by claiming that it does enough to safeguard its members’ privacy by notifying them when they have been tagged and allowing them to remove tags once they have been made [174].

While Prof. Alessandro Acquisti’s 2011 proof-of-concept iPhone application is not yet a commercial product, face.com released a free iPhone app called Klik in March 2012 [175]. When Klik detects a face, it connects to the user’s Facebook account and scans all the friends’ photos in order to identify the person in view. Given the limited number of Facebook friends that a user may have, facial recognition can be done in real time.

As smartphones and iPads are connected to cloud computing services over the Internet, it is a matter of time for companies to introduce new mobile applications that can run millions of face comparisons in seconds, even without tapping into the

power of the supercomputers at the National Security Agency or San Diego Supercomputing Center.

Privacy concerns with the growing adoption of facial recognition have prompted Adam Harvey at New York University to create *CV Dazzle*, a computer vision (CV) camouflage project that combines makeup and hair styling with face-detection thwarting designs [176]. Some of the camouflage techniques include wearing oversized sunglasses, avoiding enhancers such as eye shadow and lipstick, and partially obscuring the nose-bridge area and ocular region [177].

2.14 Virtual Passports: From Privacy to Data Use

When Spotify, a popular music streaming service, announced in September 2011 that all new Spotify accounts would require a Facebook login, the company justified by asking the users to think of it as a virtual “passport” [178]. The idea is reminiscent of Microsoft’s Passport in 1999 to provide consumers a single login and wallet for communication and commerce on the Internet [179]. Privacy concerns, public distrust, and software security issues contributed to the failure of Microsoft’s Passport in 2004 as an Internet-wide unified-login system [180].

With the rise of social networks, Facebook has become a large-scale consumer identity provider (IdP) that allows users to access multiple websites with a single login [181]. Unlike Microsoft, Facebook has succeeded in convincing media websites and users to adopt its unified-login system (“passport”) such that personal information can be easily shared across the Internet. Even Microsoft’s new social search network So.cl uses Facebook authentication [182].

As we know, countries issue passports. Facebook as a nation in 2012 would be the third largest country in the world with over 955 million citizens, after China and India [183]. Facebook even offers a memorialization feature for families and friends to leave posts on the deceased’s profile Walls in remembrance [184]. Cybercitizens are becoming as real as citizens. Their Facebook pages are more revealing than their real passports.

In the Facebook nation, “power users” dominate the online space by excessively tagging photos, sending messages, “like”-ing things all the time, and obsessively “friend”-ing new people on Facebook. Power users make up between 20 and 30 % of the Facebook population [185].

Let me restate the staggering Facebook statistics: By June 2012, there are 955 million active Facebook users worldwide, including 160 million Americans—half of the United States population, and 7.5 million American children under the age of 13. Americans spend a total of 53.5 billion minutes every month on Facebook.

In the United States, Facebook ranks No. 1 in time spent and ad impressions, and No. 2 in total internet visits (behind Google) [186]. And the most popular free iPhone app of all time is Facebook [187].

Each Facebook user is sharing personal information among an average of 130 online friends, roughly 25 % of whom are strangers. 20–30 % of the Facebook

populations are considered “power users” that have between 359 and 780 online friends. Without invoking complex mathematics, we can infer from the numbers that millions of people have access to information that is not really meant to be publicly shared.

Even if a Facebook user has zero online friends, they are still sharing all of their personal information with Facebook. In fact, Facebook has quietly renamed its “Privacy Policy” to “Data Use Policy” in September 2011 to reflect more accurately what Facebook does with the data collection [188].

At the January 2012 Digital Life Design (DLD) conference in Munich, Facebook’s chief operating officer Sheryl Sandberg said, “We are our real identities online” [189]. Cybercitizens are becoming as real as citizens. Our online identities are more revealing than our own passports.

In light of Facebook’s Timeline, social apps auto-share, facial recognition photo tagging, and violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act, a *Los Angeles Times* article in September 2011 declared, “Facebook has murdered privacy” [190].

Nevertheless, Facebook is not the only culprit.

2.15 Social Search: Google, Plus Your World and Microsoft’s Bing

In December 2009, Google’s then CEO Eric Schmidt told CNBC’s Maria Bartiromo in an interview, “If you have something that you don’t want anyone to know, maybe you shouldn’t be doing it in the first place, but if you really need that kind of privacy, the reality is that search engines—including Google—do retain this information for some time, and it’s important, for example that we are all subject in the United States to the Patriot Act. It is possible that that information could be made available to the authorities” [191].

On January 10, 2012, Google began to roll out its most radical transformation ever with “social search”—a new search engine that understands not only content, but also people and relationships [192]. Google search provides not only results from the public web, but also personal content or things shared on social networks such as Google+ and YouTube. Google Fellow Amit Singhal wrote in the Google Blog: “Search is pretty amazing at finding that one needle in a haystack of billions of webpages, images, videos, news and much more. But clearly, that isn’t enough. You should also be able to find your own stuff on the web, the people you know and things they’ve shared with you, as well as the people you don’t know but might want to ... all from one search box” [193].

In January 2012, Google announced its new privacy policy, effective March 1, that replaces more than 60 different privacy policies across Google. They admitted that the company has been collecting and compiling data about its users based on their activities across Google products and services including search engine, Gmail, YouTube, and Android cell phones [194]. In regard to search particularly,

Google states in its policies and principles that “if you’re signed into Google, we can do things like suggest search queries—or tailor your search results—based on the interests you’ve expressed in Google+, Gmail, and YouTube. We’ll better understand which version of Pink or Jaguar you’re searching for and get you those results faster” [195]. Some may argue that the new Google search is way too personal, as editor Brent Rose expressed in a January 2012 *Gizmodo* article: “The fact that you can’t opt-out of shared search data, and that Google will know more about you than your wife? That’s a little creepy” [196].

A letter signed by 36 state attorneys general was sent to Google co-founder and CEO Larry Page. The letter reads, “On a fundamental level, the policy appears to invade consumer privacy by automatically sharing personal information consumers input into one Google product with all Google products” [197]. In particular, questions were raised about whether users can opt-out of the new data sharing system either globally or on a product-by-product basis [198]. Google responded that by folding more than 60 product-specific privacy policies into one, the company is explaining its privacy commitments in a simpler and more understandable manner [199].

The Electronic Frontier Foundation explained, “Search data can reveal particularly sensitive information about you, including facts about your location, interests, age, sexual orientation, religion, health concerns, and more” [200]. Nick Mediati wrote in *PC World*, “This grand consolidation means that all of your Google account data will live in a single database that every Google service can access. Google Maps will have access to your Gmail data, which will have access to your YouTube history, and so on” [201]. Users who log on to Google, Gmail, and YouTube cannot opt out of the Google’s new privacy policy [202].

Before Google plus Your World, Microsoft’s search engine Bing has been collaborating with Twitter since 2009 and Facebook since 2010 to surface more personalized content in search results. Microsoft pays Twitter to obtain a real-time feed of tweets for its search engine Bing [203]. Bing users have the ability to see what their friends have liked across the web, including news articles, celebrities, movies, and music [204].

