

# Chapter 1

## Topological Vector Spaces

### 1.1 Introduction

The main objective of this chapter is to present an outline of the basic tools of analysis necessary to develop the subsequent chapters. We assume the reader has a background in linear algebra and elementary real analysis at an undergraduate level. The main references for this chapter are the excellent books on functional analysis: Rudin [58], Bachman and Narici [6], and Reed and Simon [52]. All proofs are developed in details.

### 1.2 Vector Spaces

We denote by  $\mathbb{F}$  a scalar field. In practice this is either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ , the set of real or complex numbers.

**Definition 1.2.1 (Vector Spaces).** A vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  is a set which we will denote by  $U$  whose elements are called vectors, for which are defined two operations, namely, addition denoted by  $(+) : U \times U \rightarrow U$  and scalar multiplication denoted by  $(\cdot) : \mathbb{F} \times U \rightarrow U$ , so that the following relations are valid:

1.  $u + v = v + u, \forall u, v \in U$ ,
2.  $u + (v + w) = (u + v) + w, \forall u, v, w \in U$ ,
3. there exists a vector denoted by  $\theta$  such that  $u + \theta = u, \forall u \in U$ ,
4. for each  $u \in U$ , there exists a unique vector denoted by  $-u$  such that  $u + (-u) = \theta$ ,
5.  $\alpha \cdot (\beta \cdot u) = (\alpha \cdot \beta) \cdot u, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, u \in U$ ,
6.  $\alpha \cdot (u + v) = \alpha \cdot u + \alpha \cdot v, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}, u, v \in U$ ,
7.  $(\alpha + \beta) \cdot u = \alpha \cdot u + \beta \cdot u, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}, u \in U$ ,
8.  $1 \cdot u = u, \forall u \in U$ .

*Remark 1.2.2.* From now on we may drop the dot  $(\cdot)$  in scalar multiplications and denote  $\alpha \cdot u$  simply as  $\alpha u$ .

**Definition 1.2.3 (Vector Subspace).** Let  $U$  be a vector space. A set  $V \subset U$  is said to be a vector subspace of  $U$  if  $V$  is also a vector space with the same operations as those of  $U$ . If  $V \neq U$ , we say that  $V$  is a proper subspace of  $U$ .

**Definition 1.2.4 (Finite-Dimensional Space).** A vector space is said to be of finite dimension if there exists fixed  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in U$  such that for each  $u \in U$  there are corresponding  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{F}$  for which

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i u_i. \quad (1.1)$$

**Definition 1.2.5 (Topological Spaces).** A set  $U$  is said to be a topological space if it is possible to define a collection  $\sigma$  of subsets of  $U$  called a topology in  $U$ , for which the following properties are valid:

1.  $U \in \sigma$ ,
2.  $\emptyset \in \sigma$ ,
3. if  $A \in \sigma$  and  $B \in \sigma$ , then  $A \cap B \in \sigma$ ,
4. arbitrary unions of elements in  $\sigma$  also belong to  $\sigma$ .

Any  $A \in \sigma$  is said to be an open set.

*Remark 1.2.6.* When necessary, to clarify the notation, we shall denote the vector space  $U$  endowed with the topology  $\sigma$  by  $(U, \sigma)$ .

**Definition 1.2.7 (Closed Sets).** Let  $U$  be a topological space. A set  $A \subset U$  is said to be closed if  $U \setminus A$  is open. We also denote  $U \setminus A = A^c = \{u \in U \mid u \notin A\}$ .

*Remark 1.2.8.* For any sets  $A, B \subset U$  we denote

$$A \setminus B = \{u \in A \mid u \notin B\}.$$

Also, when the meaning is clear we may denote  $A \setminus B$  by  $A - B$ .

**Proposition 1.2.9.** *For closed sets we have the following properties:*

1.  $U$  and  $\emptyset$  are closed,
2. if  $A$  and  $B$  are closed sets, then  $A \cup B$  is closed,
3. arbitrary intersections of closed sets are closed.

*Proof.*

1. Since  $\emptyset$  is open and  $U = \emptyset^c$ , by Definition 1.2.7,  $U$  is closed. Similarly, since  $U$  is open and  $\emptyset = U \setminus U = U^c$ ,  $\emptyset$  is closed.
2.  $A, B$  closed implies that  $A^c$  and  $B^c$  are open, and by Definition 1.2.5,  $A^c \cup B^c$  is open, so that  $A \cap B = (A^c \cup B^c)^c$  is closed.

3. Consider  $A = \bigcap_{\lambda \in L} A_\lambda$ , where  $L$  is a collection of indices and  $A_\lambda$  is closed,  $\forall \lambda \in L$ . We may write  $A = (\bigcup_{\lambda \in L} A_\lambda^c)^c$  and since  $A_\lambda^c$  is open  $\forall \lambda \in L$  we have, by Definition 1.2.5, that  $A$  is closed.

**Definition 1.2.10 (Closure).** Given  $A \subset U$  we define the closure of  $A$ , denoted by  $\bar{A}$ , as the intersection of all closed sets that contain  $A$ .

*Remark 1.2.11.* From Proposition 1.2.9 item 3 we have that  $\bar{A}$  is the smallest closed set that contains  $A$ , in the sense that if  $C$  is closed and  $A \subset C$ , then  $\bar{A} \subset C$ .

**Definition 1.2.12 (Interior).** Given  $A \subset U$  we define its interior, denoted by  $A^\circ$ , as the union of all open sets contained in  $A$ .

*Remark 1.2.13.* It is not difficult to prove that if  $A$  is open, then  $A = A^\circ$ .

**Definition 1.2.14 (Neighborhood).** Given  $u_0 \in U$  we say that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a neighborhood of  $u_0$  if such a set is open and contains  $u_0$ . We denote such neighborhoods by  $\mathcal{V}_{u_0}$ .

**Proposition 1.2.15.** *If  $A \subset U$  is a set such that for each  $u \in A$  there exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}_u \ni u$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_u \subset A$ , then  $A$  is open.*

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that  $A = \bigcup_{u \in A} \mathcal{V}_u$  and any arbitrary union of open sets is open.

**Definition 1.2.16 (Function).** Let  $U$  and  $V$  be two topological spaces. We say that  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is a function if  $f$  is a collection of pairs  $(u, v) \in U \times V$  such that for each  $u \in U$  there exists only one  $v \in V$  such that  $(u, v) \in f$ .

**Definition 1.2.17 (Continuity at a Point).** A function  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is continuous at  $u \in U$  if for each neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}_{f(u)} \subset V$  of  $f(u)$ , there exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}_u \subset U$  of  $u$  such that  $f(\mathcal{V}_u) \subset \mathcal{V}_{f(u)}$ .

**Definition 1.2.18 (Continuous Function).** A function  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is continuous if it is continuous at each  $u \in U$ .

**Proposition 1.2.19.** *A function  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is continuous if and only if  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$  is open for each open  $\mathcal{V} \subset V$ , where*

$$f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) = \{u \in U \mid f(u) \in \mathcal{V}\}. \quad (1.2)$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$  is open whenever  $\mathcal{V} \subset V$  is open. Pick  $u \in U$  and any open  $\mathcal{V}$  such that  $f(u) \in \mathcal{V}$ . Since  $u \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$  and  $f(f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})) \subset \mathcal{V}$ , we have that  $f$  is continuous at  $u \in U$ . Since  $u \in U$  is arbitrary we have that  $f$  is continuous. Conversely, suppose  $f$  is continuous and pick  $\mathcal{V} \subset V$  open. If  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) = \emptyset$ , we are done, since  $\emptyset$  is open. Thus, suppose  $u \in f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ , since  $f$  is continuous, there exists  $\mathcal{V}_u$  a neighborhood of  $u$  such that  $f(\mathcal{V}_u) \subset \mathcal{V}$ . This means  $\mathcal{V}_u \subset f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$  and therefore, from Proposition 1.2.15,  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$  is open.

**Definition 1.2.20.** We say that  $(U, \sigma)$  is a Hausdorff topological space if, given  $u_1, u_2 \in U$ ,  $u_1 \neq u_2$ , there exists  $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2 \in \sigma$  such that

$$u_1 \in \mathcal{V}_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{V}_2 \text{ and } \mathcal{V}_1 \cap \mathcal{V}_2 = \emptyset. \quad (1.3)$$

**Definition 1.2.21 (Base).** A collection  $\sigma' \subset \sigma$  is said to be a base for  $\sigma$  if every element of  $\sigma$  may be represented as a union of elements of  $\sigma'$ .

**Definition 1.2.22 (Local Base).** A collection  $\hat{\sigma}$  of neighborhoods of a point  $u \in U$  is said to be a local base at  $u$  if each neighborhood of  $u$  contains a member of  $\hat{\sigma}$ .

**Definition 1.2.23 (Topological Vector Space).** A vector space endowed with a topology, denoted by  $(U, \sigma)$ , is said to be a topological vector space if and only if

1. every single point of  $U$  is a closed set,
2. the vector space operations (addition and scalar multiplication) are continuous with respect to  $\sigma$ .

More specifically, addition is continuous if given  $u, v \in U$  and  $\mathcal{V} \in \sigma$  such that  $u + v \in \mathcal{V}$ , then there exists  $\mathcal{V}_u \ni u$  and  $\mathcal{V}_v \ni v$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_u + \mathcal{V}_v \subset \mathcal{V}$ . On the other hand, scalar multiplication is continuous if given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ ,  $u \in U$  and  $\mathcal{V} \ni \alpha \cdot u$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  and  $\mathcal{V}_u \ni u$  such that  $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{F}$  satisfying  $|\beta - \alpha| < \delta$  we have  $\beta \mathcal{V}_u \subset \mathcal{V}$ .

Given  $(U, \sigma)$ , let us associate with each  $u_0 \in U$  and  $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{F}$  ( $\alpha_0 \neq 0$ ) the functions  $T_{u_0} : U \rightarrow U$  and  $M_{\alpha_0} : U \rightarrow U$  defined by

$$T_{u_0}(u) = u_0 + u \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$M_{\alpha_0}(u) = \alpha_0 \cdot u. \quad (1.5)$$

The continuity of such functions is a straightforward consequence of the continuity of vector space operations (addition and scalar multiplication). It is clear that the respective inverse maps, namely  $T_{-u_0}$  and  $M_{1/\alpha_0}$ , are also continuous. So if  $\mathcal{V}$  is open, then  $u_0 + \mathcal{V}$ , that is,  $(T_{-u_0})^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) = T_{u_0}(\mathcal{V}) = u_0 + \mathcal{V}$  is open. By analogy  $\alpha_0 \mathcal{V}$  is open. Thus  $\sigma$  is completely determined by a local base, so that the term local base will be understood henceforth as a local base at  $\theta$ . So to summarize, a local base of a topological vector space is a collection  $\Omega$  of neighborhoods of  $\theta$ , such that each neighborhood of  $\theta$  contains a member of  $\Omega$ .

