

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1 | Scope and Current Research Areas | 1 |
| 1.1.1 | Spatial Distribution of Settlements and Population Estimates Based on Archaeological Remains | 1 |
| 1.1.2 | Testing the Hypothesis of a Neolithic Demographic Transition | 3 |
| 1.1.3 | The Sociodemographic Approach Based on Bone Remains | 3 |
| 1.2 | Major Milestones in Palaeodemographic Research | 4 |
| 1.2.1 | First Attempts | 4 |
| 1.2.2 | Fascination for Palaeodemography | 5 |
| 1.2.3 | The French School and Research in the French-Speaking World Since 1992 | 7 |
| 1.2.4 | Research Outside the French-Speaking World Since 1992 | 8 |

Part I The Data of Interest

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 2 | Epistemology of the Discipline | 13 |
| 2.1 | Representativeness of the Anthropological Sample | 13 |
| 2.1.1 | Biological Archives: Contribution and Limitations | 13 |
| 2.1.2 | From the World of the Dead to the World of the Living: The Question of Representativeness | 14 |
| 2.1.3 | Problems with Small Samples | 18 |
| 2.1.4 | The Migration Question | 18 |
| 2.1.5 | Is It Futile to Study the Demography of Archaeological Populations? | 19 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 2.2 | Ideas About Age | 20 |
| 2.2.1 | Age, a Word with Many Meanings | 20 |
| 2.2.2 | Civil Age, Biological Age and Social Age: An individual's Place in Society | 21 |
| 2.2.3 | Towards Socially Significant Age Groups | 22 |
| 2.3 | Considerations Concerning Reference Populations | 23 |
| 2.3.1 | The Hypothesis of Biological Uniformity | 23 |
| 2.3.2 | Towards a Pre-industrial Biological Standard? | 25 |
| 2.3.3 | Influence of the Sex and Age Structure of the Reference Population | 25 |
| 2.3.4 | Choice of Reference Population Structure | 28 |
| 2.3.5 | Other Insidious Biases in Current Reference Collections | 29 |
| 2.3.6 | Towards an Ideal Comparison Collection | 30 |
| 3 | The Osteological Data | 31 |
| 3.1 | Identifying Sex | 32 |
| 3.1.1 | Adults | 32 |
| 3.1.2 | Juveniles | 33 |
| 3.2 | Estimating Age | 33 |
| 3.2.1 | Juveniles | 34 |
| 3.2.2 | Adults | 39 |
| 3.3 | Problems with the Methods for Determining Age and Sex | 45 |
| 4 | Establishing a Reference Population | 53 |
| 4.1 | Establishing a New Reference Population for Adults | 54 |
| 4.1.1 | Claude Masset's Reference Collection | 54 |
| 4.1.2 | Adjusting the Reference Population | 63 |
| 4.1.3 | The P _{Lisbon1889} Reference Population | 70 |
| 4.2 | Establishing a Reference Population for Juveniles | 73 |
| 4.2.1 | Tooth Emergence and Mineralisation | 74 |
| 4.2.2 | Establishing a New Comparison Collection | 75 |
| 4.2.3 | Number of Mineralisation Coefficients | 75 |
| 4.2.4 | Possible Variability in Tooth Development | 75 |
| 4.2.5 | Selection of Teeth for Model Construction | 77 |
| 4.2.6 | Adapting the Reference Population | 77 |
| 4.3 | Age Groups as Yet Inaccessible | 80 |
| 4.3.1 | Estimating Age at Death for Infants | 80 |
| 4.3.2 | Estimating Age at Death for Adolescents and Young Adults | 80 |