The idea behind the search integration is the “Friend Effect”—a decision made when someone obtains a friend’s stamp of approval [205]. For example, critics may pan a movie that you are interested in, but if your friends say the movie is worth seeing anyway, you are more likely to watch that movie. A Nielsen report titled “Global Trust in Advertising and Brand Messages” has validated the “Friend Effect” by showing that an overwhelming 92 % of consumers around the world trust recommendations from friends and family above all other forms of advertising [206].

In 2011, Microsoft spruced up its mobile Bing site with Facebook integration [207] and deepened the ties between Bing and Facebook by displaying the social search results along with Facebook friends’ pictures, cities of residence, education, employment details, travel locations, and even shopping lists [208].

In response to Google, plus Your World, Microsoft redesigned Bing in 2012 to feature the new “Sidebar,” a social search function to scour user’s social networks

to surface information relevant to the search queries [209]. For instance, a search for “Los Angeles Chinese restaurants” will return existing posts from friends talking about a similar topic on Facebook, Twitter, and Google+.

In a 2012 interview with *The Guardian*, Google co-founder Sergey Brin complained, “all the information in [the Facebook and iPhone] apps—that data is not crawlable by web crawlers. You can’t search it” [210]. Indeed, all search engines have the same mission of prying into every detail in every corner of the world in order to unearth as much information as possible. However, the walled garden of Facebook and social media have been increasingly driving more referral traffic than traditional search. Tanya Corduroy, digital development director for *The Guardian*, reported in March 2012 that Facebook made up more than 30 % of the newspaper’s referrals compared to a mere 2 % 18 months ago [211].

Social search, Google, plus Your World & Microsoft’s Bing, is shaping the future of search engines, making search results more personal, more comprehensive, and hopefully more useful. It is shifting the landscape of search engine optimization (SEO) to incorporate “Friend Effect” in addition to keywords, meta tags, cross-linking, and other traditional SEO techniques. Google co-founder Larry Page once said, “The ultimate search engine is something as smart as people—or smarter” [212].

2.16 Connected Cars: In-vehicle Social Networks

In January 2012, Mercedes-Benz publicized its efforts in bringing Facebook and Google to the automobile dashboard [213]. “We’re working on a new generation of vehicles that truly serve as digital companions,” said Dieter Zetsche, head of Mercedes-Benz Cars, in a keynote speech at CES 2012 in Las Vegas. “They learn your habits, adapt to your choices, predict you moves and interact with your social network” [214].

Meanwhile at CES 2012, Ford Motor Company introduced the new in-vehicle application called Roximity. The app provides real-time deals and specials relevant to a user’s location, based on personal preferences and interests. A driver could easily get a customized verbal message for a special deal on food from a favorite nearby restaurant [215].

“Commonly referred to as a connected car, the prevailing trend is to integrate smartphone apps into the car’s dashboard. This enables drivers and passengers to listen to online music, access news and other content, stream video and more,” wrote *ReadWriteWeb* editor-in-chief Richard MacManus in February 2012. “The next big thing in computing isn’t a new model smartphone or laptop. It’s the Internet empowering everything else around us. Our cars, TVs and many other devices” [216].

Researchers at Virginia Tech Transportation Institute conducted several large-scale, naturalistic driving studies in 2009 to get a clear picture of driver distraction and cell phone use under real-world driving conditions [217]. The research shows

that talking on a cell phone increases the risk of a crash or near-crash by 1.3 times over non-distracted driving, while physically dialing a number increased the risk 2.8 times. A person is more than 23 times more likely to be in a crash or near crash while text messaging.

“People are already distracted by their phones in their car, but we can make it safer for them to do what they are already doing,” commented Ricardo Reyes, spokesman for the Tesla Model S electric car that features a 17-inch touch screen display with Internet access and four USB ports to attach devices in the electric car [218].

U.S. Transportation Secretary Ray LaHood issued the non-binding guidelines in February 2012 for automakers to design in-car social network devices such that they cannot be used while a car is in motion. LaHood also called for disabling manual texting, Internet browsing, 10-digit phone dialing, and the ability to enter addresses into a built-in navigation system for drivers unless the car is in park [219].

In-vehicle cell phone use remains debatable. In May 2012, Consumer Electronics Association sent a letter to the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB): “CEA must disagree with the NTSB’s broad recommendation calling for a ban on the nonemergency use of portable electronics devices (other than those designed to support the driving task) by all drivers. There is no real-world evidence to support such a blanket prohibition unless one would also ban other potential distractions, such as eating, drinking, applying make-up and engaging with children while in the vehicle” [220].

In July 2012, marketing research firm ABI Research estimated that in five years, 60 % of the world’s cars would include built-in Internet and smart phone connectivity [221]. In-vehicle social networks will likely create more distractions for drivers.

References

1. Sprenger, Polly. (January 26, 1999). Sun on Privacy: ‘Get Over It.’ Wired. <http://www.wired.com/politics/law/news/1999/01/17538>
2. Newman, Jared. (December 11, 2009). Google’s Schmidt Roasted for Privacy Comments. PCWorld. http://www.pcworld.com/article/184446/googles_schmidt_roasted_for_privacy_comments.html
3. Singel, Ryan. (April 24, 2012). The Internet gets a hall of fame (yes including Al Gore). CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/04/24/tech/web/internet-hall-of-fame/index.html>
4. Ellis, Blake. (March 9, 2012). Craziest tax deductions: Carrier pigeons. <http://money.cnn.com/galleries/2012/pf/taxes/1203/gallery.wacky-tax-deductions/>
5. Wortham, Jenna. (December 15, 2011) Your Life on Facebook, in Total Recall. The New York Times. <http://www.nytimes.com/2011/12/16/technology/facebook-brings-back-the-past-with-new-design.html>
6. Consumer Reports magazine editors. (June 2012). Facebook & your privacy. Who sees the data you share on the biggest social network? Consumer Reports. <http://www.consumerreports.org/cro/magazine/2012/06/facebook-your-privacy/index.htm>
7. Facebook. (February 4, 2004). About Facebook. <https://www.facebook.com/facebook/info>
8. Facebook Company Timeline. (Retrieved January 25, 2012). <http://www.facebook.com/press/info.php?timeline>

