

## Chapter 5

# A Bound in Terms of Fusion Systems

In this chapter we obtain more inequalities on the invariants of a block by using local data. This time the fusion system of the block plays a role. The exposition appeared in [114].

Brauer proved Olsson's Conjecture for 2-blocks with dihedral defect groups using a Galois action on the generalized decomposition numbers (see [41]). We put his approach into an abstract framework. Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of a finite group  $G$  with defect group  $D$ , and let  $(u, b_u)$  be a subsection for  $B$ . Let  $p^k$  be the order of  $u$ , and let  $\zeta := \zeta_{p^k}$  be a primitive  $p^k$ -th root of unity. Then there exist integral vectors  $a_i^\varphi := (a_i^\varphi(\chi))_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)} \in \mathbb{Z}^{k(B)}$  such that

$$d_{\chi\varphi}^u = \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(p^k)-1} a_i^\varphi(\chi) \zeta^i \quad (5.1)$$

(see Sect. 1.6).

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the Galois group of the cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\mathcal{G} \cong \text{Aut}(\langle u \rangle) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times$  and we will often identify these groups. We will also interpret the elements of  $\mathcal{G}$  as integers in  $\{1, \dots, p^k\}$  by a slight abuse of notation. Then  $(u^\gamma, b_u)$  for  $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$  is also a (*algebraically conjugate*) subsection and

$$\gamma(d_{\chi\varphi}^u) = d_{\chi\varphi}^{u^\gamma} = \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(p^k)-1} a_i^\varphi(\chi) \zeta^{i\gamma}.$$

Now the situation splits naturally into characteristic 2 and odd characteristic, since the structure of the corresponding Galois groups differs significantly.

## 5.1 The Case $p = 2$

Let  $p = 2$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the fusion system of  $B$ . Then by Lemma 1.34 we may assume that  $\langle u \rangle$  is fully  $\mathcal{F}$ -normalized and  $C_D(u)$  is a defect group of  $b_u$ . As before,  $\langle u \rangle$  is also fully  $\mathcal{F}$ -centralized and

$$\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(\langle u \rangle) = \text{Aut}_D(\langle u \rangle) = N_D(\langle u \rangle) / C_D(u).$$

We begin with a refinement of the orthogonality relations. For a subsection  $(u, b_u)$  with  $\text{IBr}(b_u) = \{\varphi\}$  we set  $a_i := a_i^\varphi$  for all  $i$ . Moreover, if  $u, v \in D$  are conjugate in  $D$ , we write  $u \sim_D v$ .

**Proposition 5.1** *Let  $B$  be a 2-block of a finite group with defect group  $D$  and fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $(u, b_u)$  be a  $B$ -subsection such that  $l(b_u) = 1$  and  $\langle u \rangle \neq 1$  is fully  $\mathcal{F}$ -normalized of order  $2^k$ . Then*

$$(a_i, a_j) = \begin{cases} 2|N_D(\langle u \rangle) \cap C_D(u^i) / \langle u \rangle| & \text{if } u^j \sim_D u^i \sim_D u^{j+2^{k-1}}, \\ -2|N_D(\langle u \rangle) \cap C_D(u^i) / \langle u \rangle| & \text{if } u^j \sim_D u^i \sim_D u^{j+2^{k-1}}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, 2^{k-1} - 1\}$ . In particular,  $(a_0, a_0) = 2|N_D(\langle u \rangle) / \langle u \rangle|$ .

*Proof* We set  $d^u := (d_{\chi^\varphi}^u : \chi \in \text{Irr}(B))$  and  $|N_D(\langle u \rangle) \cap C_D(u^i) / C_D(u)| = 2^r$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} d^{u^\gamma} \zeta^{-i\gamma} = \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \sum_{l=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} a_l \zeta^{(l-i)\gamma} = a_i$$

for  $i = 0, \dots, 2^{k-1} - 1$ . Hence,

$$(a_i, a_j) = 2^{2(1-k)} \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{G}} (d^{u^\gamma}, d^{u^\delta}) \zeta^{j\delta-i\gamma}.$$

If  $u^\gamma$  and  $u^\delta$  are conjugate under  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(\langle u \rangle)$ , we have  $(d^{u^\gamma}, d^{u^\delta}) = 2^d$  by Theorem 1.14. If we regard  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(\langle u \rangle)$  as a subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$ , this means  $\gamma\delta^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(\langle u \rangle)$ . Therefore,

$$(a_i, a_j) = 2^{2(1-k)+d} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \sum_{\delta \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(\langle u \rangle)} \zeta^{(j\delta-i)\gamma} = 2^{2(1-k)+d} \sum_{\delta \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(\langle u \rangle)} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \zeta^{(j\delta-i)\gamma}.$$

Observe that if  $|\langle u^i \rangle| \neq |\langle u^j \rangle|$ , then  $(a_i, a_j) = 0$ . If  $u^i$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -conjugate to  $u^j$ , then there is a  $\delta \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(\langle u \rangle)$  such that  $j\delta - i \equiv 0 \pmod{2^k}$ . In this case there are precisely  $2^r$  such elements and the corresponding sum contributes  $2^{r+k-1}$ . Similarly,

if  $u^i$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -conjugate to  $u^{j+2^{k-1}}$ , we get the contribution  $-2^{r+k-1}$  in the sum. All other summands vanish. This shows the result.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2** *Let  $B$  be a 2-block of a finite group  $G$  with defect group  $D$  and fusion system  $\mathcal{F}$ , and let  $(u, b_u)$  be a  $B$ -subsection such that  $\langle u \rangle \neq 1$  is fully  $\mathcal{F}$ -normalized and  $b_u$  has Cartan matrix  $C_u = (c_{ij})$ . Let  $\text{IBr}(b_u) = \{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_{l(b_u)}\}$  such that  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$  are stable under  $N_D(\langle u \rangle)$  and  $\varphi_{m+1}, \dots, \varphi_{l(b_u)}$  are not. Then  $m \geq 1$ . Suppose further that  $u$  is conjugate to  $u^{-5^n}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  in  $D$ . Then*

