

# Contents

<b>12 Horizontal Mergers and Acquisitions . . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
1. The Ways That Horizontal Mergers Can Generate Sherman-Act-Licit and Sherman-Act-Illicit Profits . . . . .	2
2. Possible Clayton-Act-Relevant Impacts of Horizontal Mergers and These Impacts' Magnitudes' Determinants . . . . .	4
A. The Ways That a Horizontal Merger That Yields No Static Marginal (Hereinafter Marginal-Cost) Efficiencies Can Generate Clayton-Act-Relevant Effects and These Effects' Magnitudes' Determinants . . . . .	4
B. The Determinants of the Magnitude of the Equivalent-Dollar Gains That Any Static and Dynamic Efficiencies a Horizontal Merger Generates Will Confer on the Customers of the Merged Firm and the Customers of the Merged Firm's Rivals . . . . .	22
3. The Legality of Horizontal Mergers Under U.S. Antitrust Law and E.C./E.U. Competition Law, Correctly Interpreted and Applied . . . . .	40
A. The Legality of Horizontal Mergers Under U.S. Antitrust Law, Properly Interpreted and Applied . . . . .	40
B. The Legality of Horizontal Concentrations Under E.C./E.U. Competition Law, Correctly Interpreted and Applied . . . . .	46
4. The U.S.. Courts' Traditional Market Share/Market-Concentration Approach to Horizontal Mergers and the Various DOJ/FTC Approaches to Horizontal Mergers . . . . .	50
A. The U.S. Courts' Traditional MP-Market-Share/Seller-Concentration-Ratio Approach to Horizontal-Merger Analysis, Some Elements of That Approach That the Agencies Have Respectively Rejected and Taken Over, and a Brief Account of Some More Contemporary U.S. Lower-Court Horizontal-Merger Opinions . . . . .	52

B. The Approaches That the U.S. Antitrust-Enforcement Agencies (the DOJ and FTC) Have Taken to Horizontal-Merger Analysis . . . . .	93
5. Contemporary EC Positions and the E.C./E.U. Case-Law on Horizontal Concentrations . . . . .	163
A. Contemporary EC Positions on Horizontal Concentrations . . . . .	163
B. A Brief Account of the E.C./E.U. Court Case-Law on Horizontal Concentrations . . . . .	175
<b>13 Conglomerate Mergers and Acquisitions . . . . .</b>	<b>183</b>
1. The Sherman and Clayton Act Tests for the Illegality of Conglomerate Mergers . . . . .	184
2. The Sherman-Act-Licit and Sherman-Act-Illicit Ways in Which Conglomerate Mergers That Do Not Eliminate an Effective Potential Competitor Can Increase Their Participants' Profits and the Appropriate Structure of Trials About the Legality of Such Conglomerate Mergers Under the Sherman Act . . . . .	185
A. The Sherman-Act-Licit Ways in Which Conglomerate Mergers That Do Not Eliminate an Effective Potential Competitor Can Increase Their Participants' Profits . . . . .	185
B. The Sherman-Act-Illicit Ways in Which Conglomerate Mergers That Do Not Eliminate an Effective Potential Competitor Can Increase Their Participants' Profits . . . . .	186
3. The Various Ways in Which Conglomerate Mergers That Do Not Eliminate an Effective Potential Competitor Can Decrease and Increase Competition in the Clayton Act Sense and the Appropriate Structure of Trials About the Legality of Such Conglomerate Mergers Under the Clayton Act . . . . .	190
A. The Various Ways in Which Conglomerate Mergers That Do Not Eliminate an Effective Potential Competitor Can Decrease Competition in the Clayton Act Sense of That Expression . . . . .	190
B. The Appropriate Structure of Trials About the Clayton Act Legality of Conglomerate Mergers That Do Not Eliminate an Effective Potential Competitor . . . . .	195
4. The U.S. Case-Law and DOJ/FTC Positions on the Economic Consequences and Antitrust Legality of Conglomerate Mergers That Do Not Eliminate an Effective Potential Competitor . . . . .	196
A. Conglomerate Mergers That Do Not Eliminate an Effective Potential Competitor, Will Not Induce the Merged Firm to Engage in Additional Reciprocity or Execute Additional Tie-Ins, and Do Not Enable One MP to Achieve Sought-After Geographic Diversification . . . . .	196
B. Conglomerate Mergers That Do Not Eliminate an Effective Potential Competitor and Do Not Enable One MP to Achieve Sought-After Geographic Diversification but Do Create a Merged Firm That Will Enter Into More Tying and Reciprocity Agreements Than the MPs Would Have Done . . . . .	198