9. Olivarez-Giles, Nathan. (September 22, 2011). Facebook F8: Redesigning and hitting 800 million users. Los Angeles Times. <http://latimesblogs.latimes.com/technology/2011/09/facebook-f8-media-features.html>
10. Tsukayama, Hayley. (February 1, 2012). Facebook's reach: 845 million and counting. The Washington Post. http://www.washingtonpost.com/business/technology/facebooks-reach-845-million-and-counting/2012/02/01/gIQAUV0gwiQ_story.html
11. Sengupta, Somini. (February 26, 2012). Risk and Riches in User Data for Facebook. The New York Times. <http://www.nytimes.com/2012/02/27/technology/for-facebook-risk-and-riches-in-user-data.html>
12. Facebook. (Retrieved August 11, 2012). Facebook Newsroom. <http://newsroom.fb.com/content/default.aspx?NewsAreaId=22>
13. Facebook Statistics by country. (Retrieved August 11, 2012). <http://www.socialbakers.com/facebook-statistics/>
14. Nielsen. (2011). Nielsen Social Media Report: Q3 2011. <http://blog.nielsen.com/nielsenwire/social/>
15. NM Incite. (December 19, 2011). Friends & Frenemies: Why We Add and Remove Facebook Friends. NM Incite. <http://www.nmncite.com/?p=6051>
16. Bartz, Andrea and Ehrlich, Brenna. (December 20, 2011). Beware the Facebook 'friend collector'. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2011/12/21/tech/social-media/netiquette-friend-collector/index.html>
17. Gallaga, Omar L. (May 17, 2012). Why I won't be quitting Facebook. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/05/17/tech/social-media/facebook-gallaga/index.html>
18. Benoit, David. (February 1, 2012). Mark Zuckerberg's Letter From The Facebook Filing. The Wall Street Journal. <http://blogs.wsj.com/deals/2012/02/01/mark-zuckerbergs-letter-from-the-facebook-filing/>
19. Glenn, Devon. (February 3, 2012). AOL To Launch Huffington Post Streaming Network. Social Times. http://socialtimes.com/aol-to-launch-huffington-post-streaming-network_b89001
20. Khalid, Kiran. (December 28, 2011). A social-media addict tries to disconnect. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2011/12/14/tech/social-media/khalid-social-media-unplug/index.html>
21. Sutter, John D. (May 2, 2012). Prominent blogger: 'I'm leaving the Internet for a year'. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/05/02/tech/web/paul-miller-quits-internet/index.html>
22. Miller, Paul. (May 2, 2012). Offline: day one of life without internet. The Verge. <http://www.theverge.com/2012/5/2/2994277/paul-miller-diary-offline-day-of-life-without-internet>
23. Arrington, Michael. (September 7, 2005). 85 % of College Students use FaceBook. TechCrunch. <http://techcrunch.com/2005/09/07/85-of-college-students-use-facebook/>
24. Markoff, John and Sengupta, Somini. (November 21, 2011). Separating You and Me? 4.74 Degrees. New York Times. <http://www.nytimes.com/2011/11/22/technology/between-you-and-me-4-74-degrees.html>
25. Alves, David. (January 4, 2012). Facebook. <https://www.facebook.com/notes/facebook-engineering/announcing-facebooks-2012-hacker-cup/10150468260528920>
26. Segall, Laurie. (January 4, 2012) Announcing Facebook's 2012 Hacker Cup. CNN. http://money.cnn.com/2012/01/04/technology/facebook_hacker_cup/index.htm
27. Kaplan, Katharine A. (November 19, 2003). Facemash Creator Survives Ad Board. Harvard Crimson. <http://www.thecrimson.com/article/2003/11/19/facemash-creator-survives-ad-board-the/>
28. Milian, Mark. (May 8, 2012). Zuckerberg's Hoodie a 'Mark of Immaturity,' Analyst Says. Bloomberg. <http://go.bloomberg.com/tech-deals/2012-05-08-zuckerbergs-hoodie-a-mark-of-immaturity-analyst-says-2/>
29. Schaefer, Steve. (June 15, 2012). SEC Pressed Facebook On Zynga, Instagram And Zuckerberg's Control Pre-IPO. Forbes. <http://www.forbes.com/sites/steveschaefer/2012/06/15/sec-pressed-facebook-on-zynga-instagram-pre-ipo/>

30. Federal Trade Commission. (November 29, 2011). Facebook Settles FTC Charges That It Deceived Consumers By Failing To Keep Privacy Promises. <http://www.ftc.gov/opa/2011/11/privacysettlement.shtml>
31. Federal Trade Commission. (2011). FTC complaint against Facebook. <http://www.ftc.gov/os/caselist/0923184/111129facebookcmpt.pdf>
32. Pepitone, Julianne. (November 29, 2011). Facebook settles FTC charges over 2009 privacy breaches. CNN. http://money.cnn.com/2011/11/29/technology/facebook_settlement/index.htm
33. Federal Trade Commission. (2011). FTC proposed settlement. <http://www.ftc.gov/os/caselist/0923184/111129facebookagree.pdf>
34. Levine, Dan; McBride, Sarah. (June 16, 2012). Facebook to pay \$10 million to settle suit. Reuters. <http://www.reuters.com/article/2012/06/16/net-us-facebook-settlement-idUSBRE85F0N120120616>
35. Madden, Mary; Smith, Aaron. (May 26, 2010). Reputation Management and Social Media. Pew Internet. <http://pewinternet.org/Reports/2010/Reputation-Management/Part-2/Attitudes-and-Actions.aspx>
36. Consumer Reports magazine editors. (June 2012). Facebook & your privacy. Who sees the data you share on the biggest social network? Consumer Reports. <http://www.consumerreports.org/cro/magazine/2012/06/facebook-your-privacy/index.htm>
37. Facebook Company Statistics. (Retrieved January 25, 2012). <http://www.facebook.com/press/info.php?statistics>
38. Pempek, Tiffany A.; Yermolayeva, Yevdokiya A.; Calvert, Sandra L. (Retrieved May 4, 2012). College Students' Social Networking Experiences on Facebook. http://cdmc.georgetown.edu/papers/College_Students'_Social_networking_Experiences_on_Facebook.pdf
39. Cohen, Jackie. (January 13, 2011). You Don't Know One-Fifth of Your Facebook Friends. All Facebook. <http://www.allfacebook.com/you-dont-know-one-fifth-of-your-facebook-friends-2011-01>
40. Podvey, Heather. (2009). Do you really KNOW your Facebook friends? Applywise. http://www.applywise.com/sep09_facebook.aspx
41. NM Incite. (December 19, 2011). Friends & Frenemies: Why We Add and Remove Facebook Friends. NM Incite. <http://www.nmincite.com/?p=6051>
42. Hampton, Keith. Goulet, Lauren Sessions. Marlow, Cameron. Rainie, Lee. (February 2, 2012). Why most Facebook users get more than they give. Pew Research Center. <http://www.pewinternet.org/Press-Releases/2012/Facebook-users.aspx>
43. Cheng, Jacqui. (February 7, 2012). Over 3 years later, "deleted" Facebook photos are still online. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/02/06/tech/social-media/deleted-facebook-photos-online/index.html>
44. The Internet Archive. (Retrieved February 17, 2012). About the Internet Archive. <http://www.archive.org/about/about.php>
45. Kang, Cecilia. (November 1, 2011). Parents help underage children lie to get on Facebook, survey finds. The Washington Post http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/post-tech/post/parents-help-underage-children-lie-to-get-on-facebook-survey-finds/2011/11/01/gIQAf6D1cM_blog.html
46. Fox, Jeffrey. (May 10, 2011). Five million Facebook users are 10 or younger. Consumer Reports. <http://news.consumerreports.org/electronics/2011/05/five-million-facebook-users-are-10-or-younger.html>
47. Boyd, Danah. (November 7, 2011) Why parents help their children lie to Facebook about age: Unintended consequences of the 'Children's Online Privacy Protection Act'. Journal of the Internet. <http://www.uic.edu/htbin/cgiwrap/bin/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/3850/3075>
48. The Wall Street Journal. (September 17, 2010). What They Know - Kids. The Wall Street Journal Blogs. <http://blogs.wsj.com/wtk-kids/>
49. Stecklow, Steve. (September 17, 2010). On the Web, Children Face Intensive Tracking. The Wall Street Journal. <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052748703904304575497903523187146.html>

