

Contents

1	Introduction	1
I	Climate Change and the Arctic	1
II	Aims of this Treatise	2
III	Synopsis	3
2	Environmental Situation in the Arctic	5
I	Implications of Climate Change for the Arctic	5
1	Spatial Definitions of the Arctic	6
2	Arctic Climate Trends	9
a)	Atmosphere	9
b)	Ocean	12
3	Impacts on Natural Systems	14
a)	Arctic Ecosystems	14
b)	Impacts of Diminishing Sea Ice	15
c)	UV Impacts	16
4	Impacts on Human Activities	17
a)	Shipping	18
aa)	The Northwest Passage and the Northern Sea Route	18
bb)	Presence of Arctic Shipping Today	21
cc)	Potential Increase in Arctic Shipping	22
dd)	Risks Attached to Arctic Shipping	23
(1)	Sea Ice Remains Hazardous for Navigation	23
(2)	Hazards for Arctic Ecosystems	24
(a)	Oil Pollution	25
(b)	Noise	26
(c)	Invasive Species	26
(d)	Lack of Infrastructure and Cooperation	27

b)	Fishing	28
aa)	Fish species in the Marine Arctic	28
bb)	Impacts of Climate Change on Fish	28
cc)	Fisheries in the Marine Arctic	30
c)	Oil and Gas Exploitation	32
aa)	Development of Oil and Gas Exploitation in the Arctic	32
bb)	Future Development	32
cc)	Environmental Hazards Resulting from Oil and Gas Exploitation	33
II	Other Environmental Concerns in the Arctic	34
1	Acidification and 'Arctic Haze'	34
a)	Acidification	34
b)	'Arctic Haze'	35
c)	Ocean Acidification	35
2	Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs)	36
3	Oil Pollution	37
4	Heavy Metals	38
5	Noise	38
6	Radioactivity	39
III	Summary	39
3	International Governance of the Arctic Marine Environment	43
I	Outline of the Third Chapter	43
II	The Regional Regime: Background	44
III	The Regional Soft-Law Regime: Arctic Cooperation	45
1	Arctic Environmental Protection Strategy (AEPS)	45
2	Arctic Council	49
3	Evaluation of the AEPS and Arctic Council	53
a)	Merits	53
b)	Shortcomings	54
aa)	No Regulatory Body	54
bb)	No Structural Funding	55
cc)	No Permanent Secretariat (Yet)	56
dd)	Incomplete Mandate and Sectoral Approach	57
ee)	Exclusive Meetings of the Coastal States	58
ff)	Limited Participation	60
gg)	Insufficient Coordination and Cooperation with Other Arctic Bodies	62
(1)	Arctic Cooperative Bodies Addressing Environmental Concerns	62
(a)	Environmental Organisations	63
(b)	Inter-Parliamentary and Inter-Governmental Bodies	64
(c)	Sub-Regional Cooperation	68
(2)	No Joining of Forces	69
4	Conclusion and Outlook	70

IV	The International Legal Regime for the Arctic Marine Environment	72
1	UNCLOS and Its Application to the Marine Arctic	75
a)	Environmental Jurisdiction Within the Different Maritime Zones	75
b)	The “Arctic Article” 234 UNCLOS on Ice-Covered Waters	78
c)	High Seas	81
d)	The ‘Area’	81
e)	Duty to Protect the Marine Environment	82
f)	Enclosed or Semi-Enclosed Sea	84
g)	The Legal Status of the Northwest Passage	85
aa)	U.S. View: Northwest Passage as International Strait	85
bb)	Canadian View: Internal waters	88
	(1) Straight Baselines as a Basis for Internal Waters	89
	(2) Right of Innocent Passage	93
	(3) Historic Title as a Basis for Internal Waters	94
cc)	Possible Internationalisation of the Northwest Passage	95
h)	The Northern Sea Route	96
2	Regulation of Certain Areas of the Marine Arctic	97
a)	OSPAR Convention	98
aa)	Introduction	98
bb)	General Principles	100
b)	Establishment of (High Seas) MPAs	103
3	Species-Specific Regulation	104
a)	1973 Agreement on the Conservation of Polar Bears	104
aa)	Material Obligations	105
bb)	Procedural Obligations	106
b)	Evaluation	108
4	Sector-Specific Regulation	109
a)	Shipping	109
aa)	From “Guidelines for Ships Operating in Arctic Ice-Covered Waters” to “Guidelines for Ships Operating in Polar Waters”	110
bb)	Towards a Mandatory “Polar Code”	113
b)	Offshore Oil and Gas Extraction	115
V	Deficits of the International Regime for the Arctic Marine Environment	119
1	Cross-Sectoral Deficits	119
a)	No Integrated, Ecosystem-Based, Cross-Sectoral Management	119
aa)	Legal and Institutional Fragmentation	119
bb)	Application of the Ecosystem Approach	121
cc)	Marine Spatial Planning (MSP)	122