$$k_0(B) \leq \frac{2|\text{N}_D(\langle u \rangle)/C_D(u)|}{|\langle u \rangle|} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m} q_{ij} c_{ij} \quad (5.2)$$

for every positive definite, integral quadratic form  $q(x_1, \dots, x_m) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m} q_{ij} x_i x_j$ . In particular if  $l(b_u) = 1$ , we get

$$k_0(B) \leq 2|\text{N}_D(\langle u \rangle)/\langle u \rangle|. \quad (5.3)$$

*Proof* Let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(B)$  and  $|\langle u \rangle| = 2^k$  for some  $k \geq 1$ . We write  $d_\chi^u := (d_{\chi\varphi_1}^u, \dots, d_{\chi\varphi_l}^u)$ , where  $l := l(b_u)$ . Then

$$d_{\chi\varphi_i}^u \equiv \gamma(d_{\chi\varphi_i}^u) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} a_j^i(\chi) \pmod{\text{Rad } \mathcal{O}}$$

for  $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ . In particular  $d_{\chi\varphi_i}^u \equiv \overline{d_{\chi\varphi_i}^u} \pmod{\text{Rad } \mathcal{O}}$ . We write  $|C_D(u)|C_u^{-1} = (\tilde{c}_{ij})$ . Then it follows from Proposition 1.36 that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \neq m_{\chi\chi}^u &\equiv \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} \tilde{c}_{ij} d_{\chi\varphi_i}^u \overline{d_{\chi\varphi_j}^u} \equiv \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} \tilde{c}_{ii} (d_{\chi\varphi_i}^u)^2 \\ &\equiv \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} \tilde{c}_{ii} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} a_j^i(\chi)^2 \equiv \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} \tilde{c}_{ii} \sum_{j=0}^{\varphi(2^k)-1} a_j^i(\chi) \pmod{\text{Rad } \mathcal{O}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now every  $g \in N_D(\langle u \rangle)$  induces a permutation on  $\text{IBr}(b_u)$ . Let  $P_g$  be the corresponding permutation matrix. Then  $g$  also acts on the rows  $d_i^u := (d_{\chi\varphi_i}^u : \chi \in \text{Irr}(B))$  for  $i = 1, \dots, l$ , and it follows that  $C_u P_g = P_g C_u$ . Hence, we also have  $C_u^{-1} P_g = P_g C_u^{-1}$  for all  $g \in N_D(\langle u \rangle)$ . If  $\{\varphi_{m_1}, \dots, \varphi_{m_2}\}$  ( $m < m_1 < m_2 \leq l$ ) is an orbit under  $N_D(\langle u \rangle)$ , it follows that  $d_{\chi\varphi_{m_1}}^u \equiv \dots \equiv d_{\chi\varphi_{m_2}}^u \pmod{\text{Rad } \mathcal{O}}$  and  $\tilde{c}_{m_1 m_1} = \dots = \tilde{c}_{m_2 m_2}$ . Since the length of this orbit is even, we get

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \tilde{c}_{ii} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} a_j^i(\chi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

In particular,  $m \geq 1$ . In case  $|\langle u \rangle| = 2$  this simplifies to

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \tilde{c}_{ii} a_0^i(\chi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

We show that this holds in general. Thus, let  $k \geq 2$  and  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Since  $(u, b_u)$  is conjugate to  $(u^{-5^n}, b_u)$  and  $\varphi_i$  is stable, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} a_j^i(\chi) \zeta^j = d_{\chi\varphi_i}^u = d_{\chi\varphi_i}^{u^{-5^n}} = \sum_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} a_j^i(\chi) \zeta^{-5^n j}.$$

Moreover, for every  $j \in \{0, \dots, 2^{k-1} - 1\}$  there is some  $j_1 \in \{0, \dots, \varphi(2^k) - 1\}$  such that  $\zeta^{-5^n j} = \pm \zeta^{j_1}$ . In order to compare coefficients observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^j = \zeta^{-5^n j} &\implies j \equiv -5^n j \pmod{2^k} \implies 1 \equiv -5^n \pmod{2^k / \gcd(2^k, j)} \\ &\implies j = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the set  $\{\pm \zeta^j : j = 1, \dots, 2^{k-1} - 1\}$  splits under the action of  $\langle -5^n + 2^k \mathbb{Z} \rangle$  into orbits of even length. This shows  $\sum_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} a_j^i(\chi) \equiv a_0^i(\chi) \pmod{2}$ . Hence,

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \tilde{c}_{ii} a_0^i(\chi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2} \quad (5.4)$$

for every  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(B)$ . In particular, there is an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $a_0^i(\chi) \neq 0$ . This gives

$$k_0(B) \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m} q_{ij}(a_0^i, a_0^j)$$

(see proof of Theorem 4.2).

Now let  $k$  again be arbitrary. Observe that  $a_0^i = 2^{1-k} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \gamma(d_i^u)$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . By the orthogonality relations for generalized decomposition numbers we have  $(d_i^{u^\gamma}, d_j^{u^\delta}) = c_{ij}$  for  $\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{G}$  if  $u^\gamma$  and  $u^\delta$  are conjugate under  $N_D(\langle u \rangle)$ . Otherwise we have  $(d_i^{u^\gamma}, d_j^{u^\delta}) = 0$ . This implies

$$(a_0^i, a_0^j) = 2^{2(1-k)} \sum_{\gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{G}} (d_i^{u^\gamma}, d_j^{u^\delta}) = \frac{2|N_D(\langle u \rangle) / C_D(u)|}{2^k} c_{ij},$$

and (5.2) follows. In case  $l = 1$  we have  $C = (|C_D(u)|)$ , and (5.3) is also clear.  $\square$

In the situation of Theorem 5.2 we have  $u \in Z(C_G(u))$ . Hence, all Cartan invariants  $c_{ij}$  are divisible by  $|\langle u \rangle|$ . This shows that the right hand side of (5.2) is always an integer. It is also known that  $k_0(B)$  is divisible by 4 unless  $|D| \leq 2$ .

Observe that the subsection  $(u, b_u)$  in Theorem 5.2 cannot be major unless  $|\langle u \rangle| \leq 2$ , since then  $u$  would be contained in  $Z(D)$ .