50. Federal Trade Commission. (Revised October 7, 2008). Frequently Asked Questions about the Children's Online Privacy Protection Rule. <http://www.ftc.gov/privacy/coppafaqs.shtm>
51. Mine, Mark R.; Shochet, Joe; Hughston, Roger. (October 2003). Building a massively multiplayer game for the million: Disney's Toontown Online. ACM Computers in Entertainment. <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?doid=950566.950589>
52. Barnes, Brooks. (August 2, 2007). Disney Acquires Web Site for Children. The New York Times. <http://www.nytimes.com/2007/08/02/business/02disney.html>
53. Choney, Suzanne. (June 21, 2011). Disney lets Club Penguin domain lapse, site goes down. MSNBC. http://technolog.msnbc.msn.com/_news/2011/06/21/6907472-disney-lets-club-penguin-domain-lapse-site-goes-down
54. Sniderman, Zachary. (December 13, 2011). How Disney's Club Penguin Became the Biggest Social Network for Kids. Mashable. <http://mashable.com/2011/12/13/club-penguin-disney/>
55. McCarthy, Caroline. (July 27, 2010). Disney to acquire social-gaming company Playdom. CNET. http://news.cnet.com/8301-13577_3-20011844-36.html
56. Reisinger, Don. (May 13, 2011). FTC: Disney's Playdom violated child protection act. CNET. http://news.cnet.com/8301-13506_3-20062566-17.html
57. Federal Trade Commission. (May 12, 2011). Operators of Online "Virtual Worlds" to Pay \$3 Million to Settle FTC Charges That They Illegally Collected and Disclosed Children's Personal Information. Federal Trade Commission News. <http://www.ftc.gov/opa/2011/05/playdom.shtm>
58. Milian, Mark. (January 25, 2012) Study: Multitasking hinders youth social skills. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/01/25/tech/social-media/multitasking-kids/index.html>
59. Rosen, Larry D. (August 6, 2011). Social Networking's Good and Bad Impacts on Kids. Psychologists explore myths, realities and offer guidance for parents. American Psychological Association Press Release. <http://www.apa.org/news/press/releases/2011/08/social-kids.aspx>
60. Mundt, Marlon P. (September/October 2011). The Impact of Peer Social Networks on Adolescent Alcohol Use Initiation. Academic Pediatrics. Volume 11, Number 5.
61. Mehdizadeh, Soraya. (August 2010). Self-Presentation 2.0: Narcissism and Self-Esteem on Facebook. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking. Volume 13, Number 4.
62. Enayati, Amanda. (March 16, 2012). Facebook: The encyclopedia of beauty? CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/03/16/living/beauty-social-networks/index.html>
63. EnemyGraph. (Retrieved March 29, 2012). EnemyGraph Trends. <http://www.enemygraph.com/>
64. Gynn, Jessica. (March 27, 2012). Is Facebook too friendly? Plug-in EnemyGraph makes it less so. The Los Angeles Times. <http://www.latimes.com/business/technology/la-fi-tt-facebook-enemygraph20120327,0,4664649.story>
65. Bauerlein, Mark. (May 14, 2009). The Dumbest Generation: How the Digital Age Stupefies Young Americans and Jeopardizes Our Future(Or, Don't Trust Anyone Under 30). Tarcher.
66. Rice, Condoleezza; Klein, Joel. (March 20, 2012). Rice, Klein: Education keeps America safe. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/03/20/opinion/rice-klein-education/index.html>
67. Lacy, Sarah. (April 10, 2011). Peter Thiel: We're in a Bubble and It's Not the Internet. It's Higher Education. TechCrunch. <http://techcrunch.com/2011/04/10/peter-thiel-were-in-a-bubble-and-its-not-the-internet-its-higher-education/>
68. Wieder, Ben. (May 25, 2011). Thiel Fellowship Pays 24 Talented Students \$100,000 Not to Attend College. The Chronicle of Higher Education. <http://chronicle.com/article/Thiel-Fellowship-Pays-24/127622/>
69. Carter, Bill. (September 13, 2010). Tired of Reality TV, but Still Tuning In. New York Times. <http://www.nytimes.com/2010/09/13/business/media/13reality.html>
70. Neff, Jack. (January 18, 2012). Move Over, 'American Idol': Walmart's the Next Reality Giant. Advertising Age. <http://adage.com/article/news/move-american-idol-walmart-s-reality-giant/232178/>

71. McNamara, Mary. (September 5, 2011). 'Real Housewives': Suicide should have scrapped Season 2. Los Angeles Times. <http://latimesblogs.latimes.com/showtracker/2011/09/real-housewives-suicide-should-have-scrapped-season-2.html>
72. Amedure, Scott. (March 12, 1995). Fatal Shooting Follows Surprise on TV Talk Show. New York Times. <http://www.nytimes.com/1995/03/12/us/fatal-shooting-follows-surprise-on-tv-talk-show.html>
73. Nelson, David. (May 20, 2010). Meaner than fiction: Reality TV high on aggression, study shows. Brigham Young University News Release. <http://news.byu.edu/archive10-may-realitytv.aspx>
74. Trendrr. (January 25, 2012). Social TV Has Talent. Trendrr Blog. <http://blog.trendrr.com/2012/01/25/social-tv-has-talent/>
75. Trendrr.TV. (Retrieved February 6, 2012). Trendrr.TV. <http://trendrr.tv/>
76. Smith, Steve. (March 30, 2012). Facebook A Quiet Second-Screen Giant In Social TV Space? Mobile Marketing Daily. <http://www.mediapost.com/publications/article/171410/facebook-a-quiet-second-screen-giant-in-social-tv.html>
77. Fitzgerald, Toni. (October 12, 2011). Is reality TV losing steam? Maybe so. Media Life Magazine. http://www.medialifemagazine.com/artman2/publish/Broadcastrecap_64/Is-reality-TV-losing-steam-Maybe-so.asp
78. Nielsen. (May 3, 2011). Nielsen Estimates Number of U.S. Television Homes to be 114.7 Million. Nielsenwire. http://blog.nielsen.com/nielsenwire/media_entertainment/nielsen-estimates-number-of-u-s-television-homes-to-be-114-7-million/
79. Wood, Molly. (July 15, 2005). Top 10 Web fads. CNet. http://www.cnet.com/1990-11136_1-6268155-1.html
80. Gill, Steve. (November 3, 2006). Can Borat be Sued by the "I Kiss You!" Guy? Electronic News Network. <http://electronicnewsnetwork.com/entertainment/borat-sued-by-mahir-cagri-201037/>
81. Grossman, Lev. (January 30, 2012). The Beast With A Billion Eyes. Time Magazine. <http://www.time.com/time/magazine/article/0,9171,2104815,00.html>
82. Oreskovic, Alexei. (January 23, 2012). YouTube hits 4 billion daily video views. Reuters. <http://www.reuters.com/article/2012/01/23/us-google-youtube-idUSTRE80M0TS20120123>
83. YouTube press statistics. (Retrieved January 25, 2012). http://www.youtube.com/t/press_statistics
84. Constine, Josh. (February 9, 2012). 100 Million Americans Watch Online Video Per Day, Up 43 % Since 2010 -comScore. TechCrunch. <http://techcrunch.com/2012/02/09/100-million-american-watch-video/>
85. Broadcast Engineering. (February 22, 2012). 40 billion online videos viewed by U.S. Internet users in January, says comScore. Broadcast Engineering. <http://broadcastengineering.com/ott/40-billion-online-videos-US-users-January-comScore-02222012/>
86. comScore, Inc. (February 9, 2012). 2012 U.S. Digital Future in Focus. comScore. http://www.comscore.com/Press_Events/Presentations_Whitepapers/2012/2012_US_Digital_Future_in_Focus
87. Pepitone, Julianne. (March 22, 2012). Americans now watch more online movies than DVDs. CNN Money. <http://money.cnn.com/2012/03/22/technology/streaming-movie-sales/index.htm>
88. Protalinski, Emil. (January 17, 2012). Facebook fifth for online video in December 2011. ZDNet. <http://www.zdnet.com/blog/facebook/facebook-fifth-for-online-video-in-december-2011/7503>
89. Milian, Mark. (February 1, 2012). YouTube exec: We're heading for 'third wave' of TV. CNN. <http://whatsnext.blogs.cnn.com/2012/02/01/youtube-third-wave/>
90. Barnes, Brooks. (November 6, 2011). Disney and YouTube Make a Video Deal. The New York Times. <http://www.nytimes.com/2011/11/07/business/media/disney-and-youtube-make-a-video-deal.html>
91. Puopolo, Joseph. (January 29, 2012). The Emergence Of The Content Creation Class. TechCrunch. <http://techcrunch.com/2012/01/29/the-emergence-of-the-content-creation-class/>