Now we present some simple results.

**Proposition 1.2.24.** *If  $A \subset U$  is open, then  $\forall u \in A$ , there exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\theta$  such that  $u + \mathcal{V} \subset A$ .*

*Proof.* Just take  $\mathcal{V} = A - u$ .

**Proposition 1.2.25.** *Given a topological vector space  $(U, \sigma)$ , any element of  $\sigma$  may be expressed as a union of translates of members of  $\Omega$ , so that the local base  $\Omega$  generates the topology  $\sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \subset U$  open and  $u \in A$ .  $\mathcal{V} = A - u$  is a neighborhood of  $\theta$  and by definition of local base, there exists a set  $\mathcal{V}_{\Omega_u} \subset \mathcal{V}$  such that  $\mathcal{V}_{\Omega_u} \in \Omega$ . Thus, we may write

$$A = \cup_{u \in A} (u + \mathcal{V}_{\Omega_u}). \quad (1.6)$$

### 1.3 Some Properties of Topological Vector Spaces

In this section we study some fundamental properties of topological vector spaces. We start with the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.3.1.** *Any topological vector space  $U$  is a Hausdorff space.*

*Proof.* Pick  $u_0, u_1 \in U$  such that  $u_0 \neq u_1$ . Thus  $\mathcal{V} = U \setminus \{u_1 - u_0\}$  is an open neighborhood of zero. As  $\theta + \theta = \theta$ , by the continuity of addition, there exist  $\mathcal{V}_1$  and  $\mathcal{V}_2$  neighborhoods of  $\theta$  such that

$$\mathcal{V}_1 + \mathcal{V}_2 \subset \mathcal{V} \quad (1.7)$$

define  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}_1 \cap \mathcal{V}_2 \cap (-\mathcal{V}_1) \cap (-\mathcal{V}_2)$ , thus  $\mathcal{U} = -\mathcal{U}$  (symmetric) and  $\mathcal{U} + \mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$  and hence

$$u_0 + \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{U} \subset u_0 + \mathcal{V} \subset U \setminus \{u_1\} \quad (1.8)$$

so that

$$u_0 + v_1 + v_2 \neq u_1, \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (1.9)$$

or

$$u_0 + v_1 \neq u_1 - v_2, \quad \forall v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{U}, \quad (1.10)$$

and since  $\mathcal{U} = -\mathcal{U}$

$$(u_0 + \mathcal{U}) \cap (u_1 + \mathcal{U}) = \emptyset. \quad (1.11)$$

**Definition 1.3.2 (Bounded Sets).** A set  $A \subset U$  is said to be bounded if to each neighborhood of zero  $\mathcal{V}$  there corresponds a number  $s > 0$  such that  $A \subset t\mathcal{V}$  for each  $t > s$ .

**Definition 1.3.3 (Convex Sets).** A set  $A \subset U$  such that

$$\text{if } u, v \in A \text{ then } \lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v \in A, \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1], \quad (1.12)$$

is said to be convex.

**Definition 1.3.4 (Locally Convex Spaces).** A topological vector space  $U$  is said to be locally convex if there is a local base  $\Omega$  whose elements are convex.

**Definition 1.3.5 (Balanced Sets).** A set  $A \subset U$  is said to be balanced if  $\alpha A \subset A$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $|\alpha| \leq 1$ .

**Theorem 1.3.6.** *In a topological vector space  $U$  we have:*

1. *every neighborhood of zero contains a balanced neighborhood of zero,*
2. *every convex neighborhood of zero contains a balanced convex neighborhood of zero.*

*Proof.*

1. Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  is a neighborhood of zero. From the continuity of scalar multiplication, there exist  $\mathcal{V}$  (neighborhood of zero) and  $\delta > 0$ , such that  $\alpha\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$  whenever  $|\alpha| < \delta$ . Define  $\mathcal{W} = \cup_{|\alpha| < \delta} \alpha\mathcal{V}$ ; thus  $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{U}$  is a balanced neighborhood of zero.
2. Suppose  $\mathcal{U}$  is a convex neighborhood of zero in  $U$ . Define

$$A = \{\cap \alpha\mathcal{U} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, |\alpha| = 1\}. \quad (1.13)$$

As  $0 \cdot \theta = \theta$  (where  $\theta \in U$  denotes the zero vector) from the continuity of scalar multiplication there exists  $\delta > 0$  and there is a neighborhood of zero  $\mathcal{V}$  such that if  $|\beta| < \delta$ , then  $\beta\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ . Define  $\mathcal{W}$  as the union of all such  $\beta\mathcal{V}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{W}$  is balanced and  $\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}$  as  $|\alpha| = 1$ , so that  $\mathcal{W} = \alpha\mathcal{W} \subset \alpha\mathcal{U}$ , and hence  $\mathcal{W} \subset A$ , which implies that the interior  $A^\circ$  is a neighborhood of zero. Also  $A^\circ \subset \mathcal{U}$ . Since  $A$  is an intersection of convex sets, it is convex and so is  $A^\circ$ . Now we will show that  $A^\circ$  is balanced and complete the proof. For this, it suffices to prove that  $A$  is balanced. Choose  $r$  and  $\beta$  such that  $0 \leq r \leq 1$  and  $|\beta| = 1$ . Then

$$r\beta A = \cap_{|\alpha|=1} r\beta\alpha\mathcal{U} = \cap_{|\alpha|=1} r\alpha\mathcal{U}. \quad (1.14)$$

Since  $\alpha\mathcal{U}$  is a convex set that contains zero, we obtain  $r\alpha\mathcal{U} \subset \alpha\mathcal{U}$ , so that  $r\beta A \subset A$ , which completes the proof.

**Proposition 1.3.7.** *Let  $U$  be a topological vector space and  $\mathcal{V}$  a neighborhood of zero in  $U$ . Given  $u \in U$ , there exists  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\beta u \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\forall \beta$  such that  $|\beta| < r$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $u + \mathcal{V}$  is a neighborhood of  $1 \cdot u$ , and then by the continuity of scalar multiplication, there exists  $\mathcal{W}$  neighborhood of  $u$  and  $r > 0$  such that

$$\beta\mathcal{W} \subset u + \mathcal{V}, \forall \beta \text{ such that } |\beta - 1| < r, \quad (1.15)$$

so that

$$\beta u \in u + \mathcal{V}, \quad (1.16)$$

or

$$(\beta - 1)u \in \mathcal{V}, \text{ where } |\beta - 1| < r, \quad (1.17)$$

and thus

$$\hat{\beta}u \in \mathcal{V}, \forall \hat{\beta} \text{ such that } |\hat{\beta}| < r, \quad (1.18)$$

which completes the proof.

**Corollary 1.3.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a neighborhood of zero in  $U$ ; if  $\{r_n\}$  is a sequence such that  $r_n > 0$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = \infty$ , then  $U \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} r_n \mathcal{V}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in U$ , then  $\alpha u \in \mathcal{V}$  for any  $\alpha$  sufficiently small, from the last proposition  $u \in \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathcal{V}$ . As  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$  we have that  $r_n > \frac{1}{\alpha}$  for  $n$  sufficiently big, so that  $u \in r_n \mathcal{V}$ , which completes the proof.

**Proposition 1.3.9.** *Suppose  $\{\delta_n\}$  is a sequence such that  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\delta_n < \delta_{n-1}$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  a bounded neighborhood of zero in  $U$ , then  $\{\delta_n \mathcal{V}\}$  is a local base for  $U$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a neighborhood of zero; as  $\mathcal{V}$  is bounded, there exists  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\mathcal{V} \subset t \mathcal{U}$  for any  $t > t_0$ . As  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n = 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n \geq n_0$ , then  $\delta_n < \frac{1}{t_0}$ , so that  $\delta_n \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\forall n$  such that  $n \geq n_0$ .

**Definition 1.3.10 (Convergence in Topological Vector Spaces).** Let  $U$  be a topological vector space. We say  $\{u_n\}$  converges to  $u_0 \in U$ , if for each neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $u_0$ , then there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$u_n \in \mathcal{V}, \forall n \geq N.$$

## 1.4 Compactness in Topological Vector Spaces

We start this section with the definition of open covering.

**Definition 1.4.1 (Open Covering).** Given  $B \subset U$  we say that  $\{\mathcal{O}_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$  is a covering of  $B$  if  $B \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{O}_\alpha$ . If  $\mathcal{O}_\alpha$  is open  $\forall \alpha \in A$ , then  $\{\mathcal{O}_\alpha\}$  is said to be an open covering of  $B$ .

**Definition 1.4.2 (Compact Sets).** A set  $B \subset U$  is said to be compact if each open covering of  $B$  has a finite subcovering. More explicitly, if  $B \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \mathcal{O}_\alpha$ , where  $\mathcal{O}_\alpha$  is open  $\forall \alpha \in A$ , then there exist  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in A$  such that  $B \subset \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_n}$ , for some  $n$ , a finite positive integer.

**Proposition 1.4.3.** *A compact subset of a Hausdorff space is closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a Hausdorff space and consider  $A \subset U$ ,  $A$  compact. Given  $x \in A$  and  $y \in A^c$ , there exist open sets  $\mathcal{O}_x$  and  $\mathcal{O}_y^x$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{O}_x$ ,  $y \in \mathcal{O}_y^x$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_x \cap \mathcal{O}_y^x = \emptyset$ . It is clear that  $A \subset \bigcup_{x \in A} \mathcal{O}_x$ , and since  $A$  is compact, we may find  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{x_i}$ . For the selected  $y \in A^c$  we have  $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_y^{x_i}$  and  $(\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_y^{x_i}) \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{x_i}) = \emptyset$ . Since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_y^{x_i}$  is open and  $y$  is an arbitrary point of  $A^c$  we have that  $A^c$  is open, so that  $A$  is closed, which completes the proof.

The next result is very useful.

**Theorem 1.4.4.** *Let  $\{K_\alpha, \alpha \in L\}$  be a collection of compact subsets of a Hausdorff topological vector space  $U$ , such that the intersection of every finite subcollection (of  $\{K_\alpha, \alpha \in L\}$ ) is nonempty.*

*Under such hypotheses*

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in L} K_\alpha \neq \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $\alpha_0 \in L$ . Suppose, to obtain contradiction, that

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in L} K_\alpha = \emptyset.$$

That is,

$$K_{\alpha_0} \cap [\bigcap_{\alpha \in L}^{\alpha \neq \alpha_0} K_\alpha] = \emptyset.$$

Thus,

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in L}^{\alpha \neq \alpha_0} K_\alpha \subset K_{\alpha_0}^c,$$

so that

$$K_{\alpha_0} \subset [\bigcap_{\alpha \in L}^{\alpha \neq \alpha_0} K_\alpha]^c,$$

$$K_{\alpha_0} \subset [\bigcup_{\alpha \in L}^{\alpha \neq \alpha_0} K_\alpha^c].$$

However,  $K_{\alpha_0}$  is compact and  $K_\alpha^c$  is open,  $\forall \alpha \in L$ .

