

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Subject of the Book	1
1.2	The Particular Perspective: Divergence	3
1.3	Practical and Theoretical Contributions of the Work	3
1.4	Framework of the Work	4
2	Understanding the Context	7
2.1	The Emerging Chinese Securities Market	8
2.2	The Transitional Chinese Securities Market	8
2.2.1	The Concentrated Ownership Structure	9
2.2.2	The Significance of Listed SOEs	10
2.3	Shareholder Protection Rules Provided in General	
	Corporate Law	14
2.3.1	Basic Rights of Shareholders	14
2.3.2	Underdeveloped Regulatory Framework	15
2.3.3	Insufficient Judicial Adjudication	17
2.4	Conclusion	18
3	Legal Transplantation Theory: A Theoretical Framework for Examining Chinese Takeover Law	19
3.1	Introduction	19
3.2	Transplantation Resulting Divergence	19
3.3	The Mixed Motivations for Legal Transplantation	21
3.3.1	Cost-Saving Legal Transplantation	21
3.3.2	Externally Dictated Legal Transplantation	22
3.3.3	Entrepreneur-Driven Transplantation	23
3.3.4	Legitimacy-Generating Transplantation	23
3.3.5	Summary	24
3.4	Conduct of the Main Actors	25
3.4.1	Selective Adoption/Adaptation by Legislature	25
3.4.2	Discretionary Implementation/Non-implementation by Administrative Regulators	26

3.4.3	Creative Compliance by Market Participants	27
3.4.4	Summary	28
3.5	Key Political, Economic, Social, and Cultural Factors of Significant Influences on Legal Transplantation	29
3.5.1	General Political Factors and Institutional Capacity	29
3.5.2	General Economic Factors and Status of Economic Development	31
3.5.3	Social Factor: Legal Demands of Authorities and Society Members	32
3.5.4	Culture and Legal Culture	33
3.5.5	The Interconnected Relationships Among Influential Factors	34
3.5.6	The Key Factors and Their Relationships: What Are the Implications for Transplanting Takeover Law into China?	36
3.6	The Approaches for Evaluating the Success or Failure of Legal Transplantation	39
3.6.1	A Difficult Question to Tackle	39
3.6.2	Developing the Criteria for Evaluating Specific Legal Transplantation	40
3.7	Conclusion	40
4	Principles of Chinese Takeover Regulation: Economic Efficiency, Administrative Intervention, and Shareholder Equality	43
4.1	Introduction	43
4.2	Efficiency as a General Principle for Takeover Regulation	45
4.2.1	Efficiency as a Main Attribute for Takeovers	45
4.2.2	The Meaning of Efficiency	45
4.2.3	How Takeovers Enhance Efficiency	46
4.2.4	Varied Foreign Regulatory Stances	48
4.3	The Pursuit of Efficiency in Chinese Government Policy	49
4.4	The Right Regulatory Approach Adopted by CSRC: Reducing Administrative Intervention	50
4.5	Shareholder Protection as a General Principle for Takeover Regulation	52
4.5.1	Theoretical Justification for Protecting Shareholders in Takeovers	52
4.5.2	The Equal Treatment of Shareholders Under the UK Law	54
4.5.3	Protecting Shareholders Against Damage/Unfair Treatment Under the US Law	55
4.6	Local Chinese Regulatory Principle: Protecting Lawful Interests of Shareholders	56

4.7	Evaluating the ‘Attenuated’ Principle of Shareholder Protection in Chinese Takeover Law	57
4.7.1	Justifications for Protecting the Lawful Interests of Securities Investors in Chinese Takeover Law	57
4.7.2	The Meanings of ‘Protecting the Lawful Interests of Securities Investors’	62
4.8	Conclusion	64
5	Mandatory Bid Rule and Shareholder Equality: Legal Transplantation and Local Divergence	67
5.1	Introduction	67
5.2	Mandatory Takeover Bid Rule and the Equal Treatment of Shareholders	68
5.3	The Mandatory Takeover Bid Rule Provided in Takeover Regulation 2006	69
5.4	General Permission of Partial Bids	70
5.4.1	Potential Inequality Among Shareholders Due to the Use of Partial Bids	71
5.5	Exempting Important Local Transactions from the Rule	72
5.5.1	Exempting Rescue Operations from the Mandatory Takeover Bid Rule	73
5.5.2	Exempting Complete Listing from the Mandatory Takeover Bid Rule	75
5.5.3	Exempting SOE-involved Takeovers from the Mandatory Takeover Bid Rule	79
5.6	Inequality Among Shareholders: Discretionary Exemption of the Rule Exercised by the CSRC	82
5.6.1	Lack of Substantive and Procedural Constraints	82
5.7	Creative Compliance and Violation of the Rule	85
5.8	Explaining the Divergence and Proposals for Improvements	89
5.8.1	The Costs for Complying with the Mandatory Bid Rule in China	89
5.8.2	Proposed Changes to the Mandatory Bid Rule	91
5.9	How to Evaluate the Divergence and Reform Proposals	91
5.9.1	Principle for Evaluation: The Fair Treatment of Shareholders	91
5.9.2	The Necessity to Have the Mandatory Bid Rule	92
5.9.3	The General Permission of Partial Bids	93
5.9.4	Amending the Mandatory Bid Exemption Rules	93
5.9.5	Expanding the Exemption of the Mandatory Takeover Bid Rule	94
5.9.6	Permitting Flexibility to the Price Offered in a Takeover Bid	96
5.10	Conclusion	97