92. Adib, Desiree. (November 14, 2009). Pop Star Justin Bieber Is on the Brink of Superstardom. ABC Good Morning America. <http://abcnews.go.com/GMA/Weekend/teen-pop-star-justin-bieber-discovered-youtube/story?id=9068403>
93. Trebay, Guy. (February 13, 2012). Model Struts Path to Stardom Not on Runway, but on YouTube. The New York Times. <http://www.nytimes.com/2012/02/14/us/kate-upton-uses-the-web-to-become-a-star-model.html>
94. Zeidler, Sari. (March 3, 2012). CNN Blogs. <http://inamerica.blogs.cnn.com/2012/03/03/on-youtube-teens-ask-the-world-am-i-ugly/>
95. Gibson, Faye. (January 15, 2012). Am I Pretty or Ugly. YouTube. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=WoyZPn6hKY4>
96. Smith, Candace. (February 23, 2012). Teens Post ‘Am I Pretty or Ugly?’ Videos on YouTube. ABC News. <http://abcnews.go.com/US/teens-post-insecurities-youtube-pretty-ugly-videos/story?id=15777830>
97. John. (June 21, 2012). Bus Monitor Karen Klein bullied by vile school children. YouTube. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=E12R9fMMtos>
98. Schwartz, Alison. (June 25, 2012). Karen Klein, Bullied Bus Monitor, to Donate and Invest Her Nearly \$650,000. People. <http://www.people.com/people/article/0,,20606781,00.html>
99. Sabo, Tracy and Hayes, Ashley. (November 2, 2011) Texas judge confirms video of him beating daughter, says ‘I lost my temper’. CNN. http://articles.cnn.com/2011-11-02/justice/justice_texas-video-beating_1_texas-judge-disabled-daughter-video?_s=PM:JUSTICE
100. shoehedgie. (October 27, 2011). Judge William Adams beats daughter for using the internet. YouTube. <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=W19y3SIPt7o>
101. Stump, Scott. (November 3, 2011). Daughter in beating video: Why I released it. MSNBC. http://today.msnbc.msn.com/id/45146961/ns/today-today_people/t/daughter-beating-video-why-i-released-it/
102. Carpenter, Christopher J. (March 2012). Narcissism on Facebook: Self-promotional and anti-social behavior. Personality and Individual Differences. Volume 52, Issue 4.
103. Griggs, Brandon. (March 10, 2012). Is political comedy inherently leftist? CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/03/10/tech/web/sxsw-internet-comedy/index.html>
104. Interactive Advertising Bureau. (September 3, 2010). Social: A closer look at behaviour on YouTube & Facebook. iab. http://static.googleusercontent.com/external_content/untrusted_dlcp/www.google.com/en/us/googleblogs/pdfs/iab_research_youtube_and_facebook_oct_2010.pdf
105. theofficialfacebook. (Joined May 6, 2009). The Official Facebook Channel. YouTube. <http://www.youtube.com/user/theofficialfacebook>
106. Perlberg, Heather. (February 6, 2012). Apple May Introduce Television Called ITV, Jefferies Says. Bloomberg. <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2012-02-06/apple-may-introduce-television-called-itv-jefferies-says.html>
107. Milian, Mark. (February 2, 2012). What scares Facebook: Privacy and phones. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/02/01/tech/social-media/facebook-ipo-risks/index.html>
108. comScore. (February 2, 2012). comScore Reports December 2011 U.S. Mobile Subscriber Market Share. comScore Press Release. http://www.comscore.com/Press_Events/Press_Releases/2012/2/comScore_Reports_December_2011_U.S._Mobile_Subscriber_Market_Share
109. Ho, Erica. (September 20, 2011). Report: Facebook Adding Read, Listened, Watched and Want Buttons. Time Magazine. <http://techland.time.com/2011/09/20/report-facebook-to-launch-read-listened-watched-and-want-buttons/>
110. MacManus, Richard. (September 22, 2011). “Read” in Facebook - It’s Not a Button, So Be Careful What You Click! ReadWriteWeb. http://www.readwriteweb.com/archives/read_in_facebook_social_news_apps.php
111. Segall, Laurie. (September 29, 2011). Facebook’s Ticker broadcasts everything you do. CNN. http://money.cnn.com/2011/09/29/technology/facebook_ticker_privacy/index.htm