If  $D$  is rational of nilpotency class (at most) 2, Gluck's Conjecture would imply  $m = l(b_u)$  in Theorem 5.2. In this case it suffices to know the Cartan matrix  $C_u$  only up to basic sets. For, changing the basic set is essentially the same as taking another quadratic form  $q$  (see [172]). This must always hold in case  $l(b_u) = 2$ . Here we get the following simpler result.

**Theorem 5.3** *Let  $p = 2$ , and let  $(u, b_u)$  be a  $B$ -subsection such that  $\langle u \rangle$  is fully  $\mathcal{F}$ -normalized and  $u$  is conjugate to  $u^{-5^n}$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  in  $D$ . If  $l(b_u) \leq 2$ , then*

$$k_0(B) \leq 2|N_D(\langle u \rangle)|/|\langle u \rangle|.$$

*Proof* We use the notation of the proof of Theorem 5.2. We may assume that  $l = 2 = m$ . Here we can use (5.4) in a stronger sense. Since  $|C_D(u)|$  occurs as elementary divisor of  $C_u$  exactly once, we see that the rank of  $\frac{|C_D(u)|}{\det C_u} C_u \pmod{2}$  is 1. Hence,  $\frac{|C_D(u)|}{\det C_u} C_u \pmod{2}$  has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2}, \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2}.$$

Now it is easy to see that we may change the basic set for  $b_u$  such that  $|C_D(u)|c_{11}/\det C_u$  is even and as small as possible. Then we also have to replace the rows  $d_1^u$  and  $d_2^u$  by linear combinations of each other. This gives rows  $\hat{d}_i^u$  and  $\hat{a}_j^i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $j = 0, \dots, \varphi(2^k) - 1$ . Observe that the contributions do not depend on the basic set for  $C_u$ . Moreover,  $\tilde{c}_{11}$  is odd and  $\tilde{c}_{22}$  is even. Hence, (5.4) takes the form

$$\hat{a}_0^1(\chi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$$

for all  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(B)$ . Since both  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are stable under  $N_D(\langle u \rangle)$ , we have  $\gamma(\hat{d}_1^u) = \hat{d}_1^u$  for all  $\gamma \in \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(\langle u \rangle)$ . Hence,

$$k_0(B) \leq (\hat{a}_0^1, \hat{a}_0^1) = \frac{|N_D(\langle u \rangle)|/C_D(u)|c_{11}}{\varphi(2^k)}$$

as above. It remains to show that  $c_{11} \leq |C_D(u)|$ . The reduction theory of quadratic forms gives an equivalent matrix  $C'_u = (c'_{ij})$  such that  $0 \leq 2c'_{12} \leq \min(c'_{11}, c'_{22})$  (see Chap. 3). In case  $c'_{12} = 0$  we may assume  $c_{11} \leq c'_{11} = |C_D(u)|$ , since  $|C_D(u)|$  is the

largest elementary divisor of  $C'_u$ . Hence, let  $c'_{12} > 0$ . Since the entries of  $C_u$  and thus also of  $C'_u$  are divisible by  $\alpha := \det C_u / |C_D(u)|$ , we even have  $c'_{12} \geq \alpha$ . It follows that

$$3\alpha^2 \leq 3(c'_{12})^2 \leq c'_{11}c'_{22} - (c'_{12})^2 = \det C'_u \leq \frac{|C_D(u)|^2}{2}$$

and  $\alpha \leq |C_D(u)|/4$ . From Eq. (3.1) on page 28 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max(c'_{11}, c'_{22}) &\leq c'_{11} + c'_{22} - c'_{12} \leq c'_{11} + c'_{22} - \alpha \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{|C_D(u)|/\alpha + 3}{2} = \frac{|C_D(u)| + 3\alpha}{2} \leq |C_D(u)|. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\alpha^{-1}c'_{11}$  or  $\alpha^{-1}c'_{22}$  is even, the result follows from the minimality of  $c_{11}$ . Otherwise we replace  $C'_u$  by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} C'_u \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c'_{11} + c'_{22} - 2c'_{12} & c'_{12} - c'_{22} \\ c'_{12} - c'_{22} & c'_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $c_{11} \leq c'_{11} + c'_{22} - 2c'_{12} \leq |C_D(u)|$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$

If in the situation of Theorem 5.2 we have  $m < l(b_u)$ , we really need to know the “exact” Cartan matrix  $C_u$  which is unknown in most cases. For  $p > 2$  there are not always stable characters in  $\text{IBr}(b_u)$  (see Proposition (2E)(ii) and the example following it in [154]).

Let us come back to our initial example. Let  $D$  be a (non-abelian) 2-group of maximal class. Then there is an element  $x \in D$  such that  $|D : \langle x \rangle| = 2$  and  $x$  is conjugate to  $x^{-5^n}$  for some  $n \in \{0, |\langle x \rangle|/8\}$  under  $D$ . Since  $\langle x \rangle \trianglelefteq D$ , the subgroup  $\langle x \rangle$  is fully  $\mathcal{F}$ -normalized, and  $b_x$  has cyclic defect group  $C_D(x) = \langle x \rangle$ . Since,  $e(b_x) = 1$ , we get  $l(b_x) = 1$ . Hence, Theorem 5.2 shows Olsson’s Conjecture  $k_0(B) \leq 4 = |D : D'|$ . This was already proved in [41, 212].

## 5.2 The Case $p > 2$

Now we turn to the case where  $B$  is a  $p$ -block of  $G$  for an odd prime  $p$ . We fix some notation for this section. As before  $(u, b_u)$  is a  $B$ -subsection such that  $|\langle u \rangle| = p^k$ . Moreover,  $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$  is a primitive  $p^k$ -th root of unity. Since the situation is more complicated for odd primes, we assume further that  $l(b_u) = 1$ . We write  $\text{IBr}(b_u) = \{\varphi_u\}$ . Then the generalized decomposition numbers  $d_{\chi\varphi_u}^u$  for  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$  form a

column  $d(u)$ . Let  $d$  be the defect of  $b_u$ . Since  $u \in Z(C_G(u))$ ,  $u$  is contained in every defect group of  $b_u$ . In particular,  $k \leq d$ . As in the case  $p = 2$  we can write

$$d(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{\varphi(p^k)-1} a_i^u \zeta^i$$

with  $a_i^u \in \mathbb{Z}^{k(B)}$  (change of notation!). We define the following matrix

$$A := (a_i^u(\chi) : i = 0, \dots, \varphi(p^k) - 1, \chi \in \text{Irr}(B)) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\varphi(p^k) \times k(B)}.$$

The proof of the main theorem of this section is an application of the next proposition.