C. Conglomerate Mergers That Do Not Eliminate an Effective Potential Competitor and Do Not Create a Merged Firm That Will Enter Into More Tying and Reciprocity Agreements Than the MPs Would Have Done but Will Enable One MP to Achieve Sought-After Geographic Diversification: The “Toe-Hold Merger” Doctrine . . . . .	201
5. The Conditions Under Which Competition Will Be Effective and the Economic Effects of the Fact That a Conglomerate Merger Has Eliminated or Would Eliminate an Effective Potential Competitor . . . .	219
A. The Conditions Under Which Potential Competition Will Be Effective and the Competitive Impact of Effective Potential Competition . . . . .	219
B. A Statement and Critique of Limit-Price Theory: The Conditions Under Which the Theory Alleges Potential Competition Is Effective, How Limit Pricing Allegedly Deters Entry, Its Alleged Effectiveness, and Its Profitability Relative to Allowing Entry If Bribing or Buying the Potential Entrant Is Impossible . . . . .	221
6. The Reasons Why It May Be More Profitable to Prevent Entry by Merging With the Potential Competitor Than by Bribing It Not to Enter and/or Threatening to Retaliate Against Its Entry, the Relevance of the Fact That a Conglomerate Merger Eliminates an Effective Potential Competitor to Its Legality Under the Sherman and Clayton Acts, Correctly Interpreted, and the U.S. Courts’ and the Antitrust-Enforcement Agencies’ Positions on This Legal Issue . . . . .	233
A. The Reasons Why It May Be More Profitable to Prevent Entry by Merging With the Potential Competitor Than by Bribing It Not to Enter and/or Threatening to Retaliate Against Its Entry . . . . .	233
B. The Relevance of the Fact That a Conglomerate Merger Eliminates an Effective Potential Competitor to Its Legality Under the Sherman and Clayton Acts, Correctly Interpreted . . . . .	235
C. The U.S. Courts’ and the DOJ/FTC’s Position on the Legal Relevance of the Fact That a Conglomerate Merger Eliminates an Effective Potential Competitor and on the Economic-Efficiency Issues and Failing-Company Issues That Have Arisen or Could Arise in Such Potential-Competition Conglomerate-Merger Cases . . . . .	236
7. The Legality of Conglomerate Mergers Under E.C./E.U. Competition Law, Both as Correctly and as Actually Interpreted and Applied . . . . .	240
A. The Legality of Conglomerate Mergers Under E.C./E.U. Competition Law as Correctly Interpreted and Applied . . . . .	240
B. The Legality of Conglomerate Mergers Under E.C./E.U. Competition Law as Actually Interpreted and Applied . . . . .	241

<b>14 Vertical Mergers and the Pricing-Techniques, Contract-of-Sale Provisions, and Sales/Consignment Policies That Are Surrogates for Vertical Integration . . . . .</b>	<b>251</b>
1. The Spillover Effects That Perfectly-Coordinated Vertical Integration Will Prevent and That Surrogates for Vertical Integration Are Designed to Combat . . . . .	253
2. The Functions of the Various Surrogates for Vertical Integration . . .	256
A. Single-Product Pricing-Techniques . . . . .	256
B. Producer Subsidies for Distributor Decisions to Make Demand-Increasing Expenditures or Resource Allocations, Contractual Provisions That Obligate Distributors to Make a Specified Quantity of Specified Demand-Increasing Expenditures, and Sales and Consignment Policies That Communicate to Independent Distributors That Their Franchises Will Not Be Renewed If They Do Not Make a Specified Quantity of Such Specified Expenditures . . .	275
C. Tie-Ins and Reciprocity Agreements . . . . .	276
D. Resale Price Maintenance and Non-Single-Brand Exclusive Dealerships (Vertical Territorial Restraints and Vertical Customer-Allocation Clauses) . . . . .	325
E. Single-Brand Exclusive Dealerships . . . . .	344
F. Slotting Arrangements and Other Sorts of Trade-Promotion Arrangements . . . . .	347
G. Long-Term Full-Requirements Contracts . . . . .	350
3. The Legality of the Various Surrogates for Vertical Integration Under U.S. and E.C./E.U. Antitrust Law Both as Properly Interpreted and Applied and as Actually Applied . . . . .	351
A. U.S. Antitrust Law Both as Properly Interpreted and Applied and as Actually Applied . . . . .	351
B. E.C./E.U. Competition Law . . . . .	414
4. The Functions and Possible Competitive Impact of Vertical Mergers and Acquisitions . . . . .	476
A. The Functions of Vertical Mergers and Acquisitions . . . . .	476
B. The Possible Ways in Which an Individual Vertical Merger or a Rule Permitting the Individual Members of a Set of Product-Rivals to Execute Vertical Mergers Can Lessen Competition in the Clayton Act Sense . . . . .	483
C. A Brief Critique of the "Post-Chicago-School" Analysis of Vertical Mergers and Acquisitions . . . . .	486
5. The Legality of Vertical Mergers and Acquisitions Under U.S. and E.C./E.U. Antitrust Law, Both as Correctly Applied and as Actually Applied . . . . .	493
A. The Legality of Vertical Mergers and Acquisitions Under U.S. Antitrust Law, Both as Correctly Interpreted and as Actually Applied . . . . .	493