112. Vascellaro, Jessica E. (September 18, 2009). Facebook Settles Class-Action Suit Over Beacon Service. The Wall Street Journal. <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB125332446004624573.html>
113. Netflix Company Facts. (Retrieved July 9, 2012). <https://signup.netflix.com/MediaCenter/Facts>
114. Hastings, Reed. (July 3, 2012). Facebook. <https://www.facebook.com/reed1960/posts/10150955446914584>
115. NetFlix US & Canada Blog. (September 22, 2011). Watch this now: Netflix & Facebook. Netflix. <http://blog.netflix.com/2011/09/watch-this-now-netflix-facebook.html>
116. Davis, Wendy. (December 7, 2011). House Clears Way for Netflix Streams on Facebook. MediaPost News. <http://www.mediapost.com/publications/article/163718/house-clears-way-for-netflix-streams-on-facebook.html>
117. Davis, Wendy. (February 13, 2012). Netflix Settles Data Retention Suit For \$9 M. MediaPost News. <http://www.mediapost.com/publications/article/167755/netflix-settles-data-retention-suit-for-9m.html>
118. Davis, Wendy. (May 30, 2012). Netflix To Revise Data Retention Practices. MediaPost News. <http://www.mediapost.com/publications/article/175728/netflix-to-revise-data-retention-practices.html>
119. Pidaparthi, Umika. (January 26, 2012). Interest, meet Pinterest: Site helps users catalog their passions. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/01/26/tech/web/pinterest-website/index.html>
120. Facebook. (Retrieved January 25, 2012). Introducing Timeline: Tell your life story with a new kind of profile. <http://www.facebook.com/about/timeline>
121. Milian, Mark and Sutter, John D. (September 22, 2011). Facebook revamps site with 'Timeline' and real-time apps. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2011/09/22/tech/social-media/facebook-announcement-f8/index.html>
122. Peters, Nikki. (November 21, 2011). Introducing the NEW Facebook Timeline. Social Media Today. <http://socialmediatoday.com/marketmesuite-app/392011/introducing-new-facebook-timeline>
123. Milian, Mark. (January 19, 2012). 60 apps launch with Facebook auto-share. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/01/18/tech/social-media/facebook-actions-apps/index.html>
124. Milian, Mark. (January 18, 2012). Some apps steer clear of Facebook auto-publish tool. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/01/18/tech/social-media/facebook-pandora/index.html>
125. Baig, Edward C. (March 12, 2012). Fandango, Vevo, more launch Facebook apps. USA Today. <http://content.usatoday.com/communities/livefrom/post/2012/03/facebook-3000-timeline-apps/1>
126. Fandango. (March 13, 2012). Fandango Makes Moviegoing More Social than Ever with New Facebook Timeline App, "Movies with Friends." PRnewswire. <http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/fandango-makes-moviegoing-more-social-than-ever-with-new-facebook-timeline-app-movies-with-friends-142449025.html>
127. Steel, Emily and Fowler, Geoffrey A. (October 8, 2010). Facebook in Privacy Breach. The Wall Street Journal. <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702304772804575558484075236968.html>
128. O'Neill, Nick. (October 29, 2010). Facebook Shuts Down Apps That Sold User Data, Bans Rappleaf. All Facebook. <http://www.allfacebook.com/facebook-shuts-down-apps-that-sold-user-data-bans-rappleaf-2010-10>
129. Tsotsis, Alexia. (June 20, 2011). foursquare Now Officially At 10 Million Users. TechCrunch. <http://techcrunch.com/2011/06/20/foursquare-now-officially-at-10-million-users/>
130. foursquare. (Retrieved March 9, 2012). About foursquare. <https://foursquare.com/about/>
131. Schulman, Kori. (August 15, 2011). Take a Tip from the White House on foursquare. The White House Blog. <http://www.whitehouse.gov/blog/2011/08/15/take-tip-white-house-foursquare>
132. Sonar. (Retrieved March 8, 2012). About Sonar. <http://www.sonar.me/about>
133. Glancee. (Retrieved March 8, 2012). Glancee. <http://www.glancee.com/>

134. Bryant, Martin. (April 18, 2012). Location-based social discovery app Banjo hits 1 million users in 9 months. The Next Web. <http://thenextweb.com/insider/2012/04/18/location-based-social-discovery-app-banjo-hits-1-million-users-in-9-months/>
135. Banjo. (Retrieved March 8, 2012). Banjo: Our Story. <http://ban.jo/about/>
136. Highlight. (Retrieved March 8, 2012). About Highlight. <http://highlig.ht/about.html>
137. Eldon, Eric. (March 3, 2012). foursquare And Glancee Are Cool, But Here's Why I'm So Excited About Using Highlight At SXSW. TechCrunch. <http://techcrunch.com/2012/03/03/myhighlight/>
138. Tsotsis, Alexia. (May 4, 2012). Facebook Buys Location-Based Discovery App Glancee. TechCrunch. <http://techcrunch.com/2012/05/04/facebook-buys-location-based-discovery-app-glancee/>
139. Chang, Alexandra. (June 25, 2012). Facebook Quietly Releases 'Find Friends Nearby,' Then Quietly Pulls It. Wired. <http://www.wired.com/gadgetlab/2012/06/facebook-quietly-releases-find-friends-nearby-then-quietly-pulls-it/>
140. Lunden, Ingrid. (June 24, 2012). 'Find Friends Nearby': Facebook's New Mobile Feature For Finding People Around You [Updated]. TechCrunch. <http://techcrunch.com/2012/06/24/friendshake-facebooks-new-mobile-feature-for-finding-people-nearby-and-a-highlight-killer/>
141. Copeland, Dave. (June 25, 2012). How To Use Facebook's Newest Stalking App. ReadWriteWeb. <http://www.readwriteweb.com/archives/how-to-use-facebooks-newest-stalking-app.php>
142. Chang, Alexandra. (June 25, 2012). Facebook Quietly Releases 'Find Friends Nearby,' Then Quietly Pulls It. Wired. <http://www.wired.com/gadgetlab/2012/06/facebook-quietly-releases-find-friends-nearby-then-quietly-pulls-it/>
143. Dowell, Andrew. (March 31, 2012). Tracking Women: Now There's Not An App For That. The Wall Street Journal. <http://blogs.wsj.com/digits/2012/03/31/tracking-women-now-theres-not-an-app-for-that/>
144. Austin, Scott; Dowell, Andrew. (March 31, 2012). 'Girls Around Me' Developer Defends App After foursquare Dismissal. The Wall Street Journal. <http://blogs.wsj.com/digits/2012/03/31/girls-around-me-developer-defends-app-after-foursquare-dismissal/>
145. Badoo. (Retrieved June 27, 2012). Badoo Corporate. The Company. <http://corp.badoo.com/company/>
146. Rooney, Ben. (January 24, 2012). A Very Social Network. The Wall Street Journal. <http://blogs.wsj.com/tech-europe/2012/01/24/the-very-social-network/>
147. Huffington Post Editors. (December 13, 2011). Badoo: Website 'Like Facebook But For Sex' Hits 130 Million Users. Huffington Post UK. http://www.huffingtonpost.co.uk/2011/12/13/badoo-facebook-sex-_n_1145909.html
148. Bloxham, Andy. (December 13, 2011). 'Sex social network' Badoo has 1 m users in Britain. The Telegraph. <http://www.telegraph.co.uk/technology/news/8952240/Sex-social-network-Badoo-has-1m-users-in-Britain.html>
149. Rowan, David. (April 25, 2011). How Badoo built a billion-pound social network... on sex. Wired UK. <http://www.wired.co.uk/magazine/archive/2011/05/features/sexual-network>
150. Segall, Laurie. (June 15, 2012). When location apps overshare, predators follow the signal. CNN Money. <http://money.cnn.com/2012/06/15/technology/location-app-predators/index.htm>
151. Cashmore, Pete. (March 6, 2012). The scariest tech trend of 2012? CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/03/01/tech/mobile/tech-trends-sxsw-cashmore/index.html>
152. CNN. (October 7, 2011). CNN Money. Warning! 1 picture can hack your identity. <http://money.cnn.com/video/technology/2011/10/05/ts-iphone-camera-id.cnnmoney/>
153. Goldman, David. (January 13, 2012). CNN Money. http://money.cnn.com/2012/01/13/technology/face_recognition/index.htm
154. Peterson, Tim. (June 18, 2012). Facebook Gets a New Face(.com). Facial recognition startup follows Instagram buy. Adweek. <http://www.adweek.com/news/technology/facebook-gets-new-facecom-141196>