**Proposition 5.4** *For every positive definite, integral quadratic form*

$$q(x_1, \dots, x_{\varphi(p^k)}) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq \varphi(p^k)} q_{ij} x_i x_j$$

we have

$$k_0(B) \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq \varphi(p^k)} q_{ij}(a_{i-1}^u, a_{j-1}^u). \quad (5.5)$$

If  $(u, b_u)$  is major, we can replace  $k_0(B)$  by  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^{2i} k_i(B)$  in (5.5).

*Proof* By Lemma 1.37(i) every column  $a^u(\chi)$  of  $A$  corresponding to a character  $\chi$  of height 0 does not vanish. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_0(B) &\leq \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)} q(a^u(\chi)) = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq \varphi(p^k)} q_{ij} a_{i-1}^u(\chi) a_{j-1}^u(\chi) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq \varphi(p^k)} q_{ij}(a_{i-1}^u, a_{j-1}^u). \end{aligned}$$

If  $(u, b_u)$  is major and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$ , then  $p^{-h(\chi)} a^u(\chi)$  is a non-vanishing integral column by Lemma 1.37(ii). In this case we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^{2i} k_i(B) \leq \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)} p^{2h(\chi)} q(p^{-h(\chi)} a^u(\chi)) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq \varphi(p^k)} q_{ij}(a_{i-1}^u, a_{j-1}^u).$$

The second claim follows.  $\square$

Notice that we have used only a weak version of Lemma 1.37 in the proof above.

In order to find a suitable quadratic form it is often very useful to replace  $A$  by  $UA$  for some integral matrix  $U \in \text{GL}(\varphi(p^k), \mathbb{Q})$  (observe that the argument in the proof of Proposition 5.4 remains correct).

However, we need a more explicit expression of the scalar products  $(a_i^u, a_j^u)$ . For this reason we introduce an auxiliary lemma about inverses of Vandermonde matrices. Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{\varphi(p^k)}\}$ . For an integer  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  there is  $i' \in \{1, \dots, p^{k-1}\}$  such that  $-i \equiv i' \pmod{p^{k-1}}$ . We will use this notation for the rest of the section.

**Lemma 5.5** *The inverse of the Vandermonde matrix  $V := (\sigma_i(\zeta)^{j-1})_{i,j=1}^{\varphi(p^k)}$  is given by*

$$V^{-1} = p^{-k} (\sigma_j(t_{i-1}))_{i,j=1}^{\varphi(p^k)},$$

where  $t_i = \zeta^{-i} - \zeta^{i'}$ .

*Proof* For  $i, j \in \{0, \dots, \varphi(p^k) - 1\}$  we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\varphi(p^k)} \sigma_l(t_i) \sigma_l(\zeta)^j = \sum_{l=1}^{\varphi(p^k)} \sigma_l(\zeta^{j-i} - \zeta^{j+i'}).$$

Assume first that  $i = j$ . Then  $\zeta^{j-i} = 1$  and  $j + i' = i + i'$  is divisible by  $p^{k-1}$  but not by  $p^k$ . Hence,  $\zeta^{j+i'}$  is a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. Since the second coefficient of the  $p$ -th cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_p(X) = X^{p-1} + X^{p-2} + \dots + X + 1$  is 1, we get  $\sum_{l=1}^{\varphi(p^k)} \sigma_l(\zeta^{j+i'}) = -p^{k-1}$ . This shows that

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\varphi(p^k)} \sigma_l(1 - \zeta^{i+i'}) = \varphi(p^k) + p^{k-1} = p^k.$$

Now let  $i \neq j$ . Then  $j - i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$  and  $j + i' \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ . Moreover,  $j - i \equiv j + i' \pmod{p^{k-1}}$ , since  $i + i' \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{k-1}}$ . Assume first that  $j - i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{k-1}}$ . Then  $\zeta^{j-i}$  is a primitive  $p^s$ -th root of unity for some  $s \geq 2$ . Since the second coefficient of the  $p^s$ -th cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_{p^s}(X) = X^{(p-1)p^{s-1}} + X^{(p-2)p^{s-1}} + \dots + X^{p^{s-1}} + 1$  (see Lemma I.10.1 in [204]) is 0, we have  $\sum_{l=1}^{\varphi(p^k)} \sigma_l(\zeta^{j-i}) = 0$ . The same holds for  $j + i'$ . Finally let  $j - i \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{k-1}}$ . Then we have (as in the first part of the proof)

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\varphi(p^k)} \sigma_l(\zeta^{j-i} - \zeta^{j+i'}) = -p^{k-1} + p^{k-1} = 0.$$

This proves the claim.  $\square$



Now let  $\mathcal{A} := \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(\langle u \rangle) \leq \mathcal{G}$ . The next proposition shows that the scalar products  $(a_i^u, a_j^u)$  only depend on  $p, k-d$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proposition 5.6** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} p^{k-d}(a_i^u, a_j^u) &= |\{\tau \in \mathcal{A} : p^k \mid i - j\tau\}| - |\{\tau \in \mathcal{A} : p^k \mid i + j'\tau\}| \\ &\quad + |\{\tau \in \mathcal{A} : p^k \mid i' - j'\tau\}| - |\{\tau \in \mathcal{A} : p^k \mid i' + j\tau\}|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

*Proof* Let  $W := (d_{\chi\psi_u}^{\sigma_i(u)} : i = 1, \dots, \varphi(p^k), \chi \in \text{Irr}(B))$  be a part of the generalized decomposition matrix. If  $V$  is the Vandermonde matrix in Lemma 5.5, we have  $VA = W$  and  $A = V^{-1}W$ . This shows

$$((a_{i-1}^u, a_{j-1}^u))_{i,j=1}^{\varphi(p^k)} = AA^T = V^{-1}WW^T V^{-T} = V^{-1}W\overline{W}^T \overline{V}^{-T}.$$