6	Miscellaneous Shareholder Protection Rules: The Influence of Legal Culture, Local Demand and Institutional Capacity in Transplantation	99
6.1	Introduction	99
6.2	Preserving Openness Through Defining Acting in Concert, Control, and Disclosure Requirements	100
6.2.1	The Chinese Regulation of Acting in Concert	100
6.2.2	The Definition of Control Provided in Takeover Regulation 2006	107
6.2.3	Summary: The Cultural Element Behind the Divergence	109
6.3	Intensified Disclosure for Share Acquisitions Below 30 %	110
6.3.1	The Differentiated Disclosure Requirements	110
6.3.2	The Divergence and Features of the Chinese Regulation	111
6.3.3	Justifications for the Enhanced Disclosure Regulation	112
6.4	Preserving Impartiality Through Addressing Conflicts of Interest in Management Buyouts	113
6.5	Imposing Obligations on the Controlling Shareholder and Acquirer	117
6.5.1	Three Common Forms of Minority Shareholder Oppression in Negotiated Takeovers	118
6.5.2	The General and Specific Obligations in Chinese Law	118
6.5.3	The US Law: The Three Regulatory Areas, Uncertainty, and Theories	119
6.5.4	The Convergence and Divergence Between the Chinese Law and the US Law	123
6.5.5	Evaluation of the Current Chinese Regulatory Rules	124
6.6	The Missing Link in Chinese Shareholder Protection Rules	125
6.6.1	The Constrained Criminal Liability and Evaluation	126
6.6.2	The Most Developed Administrative Liability and Evaluation	127
6.6.3	The Largely Missing Civil Liability	129
6.7	Conclusion	136
7	Regulating Takeover Defences: The UK Model in Books and the US Model in Action	137
7.1	Introduction	137
7.2	Two Approaches for Regulating Takeover Defences: Shareholder Decision-Making Vs Management Decision-Making	138
7.2.1	The US Business Judgment Rule	139
7.2.2	The Allocation of Decision-Making Powers in the US	142

7.2.3	The UK Board Neutrality Rule	143
7.2.4	The Fiduciary Duty of Management in the UK	144
7.2.5	Summary and Discussion	146
7.3	Chinese Regulation of Takeover Defences: The UK Model in Books and the US Model in Regulatory Effects	147
7.3.1	The Fiduciary Duty of Management in Chinese Company Law and Takeover Law	147
7.3.2	The Chinese Version of the Board Neutrality Rule	150
7.3.3	Relevant Provisions in the Chinese Corporate Law	151
7.4	Takeover Defences Used in Chinese Practice: Management Discretion in Adopting Takeover Defences	153
7.4.1	The Use of Pre-bid Defences in Chinese Practice	154
7.4.2	The Use of Post-bid Defences in Chinese Practice	160
7.5	What Is the Future for Chinese Takeover Defence Regulation? . .	165
7.5.1	Allocating the Primary Decision-Making Powers to Shareholders	166
7.5.2	Protecting the Fundamental Rights of Shareholders	168
7.5.3	A Shifted Burden of Proof	170
7.5.4	Broadening the Application Areas of the Board Neutrality Rule	171
7.5.5	Shareholder Veto as a Precondition for Giving a Board of Directors Discretion to Adopt Defensive Measures	172
8	Conclusion	175
8.1	Introduction	175
8.2	The Justification for Selective Transplantation	176
8.3	The Right Approach to Achieve Efficiency	177
8.4	Problems with Shareholder Protection and How to Improve Them	178
8.5	Conclusion	180
	Bibliography	183

Regulating the Takeover of Chinese Listed Companies
Divergence from the West

Chen, J.

2014, XVII, 189 p. 9 illus., 1 illus. in color., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-642-54507-8