Hence, there exist  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$  such that

$$K_{\alpha_0} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n K_{\alpha_i}^c.$$

From this we may infer that

$$K_{\alpha_0} \cap [\bigcap_{i=1}^n K_{\alpha_i}] = \emptyset,$$

which contradicts the hypotheses.

The proof is complete.

**Proposition 1.4.5.** *A closed subset of a compact space  $U$  is compact.*

*Proof.* Consider  $\{\mathcal{O}_\alpha, \alpha \in L\}$  an open cover of  $A$ . Thus  $\{A^c, \mathcal{O}_\alpha, \alpha \in L\}$  is a cover of  $U$ . As  $U$  is compact, there exist  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  such that  $A^c \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_{\alpha_i}) \supset U$ , so that  $\{\mathcal{O}_{\alpha_i}, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}$  covers  $A$ , so that  $A$  is compact. The proof is complete.

**Definition 1.4.6 (Countably Compact Sets).** A set  $A$  is said to be countably compact if every infinite subset of  $A$  has a limit point in  $A$ .

**Proposition 1.4.7.** *Every compact subset of a topological space  $U$  is countably compact.*

*Proof.* Let  $B$  an infinite subset of  $A$  compact and suppose  $B$  has no limit point. Choose  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots\} \subset B$  and define  $F = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$ . It is clear that  $F$  has no limit point. Thus, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $\mathcal{O}_n$  open such that  $\mathcal{O}_n \cap F = \{x_n\}$ . Also, for each  $x \in A - F$ , there exist  $\mathcal{O}_x$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{O}_x$  and  $\mathcal{O}_x \cap F = \emptyset$ . Thus  $\{\mathcal{O}_x, x \in A - F; \mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \dots\}$  is an open cover of  $A$  without a finite subcover, which contradicts the fact that  $A$  is compact.



## 1.5 Normed and Metric Spaces

The idea here is to prepare a route for the study of Banach spaces defined below. We start with the definition of norm.

**Definition 1.5.1 (Norm).** A vector space  $U$  is said to be a normed space, if it is possible to define a function  $\|\cdot\|_U : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$ , called a norm, which satisfies the following properties:

1.  $\|u\|_U > 0$ , if  $u \neq \theta$  and  $\|u\|_U = 0 \Leftrightarrow u = \theta$ ,
2.  $\|u + v\|_U \leq \|u\|_U + \|v\|_U, \forall u, v \in U$ ,
3.  $\|\alpha u\|_U = |\alpha| \|u\|_U, \forall u \in U, \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ .

Now we present the definition of metric.

**Definition 1.5.2 (Metric Space).** A vector space  $U$  is said to be a metric space if it is possible to define a function  $d : U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ , called a metric on  $U$ , such that

1.  $0 \leq d(u, v), \forall u, v \in U$ ,
2.  $d(u, v) = 0 \Leftrightarrow u = v$ ,
3.  $d(u, v) = d(v, u), \forall u, v \in U$ ,
4.  $d(u, w) \leq d(u, v) + d(v, w), \forall u, v, w \in U$ .

A metric can be defined through a norm, that is,

$$d(u, v) = \|u - v\|_U. \quad (1.19)$$

In this case we say that the metric is induced by the norm.

The set  $B_r(u) = \{v \in U \mid d(u, v) < r\}$  is called the open ball with center at  $u$  and radius  $r$ . A metric  $d : U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is said to be invariant if

$$d(u + w, v + w) = d(u, v), \forall u, v, w \in U. \quad (1.20)$$

The following are some basic definitions concerning metric and normed spaces:

**Definition 1.5.3 (Convergent Sequences).** Given a metric space  $U$ , we say that  $\{u_n\} \subset U$  converges to  $u_0 \in U$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that if  $n \geq n_0$ , then  $d(u_n, u_0) < \varepsilon$ . In this case we write  $u_n \rightarrow u_0$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

**Definition 1.5.4 (Cauchy Sequence).**  $\{u_n\} \subset U$  is said to be a Cauchy sequence if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(u_n, u_m) < \varepsilon, \forall m, n \geq n_0$

**Definition 1.5.5 (Completeness).** A metric space  $U$  is said to be complete if each Cauchy sequence related to  $d : U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  converges to an element of  $U$ .

**Definition 1.5.6 (Limit Point).** Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space and let  $E \subset U$ . We say that  $v \in U$  is a limit point of  $E$  if for each  $r > 0$  there exists  $w \in B_r(v) \cap E$  such that  $w \neq v$ .

**Definition 1.5.7 (Interior Point, Topology for  $(U, d)$ ).** Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space and let  $E \subset U$ . We say that  $u \in E$  is interior point if there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $B_r(u) \subset E$ . We may define a topology for a metric space  $(U, d)$  by declaring as open all set  $E \subset U$  such that all its points are interior. Such a topology is said to be induced by the metric  $d$ .

**Definition 1.5.8.** Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space. The set  $\sigma$  of all open sets, defined through the last definition, is indeed a topology for  $(U, d)$ .

*Proof.*

1. Obviously  $\emptyset$  and  $U$  are open sets.
2. Assume  $A$  and  $B$  are open sets and define  $C = A \cap B$ . Let  $u \in C = A \cap B$ ; thus, from  $u \in A$ , there exists  $r_1 > 0$  such that  $B_{r_1}(u) \subset A$ . Similarly from  $u \in B$  there exists  $r_2 > 0$  such that  $B_{r_2}(u) \subset B$ . Define  $r = \min\{r_1, r_2\}$ . Thus,  $B_r(u) \subset A \cap B = C$ , so that  $u$  is an interior point of  $C$ . Since  $u \in C$  is arbitrary, we may conclude that  $C$  is open.
3. Suppose  $\{A_\alpha, \alpha \in L\}$  is a collection of open sets. Define  $E = \cup_{\alpha \in L} A_\alpha$ , and we shall show that  $E$  is open. Choose  $u \in E = \cup_{\alpha \in L} A_\alpha$ . Thus there exists  $\alpha_0 \in L$  such that  $u \in A_{\alpha_0}$ . Since  $A_{\alpha_0}$  is open there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $B_r(u) \subset A_{\alpha_0} \subset \cup_{\alpha \in L} A_\alpha = E$ . Hence  $u$  is an interior point of  $E$ , since  $u \in E$  is arbitrary, we may conclude that  $E = \cup_{\alpha \in L} A_\alpha$  is open.

The proof is complete.

**Definition 1.5.9.** Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space and let  $E \subset U$ . We define  $E'$  as the set of all the limit points of  $E$ .

**Theorem 1.5.10.** Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space and let  $E \subset U$ . Then  $E$  is closed if and only if  $E' \subset E$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $E' \subset E$ . Let  $u \in E^c$ ; thus  $u \notin E$  and  $u \notin E'$ . Therefore there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $B_r(u) \cap E = \emptyset$ , so that  $B_r(u) \subset E^c$ . Therefore  $u$  is an interior point of  $E^c$ . Since  $u \in E^c$  is arbitrary, we may infer that  $E^c$  is open, so that  $E = (E^c)^c$  is closed.

Conversely, suppose that  $E$  is closed, that is,  $E^c$  is open.

If  $E' = \emptyset$ , we are done.

Thus assume  $E' \neq \emptyset$  and choose  $u \in E'$ . Thus, for each  $r > 0$ , there exists  $v \in B_r(u) \cap E$  such that  $v \neq u$ . Thus  $B_r(u) \not\subset E^c, \forall r > 0$  so that  $u$  is not a interior point of  $E^c$ . Since  $E^c$  is open, we have that  $u \notin E^c$  so that  $u \in E$ . We have thus obtained,  $u \in E, \forall u \in E'$ , so that  $E' \subset E$ .

The proof is complete.

*Remark 1.5.11.* From this last result, we may conclude that in a metric space,  $E \subset U$  is closed if and only if  $E' \subset E$ .

**Definition 1.5.12 (Banach Spaces).** A normed vector space  $U$  is said to be a Banach space if each Cauchy sequence related to the metric induced by the norm converges to an element of  $U$ .

*Remark 1.5.13.* We say that a topology  $\sigma$  is compatible with a metric  $d$  if any  $A \subset \sigma$  is represented by unions and/or finite intersections of open balls. In this case we say that  $d : U \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  induces the topology  $\sigma$ .

**Definition 1.5.14 (Metrizable Spaces).** A topological vector space  $(U, \sigma)$  is said to be metrizable if  $\sigma$  is compatible with some metric  $d$ .

**Definition 1.5.15 (Normable Spaces).** A topological vector space  $(U, \sigma)$  is said to be normable if the induced metric (by this norm) is compatible with  $\sigma$ .

## 1.6 Compactness in Metric Spaces

**Definition 1.6.1 (Diameter of a Set).** Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space and  $A \subset U$ . We define the diameter of  $A$ , denoted by  $\text{diam}(A)$  by

$$\text{diam}(A) = \sup\{d(u, v) \mid u, v \in A\}.$$

**Definition 1.6.2.** Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space. We say that  $\{F_k\} \subset U$  is a nested sequence of sets if

$$F_1 \supset F_2 \supset F_3 \supset \dots$$

**Theorem 1.6.3.** If  $(U, d)$  is a complete metric space, then every nested sequence of nonempty closed sets  $\{F_k\}$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \text{diam}(F_k) = 0$$

has nonempty intersection, that is,

$$\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \neq \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\{F_k\}$  is a nested sequence and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(F_k) = 0$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , select  $u_n \in F_n$ . Suppose given  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam}(F_n) = 0,$$

there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n \geq N$ , then

$$\text{diam}(F_n) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus if  $m, n > N$  we have  $u_m, u_n \in F_N$  so that

$$d(u_n, u_m) < \varepsilon.$$

Hence  $\{u_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Being  $U$  complete, there exists  $u \in U$  such that

$$u_n \rightarrow u \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Choose  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have that  $u_n \in F_m, \forall n > m$ , so that

$$u \in \bar{F}_m = F_m.$$

Since  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  is arbitrary we obtain

$$u \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} F_m.$$

The proof is complete.

**Theorem 1.6.4.** *Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space. If  $A \subset U$  is compact, then it is closed and bounded.*

*Proof.* We have already proved that  $A$  is closed. Suppose, to obtain contradiction, that  $A$  is not bounded. Thus for each  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $u, v \in A$  such that

$$d(u, v) > K.$$

Observe that

$$A \subset \bigcup_{u \in A} B_1(u).$$

Since  $A$  is compact there exists  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n \in A$  such that

$$A \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n B_1(u_k).$$

Define

$$R = \max\{d(u_i, u_j) \mid i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Choose  $u, v \in A$  such that

$$d(u, v) > R + 2. \tag{1.21}$$

Observe that there exist  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$u \in B_1(u_i), v \in B_1(u_j).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, v) &\leq d(u, u_i) + d(u_i, u_j) + d(u_j, v) \\ &\leq 2 + R, \end{aligned} \tag{1.22}$$

which contradicts (1.21). This completes the proof.

