

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Relevance and Significance of Comparative Method	2
1.2	Shaping International Criminal Law Through General Principles of Law Derivative from National Jurisdictions	3
1.3	Structure	5
2	The Concept of Crime in Common Law Jurisdictions	7
2.1	The Concept of Crime in English Criminal Law	7
2.1.1	<i>Actus Reus</i>	8
2.1.2	<i>Mens Rea</i>	9
2.2	The Concept of Crime in American Criminal Law	24
2.2.1	<i>Actus Reus</i>	24
2.2.2	<i>Mens Rea</i>	25
2.3	Interim Conclusions	36
3	The Concept of Crime in Continental Law Jurisdictions	39
3.1	The Concept of Crime (<i>Strafrechtliche Systembildung</i>) in German Criminal Law	39
3.1.1	Statutory Elements of Criminal Offence (<i>Tatbestandsmäßigkeit</i>)	40
3.1.2	Unlawfulness (<i>Rechtswidrigkeit</i>)	41
3.1.3	Culpability (<i>Schuld</i>)	41
3.2	The Concept of Crime in French Criminal Law	47
3.2.1	Intention (<i>Le Dol</i>)	48
3.2.2	<i>Dol Éventuel</i> : An Intermediate <i>Mens Rea</i> Standard Between Intention and Negligence	50
3.2.3	Negligence (<i>La Faute Pénale</i>)	51
3.3	The Concept of Crime in Russian Criminal Law	51
3.3.1	Constitutive Elements of Crime (<i>Corpus Delicti</i> , <i>состав преступления</i>)	54

3.4	The Concept of Crime in Danish Criminal Law	60
3.4.1	Mental Element of Crime (<i>Tilregnelse</i>)	62
3.5	Interim Conclusions	66
4	The Concept of Crime in International Criminal Law	69
4.1	Introductory Remarks	69
4.2	Typology of International Crimes	70
4.2.1	War Crimes	71
4.2.2	Crimes Against Humanity	82
4.2.3	Genocide	87
4.3	Structure of International Crimes	92
4.3.1	Contextual Elements	92
4.3.2	<i>Actus Reus</i>	112
4.3.3	<i>Mens Rea</i>	112
4.4	Interim Conclusions	113
5	Evolution of the <i>Mens Rea</i> Doctrine in International Criminal Law	115
5.1	Introductory Remarks	115
5.2	Law on <i>Mens Rea</i> in the Ad Hoc Tribunals	116
5.2.1	Intent	117
5.2.2	Knowledge	123
5.2.3	Other <i>Mens Rea</i> Standards in the Jurisprudence of the Ad Hoc Tribunals	124
5.3	The <i>Mens Rea</i> Doctrine in the International Criminal Court	125
5.3.1	The <i>Mens Rea</i> Concept in Retrospective: Drafting History	126
5.3.2	Default Requirements of Intent and Knowledge Under Article 30 of the Rome Statute	127
5.4	Interim Conclusions	156
6	Modalities of Criminal Liability in the Jurisprudence of International Criminal Courts and Tribunals	159
6.1	Principle of Individual Criminal Responsibility: Introductory Remarks	159
6.2	Modes of Criminal Responsibility	162
6.3	Principal Liability in International Criminal Law	164
6.3.1	The Concept of Joint Criminal Enterprise as a Principal Form of Liability: Does It Really Stand for “Just Convict Everyone”?	166
6.3.2	Modes of Principal Liability in the International Criminal Court	198
6.4	Accomplice Liability in the Jurisprudence of International Criminal Courts and Tribunals	220
6.4.1	Complicity Provisions in Retrospective	220
6.4.2	Elements of Complicity	222
6.4.3	Forms of Complicity	223

6.5	Command Responsibility as a Mode of Criminal Liability in International Criminal Law	238
6.5.1	Command Responsibility in Retrospective	239
6.5.2	Command Responsibility in the Jurisprudence of the Ad Hoc Tribunals	242
6.5.3	Superior Responsibility Under Article 28 of the Rome Statute	243
6.6	Interim Conclusions	250
7	Grounds Excluding Criminal Responsibility in International Criminal Law	253
7.1	Introductory Words: Justifications v Excuses	253
7.1.1	Insanity, Automatism and Burden of Proof	254
7.1.2	Voluntary and Involuntary Intoxication	257
7.1.3	Duress and Necessity	259
7.1.4	Self-Defence	266
7.1.5	Mistake of Fact	269
7.1.6	Mistake of Law	271
7.1.7	Superior Orders	275
7.1.8	Interim Conclusions	276
	Jurisprudence	279
	Bibliography	297

The Fundamental Concept of Crime in International
Criminal Law

A Comparative Law Analysis

Marchuk, I.

2014, IX, 304 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-642-28245-4