

Contents

1	The Demand for Safety and Its Paradoxes	1
	A World Demanding Safety	1
	Question of Perimeter: Which Systems are Involved and for Which Safety Process	2
	There is No Shortage of “Common-Sense” Solutions to Make Complex Systems Safer.	3
	Life-Cycles of Socio-Technical Systems and the Paradoxical Positioning of Safety in Time	5
	An Initial Creative Phase, Often Unseen by the General Public	6
	An Optimisation and Economic Benefit Stage, by Far the Longest, Known as the “Quality Period”	6
	A Final Optimisation Phase, Known as the “Safety Period”	8
	The End of the Cycle and Death	11
	At the End of the Cycle, Accidents are Often More Severe, More Intolerable and More Expensive to Remedy Legally	12
	Alongside the Common Features, of Which There are Many in the Field of Safety, a Number of Macro-Scale Cultural and Strategic Differences Still Remain in Terms of Safety Interventions.	14
	What Lessons Can Be Drawn From This?	17
	References	18
2	Human Error at the Centre of the Debate on Safety	19
	Human Errors, Major Steps Towards Building Knowledge	19
	The Initial Contribution from Gestalt Theory was that Failure Makes it Possible to Achieve Understanding.	20
	The First Works on Error: The Essential Role of the Control of Cognitive Activity	21
	The Contribution Made by Rasmussen: The SRK Model	23
	The Summary by James Reason	25
	Work on Detection and Recovery	26
	Three Recurrent Biases in Relation to Human Error	30
	Hindsight	30

Attributing all the Blame to the Last Person Who Carried Out the Action Causing the Disaster	31
Confounding the Error and the Accident	32
The Concept of “Adequacy” as a Cognitive Tool for Management of Contradictory Risks	33
Adequacy in Mental Representation and Planning	34
Adequacy in Decision-Making	35
Adequacy in the Areas of Control and Implementation.	35
Two Levels of Supervision.	36
Using Time to Control Adequacy.	38
Summary: A Model of Individual Safety Based on Constantly Building Compromises	41
Consequence: Following Procedures Means Being Able to Deviate from them About an Average Point.	43
The Complex Links Between Safety and Competencies: An Inverted U Curve	44
What Lessons Can Be Drawn From This?	48
What are the Consequences of Improving Safety on this Individual Scale?	49
References	50
3 The Keys to a Successful Systemic Approach to Risk Management . . .	53
On Safety, Systems, Complexity ... and the Structure of this Chapter. . . .	53
The Swiss Cheese Model as the Archetype for Systemic Models ... and Its Current Limitations	54
Controlling Systemic Safety: Four Key Steps for Building Safety in a Complex System.	56
Three Models of Balanced Safety Rather Than Just One	80
Three Very Different Strategies in Terms of Exposure to Risk	80
Three Authentic Models of Safety Rather Than Only One	85
A Few Additional Rules When it Comes to Taking Action.	93
Thinking in the Future Rather Than the Past	97
And Where is the Safety Culture in All This?	99
Cultures and Climates (of Change, Effectiveness and Safety), Multiple Areas of Ambiguity and Confusion.	99
References	105
4 Human and Organisational Factors (HOFs): Significantly	
Growing Challenges	109
The Productive Worker	109
The Safe Factory	111
The Safe Product, Safety Challenges Raised by Design and Use.	112
The End of the Impossible Dream of Safety.	114
Uncertainty as a Future Risk: Future Risks as a Central Feature of the Present.	116

Conclusion. 118

References 118

5 Conclusion: The Golden Rules in Relation to Systemic Safety. 119

 The Enterprise is a System Incorporating Contradictory Tensions
 and Requiring Trade-Offs in the Area of Safety 119

 The Dimensions of Compromise and Offsetting Risks Within
 the Safety Division 121

 The Three Essential Dimensions of Compromise
 and Offsetting in the Area of Safety 121

 10 Golden Rules to Make an Intervention in Systematic
 Safety a Success 126

 References 129

Index. 131

Navigating Safety

Necessary Compromises and Trade-Offs - Theory and
Practice

Amalberti, R.

2013, XV, 132 p. 7 illus., 6 illus. in color., Softcover

ISBN: 978-94-007-6548-1