**Definition 1.6.5 (Relative Compactness).** In a metric space  $(U, d)$ , a set  $A \subset U$  is said to be relatively compact if  $\bar{A}$  is compact.

**Definition 1.6.6 ( $\varepsilon$ -Nets).** Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space. A set  $N \subset U$  is said to be a  $\varepsilon$ -net with respect to a set  $A \subset U$  if for each  $u \in A$  there exists  $v \in N$  such that

$$d(u, v) < \varepsilon.$$

**Definition 1.6.7.** Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space. A set  $A \subset U$  is said to be totally bounded if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a finite  $\varepsilon$ -net with respect to  $A$ .

**Proposition 1.6.8.** Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space. If  $A \subset U$  is totally bounded, then it is bounded.

*Proof.* Choose  $u, v \in A$ . Let  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  be the 1-net with respect to  $A$ . Define

$$R = \max\{d(u_i, u_j) \mid i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

Observe that there exist  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that

$$d(u, u_i) < 1, \quad d(v, u_j) < 1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, v) &\leq d(u, u_i) + d(u_i, u_j) + d(u_j, v) \\ &\leq R + 2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.23}$$

Since  $u, v \in A$  are arbitrary,  $A$  is bounded.

**Theorem 1.6.9.** Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space. If from each sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset A$  we can select a convergent subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$ , then  $A$  is totally bounded.

*Proof.* Suppose, to obtain contradiction, that  $A$  is not totally bounded. Thus there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that there exists no  $\varepsilon_0$ -net with respect to  $A$ . Choose  $u_1 \in A$ ; hence  $\{u_1\}$  is not a  $\varepsilon_0$ -net, that is, there exists  $u_2 \in A$  such that

$$d(u_1, u_2) > \varepsilon_0.$$

Again  $\{u_1, u_2\}$  is not a  $\varepsilon_0$ -net for  $A$ , so that there exists  $u_3 \in A$  such that

$$d(u_1, u_3) > \varepsilon_0 \text{ and } d(u_2, u_3) > \varepsilon_0.$$

Proceeding in this fashion we can obtain a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  such that

$$d(u_n, u_m) > \varepsilon_0, \text{ if } m \neq n. \tag{1.24}$$

Clearly we cannot extract a convergent subsequence of  $\{u_n\}$ ; otherwise such a subsequence would be Cauchy contradicting (1.24). The proof is complete.

**Definition 1.6.10 (Sequentially Compact Sets).** Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space. A set  $A \subset U$  is said to be sequentially compact if for each sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset A$ , there exist a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  and  $u \in A$  such that

$$u_{n_k} \rightarrow u, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Theorem 1.6.11.** A subset  $A$  of a metric space  $(U, d)$  is compact if and only if it is sequentially compact.

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is compact. By Proposition 1.4.7  $A$  is countably compact. Let  $\{u_n\} \subset A$  be a sequence. We have two situations to consider:

1.  $\{u_n\}$  has infinitely many equal terms, that is, in this case we have

$$u_{n_1} = u_{n_2} = \dots = u_{n_k} = \dots = u \in A.$$

Thus the result follows trivially.

2.  $\{u_n\}$  has infinitely many distinct terms. In such a case, being  $A$  countably compact,  $\{u_n\}$  has a limit point in  $A$ , so that there exist a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  and  $u \in A$  such that

$$u_{n_k} \rightarrow u, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

In both cases we may find a subsequence converging to some  $u \in A$ .

Thus  $A$  is sequentially compact.

Conversely suppose  $A$  is sequentially compact, and suppose  $\{G_\alpha, \alpha \in L\}$  is an open cover of  $A$ . For each  $u \in A$  define

$$\delta(u) = \sup\{r \mid B_r(u) \subset G_\alpha, \text{ for some } \alpha \in L\}.$$

First we prove that  $\delta(u) > 0, \forall u \in A$ . Choose  $u \in A$ . Since  $A \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in L} G_\alpha$ , there exists  $\alpha_0 \in L$  such that  $u \in G_{\alpha_0}$ . Being  $G_{\alpha_0}$  open, there exists  $r_0 > 0$  such that  $B_{r_0}(u) \subset G_{\alpha_0}$ .

Thus,

$$\delta(u) \geq r_0 > 0.$$

Now define  $\delta_0$  by

$$\delta_0 = \inf\{\delta(u) \mid u \in A\}.$$

Therefore, there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset A$  such that

$$\delta(u_n) \rightarrow \delta_0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $A$  is sequentially compact, we may obtain a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  and  $u_0 \in A$  such that

$$\delta(u_{n_k}) \rightarrow \delta_0 \text{ and } u_{n_k} \rightarrow u_0,$$

as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, we may find  $K_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $k > K_0$ , then

$$d(u_{n_k}, u_0) < \frac{\delta(u_0)}{4}. \quad (1.25)$$

We claim that

$$\delta(u_{n_k}) \geq \frac{\delta(u_0)}{4}, \text{ if } k > K_0.$$

To prove the claim, suppose

$$z \in B_{\frac{\delta(u_0)}{4}}(u_{n_k}), \forall k > K_0,$$

(observe that in particular from (1.25))

$$u_0 \in B_{\frac{\delta(u_0)}{4}}(u_{n_k}), \forall k > K_0.$$

Since

$$\frac{\delta(u_0)}{2} < \delta(u_0),$$

there exists some  $\alpha_1 \in L$  such that

$$B_{\frac{\delta(u_0)}{2}}(u_0) \subset G_{\alpha_1}.$$

However, since

$$d(u_{n_k}, u_0) < \frac{\delta(u_0)}{4}, \text{ if } k > K_0,$$

we obtain

$$B_{\frac{\delta(u_0)}{2}}(u_0) \supset B_{\frac{\delta(u_0)}{4}}(u_{n_k}), \text{ if } k > K_0,$$

so that

$$\delta(u_{n_k}) \geq \frac{\delta(u_0)}{4}, \forall k > K_0.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta(u_{n_k}) = \delta_0 \geq \frac{\delta(u_0)}{4}.$$

Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\delta_0 > \varepsilon > 0.$$

From the last theorem since  $A$  is sequentially compact, it is totally bounded. For the  $\varepsilon > 0$  chosen above, consider an  $\varepsilon$ -net contained in  $A$  (the fact that the  $\varepsilon$ -net may be chosen contained in  $A$  is also a consequence of the last theorem) and denote it by  $N$  that is,

$$N = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \in A.$$

Since  $\delta_0 > \varepsilon$ , there exists

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$$

such that

$$B_\varepsilon(v_i) \subset G_{\alpha_i}, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\},$$

considering that

$$\delta(v_i) \geq \delta_0 > \varepsilon > 0, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

For  $u \in A$ , since  $N$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -net we have

$$u \in \cup_{i=1}^n B_\varepsilon(v_i) \subset \cup_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i}.$$

Since  $u \in U$  is arbitrary we obtain

$$A \subset \cup_{i=1}^n G_{\alpha_i}.$$

Thus

$$\{G_{\alpha_1}, \dots, G_{\alpha_n}\}$$

is a finite subcover for  $A$  of

$$\{G_\alpha, \alpha \in L\}.$$

Hence,  $A$  is compact.

The proof is complete.

**Theorem 1.6.12.** *Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space. Thus  $A \subset U$  is relatively compact if and only if for each sequence in  $A$ , we may select a convergent subsequence.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is relatively compact. Thus  $\bar{A}$  is compact so that from the last theorem,  $\bar{A}$  is sequentially compact.

Thus from each sequence in  $\bar{A}$  we may select a subsequence which converges to some element of  $\bar{A}$ . In particular, for each sequence in  $A \subset \bar{A}$ , we may select a subsequence that converges to some element of  $\bar{A}$ .

Conversely, suppose that for each sequence in  $A$ , we may select a convergent subsequence. It suffices to prove that  $\bar{A}$  is sequentially compact. Let  $\{v_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\bar{A}$ . Since  $A$  is dense in  $\bar{A}$ , there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\} \subset A$  such that

$$d(u_n, v_n) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

From the hypothesis we may obtain a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}\}$  and  $u_0 \in \bar{A}$  such that

$$u_{n_k} \rightarrow u_0, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus,

$$v_{n_k} \rightarrow u_0 \in \bar{A}, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore  $\bar{A}$  is sequentially compact so that it is compact.

**Theorem 1.6.13.** *Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space.*

1. *If  $A \subset U$  is relatively compact, then it is totally bounded.*
2. *If  $(U, d)$  is a complete metric space and  $A \subset U$  is totally bounded, then  $A$  is relatively compact.*

*Proof.*

1. Suppose  $A \subset U$  is relatively compact. From the last theorem, from each sequence in  $A$ , we can extract a convergent subsequence. From Theorem 1.6.9,  $A$  is totally bounded.
2. Let  $(U, d)$  be a metric space and let  $A$  be a totally bounded subset of  $U$ . Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence in  $A$ . Since  $A$  is totally bounded for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we find a  $\varepsilon_k$ -net where  $\varepsilon_k = 1/k$ , denoted by  $N_k$  where

$$N_k = \{v_1^{(k)}, v_2^{(k)}, \dots, v_{n_k}^{(k)}\}.$$



In particular for  $k = 1$   $\{u_n\}$  is contained in the 1-net  $N_1$ . Thus at least one ball of radius 1 of  $N_1$  contains infinitely many points of  $\{u_n\}$ . Let us select a subsequence  $\{u_{n_k}^{(1)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of this infinite set (which is contained in a ball of radius 1). Similarly, we may select a subsequence here just partially relabeled  $\{u_{n_l}^{(2)}\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $\{u_{n_k}^{(1)}\}$  which is contained in one of the balls of the  $\frac{1}{2}$ -net. Proceeding in this fashion for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we may find a subsequence denoted by  $\{u_{n_m}^{(k)}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the original sequence contained in a ball of radius  $1/k$ .

Now consider the diagonal sequence denoted by  $\{u_{n_k}^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = \{z_k\}$ . Thus

$$d(z_n, z_m) < \frac{2}{k}, \text{ if } m, n > k,$$

that is,  $\{z_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, and since  $(U, d)$  is complete, there exists  $u \in U$  such that

$$z_k \rightarrow u \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

From Theorem 1.6.12,  $A$  is relatively compact.

The proof is complete.

## 1.7 The Arzela–Ascoli Theorem

In this section we present a classical result in analysis, namely the Arzela–Ascoli theorem.

