

# Contents

## Part I Introduction

<b>1</b>	<b>Tonality</b>	3
1.1	What is Tonality?	5
1.2	Elusive Yet Pervasive	6
1.3	Inception	8
1.4	Design Principles	10
1.5	Straddling Cultures	12
1.6	Overview	14
	References	17
<b>2</b>	<b>An Abbreviated Survey</b>	19
2.1	Spiral Array Overview	20
2.1.1	Tonality in a Nutshell	20
2.1.2	The Spiral Array	20
2.1.3	The Center of Effect	22
2.2	Spatial Models for Pitch Relations	24
2.2.1	Cognitive Representations of Musical Pitch	24
2.2.2	The Tonnetz	26
2.2.3	Isomorphic Representations	27
2.2.4	Transformational Theory	28
2.3	An Early Interior Point Algorithm	30
2.3.1	von Neumann's Center of Gravity Algorithm	32
2.3.2	Dantzig's Bracketing Technique	33
	References	36

## Part II The Model

<b>3</b>	<b>The Spiral Array</b>	41
3.1	The Pitch Class Spiral	42
3.1.1	Description 1: A Derivation of the Harmonic Network	42
3.1.2	Description 2: A Closer Look	43

3.1.3	Discussion 1: How do the Intervals Add Up? . . . . .	44
3.1.4	Discussion 2: The Inherent Toroid Structure . . . . .	45
3.1.5	Mathematical Representation . . . . .	45
3.2	Intervals in the Spiral Array. . . . .	46
3.2.1	Relations Inherited from the Harmonic Network . . . .	47
3.2.2	Interval Distances. . . . .	47
3.3	Representing Chords . . . . .	48
3.3.1	Description: Center of Triangle . . . . .	48
3.3.2	Example 1: The C Major Chord Representation . . . .	50
3.3.3	Example 2: The A Minor Chord Representation. . . .	50
3.3.4	Mathematical Representation . . . . .	51
3.3.5	Spatial Geometry . . . . .	51
3.4	Key Representations . . . . .	53
3.4.1	The Major Key Representation. . . . .	53
3.4.2	Mathematical Representation . . . . .	54
3.4.3	The Minor Key Representation . . . . .	54
3.4.4	Spatial Geometry . . . . .	56
3.5	An Array of Spirals: A Discussion . . . . .	57
3.6	Summary of Definitions . . . . .	59
	References . . . . .	60

### Part III Key Finding

<b>4</b>	<b>The CEG Algorithm (Part I).</b> . . . . .	<b>63</b>
4.1	Introduction to the CEG Key Finding Method . . . . .	64
4.1.1	The Center of Effect. . . . .	64
4.1.2	Why the Algorithm Works . . . . .	64
4.2	Key-Finding Example Using “Simple Gifts” . . . . .	65
4.2.1	The Melody. . . . .	66
4.2.2	Model Parameters. . . . .	66
4.2.3	The Center of Effect Generator . . . . .	67
4.2.4	Output Keys and Distances . . . . .	67
4.2.5	Step-by-Step Geometry . . . . .	67
	References . . . . .	71
<b>5</b>	<b>The CEG Algorithm (Part II): Validation</b> . . . . .	<b>73</b>
5.1	Model Validation . . . . .	73
5.1.1	Test Data. . . . .	74
5.2	Comparing Key-Finding Algorithms . . . . .	75
5.2.1	Method 3: The Shape Matching Algorithm . . . . .	75
5.2.2	Method 2: The Probe Tone Profile Method . . . . .	76
5.2.3	Method 1: The CEG Algorithm . . . . .	77

5.3	Detailed Analysis of Comparison Results . . . . .	79
5.3.1	Results Summary . . . . .	79
5.3.2	Case 1: Same Performance by All Three Algorithms . . . . .	80
5.3.3	Case 1a: Almost Same Performance by All Three Algorithms . . . . .	81
5.3.4	Case 2: SMA Worse than CEG and PTPM . . . . .	82
5.3.5	Case 3a: Worst Case for the CEG Algorithm. . . . .	84
5.3.6	Case 3b: Best Cases for the CEG Algorithm . . . . .	84
5.3.7	Case 4a: Worst Cases for the PTPM Algorithm . . . . .	86
5.3.8	Case 4b: Best Cases for the PTPM Algorithm . . . . .	87
5.4	Discussion: Strengths and Weaknesses . . . . .	88
5.5	Evaluation Method Discussion . . . . .	90
	References . . . . .	91

## Part IV Segmentation

<b>6</b>	<b>Determining Key Boundaries . . . . .</b>	<b>95</b>
6.1	A Mathematical Model for Tonality . . . . .	97
6.1.1	Placement of Pitch Classes, Chords and Keys . . . . .	98
6.2	An Algorithm for Finding Key-Change Boundaries . . . . .	100
6.2.1	The Center of Effect and Distance to Key. . . . .	100
6.2.2	Problem Statement and Algorithm . . . . .	101
6.3	Two Examples . . . . .	102
6.3.1	Example 1: Minuet in G by Bach. . . . .	103
6.3.2	Example 2: March in D by Bach . . . . .	104
6.4	Conclusions . . . . .	106
	References . . . . .	107
<b>7</b>	<b>Argus Segmentation Method . . . . .</b>	<b>109</b>
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	109
7.2	The Spiral Array Model . . . . .	111
7.2.1	The Model's Structure. . . . .	111
7.2.2	Parameter Selection . . . . .	113
7.2.3	The Center of Effect. . . . .	114
7.2.4	The Argus Algorithm . . . . .	114
7.3	Messiaen's Regard IV. . . . .	116
7.3.1	Manual Segmentation . . . . .	116
7.3.2	Automatic Segmentation . . . . .	118
7.4	Messiaen's Regard XVI. . . . .	122
7.4.1	Manual Segmentation . . . . .	122
7.4.2	Automatic Segmentation . . . . .	122

