

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	The Semantic Web	1
1.1.1	Ontology Languages Layer	3
1.1.2	The Logic Layer	6
1.2	Challenges in Semantic Web Applications for Business Intelligence in an Enterprise	14
1.3	Defeasible Reasoning	17
1.4	Argumentation	19
1.5	Argumentation Support in Semantic Web Applications: Research Gaps	22
1.6	Research Objectives of the Thesis	23
1.7	Scope of the Thesis	24
1.8	Significance of the Thesis	24
1.8.1	Scientific Significance	24
1.8.2	Social Significance	25
1.9	Thesis Plan	26
1.10	Conclusion	27
	References	27
2	Literature Review	31
2.1	Introduction	31
2.2	Basic Definitions	31
2.2.1	Argumentation	31
2.2.2	Argumentation Systems	32
2.2.3	Argument, Rebuttal, Undercut and Acceptable Arguments	32
2.2.4	Argumentation Scheme	32
2.2.5	Argumentation Life Cycle	33
2.2.6	Types of Arguments	33
2.2.7	Patterns of Arguments	34
2.2.8	Monological and Dialogical Argumentation	34
2.2.9	Static and Dynamic Argumentation Framework	34
2.3	Argumentation-Based Models, Frameworks and Applications	35

2.4	Philosophical Models of Argumentation	35
2.4.1	Theoretical Models of Argumentation	36
2.4.2	Argumentation Frameworks and Applications	39
2.5	Logic-Based Models of Argumentation and Applications	42
2.5.1	Argumentation Frameworks	43
2.5.2	Argumentation Systems	51
2.6	Comparison Between Philosophical and Logic-Based Argumentation Frameworks and Applications	55
2.7	Categorization of Reasoning Approaches on the Semantic Web	57
2.7.1	Sub-Categories of Monotonic Reasoning	58
2.7.2	Sub-Categories of Non-Monotonic Reasoning	61
2.7.3	Web-Based Argument-Assistance Systems	64
2.7.4	Semantic Web-Based Argumentation Support Frameworks and Applications	67
2.7.5	Semantic Web-Based Argumentation Support Applications with a Shared Ontology (AIF)	67
2.8	Critical Evaluation of the Existing Approaches to Support Monological Argumentation in Semantic Web Applications	68
2.8.1	Incapability of Logic-Based Languages to Represent Information that is Potentially Incomplete and/Contradictory Coming from Different Sources.	71
2.8.2	Absence of an Monological Argumentation-Driven Reasoning Engine to Identify and Resolve Conflicts Present in Information Coming from Different Sources.	72
2.8.3	No Methodology for Knowledge Integration or the Graphical Representation of the Reasoning Process and Results to Assist in Enterprise-Wide Decision Making	75
2.9	Conclusion	76
	References	76
3	Problem Definition	83
3.1	Introduction.	83
3.2	Key Concepts	83
3.3	Problem Definition.	86
3.4	Research Issues	92
3.5	Research Objectives	92
3.5.1	To Propose a Methodology for Incomplete and/or Contradictory Information Representation.	93
3.5.2	To Propose a Methodology for Monological Argumentation Driven-Reasoning Engine to Reason Over Incomplete and/or Contradictory Information	93

3.5.3	To Propose a Methodology for Information and Knowledge Integration	93
3.5.4	To Exploit the Power of a Generic Framework in Different Semantic Web Applications as Follows . . .	94
3.5.5	To Validate and Evaluate the Proposed Framework . . .	95
3.6	Research Approach to Problem Solving	95
3.6.1	Research Methods	95
3.6.2	Choice of Science and Engineering-Based Research Method.	96
3.7	Conclusion	97
	References	98
4	Solution Overview	99
4.1	Introduction.	99
4.2	Solution Overview for Logic-Based Framework that Supports Argumentation in Semantic Web Applications (GF@SWA)	100
4.3	Information Layer	102
4.4	Argumentation-Driven Information Representation, Reasoning and Integration Layer (@IRRI)	103
4.4.1	Solution for Incomplete and/or Contradictory Information Representation	103
4.4.2	Solution for Monological Argumentation-Driven Reasoning Engine to Reason over Incomplete and/or Contradictory Information.	105
4.4.3	Solution for Information and Knowledge Integration . . .	109
4.5	Applications Layer.	110
4.6	Realization of Semantic Web Applications Using GF@SWA for Business Intelligence	111
4.6.1	Web@IDSS	111
4.6.2	Web@KIDSS	112
4.6.3	KR@PMD	112
4.7	Conclusion	113
	References	113
5	Argumentation-Enabled Web-Based Intelligent Decision Support System (Web@IDSS).	115
5.1	Introduction.	115
5.2	Case Study for Problem Definition.	117
5.3	Proposed Framework for Argumentation-Enabled Web-Based IDSS (Web@IDSS).	119
5.3.1	Important Definitions	121
5.3.2	Working of the Proposed Framework for Web@IDSS	130