**Definition 1.7.1 (Equicontinuity).** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a collection of complex functions defined on a metric space  $(U, d)$ . We say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is equicontinuous if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $u, v \in U$  and  $d(u, v) < \delta$ , then

$$|f(u) - f(v)| < \varepsilon, \forall f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Furthermore, we say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is point-wise bounded if for each  $u \in U$  there exists  $M(u) \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|f(u)| < M(u), \forall f \in \mathcal{F}.$$

**Theorem 1.7.2 (Arzela–Ascoli).** Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a point-wise bounded equicontinuous collection of complex functions defined on a metric space  $(U, d)$ . Also suppose that  $U$  has a countable dense subset  $E$ . Thus, each sequence  $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$  has a subsequence that converges uniformly on every compact subset of  $U$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a countable dense set in  $(U, d)$ . By hypothesis,  $\{f_n(u_1)\}$  is a bounded sequence; therefore, it has a convergent subsequence, which is denoted by  $\{f_{n_k}(u_1)\}$ . Let us denote

$$f_{n_k}(u_1) = \tilde{f}_{1,k}(u_1), \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus there exists  $g_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\tilde{f}_{1,k}(u_1) \rightarrow g_1, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Observe that  $\{f_{n_k}(u_2)\}$  is also bounded and also it has a convergent subsequence, which similarly as above we will denote by  $\{\tilde{f}_{2,k}(u_2)\}$ . Again there exists  $g_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\tilde{f}_{2,k}(u_1) \rightarrow g_1, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\tilde{f}_{2,k}(u_2) \rightarrow g_2, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proceeding in this fashion for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  we may obtain  $\{\tilde{f}_{m,k}\}$  such that

$$\tilde{f}_{m,k}(u_j) \rightarrow g_j, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, m\},$$

where the set  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$  is obtained as above. Consider the diagonal sequence

$$\{\tilde{f}_{k,k}\},$$

and observe that the sequence

$$\{\tilde{f}_{k,k}(u_m)\}_{k>m}$$

is such that

$$\tilde{f}_{k,k}(u_m) \rightarrow g_m \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty, \forall m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore we may conclude that from  $\{f_n\}$  we may extract a subsequence also denoted by

$$\{f_{n_k}\} = \{\tilde{f}_{k,k}\}$$

which is convergent in

$$E = \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Now suppose  $K \subset U$ , being  $K$  compact. Suppose given  $\varepsilon > 0$ . From the equicontinuity hypothesis there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $u, v \in U$  and  $d(u, v) < \delta$  we have

$$|f_{n_k}(u) - f_{n_k}(v)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Observe that

$$K \subset \bigcup_{u \in K} B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(u),$$

and being  $K$  compact we may find  $\{\tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_M\}$  such that

$$K \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^M B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(\tilde{u}_j).$$

Since  $E$  is dense in  $U$ , there exists

$$v_j \in B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(\tilde{u}_j) \cap E, \forall j \in \{1, \dots, M\}.$$

Fixing  $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ , from  $v_j \in E$  we obtain that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_k}(v_j)$$

exists as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence there exists  $K_{0_j} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $k, l > K_{0_j}$ , then

$$|f_{n_k}(v_j) - f_{n_l}(v_j)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Pick  $u \in K$ ; thus

$$u \in B_{\frac{\delta}{2}}(\tilde{u}_{\hat{j}})$$

for some  $\hat{j} \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ , so that

$$d(u, v_{\hat{j}}) < \delta.$$

Therefore if

$$k, l > \max\{K_{0_1}, \dots, K_{0_M}\},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{n_k}(u) - f_{n_l}(u)| &\leq |f_{n_k}(u) - f_{n_k}(v_{\hat{j}})| + |f_{n_k}(v_{\hat{j}}) - f_{n_l}(v_{\hat{j}})| \\ &\quad + |f_{n_l}(v_{\hat{j}}) - f_{n_l}(u)| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned} \tag{1.26}$$

Since  $u \in K$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  is uniformly Cauchy on  $K$ .

The proof is complete.

## 1.8 Linear Mappings

Given  $U, V$  topological vector spaces, a function (mapping)  $f : U \rightarrow V$ ,  $A \subset U$ , and  $B \subset V$ , we define

$$f(A) = \{f(u) \mid u \in A\}, \tag{1.27}$$

and the inverse image of  $B$ , denoted  $f^{-1}(B)$  as

$$f^{-1}(B) = \{u \in U \mid f(u) \in B\}. \tag{1.28}$$

**Definition 1.8.1 (Linear Functions).** A function  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is said to be linear if

$$f(\alpha u + \beta v) = \alpha f(u) + \beta f(v), \forall u, v \in U, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}. \tag{1.29}$$

**Definition 1.8.2 (Null Space and Range).** Given  $f : U \rightarrow V$ , we define the null space and the range of  $f$ , denoted by  $N(f)$  and  $R(f)$ , respectively, as

$$N(f) = \{u \in U \mid f(u) = \theta\} \quad (1.30)$$

and

$$R(f) = \{v \in V \mid \exists u \in U \text{ such that } f(u) = v\}. \quad (1.31)$$

Note that if  $f$  is linear, then  $N(f)$  and  $R(f)$  are subspaces of  $U$  and  $V$ , respectively.

**Proposition 1.8.3.** *Let  $U, V$  be topological vector spaces. If  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is linear and continuous at  $\theta$ , then it is continuous everywhere.*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is linear, we have  $f(\theta) = \theta$ . Since  $f$  is continuous at  $\theta$ , given  $\mathcal{V} \subset V$  a neighborhood of zero, there exists  $\mathcal{U} \subset U$  neighborhood of zero, such that

$$f(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{V}. \quad (1.32)$$

Thus

$$v - u \in \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow f(v - u) = f(v) - f(u) \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (1.33)$$

or

$$v \in u + \mathcal{U} \Rightarrow f(v) \in f(u) + \mathcal{V}, \quad (1.34)$$

which means that  $f$  is continuous at  $u$ . Since  $u$  is arbitrary,  $f$  is continuous everywhere.

## 1.9 Linearity and Continuity

**Definition 1.9.1 (Bounded Functions).** A function  $f : U \rightarrow V$  is said to be bounded if it maps bounded sets into bounded sets.

**Proposition 1.9.2.** *A set  $E$  is bounded if and only if the following condition is satisfied: whenever  $\{u_n\} \subset E$  and  $\{\alpha_n\} \subset \mathbb{F}$  are such that  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have  $\alpha_n u_n \rightarrow \theta$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $E$  is bounded. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a balanced neighborhood of  $\theta$  in  $U$  and then  $E \subset t\mathcal{U}$  for some  $t$ . For  $\{u_n\} \subset E$ , as  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$ , there exists  $N$  such that if  $n > N$ , then  $t < \frac{1}{|\alpha_n|}$ . Since  $t^{-1}E \subset \mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  is balanced, we have that  $\alpha_n u_n \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\forall n > N$ , and thus  $\alpha_n u_n \rightarrow \theta$ . Conversely, if  $E$  is not bounded, there is a neighborhood  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\theta$  and  $\{r_n\}$  such that  $r_n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $E$  is not contained in  $r_n \mathcal{V}$ , that is, we can choose  $u_n$  such that  $r_n^{-1}u_n$  is not in  $\mathcal{V}$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $\{r_n^{-1}u_n\}$  does not converge to  $\theta$ .

**Proposition 1.9.3.** *Let  $f : U \rightarrow V$  be a linear function. Consider the following statements:*

1.  $f$  is continuous,
2.  $f$  is bounded,
3. if  $u_n \rightarrow \theta$ , then  $\{f(u_n)\}$  is bounded,
4. if  $u_n \rightarrow \theta$ , then  $f(u_n) \rightarrow \theta$ .

Then,

- 1 implies 2,
- 2 implies 3,
- if  $U$  is metrizable, then 3 implies 4, which implies 1.

*Proof.*

1. 1 implies 2: Suppose  $f$  is continuous, for  $\mathcal{W} \subset V$  neighborhood of zero, there exists a neighborhood of zero in  $U$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{V}$ , such that

$$f(\mathcal{V}) \subset \mathcal{W}. \quad (1.35)$$

If  $E$  is bounded, there exists  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $E \subset t\mathcal{V}$ ,  $\forall t \geq t_0$ , so that

$$f(E) \subset f(t\mathcal{V}) = tf(\mathcal{V}) \subset t\mathcal{W}, \quad \forall t \geq t_0, \quad (1.36)$$

and thus  $f$  is bounded.

2. 2 implies 3: Suppose  $u_n \rightarrow \theta$  and let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a neighborhood of zero. Then, there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n \geq N$ , then  $u_n \in \mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W}$  where  $\mathcal{V}$  is a balanced neighborhood of zero. On the other hand, for  $n < N$ , there exists  $K_n$  such that  $u_n \in K_n \mathcal{V}$ . Define  $K = \max\{1, K_1, \dots, K_N\}$ . Then,  $u_n \in K\mathcal{V}$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and hence  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded. Finally from 2, we have that  $\{f(u_n)\}$  is bounded.
3. 3 implies 4: Suppose  $U$  is metrizable and let  $u_n \rightarrow \theta$ . Given  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n_K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that if  $n > n_K$ , then  $d(u_n, \theta) < \frac{1}{K^2}$ . Define  $\gamma_n = 1$  if  $n < n_1$  and  $\gamma_n = K$ , if  $n_K \leq n < n_{K+1}$  so that

$$d(\gamma_n u_n, \theta) = d(Ku_n, \theta) \leq Kd(u_n, \theta) < K^{-1}. \quad (1.37)$$

Thus since 2 implies 3 we have that  $\{f(\gamma_n u_n)\}$  is bounded so that, by Proposition 1.9.2,  $f(u_n) = \gamma_n^{-1} f(\gamma_n u_n) \rightarrow \theta$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

4. 4 implies 1: suppose 1 fails. Thus there exists a neighborhood of zero  $\mathcal{W} \subset V$  such that  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{W})$  contains no neighborhood of zero in  $U$ . Particularly, we can select  $\{u_n\}$  such that  $u_n \in B_{1/n}(\theta)$  and  $f(u_n)$  not in  $\mathcal{W}$  so that  $\{f(u_n)\}$  does not converge to zero. Thus 4 fails.

## 1.10 Continuity of Operators on Banach Spaces

Let  $U, V$  be Banach spaces. We call a function  $A : U \rightarrow V$  an operator.

**Proposition 1.10.1.** *Let  $U, V$  be Banach spaces. A linear operator  $A : U \rightarrow V$  is continuous if and only if there exists  $K \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that*

$$\|A(u)\|_V < K\|u\|_U, \forall u \in U.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $A$  is linear and continuous. From Proposition 1.9.3,

$$\text{if } \{u_n\} \subset U \text{ is such that } u_n \rightarrow \theta \text{ then } A(u_n) \rightarrow \theta. \quad (1.38)$$

We claim that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\|u\|_U < \delta$ , then  $\|A(u)\|_V < \varepsilon$ .