7.5	Discussion: Why Does It Work? . . . . .	125
7.5.1	Geometric Shapes Corresponding to Pitch Sets in Messiaen's Examples . . . . .	125
7.5.2	What are the Structural Alternatives? . . . . .	126
7.6	Conclusions . . . . .	129
	References . . . . .	130

## Part V Pitch Spelling

<b>8</b>	<b>Real-Time Pitch Spelling . . . . .</b>	<b>133</b>
8.1	Why Spell? . . . . .	133
8.2	Related Work. . . . .	136
8.3	The Algorithm . . . . .	139
8.3.1	The Spiral Array Model . . . . .	140
8.3.2	Pitch-name Assignment. . . . .	142
8.3.3	Comparison with Other Pitch-spelling Models . . . . .	144
8.3.4	Segmentation Strategy. . . . .	145
8.4	Computational Results. . . . .	147
8.4.1	Brief Review of Prior Experiments. . . . .	150
8.4.2	Approaching the Bootstrapping Algorithm. . . . .	150
8.4.3	Bootstrapping Algorithm Experiments. . . . .	152
8.4.4	Tests on the <i>Song of Ali-Shan</i> . . . . .	153
8.5	Conclusions . . . . .	154
	References . . . . .	156

## Part VI Visualization

<b>9</b>	<b>MuSA.RT. . . . .</b>	<b>159</b>
9.1	Introduction . . . . .	159
9.2	The Spiral Array Model for Tonality . . . . .	162
9.2.1	The Spiral Array Model . . . . .	162
9.2.2	The Center of Effect. . . . .	163
9.2.3	Computational Algorithms. . . . .	164
9.3	The MuSA.RT Opus 2 System. . . . .	165
9.3.1	Software Architecture for Immersipresence . . . . .	165
9.3.2	MuSA.RT System Architecture . . . . .	166
9.3.3	Implementation . . . . .	167
9.3.4	Visual Display . . . . .	167
9.3.5	3D Rendering. . . . .	168
9.3.6	View Manipulation. . . . .	168

9.4	Case Studies . . . . .	169
9.4.1	Case I. Pachelbel's Canon in D . . . . .	169
9.4.2	Case II. Prelude from Bach's Cello Suite No. 1 . . . . .	171
9.4.3	Case III. Barber's Adagio for Strings Op. 11 . . . . .	173
9.5	Discussion and Conclusions . . . . .	177
	References . . . . .	177
<b>10</b>	<b>Visible Humor . . . . .</b>	<b>179</b>
10.1	MuSA.RT and Visualization . . . . .	180
10.1.1	Seeing Style Differences . . . . .	181
10.2	Expectations Violated . . . . .	182
10.2.1	The Jazz Ending . . . . .	182
10.2.2	Improbable Harmonies . . . . .	184
10.2.3	Excessive Repetition . . . . .	186
10.3	Remarks on Humor and Tonality . . . . .	187
	References . . . . .	189
 <b>Part VII Extensions</b>		
<b>11</b>	<b>Sensitivity Analysis . . . . .</b>	<b>193</b>
11.1	Introduction . . . . .	194
11.1.1	Related Work . . . . .	195
11.2	System Description . . . . .	196
11.3	Basic System . . . . .	196
11.3.1	Fuzzy Analysis Technique . . . . .	199
11.3.2	Spiral Array Model and the Center of Effect Algorithm . . . . .	202
11.3.3	Key Determination: Relative Distance Policy . . . . .	203
11.3.4	Key Determination: Average Distance Policy . . . . .	204
11.4	Evaluation of the Basic System . . . . .	204
11.4.1	Overall Results Over Time . . . . .	205
11.4.2	When Audio Outperforms Symbolic Key-finding . . . . .	206
11.4.3	Results of Global Key Across Periods . . . . .	210
11.5	System Extensions . . . . .	210
11.5.1	Modified Spiral Array with Piano Signals . . . . .	211
11.5.2	Fundamental Frequency Identification . . . . .	212
11.5.3	Post-weight Balancing . . . . .	214
11.6	In-depth Analysis of Results . . . . .	215
11.7	Conclusions and Discussion . . . . .	220
	References . . . . .	221

<b>Appendix A: Model Calibration</b> . . . . .	223
<b>Appendix B: CEG Key Finding</b> . . . . .	261
<b>Appendix C: Glossary</b> . . . . .	295
<b>Author Index</b> . . . . .	299
<b>Subject Index</b> . . . . .	301

Mathematical and Computational Modeling of Tonality  
Theory and Applications

Chew, E.

2014, XIV, 305 p. 154 illus., 46 illus. in color., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-1-4614-9474-4