

Contents

1	Aim, Approach, and Methodology of the Study	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Aim of the Study	4
1.3	Approach	5
1.4	Methodology of the Study	6
	References	7
2	Methods for Coping with Overloaded Criminal Justice Systems	9
2.1	Overview	9
2.2	Decriminalization of Material Law	9
2.3	Discretionary Powers	11
2.4	Alternative Proceedings	12
	References	13
3	The Criminal Justice Systems Studied	15
3.1	The United States Criminal Justice System	15
3.1.1	Overview	15
3.1.2	Main Features of the United States Criminal Procedure	16
3.2	The Swiss Criminal Justice System	22
3.2.1	Overview	22
3.2.2	Main Features of the Swiss Criminal Procedure	23
3.3	Comparison of U.S. and Swiss Prosecution Systems	35
	References	37
4	History of the Public Prosecutor	39
4.1	Historical Background of the American Public Prosecutor	39
4.1.1	The English Attorney General	40
4.1.2	The Dutch <i>Schout</i>	42
4.1.3	The French Procureur Publique	43
4.1.4	American Public Prosecutor as a Result of His Environment	46

4.2	Historical Background of the Swiss Public Prosecutors	52
4.2.1	Influence of the French Public Prosecution Service in Civil Law Jurisdictions	52
4.2.2	Implementation of the Public Prosecution Service in Switzerland	54
4.2.3	Role of the Public Prosecution Service Prior and After the Introduction of the CCrP	57
	References	61
5	Public Prosecutors in the United States: Position, Powers, and Accountability	65
5.1	Structure and Organization of the Prosecution Service	65
5.1.1	Federal Level	65
5.1.2	State Level	69
5.2	Relationship Between the Prosecution Service and the Police . . .	73
5.2.1	Independently of Each Other	73
5.2.2	Mutual Dependence	75
5.3	Discretion in the U.S. Criminal Justice	75
5.3.1	Rule: Principle of Opportunity	75
5.3.2	Necessity of Prosecutorial Discretion	76
5.3.3	Problems Connected with Prosecutorial Discretion	76
5.3.4	Prosecutorial Decision-Making	78
5.3.5	Decision Not to Charge	89
5.3.6	Decision to Charge and What to Charge	100
5.3.7	Plea Bargaining	104
5.4	Prosecutorial Misconduct	117
5.4.1	Definition	117
5.4.2	Types of Misconduct	118
5.4.3	Reasons for Misconduct	134
5.4.4	Frequency of Prosecutorial Misconduct	137
5.4.5	Sanctions	140
5.5	Prosecutorial Control and Accountability	143
5.5.1	Control of Public Prosecutors	143
5.5.2	Accountability of Public Prosecutors	153
5.5.3	Conclusion	162
	References	162
6	Public Prosecutors in Switzerland: Position, Powers, and Accountability	171
6.1	Structure and Organization of the Prosecution Service	171
6.1.1	Federal Level	171
6.1.2	Cantonal Level	176
6.2	Relationship Between the Prosecution Service and the Police . . .	182
6.2.1	Independently of Each Other	182
6.2.2	Subordination of the Police	184

6.3	Discretion in the Swiss Criminal Justice System?	188
6.3.1	Rule: Principle of Legality	188
6.3.2	Introduction of a Moderate Principle of Opportunity	189
6.3.3	Conditions for the Application of the Moderate Principle of Opportunity and Its Legal Consequences: Article 8 CCrP	189
6.3.4	Purpose of Article 8 CCrP and Its Application Frequency	192
6.4	Prosecutorial Decision-Making	200
6.4.1	Order that Proceedings Will Not Be Opened (<i>Nichtanhandnahmeverfügung</i>)	200
6.4.2	Opening the Investigation	205
6.4.3	Suspension of Investigations	207
6.4.4	Discontinuing Proceedings (<i>Einstellungsverfügung</i>)	211
6.4.5	Decision to Charge	222
6.5	Alternative Proceedings in the Swiss Criminal Justice System	225
6.5.1	Penal Order Proceedings	225
6.5.2	Abridged Proceedings	235
6.6	Prosecutorial Control and Accountability	244
6.6.1	Control of Public Prosecutors	244
6.6.2	Accountability of Public Prosecutors	252
	References	258
7	Overview of Public Prosecutors in Germany: Position, Powers, and Accountability	263
7.1	Structure and Organization of the Prosecution Service	263
7.1.1	Organization of the Public Prosecution Service	263
7.1.2	Selection of Federal and State Prosecutors	264
7.1.3	Training of Prosecutors	265
7.2	Relationship Between the Prosecution Service and the Police	266
7.3	Discretion in the German Criminal Justice System?	267
7.3.1	Preliminary Remarks: Main Features of the German Criminal Justice System	267
7.3.2	Rule: Principle of Legality	269
7.3.3	Introducing Discretionary Non-Prosecution	270
7.4	Prosecutorial Decision-Making	275
7.4.1	Opening the Investigation	275
7.4.2	Discontinuing Proceedings	275
7.4.3	Decision to Charge	276
7.5	Alternative Proceedings	277
7.5.1	Accelerated Proceedings	277
7.5.2	Penal Order Proceedings	278
7.5.3	Agreements	280