155. face.com (Retrieved March 11, 2012). About face.com and Face Recognition. [face.com http://face.com/about.php](http://face.com/about.php)
156. Casperson, Matthew. (May 4, 2010). Face.com Opens Free Facial Recognition API. ProgrammableWeb. <http://blog.programmableweb.com/2010/05/04/face-com-opens-free-facial-recognition-api/>
157. Goldman, David. (December 13, 2011). Your face is being tracked: Find My Facemate. CNN Money. <http://money.cnn.com/galleries/2011/technology/1112/gallery.face-recognition-apps/6.html>
158. Nicole, Kristen. (March 24, 2009). Photo Finder: 100 Invites to Auto Tag Facebook Photos. All Facebook. <http://www.allfacebook.com/photo-finder-invite-2009-03>
159. Nicole, Kristen. (July 21, 2009). Photo Tagger Automatically Tags Your Facebook Photos. All Facebook. <http://www.allfacebook.com/photo-tagger-2009-07>
160. CelebrityFindr. (Retrieved March 11, 2012). <http://www.celebrityfindr.com/>
161. Segall, Laurie. (September 7, 2011). Photo hackers explore the creepy zone. CNN Money. http://money.cnn.com/2011/09/07/technology/startups/photo_hack/index.htm
162. Goldman, David. (December 13, 2011). Your face is being tracked: Facebook. <http://money.cnn.com/galleries/2011/technology/1112/gallery.face-recognition-apps/5.html>
163. Vajgel, Peter. (April 30, 2009). Needle in a haystack: efficient storage of billions of photos. Facebook. http://www.facebook.com/note.php?note_id=76191543919
164. Mitchell, Justin. (December 15, 2010). Making Photo Tagging Easier. The Facebook Blog. <http://www.facebook.com/blog/blog.php?post=467145887130>
165. Ionescu, Daniel. (December 16, 2010). Facebook Adds Facial Recognition to Make Photo Tagging Easier. PCWorld. http://www.pcworld.com/article/213894/facebook_adds_facial_recognition_to_make_photo_tagging_easier.html
166. Cluley, Graham. (June 7, 2011). Facebook changes privacy settings for millions of users - facial recognition is enabled. Sophos naked security. <http://nakedsecurity.sophos.com/2011/06/07/facebook-privacy-settings-facial-recognition-enabled/>
167. Flatley, Joseph L. (April 5, 2011). Facebook planning facial recognition for picture uploads? (update: yes!) Engadget. <http://www.engadget.com/2011/04/05/facebook-planning-facial-recognition-for-picture-uploads/#comments>
168. Geuss, Megan. (April 26, 2011). Facebook Facial Recognition: Its Quiet Rise and Dangerous Future. PCWorld. http://www.pcworld.com/article/226228/facebook_facial_recognition_its_quiet_rise_and_dangerous_future.html
169. Shankland, Stephen. (September 2, 2008). Revamped Google Picasa site identifies photo faces. CNet. http://news.cnet.com/8301-13580_3-10026577-39.html
170. Lee, Nicole. (January 30, 2009). First taste of iLife '09: iPhoto's face recognition. CNet. http://news.cnet.com/8301-17938_105-10153818-1.html
171. Dalrymple, Jim. (February 9, 2010). Apple's Aperture 3 adds face recognition, GPS. CNet. http://news.cnet.com/8301-13579_3-10449880-37.html
172. Steiner, Matt. (December 8, 2011). Google + . <https://plus.google.com/101560853443212199687/posts/VV45viveFq4#101560853443212199687/posts/VV45viveFq4>
173. Goldman, David. (December 9, 2011). Your face is being tracked: Google. CNN Money. <http://money.cnn.com/galleries/2011/technology/1112/gallery.face-recognition-apps/4.html>
174. Goldman, David. (December 9, 2011). Google unveils 'Find My Face' tool. CNN Money. http://money.cnn.com/2011/12/09/technology/google_find_my_face/index.htm
175. Goldman, David. (March 12, 2012). Real-time face recognition comes to your iPhone camera. CNN Money. <http://money.cnn.com/2012/03/12/technology/iPhone-face-recognition/index.htm>
176. Harvey, Adam. (Retrieved May 29, 2012). Camouflage from Computer Vision. CV Dazzle. <http://cvdazzle.com/>
177. Cheshire, Tom. (January 4, 2012). How to use camouflage to thwart facial recognition. Wired. <http://www.wired.co.uk/magazine/archive/2012/01/how-to/how-to-use-camouflage-to-thwart-facial-recognition>

178. Van Buskirk, Eliot. (September 26, 2011). Spotify Defends Facebook Requirement as 'Good and Simple'. *evolver.fm* <http://evolver.fm/2011/09/26/spotify-defends-facebook-log-in-requirement-as-good-and-simple/>
179. Microsoft. (October 11, 1999). Microsoft Passport: Streamlining Commerce and Communication on the Web. Microsoft News Center. <http://www.microsoft.com/presspass/features/1999/10-11passport.mspix>
180. ZDNet UK. (January 4, 2005). Passport failure shows the folly of Microsoft's ways. ZDNet. <http://www.zdnet.co.uk/news/it-at-work/2005/01/04/passport-failure-shows-the-folly-of-microsofts-ways-39183062/>
181. Peterson, Robyn. (October 21, 2011). Who Owns Your Identity on the Social Web? Mashable. <http://mashable.com/2011/10/21/web-identity/>
182. Microsoft Research. (Retrieved May 21, 2012). About So.cl. Frequently Asked Questions. <http://www.so.cl/about/faq>
183. Baym, Nancy. (September 27, 2011). Introducing Facebook Nation. Social Media Collective Research Blog. <http://socialmediacollective.org/2011/09/27/introducing-facebook-nation/>
184. Kelly, Max. (October 26, 2009). Memories of Friends Departed Endure on Facebook. The Facebook Blog. <http://www.facebook.com/blog.php?post=163091042130>
185. Hampton, Keith. Goulet, Lauren Sessions. Marlow, Cameron. Rainie, Lee. (February 3, 2012). Why most Facebook users get more than they give. Pew Research Center. <http://pewinternet.org/Reports/2012/Facebook-users/Summary/Power-Users.aspx>
186. Delo, Cotton. (March 5, 2012). Facebook Warns Brands that Scale in Social Won't Come for Free. Advertising Age. <http://adage.com/article/digital/facebook-warns-brands-scale-social-free/233105/>
187. FORTUNE editors. (March 6, 2012). CNN Money. http://money.cnn.com/galleries/2012/technology/1203/gallery_most-popular-iphone-apps.fortune/20.html
188. Facebook. (Retrieved April 22, 2012). Statement of Rights and Responsibilities Update. Facebook. http://www.facebook.com/fbsitegovernance/app_4949752878
189. Keen, Andrew. (January 25, 2012). Battle Lines Drawn as Data Becomes Oil of Digital Age. DLD (Digital Life Design). http://www.dld-conference.com/news/digital-business/battle-lines-drawn-as-data-becomes-oil-of-digital-age_aid_3097.html
190. Gynn, Jessica. (September 26, 2011). Is Facebook killing your privacy? Some say it already has. Los Angeles Time. <http://latimesblogs.latimes.com/technology/2011/09/is-facebook-killing-your-privacy-.html>
191. Newman, Jared. (December 11, 2009). Google's Schmidt Roasted for Privacy Comments. PCWorld. http://www.pcworld.com/article/184446/googles_schmidt_roasted_for_privacy_comments.html
192. Sutter, John D. (January 10, 2012). Google search undergoes 'most radical transformation ever'. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/01/10/tech/web/google-search-plus/index.html>
193. Singhal, Amit. (January 10, 2012). Search, plus Your World. The Official Google Blog. <http://googleblog.blogspot.com/2012/01/search-plus-your-world.html>
194. Gross, Doug. (January 27, 2012). Google seeks to clarify new privacy policy. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/01/27/tech/web/google-privacy-clarified/index.html>
195. Google Policies & Principles. (Retrieved January 27, 2012). One policy, one Google experience. <http://www.google.com/policies/>
196. Rose, Brent. (January 25, 2012). How Will Google's New Privacy Policy Affect You? Gizmodo. <http://gizmodo.com/5879163/how-will-googles-new-privacy-policy-affect-you/>
197. Gross, Doug. (February 22, 2012). Attorneys general have 'strong concerns' about Google privacy rules. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/02/22/tech/web/google-privacy-attorneys-general/index.html>
198. U.S. Congress. (January 26, 2012). Letter to Mr. Larry Page from Congress of the United States. http://markey.house.gov/sites/markey.house.gov/files/documents/2012_0126.Google%20Privacy%20Letter.pdf