Now let  $S := (s_{ij})_{i,j=1}^{\varphi(p^k)}$ , where

$$s_{ij} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma_i \sigma_j^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the orthogonality relations (see proof of Theorem 5.2) imply  $W\overline{W}^T = p^d S$ . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} p^{2k-d}(a_i^u, a_j^u) &= \sum_{l=1}^{\varphi(p^k)} \sigma_l(t_i) \sum_{m=1}^{\varphi(p^k)} s_{lm} \sigma_m(\overline{t_j}) = \sum_{l=1}^{\varphi(p^k)} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{A}} \sigma_l(t_i \tau(\overline{t_j})) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{l=1}^{\varphi(p^k)} \sigma_l((\zeta^{-i} - \zeta^{i'})\tau(\zeta^j - \zeta^{-j'})) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{l=1}^{\varphi(p^k)} \sigma_l(\zeta^{j\tau-i} + \zeta^{i'-j'\tau} - \zeta^{-i-j'\tau} - \zeta^{i'+j\tau}). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

As in the proof of Lemma 5.5 we have

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\varphi(p^k)} \sigma_l(\zeta^{j\tau-i}) = \begin{cases} \varphi(p^k) & \text{if } p^k \mid j\tau - i, \\ 0 & \text{if } p^{k-1} \nmid j\tau - i, \\ -p^{k-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This can be combined to

$$\sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{l=1}^{\varphi(p^k)} \sigma_l(\zeta^{j\tau-i}) = p^k |\{\tau \in \mathcal{A} : p^k \mid j\tau - i\}| - p^{k-1} |\{\tau \in \mathcal{A} : p^{k-1} \mid j\tau - i\}|.$$

We get similar expressions for the other numbers  $i' - j'\tau$ ,  $-i - j'\tau$  and  $i' + j\tau$ . Since  $i + i' \equiv j + j' \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{k-1}}$ , we have  $j\tau - i \equiv i' - j'\tau \equiv -i - j'\tau \equiv i' + j\tau \pmod{p^{k-1}}$ . Thus, the terms of the form  $p^{k-1} |\{\dots\}|$  in (5.7) cancel out each other. This proves the proposition.  $\square$

Since the group  $\text{Aut}(\langle u \rangle)$  is cyclic,  $\mathcal{A}$  is uniquely determined by its order. We introduce a notation.

**Definition 5.7** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be as in Proposition 5.6. Then we define  $\Gamma(d, k, |\mathcal{A}|)$  as the minimum of the expressions

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq \varphi(p^k)} q_{ij}(a_{i-1}^u, a_{j-1}^u)$$

where  $q$  ranges over all positive definite, integral quadratic forms. By Proposition 5.4 we have  $k_0(B) \leq \Gamma(d, k, |\mathcal{A}|)$ , and  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^{2i} k_i(B) \leq \Gamma(d, k, |\mathcal{A}|)$  if  $(u, b_u)$  is major.

We will calculate  $\Gamma(d, k, |\mathcal{A}|)$  by induction on  $k$ . First we collect some easy facts.

**Lemma 5.8** Let  $\mathcal{H} \leq (\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times$  where we regard  $\mathcal{H}$  as a subset of  $\{1, \dots, p^k\}$ . Then  $|\{\sigma \in \mathcal{H} : \sigma \equiv 1 \pmod{p^j}\}| = \gcd(|\mathcal{H}|, p^{k-j})$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ .

*Proof* The canonical epimorphism  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^j\mathbb{Z})^\times$  has kernel  $\mathcal{H}$  of order  $p^{k-j}$ . Hence,  $|\{\sigma \in \mathcal{H} : \sigma \equiv 1 \pmod{p^j}\}| = |\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{H}| = \gcd(|\mathcal{H}|, p^{k-j})$ , since the  $p$ -subgroups of the cyclic group  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times$  are totally ordered by inclusion.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.9** We have

$$(a_0^u, a_0^u) = (|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{A}|_p) p^{d-k}$$

and

$$\frac{p^{k-d}}{\gcd(|\mathcal{A}|_p, j)} (a_i^u, a_j^u) \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$$

for  $i + j > 0$ . If  $a_i^u \neq 0$  for some  $i \geq 1$ , then  $(a_i^u, a_i^u) = 2p^{d-k} \gcd(|\mathcal{A}|_p, i)$ . Moreover,  $(a_i^u, a_j^u) = 0$  whenever  $\gcd(i, p^{k-1}) \neq \gcd(j, p^{k-1})$ .

*Proof* For  $i = j = 0$  we have  $i + j'\tau = p^{k-1}\tau \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$  and  $i' + j\tau = p^{k-1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$  for all  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, by Lemma 5.8 there are precisely  $|\mathcal{A}|_p$  elements  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $i' - j'\tau = p^{k-1}(1 - \tau) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$ . The first claim follows from Proposition 5.6.

Now let  $i + j > 0$  and  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $i \equiv j\tau \pmod{p^k}$ . Then we have  $j \not\equiv 0$ . Assume that also  $\tau_1 \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $i \equiv j\tau_1 \pmod{p^k}$ . Then  $j(\tau - \tau_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$  and  $\tau^{-1}\tau_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^k/\gcd(p^k, j)}$ . Thus, Lemma 5.8 implies

$$|\{\tau \in \mathcal{A} : p^k \mid i - j\tau\}| \in \{0, \gcd(|\mathcal{A}|_p, j)\}.$$

The same argument also works for the other summands in (5.6), since  $\gcd(|\mathcal{A}|_p, j) = \gcd(|\mathcal{A}|_p, j')$ . This gives

$$p^{k-d}(a_i^u, a_j^u) \in \{0, \pm \gcd(|\mathcal{A}|_p, j), \pm 2 \gcd(|\mathcal{A}|_p, j)\}$$

whenever  $i + j > 0$ .