5.4	Information Representation in DeLP Format	133
5.4.1	Information Pre-processing	134
5.4.2	Web-Based Form to Specify DeLP Rules and Facts.	138
5.5	Argumentative Production System to Perform Hybrid Reasoning	139
5.5.1	Arguments Construction Using Data-Driven Reasoning	141
5.5.2	Conflicts Identification and Their Resolution Using Goal-Driven Reasoning	149
5.6	Information Integration	153
5.6.1	Construction of Reasoning Chains	155
5.6.2	Categorization of Reasoning Chains	157
5.6.3	Graphical Representation of a Reasoning Chain	158
5.7	Conclusion	159
	References	160
6	Enterprise Knowledge Integration Through Argumentation-Enabled Intelligent Decision Support Systems (Web@KIDSS)	163
6.1	Introduction.	163
6.2	Case Study for Problem Definition.	164
6.3	Proposed Framework for Argumentation-Enabled Web-Based IDSS for Enterprise Knowledge Integration (Web@KIDSS)	167
6.3.1	Important Definitions	169
6.3.2	Working of the Proposed Framework for Web@KIDSS.	174
6.4	Publication of Enterprise Integrated Information (EII) in a Standard Format	177
6.4.1	Modeling of a Reasoning Chain as an AIF Argument Network	178
6.4.2	Semantic Annotation and Serialization of a Reasoning Chain	182
6.5	Enterprise Knowledge Integration (EKI)	182
6.5.1	Import and Transform the Published Reasoning Chains	185
6.5.2	Valuation of the Reasoning Chains	188
6.5.3	Generation of Integrated Recommendations Space.	192
6.6	Graphical Representation of Results to Support Intelligent Decision Making	196
6.7	Conclusion	199
	References	200

7	Process Map Discovery from Business Policies: A Knowledge Representation Approach with Argumentative Reasoning (KR@PMD)	201
7.1	Introduction	201
7.2	Unstructured Business Policies and Challenges for the Enterprises	202
7.3	Case Study for Problem Definition	204
7.4	Proposed Framework for KR@PMD	207
7.4.1	Process Ontology	209
7.4.2	Working of the Proposed Framework for KR@PMD	211
7.5	Semantic Annotation of Unstructured Business Policies for Business Rules Specification	214
7.5.1	Semantic Annotation of Business Policies	215
7.5.2	Specification of Business Rules and Facts	217
7.6	Argumentative Production System Performing Hybrid Reasoning	219
7.6.1	Process Activation Using Data-Driven Reasoning	220
7.6.2	Argumentation-Driven Conflict Resolution Strategies	223
7.6.3	Building and Marking of Dialectical Trees	227
7.7	Graphical Representation of Business Process Maps	228
7.8	Conclusion	232
	References	232
8	Validation and Evaluation of GF@SWA	235
8.1	Introduction	235
8.2	General Description of the Tools	235
8.3	Objectives for the Development of GF@SWA	236
8.4	Characteristics of the Proposed GF@SWA	237
8.4.1	Structure Diagrams	237
8.4.2	Behavior Diagrams	242
8.5	Functionality Validation and Feature Evaluation of GF@SWA	249
8.5.1	Functionality Validation of Web@IDSS	250
8.5.2	Features Evaluation of Web@IDSS	255
8.5.3	Functionality Validation of Web@KIDSS	257
8.5.4	Features Evaluation of Web@KIDSS	262
8.5.5	Functionality Validation of KR@PMD	263
8.5.6	Features Evaluation of KR@PMD	270
8.6	Conclusion	272
	References	272

9	Recapitulation and Future Work	275
9.1	Introduction	275
9.2	Recapitulation	275
9.3	Contributions of the Thesis	277
9.3.1	Contribution 1: Methodology for Incomplete and/or Contradictory Information Representation	278
9.3.2	Contribution 2: Methodology for Monological Argumentation Performed by a Hybrid Reasoning Engine	278
9.3.3	Contribution 3: Methodology for Different Argumentation-Driven Conflict Resolution Strategies to Resolve Conflicts Between Arguments and Their Counter-Arguments	279
9.3.4	Contribution 4: Methodology to Integrate the Output of a Hybrid Reasoning Engine in the Form of a Reasoning Chain and Generate its Graphical Representation	279
9.3.5	Contribution 5: Methodology for Importing/Exporting Integrated Information to Different Semantic Web Applications	280
9.3.6	Contribution 6: Methodology for Knowledge Integration	280
9.3.7	Contribution 7: Methodology for the Hybrid Reasoning Engine to Have a Querying and Answering Capability	281
9.3.8	Contribution 8: Application of GF@SWA in Different Semantic Web Applications to Support Intelligent Decision Making	281
9.4	Future Work	281
9.4.1	Automated Production Rules Extraction from Unstructured Information	282
9.4.2	Extension of the Proposed Framework to Work with Machine Learning Algorithms	282
9.4.3	Extend the Proposed Framework as an Actual/Generic Argument Model for Practical Reasoning	283
9.4.4	Collaborative Framework for Reasoning Qualitative Models Extracted from Quantitative Data to Assist a Group Decision-Making Process	283
9.4.5	Evaluation for Correctness of the Reasoning Chains Produced by GF@SWA	284
9.5	Conclusion	284
	References	284

Contents	xvii
Appendix	287
Curriculum Vitae	301

A Defeasible Logic Programming-Based Framework to
Support Argumentation in Semantic Web Applications

Janjua, N.K.

2014, XVII, 301 p. 110 illus., 4 illus. in color., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-03948-0