199. Chavez, Pablo. (January 31, 2012). Changing our privacy policies, not our privacy controls. Google Public Policy Blog. <http://googlepublicpolicy.blogspot.com/2012/01/changing-our-privacy-policies-not-our.html>
200. Galperin, Eva. (February 21, 2012). How to Remove Your Google Search History Before Google's New Privacy Policy Takes Effect. Electronic Frontier Foundation. <https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2012/02/how-remove-your-google-search-history-googles-new-privacy-policy-takes-effect>
201. Mediati, Nick. (February 28, 2012). Google Privacy Checklist: What to Do Before Google's Privacy Policy Changes on March 1. PC World. http://www.pcworld.com/article/250950/google_privacy_checklist_what_to_do_before_googles_privacy_policy_changes_on_march_1.html
202. CNN Blogs. (March 1, 2012). Google's new privacy policy in effect today: 'Accept, or decline and be banished'. CNN. <http://outfront.blogs.cnn.com/2012/03/01/googles-new-privacy-policy-accept-or-decline-and-be-banished>
203. Yiu, Paul and the Bing Social Search Team. (October 21, 2009). Bing is Brining Twitter Search to You. Bing Community Search Blog. http://www.bing.com/community/site_blogs/b/search/archive/2009/10/21/bing-is-bringing-twitter-search-to-you.aspx
204. Ostrow, Adam. (October 13, 2010). Facebook and Bing's Plan to Make Search Social. Mashable. <http://mashable.com/2010/10/13/facebook-bing-social-search/>
205. Mehdi, Yusuf. (May 16, 2011). Facebook Friends Now Fueling Faster Decisions on Bing. Bing Community Search Blog. http://www.bing.com/community/site_blogs/b/search/archive/2011/05/16/news-announcement-may-17.aspx
206. The Nielsen Company. (April 10, 2012). Consumer Trust in Online, Social and Mobile Advertising Grows. Nielsen. http://blog.nielsen.com/nielsenwire/media_entertainment/consumer-trust-in-online-social-and-mobile-advertising-grows/ and <http://www.nielsen.com/content/dam/corporate/us/en/reports-downloads/2012-Reports/global-trust-in-advertising-2012.pdf>
207. Seifert, Dan. (September 12, 2011). Microsoft spruces up mobile Bing site with Facebook integration. MobileBurn.com. <http://www.mobileburn.com/16588/news/microsoft-spruces-up-mobile-bing-site-with-facebook-integration>
208. Slattery, Brennon. (May 17, 2011). Bing, Facebook Deepen Ties, Threaten Google +1. PC World. http://www.pcworld.com/article/228057/bing_facebook_deepen_ties_threaten_google_1.html
209. Goldman, David. (May 10, 2012). Bing fires at Google with new social search. CNN Money. <http://money.cnn.com/2012/05/10/technology/bing-redesign/index.htm>
210. Katz, Ian. (April 15, 2012). Web freedom faces greatest threat ever, warns Google's Sergey Brin. The Guardian. <http://www.guardian.co.uk/technology/2012/apr/15/web-freedom-threat-google-brin>
211. Keen, Andrew. (March 30, 2012). Opinion: Is the social web an asteroid for the Google dinosaur? CNN. http://articles.cnn.com/2012-03-30/opinion/opinion_keen-google-social-media_1_google-maps-google-products-larry-page?_s=PM:OPINION
212. Keay, Andra. (August 12, 2010). Google Search History. Presentation for ARIN 691ss <http://www.slideshare.net/andragy/google-search-history>
213. Albanesius, Chloe. (January 10, 2012). Mercedes-Benz Brings Facebook, Google to the Dashboard. PC Magazine. <http://www.pcmag.com/article2/0,2817,2398685,00.asp>
214. Griggs, Brandon. (January 13, 2012). 'Augmented-reality' windshields and the future of driving. CNN. <http://www.cnn.com/2012/01/13/tech/innovation/ces-future-driving/index.html>
215. Ford Motor Company. (January 6, 2012). Ford to Showcase Roximity at 2012 CES; Encourages Developers to Innovate New App Ideas for SYNC. Ford Motor Company Online. <http://www.at.ford.com/news/cn/Pages/Ford%20to%20Showcase%20Roximity%20at%202012%20CES;%20Encourages%20Developers%20to%20Innovate%20New%20App%20Ideas%20for%20SYNC.aspx>
216. MacManus, Richard. (February 3, 2012). Get Ready For a World of Connected Devices. ReadWriteWeb. http://www.readwriteweb.com/archives/get_ready_for_a_world_of_connected_devices.php

217. Virginia Tech Transportation Institute. (July 29, 2009). New data from Virginia Tech Transportation Institute provides insight into cell phone use and driving distraction. Virginia Tech News. <http://www.vtnews.vt.edu/articles/2009/07/2009-571.html>
218. Ramsey, Mike. (February 10, 2012). Don't Look Now: A Car That Tweets. As Distracted-Driving Push Fades, Auto Makers Will Let Drivers Check Facebook, Buy Movie Tickets. The Wall Street Journal. <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052970203824904577213041944082370.html>
219. Kohn, Bernard; Snyder, Andrea. (February 16, 2012). U.S. Calls for Ban on In-Car Facebook, Twitter While Driving. Bloomberg Businessweek. <http://news.businessweek.com/article.asp?documentKey=1376-LZHQB31A1I4H01-68P3C92P2HL6F4L53B9P2100FB>
220. Consumer Electronics Association. (May 8, 2012). CEA Responds to NTSB on Safe Driving Innovations. Yahoo! Finance. <http://finance.yahoo.com/news/cea-responds-ntsb-safe-driving-190800191.html>
221. Damsky, William. (July 5, 2012). 60% of Cars to Be Internet-Ready in 5 Years. CE Outlook. <http://www.ceoutlook.com/2012/07/05/60-of-cars-to-be-internet-ready-in-5-years/>



<http://www.springer.com/978-1-4614-5307-9>

Facebook Nation

Total Information Awareness

Lee, N.

2013, XIV, 238 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-1-4614-5307-9