B. The Legality of Vertical Mergers and Acquisitions Under E.C./E.U. Competition Law, Both as Correctly Interpreted and as Actually Applied . . . . .	513
6. The Economic Functions and Competitive Consequences of Vertical Integration by Internal Growth . . . . .	526
7. The Legality of Vertical Internal Growth Under U.S. Antitrust Law and E.C./E.U. Competition Law, Both as Correctly Interpreted and as Actually Applied . . . . .	528
A. The Legality of Vertical Internal Growth Under U.S. Antitrust Law, Both as Correctly Interpreted and as Actually Applied . . . . .	528
B. The Legality of Vertical Internal Growth Under E.C./E.U. Competition Law, Both as Correctly Interpreted and as Actually Applied . . . . .	529
<b>15 Joint Ventures and Other Types of Functionally-Analogous Collaborative Arrangements . . . . .</b>	<b>533</b>
1. The Sherman-Act-Licit and Sherman-Act-Illicit Functions of Joint Ventures and Other Types of Functionally-Analogous Collaborative Arrangements . . . . .	533
A. The Sherman-Act-Licit Functions of Joint Ventures and Other Types of Collaborative Arrangements . . . . .	533
B. The Sherman-Act-Illicit Functions of Joint Ventures and Other Types of Collaborative Arrangements . . . . .	535
2. The Ways in Which Joint Ventures and/or the Restraints That Joint-Venture Agreements Impose on the Joint Venture and/or Its Parents Can Confer Equivalent-Dollar Gains and Inflict Equivalent-Dollar Losses on Clayton-Act-Relevant Buyers . . . . .	538
3. The Difficulty of Determining Whether a Joint Venture and/or the Restraints a Joint-Venture Agreement Imposes on the Joint Venture and/or Its Parents Violate the Specific-Anticompetitive-Intent Test and/or the Lessening-Competition Test of Illegality . . . . .	539
A. The Conditions Under Which the Parts of Each of the Four Preceding Conclusions That Relate to the Specific-Anticompetitive-Intent Test of Illegality Will Be Justified . . . . .	540
B. The Conditions Under Which Joint Ventures, Other Types of Functionally-Analogous Collaborative Arrangements, and Any Restrictive Provisions in the Agreements or Understandings That Create Them Violate the (Organizational-Allocative-Efficiency-Defense-Qualified) Lessening-Competition Test of Illegality . . . . .	547
4. The Legality of Joint Ventures and Any Restrictive Provisions That Joint-Venture Agreements Contain Under U.S. Antitrust Law, Correctly Interpreted and Applied . . . . .	550

5. The U.S. Case-Law and Antitrust-Enforcement-Agency Positions on Joint Ventures and Any Restrictive Provisions That Joint-Venture Agreements Contain . . . . .	552
A. The U.S. Case-Law . . . . .	552
B. The DOJ's and FTC's Positions on Joint Ventures and Any Restrictive Provisions That Joint-Venture Agreements Contain . . .	562
6. The Legality of Joint Ventures Under E.C./E.U. Competition Law, Correctly Interpreted and Applied . . . . .	570
A. The Treaty Provision That Is Now Article 101 of the 2009 Treaty of Lisbon . . . . .	570
B. The Treaty Provision That Is Now Article 102 of the 2009 Treaty of Lisbon . . . . .	572
C. The EMCR . . . . .	573
7. The Legality of Joint Ventures Under E.C./E.U. Law as Actually Interpreted and Applied . . . . .	579
<b>Conclusion . . . . .</b>	<b>615</b>
<b>Index . . . . .</b>	<b>667</b>

Economics and the Interpretation and Application of  
U.S. and E.U. Antitrust Law  
Volume II Economics-Based Legal Analyses of Mergers,  
Vertical Practices, and Joint Ventures  
Markovits, R.S.  
2014, XXIV, 705 p. 4 illus., Hardcover  
ISBN: 978-3-642-24312-7