Suppose, to obtain contradiction, that the claim is false.

Thus there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $u_n \in U$  such that  $\|u_n\|_U \leq \frac{1}{n}$  and  $\|A(u_n)\|_V \geq \varepsilon_0$ .

Therefore  $u_n \rightarrow \theta$  and  $A(u_n)$  does not converge to  $\theta$ , which contradicts (1.38).

Thus the claim holds.

In particular, for  $\varepsilon = 1$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\|u\|_U < \delta$ , then  $\|A(u)\|_V < 1$ . Thus given an arbitrary not relabeled  $u \in U$ ,  $u \neq \theta$ , for

$$w = \frac{\delta u}{2\|u\|_U}$$

we have

$$\|A(w)\|_V = \frac{\delta\|A(u)\|_V}{2\|u\|_U} < 1,$$

that is

$$\|A(u)\|_V < \frac{2\|u\|_U}{\delta}, \forall u \in U.$$

Defining

$$K = \frac{2}{\delta}$$

the first part of the proof is complete. Reciprocally, suppose there exists  $K > 0$  such that

$$\|A(u)\|_V < K\|u\|_U, \forall u \in U.$$

Hence  $u_n \rightarrow \theta$  implies  $\|A(u_n)\|_V \rightarrow \theta$ , so that from Proposition 1.9.3,  $A$  is continuous.

The proof is complete.

## 1.11 Some Classical Results on Banach Spaces

In this section we present some important results in Banach spaces. We start with the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.11.1.** *Let  $U$  and  $V$  be Banach spaces and let  $A : U \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator. Then  $A$  is bounded if and only if the set  $C \subset U$  has at least one interior point, where*

$$C = A^{-1}[\{v \in V \mid \|v\|_V \leq 1\}].$$

*Proof.* Suppose there exists  $u_0 \in U$  in the interior of  $C$ . Thus, there exists  $r > 0$  such that

$$B_r(u_0) = \{u \in U \mid \|u - u_0\|_U < r\} \subset C.$$

Fix  $u \in U$  such that  $\|u\|_U < r$ . Thus, we have

$$\|A(u)\|_V \leq \|A(u + u_0)\|_V + \|A(u_0)\|_V.$$

Observe also that

$$\|(u + u_0) - u_0\|_U < r,$$

so that  $u + u_0 \in B_r(u_0) \subset C$  and thus

$$\|A(u + u_0)\|_V \leq 1$$

and hence

$$\|A(u)\|_V \leq 1 + \|A(u_0)\|_V, \quad (1.39)$$

$\forall u \in U$  such that  $\|u\|_U < r$ . Fix an arbitrary not relabeled  $u \in U$  such that  $u \neq \theta$ . From (1.39)

$$w = \frac{u}{\|u\|_U} \frac{r}{2}$$

is such that

$$\|A(w)\|_V = \frac{\|A(u)\|_V}{\|u\|_U} \frac{r}{2} \leq 1 + \|A(u_0)\|_V,$$

so that

$$\|A(u)\|_V \leq (1 + \|A(u_0)\|_V) \|u\|_U \frac{2}{r}.$$

Since  $u \in U$  is arbitrary,  $A$  is bounded.

Reciprocally, suppose  $A$  is bounded. Thus

$$\|A(u)\|_V \leq K \|u\|_U, \forall u \in U,$$

for some  $K > 0$ . In particular

$$D = \left\{ u \in U \mid \|u\|_U \leq \frac{1}{K} \right\} \subset C.$$

The proof is complete.

**Definition 1.11.2.** A set  $S$  in a metric space  $U$  is said to be nowhere dense if  $\bar{S}$  has an empty interior.

**Theorem 1.11.3 (Baire Category Theorem).** A complete metric space is never the union of a countable number of nowhere dense sets.

*Proof.* Suppose, to obtain contradiction, that  $U$  is a complete metric space and

$$U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n,$$

where each  $A_n$  is nowhere dense. Since  $A_1$  is nowhere dense, there exist  $u_1 \in U$  which is not in  $\bar{A}_1$ ; otherwise we would have  $U = \bar{A}_1$ , which is not possible since  $U$  is open. Furthermore,  $\bar{A}_1^c$  is open, so that we may obtain  $u_1 \in A_1^c$  and  $0 < r_1 < 1$  such that

$$B_1 = B_{r_1}(u_1)$$

satisfies

$$B_1 \cap A_1 = \emptyset.$$

Since  $A_2$  is nowhere dense we have  $B_1$  is not contained in  $\bar{A}_2$ . Therefore we may select  $u_2 \in B_1 \setminus \bar{A}_2$  and since  $B_1 \setminus \bar{A}_2$  is open, there exists  $0 < r_2 < 1/2$  such that

$$\bar{B}_2 = \bar{B}_{r_2}(u_2) \subset B_1 \setminus \bar{A}_2,$$

that is,

$$B_2 \cap A_2 = \emptyset.$$

Proceeding inductively in this fashion, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we may obtain  $u_n \in B_{n-1} \setminus \bar{A}_n$  such that we may choose an open ball  $B_n = B_{r_n}(u_n)$  such that

$$\bar{B}_n \subset B_{n-1},$$

$$B_n \cap A_n = \emptyset,$$

and

$$0 < r_n < 2^{1-n}.$$

Observe that  $\{u_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence, considering that if  $m, n > N$ , then  $u_n, u_m \in B_N$ , so that

$$d(u_n, u_m) < 2(2^{1-N}).$$

Define

$$u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n.$$

Since

$$u_n \in B_N, \forall n > N,$$

we get

$$u \in \bar{B}_N \subset B_{N-1}.$$

Therefore  $u$  is not in  $A_{N-1}, \forall N > 1$ , which means  $u$  is not in  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n = U$ , a contradiction.

The proof is complete.

**Theorem 1.11.4 (The Principle of Uniform Boundedness).** *Let  $U$  be a Banach space. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of linear bounded operators from  $U$  into a normed linear space  $V$ . Suppose for each  $u \in U$  there exists a  $K_u \in \mathbb{R}$  such that*



$$\|T(u)\|_V < K_u, \forall T \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Then, there exists  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|T\| < K, \forall T \in \mathcal{F}.$$

*Proof.* Define

$$B_n = \{u \in U \mid \|T(u)\|_V \leq n, \forall T \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

By the hypotheses, given  $u \in U$ ,  $u \in B_n$  for all  $n$  is sufficiently big. Thus,

$$U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n.$$

Moreover each  $B_n$  is closed. By the Baire category theorem there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $B_{n_0}$  has nonempty interior. That is, there exists  $u_0 \in U$  and  $r > 0$  such that

$$B_r(u_0) \subset B_{n_0}.$$

Thus, fixing an arbitrary  $T \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have

$$\|T(u)\|_V \leq n_0, \forall u \in B_r(u_0).$$

Thus if  $\|u\|_U < r$  then  $\|(u + u_0) - u_0\|_U < r$ , so that

$$\|T(u + u_0)\|_V \leq n_0,$$

that is,

$$\|T(u)\|_V - \|T(u_0)\|_V \leq n_0.$$

Thus,

$$\|T(u)\|_V \leq 2n_0, \text{ if } \|u\|_U < r. \quad (1.40)$$

For  $u \in U$  arbitrary,  $u \neq \theta$ , define

$$w = \frac{ru}{2\|u\|_U},$$

from (1.40) we obtain

$$\|T(w)\|_V = \frac{r\|T(u)\|_V}{2\|u\|_U} \leq 2n_0,$$

so that

$$\|T(u)\|_V \leq \frac{4n_0\|u\|_U}{r}, \forall u \in U.$$

Hence

$$\|T\| \leq \frac{4n_0}{r}, \forall T \in \mathcal{F}.$$

The proof is complete.

**Theorem 1.11.5 (The Open Mapping Theorem).** *Let  $U$  and  $V$  be Banach spaces and let  $A : U \rightarrow V$  be a bounded onto linear operator. Thus, if  $\mathcal{O} \subset U$  is open, then  $A(\mathcal{O})$  is open in  $V$ .*

*Proof.* First we will prove that given  $r > 0$ , there exists  $r' > 0$  such that

$$A(B_r(\theta)) \supset B_{r'}^V(\theta). \quad (1.41)$$

Here  $B_{r'}^V(\theta)$  denotes a ball in  $V$  of radius  $r'$  with center in  $\theta$ . Since  $A$  is onto

$$V = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A(nB_1(\theta)).$$

By the Baire category theorem, there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the closure of  $A(n_0B_1(\theta))$  has nonempty interior, so that  $\overline{A(B_1(\theta))}$  has nonempty interior. We will show that there exists  $r' > 0$  such that

$$B_{r'}^V(\theta) \subset \overline{A(B_1(\theta))}.$$

Observe that there exists  $y_0 \in V$  and  $r_1 > 0$  such that

$$B_{r_1}^V(y_0) \subset \overline{A(B_1(\theta))}. \quad (1.42)$$

Define  $u_0 \in B_1(\theta)$  which satisfies  $A(u_0) = y_0$ . We claim that

$$\overline{A(B_{r_2}(\theta))} \supset B_{r_1}^V(\theta),$$

where  $r_2 = 1 + \|u_0\|_U$ . To prove the claim, pick

$$y \in A(B_1(\theta))$$

thus there exists  $u \in U$  such that  $\|u\|_U < 1$  and  $A(u) = y$ . Therefore

$$A(u) = A(u - u_0 + u_0) = A(u - u_0) + A(u_0).$$

But observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_0\|_U &\leq \|u\|_U + \|u_0\|_U \\ &< 1 + \|u_0\|_U \\ &= r_2, \end{aligned} \quad (1.43)$$

so that

$$A(u - u_0) \in A(B_{r_2}(\theta)).$$

This means

$$y = A(u) \in A(u_0) + A(B_{r_2}(\theta)),$$

and hence

$$A(B_1(\theta)) \subset A(u_0) + A(B_{r_2}(\theta)).$$

That is, from this and (1.42), we obtain

$$A(u_0) + \overline{A(B_{r_2}(\theta))} \supset \overline{A(B_1(\theta))} \supset B_{r_1}^V(y_0) = A(u_0) + B_{r_1}^V(\theta),$$

and therefore

$$\overline{A(B_{r_2}(\theta))} \supset B_{r_1}^V(\theta).$$

Since

$$A(B_{r_2}(\theta)) = r_2 A(B_1(\theta)),$$

we have, for some not relabeled  $r_1 > 0$ , that

$$\overline{A(B_1(\theta))} \supset B_{r_1}^V(\theta).$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$\overline{A(B_1(\theta))} \subset A(B_2(\theta)),$$

to prove (1.41). Let  $y \in \overline{A(B_1(\theta))}$ ; since  $A$  is continuous, we may select  $u_1 \in B_1(\theta)$  such that

$$y - A(u_1) \in B_{r_1/2}^V(\theta) \subset \overline{A(B_{1/2}(\theta))}.$$

Now select  $u_2 \in B_{1/2}(\theta)$  so that

$$y - A(u_1) - A(u_2) \in B_{r_1/4}^V(\theta).$$

By induction, we may obtain

$$u_n \in B_{2^{1-n}}(\theta),$$

such that

$$y - \sum_{j=1}^n A(u_j) \in B_{r_1/2^n}^V(\theta).$$

Define

$$u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n,$$

we have that  $u \in B_2(\theta)$ , so that

$$y = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A(u_n) = A(u) \in A(B_2(\theta)).$$

Therefore

$$\overline{A(B_1(\theta))} \subset A(B_2(\theta)).$$

The proof of (1.41) is complete.