Part II Reconstructing Demographic Parameters

| | | |
|----------|---|-----|
| 5 | Age at Death: Current Approaches and Methods | 85 |
| 5.1 | General Methodological Principles | 85 |
| 5.2 | Estimation by the “Probability Vector” Method | 86 |
| 5.2.1 | Outline of the “Probability Vector” Method | 86 |
| 5.2.2 | A New Age Estimation for a Set of Buried Adults | 87 |
| 5.2.3 | Estimating Age at Death of a Sample of Buried Immature Subjects | 87 |
| 5.2.4 | Adaptations to Particular Contexts | 94 |
| 5.3 | “Estimator” Method | 95 |
| 5.3.1 | Outline of the “Estimator” Method | 95 |
| 5.3.2 | “Revised Estimators” | 96 |
| 5.3.3 | Brainstorming | 98 |
| 6 | Current Demographic Models | 99 |
| 6.1 | Review of Basic Hypotheses in Palaeodemography | 99 |
| 6.2 | Population Models | 100 |
| 6.2.1 | The Stable Population Concept in Palaeodemography | 100 |
| 6.2.2 | Semi-stable and Quasi-stable Populations | 101 |
| 6.2.3 | Migration | 102 |
| 6.3 | Various Mortality Regimes | 103 |
| 6.3.1 | Model Life Tables | 103 |
| 6.3.2 | Main Life Tables Used by Demographers | 104 |
| 6.3.3 | Main Mortality Models Used by Palaeodemographers | 106 |
| 6.4 | Should Population Dynamics Be Modelled by Fertility or by Mortality? | 111 |
| 7 | Finding the Right Models for Pre-industrial Populations | 113 |
| 7.1 | Constraints To Be Included in the Models | 114 |
| 7.1.1 | A Mortality Pattern Specific to Pre-industrial Populations | 114 |
| 7.1.2 | Models Constructed from Inputs Accessible to Palaeodemographers | 114 |
| 7.1.3 | Margin of Error and Palaeodemographic Sample Size: Two Variables to Consider | 116 |
| 7.1.4 | Growth Rate Is Difficult to Measure, but Cannot Be Ignored | 116 |
| 7.2 | Modelling | 117 |
| 7.2.1 | Collecting the Sample of Observed Tables | 117 |
| 7.2.2 | Choice of Mathematical Model | 118 |
| 7.2.3 | Choice of Entry Parameters | 119 |
| 7.2.4 | Including the Population Growth Rate | 119 |
| 7.2.5 | Quality and Presentation of the Models | 120 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----|
| 8 | Model Tables for Pre-industrial Populations | 123 |
| 8.1 | Logarithmic Model | 123 |
| 8.1.1 | Preliminary Hypotheses for the Multiple Linear Regression | 124 |
| 8.1.2 | Preliminary Hypotheses for the Simple Linear Regression | 124 |
| 8.1.3 | Quality of Proposed Regressions | 125 |
| 8.2 | Estimating of Probabilities from Palaeodemographic Variables | 126 |
| 8.2.1 | Juvenility Index (J) and Indicator P | 126 |
| 8.2.2 | Mean age of Deceased Children Aged 5 to 14: a_{5-14} (Both Sexes) | 127 |
| 8.2.3 | Mean Adult Age at Death (a_{20}) | 130 |
| 8.3 | Regressions from Probabilities of Dying | 131 |
| 8.3.1 | Regressions from the Preceding Probability | 131 |
| 8.3.2 | Regressions from the Following Probability | 132 |
| 8.4 | Multi-input Models | 135 |
| 8.5 | Models with Migration | 138 |
| 8.5.1 | Models for Emigration of Young Adults of Both Sexes | 139 |
| 8.5.2 | Models for Immigration of Mature Men | 139 |
| 8.6 | Conclusions and Recommendations | 139 |
| 9 | Definition and Exploration of a Pre-industrial Standard | 143 |
| 9.1 | The Brass Method | 144 |
| 9.2 | Necessary Adaptations for Palaeodemographic Data | 145 |
| 9.3 | Pre-industrial Standard | 146 |
| 9.3.1 | Characteristics | 146 |
| 9.3.2 | Differential Mortality | 146 |
| 9.4 | Establishing Model Tables with the Brass Method | 151 |
| 9.4.1 | Construction Method | 152 |
| 9.4.2 | Panels of Proposed Life Tables (Both Sexes Combined) | 156 |
| 9.4.3 | Atypical Life Tables | 159 |
| 9.5 | Conclusions | 161 |