b)	No Network of Marine Protected Areas (MPAs)	124
aa)	Concept of MPAs	124
bb)	Network of MPAs in the Arctic	126
cc)	MPAs in ABNJ	127
c)	Character of UNCLOS as Framework Convention	128
d)	Gaps in Participation and Geographic Scope	130
e)	Deficits of the Legal Regime for Biodiversity Conservation in ABNJ	131
f)	No Regulatory Instrument for Transboundary Environmental Impact Assessment	133
2	Sector-Specific Deficits	135
a)	Shipping	135
aa)	No Binding Special Construction, Design, Equipment and Manning Standards (Yet)	135
bb)	No Comprehensive IMO Ships' Routeing System	136
cc)	No Tailor-Made, Binding Environmental Standards for Vessels Operating in Arctic Waters	137
dd)	Deficits of the Polar Code	138
b)	Oil and Gas Extraction	138
aa)	No Adequate Control of Environmental Impacts of Petroleum Extraction	138
bb)	Lack of Competent Global or Regional Bodies	139
c)	Fishing	139
3	Concluding Remarks and Outlook	139
4	Deficits of the High Seas Fisheries Regime in the Arctic	142
a)	Framework for High Seas Fisheries in the Arctic	142
aa)	UNCLOS	142
bb)	UN Fish Stocks Agreement	145
cc)	FAO Compliance Agreement and Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries	148
dd)	Agreement on Port State Measures to Prevent IUU Fishing	152
ee)	International Guidelines for the Management of Deep-Sea Fisheries in the High Seas	154
ff)	Convention on Biological Diversity	157
b)	Shortcomings of the International Legal Regime for High Seas Fish Stocks	160
aa)	UNCLOS Provisions Insufficient	160
bb)	Incomplete Coverage of all High Seas Fish Stocks by the FSA	160
cc)	Insufficient Participation in Relevant Instruments	161
c)	Deficits of the Institutional Framework for Fisheries in the Arctic	161

aa) Relevant Global Organisations Concerning Fisheries . . .	162
(1) United Nations General Assembly (UNGA)	162
(2) Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO)	163
bb) Regional Bodies Concerning High Seas Fisheries in the Arctic	164
(1) Bodies with Implicit Competence Over Parts of the Marine Arctic	165
(a) International Commission on the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT)	165
(b) Western and Central Pacific Ocean Fisheries Commission (WCPFC)	167
(2) Bodies with Explicit Competence Over Parts of the Atlantic Wedge of the Arctic	170
(a) International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES)	170
(b) Northwest Atlantic Fisheries Organization (NAFO)	171
(c) North Atlantic Salmon Conservation Organization (NASCO)	174
(d) North East Atlantic Fisheries Commission (NEAFC)	175
(3) Bodies with Explicit Competence Over Parts of the Pacific Wedge of the Arctic	179
(a) North Pacific Anadromous Fish Commission (NPAFC)	179
(b) Convention on the Conservation and Management of Pollock Resources in the Central Bering Sea	181
(4) Bilateral Bodies Concerning Fisheries in Arctic Waters	184
(a) Joint Norwegian–Russian Fisheries Commission (JNRFC)	184
(b) International Pacific Halibut Commission (IPHC)	187
(c) Pacific Salmon Commission (PSC)	188
(d) Intergovernmental Consultative Committee (ICC)	189
(5) Comparison to CCAMLR	189
cc) Deficits Relating to High Seas Fisheries Conservation and Management	191
(1) Incomplete Coverage with RFMOs	192
(2) Institutional Deficits	192

(3) IUU Fishing	193
(4) Information Gaps	194
5 Concluding Remarks	194
4 Possible Ways for Enhancement	197
I The Current Debate	197
II Enhancements Within the Current Legal Regime	199
1 Sector-Specific Enhancements	199
a) Creation of Arctic-Wide RFMO	200
b) Mandatory Polar Code	201
2 Area-Based Enhancements	201
a) Establishment of MPAs and/or PSSAs/Associated Measures/Special Areas	201
b) Creation of National Parks	203
c) Seasonal or Permanent Closures of Areas	204
d) Common World Heritage	204
3 Miscellaneous	205
a) Marine Spatial (and Temporal) Planning: Pan Arctic EIA	205
b) Arctic Trust Fund	205
III Adopt a Cross-Sectoral Binding Agreement	206
1 An Arctic Treaty Based on the Model of the Antarctic Treaty System	207
a) Overview of the Antarctic Treaty System (ATS)	207
b) Comparison Between the Two Poles	209
2 Framework Convention and Subsequent Protocols	212
3 Regional Seas Agreement	212
4 Implementing Agreement Under UNCLOS	215
5 Regime for the ABNJ	216
a) Law of the Sea	216
b) Sui Generis Approach	216
c) Multilateral Arctic Ocean Agreement	217
6 Parties to a Potential Arctic Treaty	218
a) Stewardship Role of the Arctic (Coastal) States?	218
b) Legitimate Interests of the World Community?	219
7 Impediments for the Development of a Comprehensive Legal Regime for Protection of the Arctic Marine Environment	220
a) Lack of Political Will	220
b) Fragmentation	221
c) Disputes About Maritime Boundaries	221
IV Conclusion	221
5 Summary	227
Bibliography	233

International Governance of the Arctic Marine
Environment
With Particular Emphasis on High Seas Fisheries
Weidemann, L.
2014, XIV, 251 p., Softcover
ISBN: 978-3-319-04470-5