To finish the proof of this theorem, assume  $\mathcal{O} \subset U$  is open. Let  $v_0 \in A(\mathcal{O})$ . Let  $u_0 \in \mathcal{O}$  be such that  $A(u_0) = v_0$ . Thus there exists  $r > 0$  such that

$$B_r(u_0) \subset \mathcal{O}.$$

From (1.41),

$$A(B_r(\theta)) \supset B_{r'}^V(\theta),$$

for some  $r' > 0$ . Thus

$$A(\mathcal{O}) \supset A(u_0) + A(B_r(\theta)) \supset v_0 + B_{r'}^V(\theta).$$

This means that  $v_0$  is an interior point of  $A(\mathcal{O})$ . Since  $v_0 \in A(\mathcal{O})$  is arbitrary, we may conclude that  $A(\mathcal{O})$  is open.

The proof is complete.

**Theorem 1.11.6 (The Inverse Mapping Theorem).** *A continuous linear bijection of one Banach space onto another has a continuous inverse.*

*Proof.* Let  $A : U \rightarrow V$  satisfying the theorem hypotheses. Since  $A$  is open,  $A^{-1}$  is continuous.

**Definition 1.11.7 (Graph of a Mapping).** Let  $A : U \rightarrow V$  be an operator, where  $U$  and  $V$  are normed linear spaces. The *graph* of  $A$  denoted by  $\Gamma(A)$  is defined by

$$\Gamma(A) = \{(u, v) \in U \times V \mid v = A(u)\}.$$

**Theorem 1.11.8 (The Closed Graph Theorem).** *Let  $U$  and  $V$  be Banach spaces and let  $A : U \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator. Then  $A$  is bounded if and only if its graph is closed.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\Gamma(A)$  is closed. Since  $A$  is linear,  $\Gamma(A)$  is a subspace of  $U \oplus V$ . Also, being  $\Gamma(A)$  closed, it is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|(u, A(u))\| = \|u\|_U + \|A(u)\|_V.$$

Consider the continuous mappings

$$\Pi_1(u, A(u)) = u$$

and

$$\Pi_2(u, A(u)) = A(u).$$

Observe that  $\Pi_1$  is a bijection, so that by the inverse mapping theorem,  $\Pi_1^{-1}$  is continuous. As

$$A = \Pi_2 \circ \Pi_1^{-1},$$

it follows that  $A$  is continuous. The converse is trivial.

## 1.12 Hilbert Spaces

At this point we introduce an important class of spaces, namely the Hilbert spaces.

**Definition 1.12.1.** Let  $H$  be a vector space. We say that  $H$  is a real pre-Hilbert space if there exists a function  $(\cdot, \cdot)_H : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

1.  $(u, v)_H = (v, u)_H, \forall u, v \in H,$
2.  $(u + v, w)_H = (u, w)_H + (v, w)_H, \forall u, v, w \in H,$
3.  $(\alpha u, v)_H = \alpha(u, v)_H, \forall u, v \in H, \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$
4.  $(u, u)_H \geq 0, \forall u \in H,$  and  $(u, u)_H = 0$ , if and only if  $u = \theta$ .

*Remark 1.12.2.* The function  $(\cdot, \cdot)_H : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called an inner product.

**Proposition 1.12.3 (Cauchy–Schwarz Inequality).** *Let  $H$  be a pre-Hilbert space. Defining*

$$\|u\|_H = \sqrt{(u, u)_H}, \forall u \in H,$$

*we have*

$$|(u, v)_H| \leq \|u\|_H \|v\|_H, \forall u, v \in H.$$

*Equality holds if and only if  $u = \alpha v$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  or  $v = \theta$ .*

*Proof.* If  $v = \theta$ , the inequality is immediate. Assume  $v \neq \theta$ . Given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (u - \alpha v, u - \alpha v)_H \\ &= (u, u)_H + \alpha^2 (v, v)_H - 2\alpha (u, v)_H \\ &= \|u\|_H^2 + \alpha^2 \|v\|_H^2 - 2\alpha (u, v)_H. \end{aligned} \tag{1.44}$$

In particular, for  $\alpha = (u, v)_H / \|v\|_H^2$ , we obtain

$$0 \leq \|u\|_H^2 - \frac{(u, v)_H^2}{\|v\|_H^2},$$

that is,

$$|(u, v)_H| \leq \|u\|_H \|v\|_H.$$

The remaining conclusions are left to the reader.

**Proposition 1.12.4.** *On a pre-Hilbert space  $H$ , the function*

$$\|\cdot\|_H : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

*is a norm, where as above*

$$\|u\|_H = \sqrt{(u, u)_H}.$$

*Proof.* The only nontrivial property to be verified, concerning the definition of norm, is the triangle inequality.

Observe that given  $u, v \in H$ , from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u + v\|_H^2 &= (u + v, u + v)_H \\
 &= (u, u)_H + (v, v)_H + 2(u, v)_H \\
 &\leq (u, u)_H + (v, v)_H + 2|(u, v)_H| \\
 &\leq \|u\|_H^2 + \|v\|_H^2 + 2\|u\|_H\|v\|_H \\
 &= (\|u\|_H + \|v\|_H)^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.45}$$

Therefore

$$\|u + v\|_H \leq \|u\|_H + \|v\|_H, \forall u, v \in H.$$

The proof is complete.

**Definition 1.12.5.** A pre-Hilbert space  $H$  is to be a Hilbert space if it is complete, that is, if any Cauchy sequence in  $H$  converges to an element of  $H$ .

**Definition 1.12.6 (Orthogonal Complement).** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. Considering  $M \subset H$  we define its orthogonal complement, denoted by  $M^\perp$ , by

$$M^\perp = \{u \in H \mid (u, m)_H = 0, \forall m \in M\}.$$

**Theorem 1.12.7.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $M$  a closed subspace of  $H$  and suppose  $u \in H$ . Under such hypotheses there exists a unique  $m_0 \in M$  such that

$$\|u - m_0\|_H = \min_{m \in M} \{\|u - m\|_H\}.$$

Moreover  $n_0 = u - m_0 \in M^\perp$  so that

$$u = m_0 + n_0,$$

where  $m_0 \in M$  and  $n_0 \in M^\perp$ . Finally, such a representation through  $M \oplus M^\perp$  is unique.

*Proof.* Define  $d$  by

$$d = \inf_{m \in M} \{\|u - m\|_H\}.$$

Let  $\{m_i\} \subset M$  be a sequence such that

$$\|u - m_i\|_H \rightarrow d, \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, from the parallelogram law, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|m_i - m_j\|_H^2 &= \|m_i - u - (m_j - u)\|_H^2 \\
 &= 2\|m_i - u\|_H^2 + 2\|m_j - u\|_H^2 \\
 &\quad - 2\| -2u + m_i + m_j \|_H^2 \\
 &= 2\|m_i - u\|_H^2 + 2\|m_j - u\|_H^2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -4\| -u + (m_i + m_j)/2 \|_H^2 \\
& \rightarrow 2d^2 + 2d^2 - 4d^2 = 0, \text{ as } i, j \rightarrow +\infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.46}$$

Thus  $\{m_i\} \subset M$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $M$  is closed, there exists  $m_0 \in M$  such that

$$m_i \rightarrow m_0, \text{ as } i \rightarrow +\infty,$$

so that

$$\|u - m_i\|_H \rightarrow \|u - m_0\|_H = d.$$

Define

$$n_0 = u - m_0.$$

We will prove that  $n_0 \in M^\perp$ .

Pick  $m \in M$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , and thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2 & \leq \|u - (m_0 - tm)\|_H^2 \\
& = \|n_0 + tm\|_H^2 \\
& = \|n_0\|_H^2 + 2(n_0, m)_H t + \|m\|_H^2 t^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.47}$$

Since

$$\|n_0\|_H^2 = \|u - m_0\|_H^2 = d^2,$$

we obtain

$$2(n_0, m)_H t + \|m\|_H^2 t^2 \geq 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

so that

$$(n_0, m)_H = 0.$$

Being  $m \in M$  arbitrary, we obtain

$$n_0 \in M^\perp.$$

It remains to prove the uniqueness. Let  $m \in M$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u - m\|_H^2 & = \|u - m_0 + m_0 - m\|_H^2 \\
& = \|u - m_0\|_H^2 + \|m - m_0\|_H^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{1.48}$$

since

$$(u - m_0, m - m_0)_H = (n_0, m - m_0)_H = 0.$$

From (1.48) we obtain

$$\|u - m\|_H^2 > \|u - m_0\|_H^2 = d^2,$$

if  $m \neq m_0$ .

Therefore  $m_0$  is unique.

Now suppose

$$u = m_1 + n_1,$$

where  $m_1 \in M$  and  $n_1 \in M^\perp$ . As above, for  $m \in M$

$$\begin{aligned}\|u - m\|_H^2 &= \|u - m_1 + m_1 - m\|_H^2 \\ &= \|u - m_1\|_H^2 + \|m - m_1\|_H^2, \\ &\geq \|u - m_1\|_H^2\end{aligned}\tag{1.49}$$

and thus since  $m_0$  such that

$$d = \|u - m_0\|_H$$

is unique, we get

$$m_1 = m_0$$

and therefore

$$n_1 = u - m_0 = n_0.$$

The proof is complete.

**Theorem 1.12.8 (The Riesz Lemma).** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous linear functional. Then there exists a unique  $u_0 \in H$  such that*

$$f(u) = (u, u_0)_H, \forall u \in H.$$

Moreover

$$\|f\|_{H^*} = \|u_0\|_H.$$

*Proof.* Define  $N$  by

$$N = \{u \in H \mid f(u) = 0\}.$$

Thus, as  $f$  is a continuous and linear,  $N$  is a closed subspace of  $H$ . If  $N = H$ , then  $f(u) = 0 = (u, \theta)_H, \forall u \in H$  and the proof would be complete. Thus, assume  $N \neq H$ . By the last theorem there exists  $v \neq \theta$  such that  $v \in N^\perp$ .