Part III Developing a Study Protocol

| | | |
|-----------|---------------------------------------|-----|
| 10 | Final Overview | 165 |
| 10.1 | Assessing the Usefulness of the Study | 165 |
| 10.2 | Sample Representativeness | 166 |
| 10.2.1 | Importance of Sample Size | 166 |
| 10.2.2 | Population Structure | 167 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| 10.3 | Basic Anthropological Data: A Pragmatic Choice of Methods | 168 |
| 10.3.1 | Determining Sex | 168 |
| 10.3.2 | Estimating the Age at Death of a Skeleton | 168 |
| 10.4 | Estimating Age at Death for a Buried Population | 170 |
| 10.4.1 | Why Shift from Individual Ages to Collective Age? | 170 |
| 10.4.2 | Maintaining a Probabilistic Approach to Age | 171 |
| 10.4.3 | Use of a Pre-industrial Reference Standard | 171 |
| 10.5 | New Palaeodemographic Tools | 171 |
| 10.5.1 | The Revised “PFP” Method (Probability Vectors) | 172 |
| 10.5.2 | New Life Tables for Palaeodemographers | 172 |
| 11 | Examples of Archaeological Applications | 177 |
| 11.1 | Sites for Which Only Biological Sources Are Available | 178 |
| 11.1.1 | Frénouville Rural Cemetery (Calvados, N.W. France, Fourth Century AD Sector) | 178 |
| 11.1.2 | Lisieux Urban Cemetery (Calvados, N.W. France, Fourth Century AD Sector) | 190 |
| 11.2 | Examples from Sites for Which Biological and Statistical Sources Are Available | 198 |
| 11.2.1 | Monastic Cemetery at Maubuisson, Val d’Oise (Paris Region) (Seventeenth and Eighteenth Century Convent Population) | 199 |
| 11.2.2 | The Urban Cemetery of Antibes, Alpes-Maritimes (Small Port and Garrison Town, End Nineteenth Century) | 204 |

Part IV Further Analysis

| | | |
|-----------|---|------------|
| 12 | Critique of Current Methods | 217 |
| 12.1 | Tables of Minimum Distance Between Each Cell | 218 |
| 12.1.1 | Historical Background | 218 |
| 12.1.2 | Table Subject to Constraints | 219 |
| 12.1.3 | Table Subject to Constraints and Coming as Close as Possible to an Initial Table | 220 |
| 12.1.4 | Critique | 226 |
| 12.2 | Tables of Minimum Distance Between Each Column | 226 |
| 12.2.1 | Historical Background | 227 |
| 12.2.2 | Maximum Likelihood Estimator | 233 |
| 12.2.3 | Approximation Method | 235 |
| 12.2.4 | Summary of the Two Methods | 237 |
| 12.2.5 | Critique | 246 |
| 12.3 | Conclusions | 253 |

| | | |
|---|---|------------|
| 13 | A New Method for Estimating Age-at-Death Structure | 255 |
| 13.1 | Introduction | 255 |
| 13.2 | A Bayesian Estimation Method | 256 |
| 13.2.1 | Model and Principle | 256 |
| 13.2.2 | Use in Practice | 259 |
| 13.3 | Brief Simulation Study | 263 |
| 13.4 | Examples of Archaeological Application | 274 |
| 13.5 | Conclusion | 284 |
| Authors and Contributors | | 287 |
| Bibliography | | 289 |
| Index | | 325 |

Handbook of Palaeodemography

Séguy, I.; Buchet, L.

2013, XXII, 329 p. 78 illus., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-01552-1