7.6	Prosecutorial Control and Accountability	282
7.6.1	Control of Public Prosecutors	282
7.6.2	Accountability of Public Prosecutors	283
	References	285
8	Overview of Public Prosecutors in France: Position, Powers, and Accountability	287
8.1	Structure and Organization of the Prosecution Service in France	287
8.1.1	Organization of the Public Prosecutor's Office	287
8.1.2	Selection of General Prosecutors and District Prosecutors	289
8.1.3	Training of Prosecutors	290
8.2	Relationship Between the Prosecution Service and the Police . . .	291
8.3	Discretion in the French Criminal Justice System?	293
8.3.1	Preliminary Remarks: Main Features of the French Criminal Justice System	293
8.3.2	Rule: The Principle of Opportunity	296
8.4	Prosecutorial Decision-Making	298
8.4.1	Preliminary Remarks	298
8.4.2	Discontinuing Proceedings	298
8.4.3	Decision to Prosecute	301
8.5	Prosecutorial Control and Accountability	306
8.5.1	Control of Public Prosecutors	306
8.5.2	Accountability of Public Prosecutors	309
	References	311
9	Comparative Overview of Position, Powers, and Accountability of Public Prosecutors	313
9.1	Structure and Organization of the Public Prosecution Services . . .	313
9.1.1	The Place of the Prosecution Service Within the State and Its Independency	313
9.1.2	The Internal Structure of Prosecution Services	316
9.1.3	Appointment of Public Prosecutors	317
9.1.4	Education and Training of Public Prosecutors	318
9.1.5	The Place of the Examining Magistrate Within the Prosecution System	319
9.1.6	Summary Overview	320
9.2	Prosecutorial Discretion	320
9.3	Alternative Proceedings	322
9.3.1	Simplified Proceedings	322
9.3.2	Plea Agreements	323
9.4	Overview Over Out of Court Settlement Procedures	325
9.5	Potential Control Over Public Prosecutors by Victims	328

9.6	Accountability of Public Prosecutors	329
9.6.1	Civil and Criminal Liability	329
9.6.2	Disciplinary Liability	330
	References	331
10	Thematic Problems and the Prospects for Reform	333
10.1	Public Prosecutors in the United States	333
10.1.1	The Political Position of District Prosecutors	333
10.1.2	Coerciveness of Plea Bargaining	336
10.1.3	Lack of Control Over Prosecutors	337
10.2	Public Prosecutors in Switzerland	338
10.2.1	Problems Related to the Increase of Prosecutorial Power	338
10.2.2	Lessons Learned from the U.S. Experience	340
	References	342
11	Conclusion	343
	Appendix I: Classification of Criminal Actions	347
	Appendix II: Comparison of U.S. and Swiss Crime Victims Rights	349
	Appendix III: Victims' Rights in the Plea Bargaining and Pretrial Diversion	351
	Appendix IV: Appointment Methods of Chief Public Prosecutors in the United States	353
	Appendix V: Cases Dealt with by the County Attorney's Office of Anoka, Hennepin and Ramsey	355
	Appendix VI: Abridged Proceedings in the Canton of Basel-City	361

Public Prosecutors in the United States and Europe
A Comparative Analysis with Special Focus on
Switzerland, France, and Germany

Gilliéron, G.

2014, XXIV, 362 p. 5 illus., 1 illus. in color.,

ISBN: 978-3-319-04504-7