Define

$$u_0 = \frac{f(v)}{\|v\|_H^2} v.$$

Thus, if  $u \in N$  we have

$$f(u) = 0 = (u, u_0)_H = 0.$$

On the other hand, if  $u = \alpha v$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}f(u) &= \alpha f(v) \\ &= \frac{f(v)(\alpha v, v)_H}{\|v\|_H^2} \\ &= \left( \alpha v, \frac{f(v)v}{\|v\|_H^2} \right)_H \\ &= (\alpha v, u_0)_H.\end{aligned}\tag{1.50}$$

Therefore  $f(u)$  equals  $(u, u_0)_H$  in the space spanned by  $N$  and  $v$ . Now we show that this last space (then span of  $N$  and  $v$ ) is in fact  $H$ . Just observe that given  $u \in H$  we



may write

$$u = \left( u - \frac{f(u)v}{f(v)} \right) + \frac{f(u)v}{f(v)}. \quad (1.51)$$

Since

$$u - \frac{f(u)v}{f(v)} \in N$$

we have finished the first part of the proof, that is, we have proven that

$$f(u) = (u, u_0)_H, \forall u \in H.$$

To finish the proof, assume  $u_1 \in H$  is such that

$$f(u) = (u, u_1)_H, \forall u \in H.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_0 - u_1\|_H^2 &= (u_0 - u_1, u_0 - u_1)_H \\ &= (u_0 - u_1, u_0)_H - (u_0 - u_1, u_1)_H \\ &= f(u_0 - u_1) - f(u_0 - u_1) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.52)$$

Hence  $u_1 = u_0$ .

Let us now prove that

$$\|f\|_{H^*} = \|u_0\|_H.$$

First observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{H^*} &= \sup\{f(u) \mid u \in H, \|u\|_H \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{|(u, u_0)_H| \mid u \in H, \|u\|_H \leq 1\} \\ &\leq \sup\{\|u\|_H \|u_0\|_H \mid u \in H, \|u\|_H \leq 1\} \\ &\leq \|u_0\|_H. \end{aligned} \quad (1.53)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{H^*} &= \sup\{f(u) \mid u \in H, \|u\|_H \leq 1\} \\ &\geq f\left(\frac{u_0}{\|u_0\|_H}\right) \\ &= \frac{(u_0, u_0)_H}{\|u_0\|_H} \\ &= \|u_0\|_H. \end{aligned} \quad (1.54)$$

From (1.53) and (1.54)

$$\|f\|_{H^*} = \|u_0\|_H.$$

The proof is complete.

*Remark 1.12.9.* Similarly as above we may define a Hilbert space  $H$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , that is, a complex one. In this case the complex inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)_H : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is defined through the following properties:

1.  $(u, v)_H = \overline{(v, u)_H}$ ,  $\forall u, v \in H$ ,
2.  $(u + v, w)_H = (u, w)_H + (v, w)_H$ ,  $\forall u, v, w \in H$ ,
3.  $(\alpha u, v)_H = \overline{\alpha} (u, v)_H$ ,  $\forall u, v \in H$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
4.  $(u, u)_H \geq 0$ ,  $\forall u \in H$ , and  $(u, u) = 0$ , if and only if  $u = \theta$ .

Observe that in this case we have

$$(u, \alpha v)_H = \alpha (u, v)_H, \quad \forall u, v \in H, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C},$$

where for  $\alpha = a + bi \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have  $\overline{\alpha} = a - bi$ . Finally, similar results as those proven above are valid for complex Hilbert spaces.

## 1.13 Orthonormal Basis

In this section we study separable Hilbert spaces and the related orthonormal bases.

**Definition 1.13.1.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. A set  $S \subset H$  is said to be orthonormal if

$$\|u\|_H = 1,$$

and

$$(u, v)_H = 0, \quad \forall u, v \in S, \text{ such that } u \neq v.$$

If  $S$  is not properly contained in any other orthonormal set, it is said to be an orthonormal basis for  $H$ .

**Theorem 1.13.2.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^N$  be an orthonormal set. Then, for all  $u \in H$ , we have

$$\|u\|_H^2 = \sum_{n=1}^N |(u, u_n)_H|^2 + \left\| u - \sum_{n=1}^N (u, u_n)_H u_n \right\|_H^2.$$

*Proof.* Observe that

$$u = \sum_{n=1}^N (u, u_n)_H u_n + \left( u - \sum_{n=1}^N (u, u_n)_H u_n \right).$$

Furthermore, we may easily obtain that

$$\sum_{n=1}^N (u, u_n)_H u_n \text{ and } u - \sum_{n=1}^N (u, u_n)_H u_n$$

are orthogonal vectors so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u\|_H^2 &= (u, u)_H \\
 &= \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N |(u, u_n)_H| u_n \right\|_H^2 + \left\| u - \sum_{n=1}^N (u, u_n)_H u_n \right\|_H^2 \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^N |(u, u_n)_H|^2 + \left\| u - \sum_{n=1}^N (u, u_n)_H u_n \right\|_H^2. \tag{1.55}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 1.13.3 (Bessel Inequality).** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^N$  be an orthonormal set. Then, for all  $u \in H$ , we have*

$$\|u\|_H^2 \geq \sum_{n=1}^N |(u, u_n)_H|^2.$$

**Theorem 1.13.4.** *Each Hilbert space has an orthonormal basis.*

*Proof.* Define by  $C$  the collection of all orthonormal sets in  $H$ . Define an order in  $C$  by stating  $S_1 \prec S_2$  if  $S_1 \subset S_2$ . Then,  $C$  is partially ordered and obviously nonempty, since

$$v/\|v\|_H \in C, \forall v \in H, v \neq \theta.$$

Now let  $\{S_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in L}$  be a linearly ordered subset of  $C$ . Clearly,  $\cup_{\alpha \in L} S_\alpha$  is an orthonormal set which is an upper bound for  $\{S_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in L}$ .

Therefore, every linearly ordered subset has an upper bound, so that by Zorn's lemma  $C$  has a maximal element, that is, an orthonormal set not properly contained in any other orthonormal set.

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 1.13.5.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and let  $S = \{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in L}$  be an orthonormal basis. Then for each  $v \in H$  we have*

$$v = \sum_{\alpha \in L} (u_\alpha, v)_H u_\alpha,$$

and

$$\|v\|_H^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in L} |(u_\alpha, v)_H|^2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $L' \subset L$  be a finite subset of  $L$ . From Bessel's inequality we have

$$\sum_{\alpha \in L'} |(u_\alpha, v)_H| \leq \|v\|_H^2.$$

From this, we may infer that the set  $A_n = \{\alpha \in L \mid |(u_\alpha, v)_H| > 1/n\}$  is finite, so that

$$A = \{\alpha \in L \mid |(u_\alpha, v)_H| > 0\} = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$$

is at most countable.

Thus  $(u_\alpha, v)_H \neq 0$  for at most countably many  $\alpha's \in L$ , which we order by  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Since the sequence

$$s_N = \sum_{i=1}^N |(u_{\alpha_i}, v)_H|^2,$$

is monotone and bounded, it is converging to some real limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Define

$$v_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (u_{\alpha_i}, v)_H u_{\alpha_i},$$

so that for  $n > m$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n - v_m\|_H^2 &= \left\| \sum_{i=m+1}^n (u_{\alpha_i}, v)_H u_{\alpha_i} \right\|_H^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=m+1}^n |(u_{\alpha_i}, v)_H|^2 \\ &= |s_n - s_m|. \end{aligned} \tag{1.56}$$

Hence,  $\{v_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence which converges to some  $v' \in H$ .

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (v - v', u_{\alpha_l})_H &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (v - \sum_{i=1}^N (u_{\alpha_i}, v)_H u_{\alpha_i}, u_{\alpha_l})_H \\ &= (v, u_{\alpha_l})_H - (v, u_{\alpha_l})_H \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.57}$$

Also, if  $\alpha \neq \alpha_l, \forall l \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$(v - v', u_\alpha)_H = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (v - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (u_{\alpha_i}, v)_H u_{\alpha_i}, u_\alpha)_H = 0.$$

Hence

$$v - v' \perp u_\alpha, \forall \alpha \in L.$$

If

$$v - v' \neq \theta,$$

then we could obtain an orthonormal set

$$\left\{ u_\alpha, \alpha \in L, \frac{v - v'}{\|v - v'\|_H} \right\}$$

which would properly contain the complete orthonormal set

$$\{u_\alpha, \alpha \in L\},$$

a contradiction.

Therefore,  $v - v' = \theta$ , that is,

$$v = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^N (u_{\alpha_i}, v)_H u_{\alpha_i}.$$

### 1.13.1 The Gram–Schmidt Orthonormalization

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $\{u_n\} \subset H$  be a sequence of linearly independent vectors. Consider the procedure

$$w_1 = u_1, \quad v_1 = \frac{w_1}{\|w_1\|_H},$$

$$w_2 = u_2 - (v_1, u_2)_H v_1, \quad v_2 = \frac{w_2}{\|w_2\|_H},$$

and inductively,

$$w_n = u_n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (v_k, u_n)_H v_k, \quad v_n = \frac{w_n}{\|w_n\|_H}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, n > 2.$$

Observe that clearly  $\{v_n\}$  is an orthonormal set and for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^m$  and  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^m$  span the same vector subspace of  $H$ .

Such a process of obtaining the orthonormal set  $\{v_n\}$  is known as the Gram–Schmidt orthonormalization.

We finish this section with the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.13.6.** *A Hilbert space  $H$  is separable if and only if it has a countable orthonormal basis. If  $\dim(H) = N < \infty$ , the  $H$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . If  $\dim(H) = +\infty$ , then  $H$  is isomorphic to  $l^2$ , where*

$$l^2 = \left\{ \{y_n\} \mid y_n \in \mathbb{C}, \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n|^2 < +\infty \right\}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $H$  is separable and let  $\{u_n\}$  be a countable dense set in  $H$ . To obtain an orthonormal basis it suffices to apply the Gram–Schmidt orthonormalization procedure to the greatest linearly independent subset of  $\{u_n\}$ .

Conversely, if  $B = \{v_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $H$ , the set of all finite linear combinations of elements of  $B$  with rational coefficients are dense in  $H$ , so that  $H$  is separable.

Moreover, if  $\dim(H) = +\infty$ , consider the isomorphism  $F : H \rightarrow l^2$  given by

$$F(u) = \{(u_n, u)_H\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Finally, if  $\dim(H) = N < +\infty$ , consider the isomorphism  $F : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$  given by

$$F(u) = \{(u_n, u)_H\}_{n=1}^N.$$

The proof is complete.

Functional Analysis and Applied Optimization in Banach  
Spaces

Applications to Non-Convex Variational Models

Silva Botelho, F.

2014, XVIII, 560 p. 57 illus., 51 illus. in color., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-06073-6