

Contents

1	Introduction	1
	References	6
Part I Three Models of Emergency Law		
2	The Rights Model	9
2.1	Legal and Philosophical Articulations of the Rights Model	9
2.2	The Court's Employment of the Rights Model in <i>Ex Parte Milligan</i>	12
2.3	<i>Ex Parte Milligan</i> and Inherent Difficulties of the Rights Model	19
	References	24
3	The Extralegal Model	25
3.1	Philosophical and Legal Articulations of the Extralegal Model	25
3.2	The Extralegal Model and the Japanese Internment Cases	26
3.3	<i>Hirabayashi v. United States</i>	29
3.4	<i>Korematsu v. United States</i>	32
3.5	<i>Ex Parte Mitsuye Endo</i>	34
3.6	Justice Jackson's Critique of the Extralegal Model	36
3.7	The Extralegal Model and the <i>Prize Cases</i>	38
	References	41
4	Procedural Model	43
4.1	Philosophical Articulations of the Procedural Model	43
4.2	The Procedural Model and <i>Ex Parte Quirin</i>	45
4.3	The Procedural Model and <i>Youngstown</i>	49
4.4	The Problematic Elasticity of the Procedural Model	54
	References	55

Part II Emergency Law in the Context of Terrorism

5	Rasul v. Bush	59
5.1	Factual Background	61
5.2	Brief for Petitioners: A Limited Rights Model	62
5.3	Brief for Respondents: A Push Towards the Extralegal Model	66
5.4	The Opinion for the Court: A Reluctant Rights Model	68
	References	73
6	Hamdi v. Rumsfeld	75
6.1	Factual Background	76
6.2	Opinion for the Court: A Partly Procedural Model	77
6.3	Dissenting Opinion I: The Rights Model Applied to United States Citizens	81
6.4	Dissenting Opinion II: A Push Towards the Extralegal Model	83
7	Hamdan v. Rumsfeld	87
7.1	Factual Background	89
7.2	Opinion for the Court: An Example of the Procedural Model	90
	Reference	97
8	Boumediene v. Bush	99
8.1	Factual Background	101
8.2	Opinion of the Court: Reverting to the Rights Model	102
	References	107
9	Concluding Remarks	109
	References	112

From the American Civil War to the War on Terror
Three Models of Emergency Law in the United States
Supreme Court

Hartz, E.

2013, X, 114 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-642-32632-5