

# Contents

<b>Introduction</b> . . . . .	xvii
<b>1 Judicial Reforms in SEE Democratising Countries. Towards a Contextualized Framework for the Analysis</b> . . . . .	1
1.1 Judicial Reforms in Transition: Main Theoretical Approaches and Concerns . . . . .	1
1.2 The EU Policy Promoting Judicial Reforms in the Enlargement Framework . . . . .	5
1.2.1 The EU Political Conditionality and Socialization . . . . .	7
1.2.2 The EU and the ‘Potential Candidates’: Any Lessons Learned? . . . . .	10
1.2.3 Monitoring Countries Progress Towards the Accession . . . .	13
1.3 Beyond the Domestic Level: The Interaction Between International and National Actors . . . . .	16
1.3.1 The Enlargement (East) Europeanization. . . . .	17
1.3.2 Towards a Contextualized Domestic Influence. . . . .	20
1.4 Research Framework and Methodology. . . . .	21
References . . . . .	25
<b>2 Smoother Judicial Reforms in Slovenia and Croatia: Does the Legacy of the Past Matter?</b> . . . . .	31
2.1 Background Conditions: Justice System During the Socialist Yugoslavia. . . . .	31
2.2 Slovenian Pre-accession. . . . .	34
2.3 Judicial Reform in Slovenia . . . . .	36
2.4 Croatia and the EU: The Long, But Successful, Pre-accession Path. . .	41
2.5 Judicial Reforms in Croatia. . . . .	44
2.5.1 From Politicization of the Judiciary to the First Reforms (1990–2004) . . . . .	44
2.5.2 The Real Push Towards Judicial Reform (2004–2013). . . .	48
2.6 Conclusions. . . . .	50
References . . . . .	53

<b>3</b>	<b>The Successful Laggard in Judicial Reform: Romania Before and After the Accession</b>	<b>57</b>
3.1	Romania's Path Towards the EU	57
3.2	Background Conditions: The Judiciary Before and During the Ceausescu 'Sultanate'	60
3.3	Judicial Reforms in the Pre-accession Phase (2000–2007)	62
3.4	Non-judicial Reforms After the Accession (2007–2010)	66
3.5	An Enduring Institutional Crisis (2010–2012)	70
3.6	How Can the Failure Be Explained? Relevant Factors and Conditions	72
3.6.1	Credible Conditionality and Change Agents/Veto Players in the Pre-accession Phase	72
3.6.2	Weak Conditionality and 'Politics Above All' in the Post-accession Phase	74
3.7	Conclusions	76
	References	77
<b>4</b>	<b>The Long and Disputed Judicial Reform Process in Serbia: Legacies of the Past and Predominant National Actors</b>	<b>81</b>
4.1	Serbia and the EU	82
4.1.1	The Reformist Honeymoon (2000–2003)	82
4.1.2	The Fragile Democracy and the Questioned EU Integration (2004–2008)	83
4.1.3	Tadić and Improvements in the Brussels-Serbia Dialogue (2008–2012)	85
4.1.4	Social Crisis and the Return of Nationalist Parties: A Peculiar Path Towards the EU? (2012–2013)	87
4.2	Background Conditions: The Judiciary Under Milošević	89
4.3	Judicial Reforms from 2001 to 2011: Political Parties as Dominant Political Actors	91
4.4	A Shallow European Judicial Reform	97
4.4.1	2000–2008: Legacies, Political Parties and the Fake-Reform	98
4.4.2	2008–2012: Massive Donors' Assistance, Tadić as Change Agent and Some Results	101
4.5	Conclusions	101
	References	104
<b>5</b>	<b>EU-Driven Judicial Reforms: Any Lessons Learned?</b>	<b>107</b>
5.1	The Credibility and Determinacy of the EU Conditionality	109
5.2	National Mediating Factors and Constrains	110
5.2.1	Change Agents Versus Veto Players	111
5.2.2	Conflict on EU Accession and Requirements	112
5.2.3	Legacies of the Past and Structural Constraints	113

5.3 Lessons Drawn and Future Challenges: Beyond Conditionality? . . .	116
5.4 Judicial Networks as the Missing Link in Promoting EU-Driven Judicial Reforms? . . . . .	119
References . . . . .	122

Democracy and Judicial Reforms in South-East Europe  
Between the EU and the Legacies of the Past

Dallara, C.

2014, XXVI, 124 p. 1 illus.,

ISBN: 978-3-319-04420-0