Suppose  $i \geq 1$  and  $i \equiv i\tau \pmod{p^k}$  for some  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\tau \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and thus  $i \equiv i\tau - (i + i')(\tau - 1) \equiv -i'\tau + i + i' \pmod{p^k}$ . Hence,  $i' \equiv i'\tau \pmod{p^k}$ . This shows  $|\{\tau \in \mathcal{A} : p^k \mid i - i\tau\}| = |\{\tau \in \mathcal{A} : p^k \mid i' - i'\tau\}|$ . Moreover, we have  $|\{\tau \in \mathcal{A} : p^k \mid i + i'\tau\}| = |\{\tau \in \mathcal{A} : p^k \mid i\tau^{-1} + i'\}| = |\{\tau \in \mathcal{A} : p^k \mid i' + i\tau\}|$ . This shows  $a_i^u = 0$  or  $(a_i^u, a_i^u) = 2p^d \gcd(|\mathcal{A}|_p, i)/p^k$ .

Finally suppose that  $\gcd(i, p^{k-1}) \neq \gcd(j, p^{k-1})$ . Then  $i \not\equiv j\tau \pmod{p^{k-1}}$  and thus  $p^k \nmid i - j\tau$  for all  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}$ . The same holds for the other terms in (5.6), since  $i + i' \equiv j + j' \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{k-1}}$ . The last claim follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.10** *We have*

$$\Gamma(d, 1, |\mathcal{A}|) = (|\mathcal{A}| + (p-1)/|\mathcal{A}|)p^{d-1}.$$

*Proof* Since  $|\mathcal{A}| \mid p-1$ , we have  $|\mathcal{A}|_p = 1$ . Hence,  $(a_0^u, a_0^u) = (|\mathcal{A}| + 1)p^{d-1}$  and  $(a_i^u, a_j^u) \in \{0, \pm p^{d-1}, \pm 2p^{d-1}\}$  for  $i + j > 0$  by Lemma 5.9. First we determine the indices  $i$  such that  $a_i^u = 0$ . For this we use Proposition 5.6. Observe that we always have  $i' = 1$ . In particular for all  $i, j$  we have  $p \mid i' - j'\tau$  for  $\tau = 1$ . It follows that  $a_i^u = 0$  if and only if  $-i \equiv \tau \pmod{p}$  for some  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}$ . We write this condition in the form  $-i \in \mathcal{A}$ . This gives exactly  $|\mathcal{A}| - 1$  vanishing rows and columns. Thus, all the scalar products  $(a_i^u, a_j^u)$  with  $-i \in \mathcal{A}$  or  $-j \in \mathcal{A}$  vanish. Hence, assume that  $-i \notin \mathcal{A}$  and  $-j \notin \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $(a_i^u, a_j^u) \in \{p^{d-1}, 2p^{d-1}\}$  for  $i + j > 0$ . In case  $(a_i^u, a_j^u) = 2p^{d-1}$  we have  $a_i^u = a_j^u$ . This happens exactly when  $j \not\equiv 0$  and  $ij^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $-i \notin \mathcal{A}$ , the coset  $i\mathcal{A}$  in  $\mathcal{G}$  does not contain  $-1$ . Hence, there are precisely  $|\mathcal{A}|$  choices for  $j$  such that  $ij^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Hence, we have shown that the rows  $a_i^u$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p-2$  split into  $|\mathcal{A}| - 1$  zero rows and  $(p-1)/|\mathcal{A}| - 1$  groups consisting of  $|\mathcal{A}|$  equal rows each. If we replace the matrix  $A$  by  $UA$  for a suitable matrix  $U \in \text{GL}(p-1, \mathbb{Z})$ , we get a new matrix with exactly  $(p-1)/|\mathcal{A}|$  non-vanishing rows (this is essentially the same as

taking another (positive definite) quadratic form in (5.5), see [172]). After leaving out the zero rows we get a  $(p-1)/|\mathcal{A}| \times (p-1)/|\mathcal{A}|$  matrix

$$AA^T = p^{d-1} \begin{pmatrix} |\mathcal{A}| + 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 1 & \dots & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we can apply the quadratic form  $q$  corresponding to the Dynkin diagram  $A_{(p-1)/|\mathcal{A}|}$  in Eq. (5.5). This gives

$$\Gamma(d, 1, |\mathcal{A}|) \leq (|\mathcal{A}| + (p-1)/|\mathcal{A}|)p^{d-1}.$$

On the other hand,  $p^{1-d}AA^T$  is the square of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & & 1 \\ \vdots & & \ddots \\ 1 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which has exactly  $|\mathcal{A}| + (p-1)/|\mathcal{A}|$  columns. This shows that  $\Gamma(d, 1, |\mathcal{A}|)$  cannot be smaller.  $\square$

The next proposition gives an induction step.

**Proposition 5.11** *If  $|\mathcal{A}|_p \neq 1$ , then*

$$\Gamma(d, k, |\mathcal{A}|) = \Gamma(d, k-1, |\mathcal{A}|/p).$$

*Proof* Since  $|\mathcal{A}|_p \neq 1$ , we have  $k \geq 2$ . Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, \varphi(p^k) - 1\}$  such that  $\gcd(i, p) = 1$ . We will see that  $(a_i^u, a_i^u) = 0$  and thus  $a_i^u = 0$ . By Lemma 5.9 and Eq. (5.6) it suffices to show that there is some  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $p^k \mid i' + i\tau$ . We can write this in the form  $-i^{-1}i' \in \mathcal{A}$ , since  $i$  represents an element of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . Now let  $-i' = i + \alpha p^{k-1}$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $-i^{-1}i' = 1 + i^{-1}\alpha p^{k-1}$  is an element of order  $p$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}$  has only one subgroup of order  $p$ , it follows that  $-i^{-1}i' \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Hence, in order to apply Proposition 5.4 it remains to consider the indices which are divisible by  $p$ . Let  $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$  be the image of the canonical map  $(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^{k-1}\mathbb{Z})^\times$  under  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $|\overline{\mathcal{A}}| = |\mathcal{A}|/p$  (cf. Lemma 5.8). If  $i$  and  $j$  are divisible by  $p$ , we have

$$|\{\tau \in \mathcal{A} : p^k \mid i + j\tau\}| = p \cdot |\{\tau \in \overline{\mathcal{A}} : p^{k-1} \mid (i/p) + (j/p)\tau\}|.$$

