

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Oppositions	1
1.2	Structure: The Lauterpacht View and the Lotus View	5
1.3	Function: Framework of Obligation and Framework of Authorization	11
1.4	Reformulated Framework	15
1.5	Outline	21
 Part I Mutual Exclusivity in Jurisprudence and Theory		
2	Introduction to Part I	25
3	The Framework of Obligation and the Framework of Authorization in the <i>Case of the S.S. "Lotus"</i> and in <i>Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons</i>	27
3.1	Introduction	27
3.2	The Framework of Obligation and the Framework of Authorization Considered in the <i>Case of the S.S. "Lotus"</i>	28
3.3	The Framework of Obligation and the Framework of Authorization Considered in <i>Legality of the Threat or Use of Nuclear Weapons</i>	38
3.4	Comparative Analysis and Conclusion	48
4	The Framework of Obligation and the Framework of Authorization in General Theory of Law	53
4.1	Introduction	53
4.2	Transition I: Hobbes	56
4.3	Transition II: Locke	59

4.4	Transition III: Rousseau	61
4.5	Transition IV: Kant.	63
4.6	Interlocutory Conclusion	65
4.7	Law of Peoples: Rawls	66
4.8	Union of Primary and Secondary Rules: Hart	69
4.9	Integrity: Dworkin	71
4.10	Legal Reasoning: MacCormick	73
4.11	Coordination: Finnis	75
4.12	Conclusion.	78
5	The Framework of Obligation and the Framework of Authorization in Theory of Public International Law.	81
5.1	Introduction	81
5.2	Permission Inferred from the Absence of Obligation: Grotius	83
5.3	Voluntary Law: Vattel.	87
5.4	Legal Order: Kelsen	89
5.5	Process: McDougal/Lasswell	92
5.6	Practical Reasoning: Kratochwil.	94
5.7	International Law as Intrinsic to International Society: Allott	96
5.8	Conclusion.	98
6	Conclusion to Part I.	101
 Part II Mutual Exclusivity in Sources		
7	Introduction to Part II	107
8	The Concept of General Principles of Law Situated Within the Framework of Obligation and the Framework of Authorization	109
8.1	Introduction	109
8.2	The Concept of General Principles of Law	111
8.3	The Relationship Between General Principles of Law and General Principles of the Internal Law of the State; The Domestic Analogy Reappears	113
8.4	The Concept of General Principles of Law Situated Within the Framework of Obligation	117
8.5	The Concept of (General) Principles of International Law	122
8.6	The Concept of (General) Principles of International Law Situated Within the Framework of Obligation.	124
8.7	Conclusion.	133

9	The Concept of Conventional International Law Situated Within the Framework of Obligation and the Framework of Authorization	135
9.1	Introduction	135
9.2	The Concept of Conventional International Law.	136
9.3	The Concept of Treaty and Analogy with the Internal Law of the State.	138
9.4	The Concept of Treaty Situated Within the Framework of Obligation	143
9.5	The Concept of Conventional International Law and Third States	158
9.6	Conclusion.	168
10	The Concept of Customary International Law Situated Within the Framework of Obligation and the Framework of Authorization	171
10.1	Introduction	171
10.2	The Concept of Customary International Law	172
10.3	The Concept of Customary International Law Situated Within the Framework of Obligation and the Framework of Authorization	174
10.3.1	The <i>Case of the S.S. "Lotus"</i>	176
10.3.2	The <i>Asylum Case</i>	178
10.3.3	The <i>Fisheries Case</i>	182
10.3.4	The <i>North Sea Continental Shelf Cases</i>	186
10.3.5	The <i>Case Concerning Military and Paramilitary Activities in and Against Nicaragua (Merits)</i>	191
10.3.6	The <i>Case Concerning the Arrest Warrant of 11 April 2000</i>	195
10.3.7	The Concept of Customary International Law Resituated Within the Reformulated Framework.	198
10.4	Conclusion.	201
11	Conclusion to Part II	205
 Part III Mutual Exclusivity and the Dichotomy Between Institutions and Community		
12	Introduction to Part III	211
13	The Concept of International Institution Situated Within the Framework of Obligation and the Framework of Authorization.	215

13.1	Introduction	215
13.2	The Concept of International Institution	217
13.3	The Concept of International Institution Situated Within the Framework of Obligation and the Framework of Authorization	222
13.4	Conclusion.	245
14	The Concept of <i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Concept of Obligation <i>Erga Omnes</i> Situated Within the Framework of Obligation and the Framework of Authorization	249
14.1	Introduction	249
14.2	The Concept of <i>Jus Cogens</i>	250
14.3	The Concept of Obligation <i>Erga Omnes</i>	253
14.4	The Relationship Between the Concept of <i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Concept of Obligation <i>Erga Omnes</i>	260
14.5	The Concept of <i>Jus Cogens</i> and the Concept of Obligation <i>Erga Omnes</i> Situated Within the Framework of Obligation and the Framework of Authorization.	262
14.6	The Concept of the International Community (of States) as a Whole and the Position of Third States	267
14.7	The Bilateral Structure of Public International Law?.	268
14.8	Conclusion.	271
15	Conclusion to Part III.	275
16	Conclusion: The Function of Public International Law as the Constituting of International Society Pursuant to Practical Reasoning	279
	Table of Cases.	293
	References	297



<http://www.springer.com/978-90-6704-860-6>

The Function of Public International Law

Vos, J.A.

2013, X, 304 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-90-6704-860-6

A product of T.M.C. Asser Press