A similar equality holds for the other summands in (5.6). Here observe that  $(i/p)' = i'/p$ , where the dash on the left refers to the case  $p^{k-1}$ . Thus, the remaining matrix is just the matrix in case  $p^{k-1}$ . Hence,  $\Gamma(d, k, |\mathcal{A}|) = \Gamma(d, k-1, |\overline{\mathcal{A}}|) = \Gamma(d, k-1, |\mathcal{A}|/p)$ .  $\square$

Now we are in a position to prove the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 5.12** *Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of a finite group where  $p$  is an odd prime, and let  $(u, b_u)$  be a  $B$ -subsection such that  $l(b_u) = 1$  and  $b_u$  has defect  $d$ . Moreover, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the fusion system of  $B$ , and let  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(\langle u \rangle)| = p^s r$  where  $p \nmid r$  and  $s \geq 0$ . Then we have*

$$k_0(B) \leq \frac{|\langle u \rangle| + p^s(r^2 - 1)}{|\langle u \rangle| \cdot r} p^d. \quad (5.8)$$

If (in addition)  $(u, b_u)$  is major, we can replace  $k_0(B)$  by  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} p^{2i} k_i(B)$  in (5.8).

*Proof* As before let  $|\langle u \rangle| = p^k$ . We will prove by induction on  $k$  that

$$\Gamma(d, k, p^s r) = \frac{p^k + p^s(r^2 - 1)}{p^k r} p^d.$$

By Proposition 5.10 we may assume  $k \geq 2$ . By Proposition 5.11 we can also assume that  $s = 0$ . As before we consider the matrix  $A$ . Like in the proof of Proposition 5.11 it is easy to see that the indices divisible by  $p$  form a block of the matrix  $AA^T$  which contributes  $\Gamma(d, k-1, r)/p$  to  $\Gamma(d, k, r)$ . It remains to deal with the matrix  $\tilde{A} := (a_i^u : \gcd(i, p) = 1)$ . By Lemma 5.9 the entries of  $p^{k-d} \tilde{A} \tilde{A}^T$  lie in  $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$ . Moreover, if  $\gcd(i, p) = 1$  we have  $(a_i^u, a_i^u) = 2p^{d-k}$  (see proof of Proposition 5.11).

With the notation of the proof of Proposition 5.6 we have  $VA = W$ . In particular  $\text{rk } AA^T = \text{rk } A = \text{rk } W = |\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{A}|$ . If we set  $A_1 := (a_i^u : p \mid i)$ , it also follows that  $\text{rk } A_1 A_1^T = \text{rk } A_1 = \varphi(p^{k-1})/r$ . Since the rows of  $\tilde{A}$  are orthogonal to the rows of  $A_1$  (see Lemma 5.9), we see that  $\text{rk } \tilde{A} = (\varphi(p^k) - \varphi(p^{k-1}))/r = p^{k-2}(p-1)^2/r$ .

Now we will find  $p^{k-2}(p-1)^2/r$  linearly independent rows of  $\tilde{A}$ . For this observe that  $\mathcal{A}$  acts on  $\Omega := \{i : 1 \leq i \leq p^{k-1}, \gcd(i, p) = 1\}$  by  ${}^\tau i := \tau \cdot i \pmod{p^{k-1}}$  for  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}$ . Since  $p \nmid r$ , every orbit has length  $r$  (see Lemma 5.8). We choose a set of representatives  $\Delta$  for these orbits. Then  $|\Delta| = p^{k-2}(p-1)/r$ . Finally for  $i \in \Delta$  we set  $\Delta_i := \{i + jp^{k-1} : j = 0, \dots, p-2\}$ . We claim that the rows  $a_i^u$  with  $i \in \bigcup_{j \in \Delta} \Delta_j$  are linearly independent. We do this in two steps.

**Step 1:**  $(a_i^u, a_j^u) = 0$  for  $i, j \in \Delta, i \neq j$ .

We will show that all summands in (5.6) vanish. First assume that  $i \equiv j\tau \pmod{p^k}$  for some  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then of course we also have  $i \equiv j\tau \pmod{p^{k-1}}$  which contradicts the choice of  $\Delta$ . Exactly the same argument works for the other summands. For the next step we fix some  $i \in \Delta$ .

**Step 2:**  $a_j^u$  for  $j \in \Delta_i$  are linearly independent.

It suffices to show that the matrix  $A' := p^{k-d}(a_l^u, a_m^u)_{l,m \in \Delta_i}$  is invertible. We already know that the diagonal entries of  $A'$  equal 2. Now write  $m = l + jp^{k-1}$  for some  $j \neq 0$ . We consider the summands in (5.6). Assume that there is some  $\tau \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $l \equiv m\tau \equiv (l + jp^{k-1})\tau \pmod{p^k}$ . Then we have  $\tau \equiv 1 \pmod{p^{k-1}}$  which implies  $\tau = 1$ . However, this contradicts  $j \neq 0$ . On the other hand we have  $l' \equiv m'\tau \equiv l'\tau \pmod{p^k}$  for  $\tau = 1 \in \mathcal{A}$ . Now assume  $-l \equiv m'\tau \pmod{p^k}$ . Then the argument above implies  $\tau = 1$  and  $l + l' \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$  which is false. Similarly the last summand in (5.6) equals 0. Thus, we have shown that  $A' = (1 + \delta_{lm})_{l,m \in \Delta_i}$  is invertible.

Therefore we have constructed a basis for the row space of  $\tilde{A}$ . Hence, there exists an integral matrix  $U \in \text{GL}(p^{k-2}(p-1)^2, \mathbb{Q})$  such that the only non-zero rows of  $U\tilde{A}$  are  $a_i^u$  for  $i \in \bigcup_{j \in \Delta} \Delta_j$ . Then we can leave out the zero rows and obtain a matrix (still denoted by  $\tilde{A}$ ) of dimension  $p^{k-2}(p-1)^2/r$ . Moreover, the two steps above show that  $p^{k-d}\tilde{A}\tilde{A}^T$  consists of  $p^{k-2}(p-1)/r$  blocks of the form  $(1 + \delta_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p-1}$ . Thus, an application of the quadratic form  $q$  corresponding to the Dynkin diagram  $A_{p^{k-2}(p-1)^2/r}$  in Eq. (5.5) gives

$$\Gamma(d, k, r) \leq \frac{\Gamma(d, k-1, r)}{p} + \frac{p^{k-1}(p-1)}{p^k r} p^d = \frac{p^k + r^2 - 1}{p^k r} p^d.$$

The minimality of  $\Gamma(d, k, r)$  is not so clear as in the proof of Proposition 5.10, since here we do not know if  $\det U \in \{\pm 1\}$ . However, it suffices to give an example where  $k_0(B) = \Gamma(d, k, r)$ . By Proposition 5.6 we already know that  $\Gamma(d, k, r) = p^{d-k} \Gamma(k, k, r)$ . Hence, we may assume  $d = k$ . Let  $G = \langle u \rangle \rtimes C_r$  and  $B$  be the principal block of  $G$ . Then it is easy to see that the hypothesis of the theorem is satisfied. Moreover,

$$k_0(B) = k(B) = \frac{|D| - 1}{r} + r = \Gamma(d, k, r).$$

Hence, the proof is complete.  $\square$

We add some remarks. It is easy to see that the right hand side of (5.8) is always an integer. Moreover, if  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{G}$  (i.e.  $s = k-1$  and  $r = p-1$ ) or  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $p$ -group (i.e.  $r = 1$ ), we get the same bound as in Theorem 4.12 and Proposition 4.7. In all other cases Theorem 5.12 really improves Theorem 4.12 and Proposition 4.7. For  $k \geq 2$  the case  $s = 0$  and  $r = p-1$  gives the best bound for  $k_0(B)$ . If  $k$  tends to infinity,  $\Gamma(d, k, p-1)$  goes to  $p^d/(p-1)$ .

Regarding Olsson's Conjecture, we have to say (in contrast to the case  $p = 2$ ) that Olsson's Conjecture does not follow from Theorem 5.12 if it does not already follow from Theorem 4.12, since the right hand side of (5.8) is always larger than  $p^{d-1}$ .

In the proof we already saw that Inequality (5.8) is sharp for blocks with cyclic defect groups. Perhaps it is possible that this can provide a more elementary proof of Dade's Theorem 8.6. For this it would be sufficient to bound  $l(B)$  from below, since the difference  $k(B) - l(B)$  is locally determined.

As an application of Theorem 5.12 we give a concrete example. Let  $B$  be an 11-block with defect group  $D \cong C_{11} \times C_{11}$  and inertial index  $e(B) = 5$  (for smaller primes results by Usami and Puig give more complete information, e.g. [227, 270]). Assume that  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(D)$  acts diagonally (and thus fixed point freely) on both factors  $C_{11}$ . Then we have  $l(b_u) = 1$  for all non-trivial subsections  $(u, b_u)$ . Then Theorem 5.12 gives  $k(B) \leq 77$  while Theorem 4.2 only implies  $k(B) \leq 121$ . Also Theorem 1.39 is useless here. However, for the principal block  $B$  of  $G = D \rtimes \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(D)$  we have  $k(B) = 29$ .

As it was pointed out earlier, for odd primes  $p$  and  $l(b_u) > 1$  there is not always a stable character in  $\text{IBr}(b_u)$  under  $N_G(\langle u \rangle, b_u)$ , even for  $l(b_u) = 2$ . However, the situation is better if we consider the principal block.

**Proposition 5.13** *Let  $B$  be the principal  $p$ -block of  $G$  for an odd prime  $p$ , and let  $(u, b_u)$  be a  $B$ -subsection such that  $l(b_u) = 2$ , and  $b_u$  has defect  $d$  and Cartan matrix  $C_u = (c_{ij})$ . Then we may choose a basic set for  $C_u$  such that  $p^d c_{11} / \det C_u$  is divisible by  $p$ . Moreover, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the fusion system of  $B$  and  $|\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{F}}(\langle u \rangle)| = p^s r$ , where  $p \nmid r$  and  $s \geq 0$ . Then we have*

$$k_0(B) \leq \frac{|\langle u \rangle| + p^s(r^2 - 1)}{|\langle u \rangle| \cdot r} c_{11}.$$

*Proof* By Brauer's Third Main Theorem,  $b_u$  is the principal block of  $C_G(u)$  and so  $\text{IBr}(b_u)$  contains the trivial Brauer character. Hence, both characters of  $\text{IBr}(b_u)$  are stable under  $N_G(\langle u \rangle)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 5.3,  $\frac{p^d}{\det C_u} C_u \pmod{p}$  has rank 1. Hence, we can choose a basic set for  $C_u$  such that  $p^d c_{11} / \det C_u$  and  $p^d c_{12} / \det C_u$  are divisible by  $p$ . As in the proof of Theorem 5.3, the rows  $d_i^u$  and  $a_j^i$  become  $\hat{d}_i^u$  and  $\hat{a}_j^i$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $j = 0, \dots, \varphi(|\langle u \rangle|) - 1$ . Write  $p^d C_u^{-1} = (\tilde{c}_{ij})$ . For  $\chi \in \text{Irr}_0(B)$  we have

$$0 \neq m_{\chi\chi}^u \equiv \tilde{c}_{11}(\hat{d}_{\chi\varphi_1}^u)^2 \pmod{\text{Rad } \mathcal{O}}.$$

In particular,  $\hat{a}_j^1(\chi) \neq 0$  for some  $j \in \{0, \dots, \varphi(p^k) - 1\}$ . Now since

$$(\hat{d}_1^u, \gamma(\hat{d}_1^u)) = \begin{cases} c_{11} & \text{if } \gamma \in \mathcal{A}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \gamma \in \mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{A}, \end{cases}$$

the proof works as in case  $l(b_u) = 1$ . □

Blocks of Finite Groups and Their Invariants

Sambale, B.

2014, XIII, 243 p. 1 illus., Softcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-12005-8