

Table of Contents

Foreword	V
Acknowledgments	XI
Table of Contents	XIII
Figures	XVII
Tables	XIX
Nomenclature	XXI
1 Chapter One: Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Statement of Purpose	5
1.3 Research Questions	5
1.4 Delimitations	5
2 Chapter Two: Literature Review	7
2.1 Knowledge Needed for Teaching	7
2.2 Mathematics Knowledge for Teaching	9
2.3 Teachers' Knowledge of Algebra for Teaching	12
2.4 Mathematics Knowledge for Teaching Some Key Concepts in Algebra	16
2.4.1 Teaching and Learning of the Concept of Function	16
2.4.2 Teaching and Learning of Expressions and Equations	19
2.4.3 Two Perspectives about the Concept of Function: A Case Study of Quadratic Function	20
2.4.4 Flexibility in Learning the Concept of Function: A Case Study of Quadratic Function	22
2.5 Mathematics Teacher Education Systems in China and the U.S.	28
2.5.1 Mathematics Teacher Education in China	28
2.5.2 Mathematics Teacher Education in the U.S.	31

2.6	Comparative Studies on Teachers' Knowledge for Teaching between China and the U.S.....	33
2.7	Conclusion	35
3	Chapter Three: Methodology	37
3.1	Instrumentation	38
3.1.1	Content Appropriateness.....	39
3.1.2	Translation Equivalence	40
3.1.3	Appropriateness of the Survey from Teachers' Perspectives	40
3.1.4	Measuring Knowledge for Teaching the Concept of Function.....	41
3.2	Data Collection	46
3.2.1	Chinese Data Collection.....	46
3.2.2	U.S. Data Collection	47
3.2.3	Interview of the Selected U.S. Participants	49
3.3	Data Analysis	52
3.3.1	Quantifying the Data.....	52
3.3.2	Inter-Rater Reliability	54
3.3.3	Developing Categories of Different Strategies of Solving Open-ended Items.....	55
3.3.4	Quantitative Analysis	58
3.3.5	Interview Data Analysis	58
3.4	Framework for Data Analysis	58
3.5	Conclusion	60
4	Chapter Four: Results	61
4.1	Comparison of KAT between China and the U.S.....	61
4.1.1	Reliability of the Instrument.....	61
4.1.2	The Mean Differences of Items and Components between China and the U.S.	62

4.1.3	Analysis of Selected Multiple Choice Items	65
4.2	Relationship among Components of KAT in China and the U.S.	74
4.2.1	Path Model Analysis	74
4.3	Comparisons of KTCF between China and the U.S.	83
4.3.1	Logical Reasoning in Matrix System	84
4.3.2	Flexibility in Adopting Perspectives of Function Concept.....	86
4.3.3	Flexibility in Using and Shifting Different Representations...	94
4.4	An Analysis of Correlation between Flexibility and Other Variables.....	116
4.5	Summary of the Findings	118
4.5.1	The Differences and Similarities of KAT in Chinese and U.S. Prospective Teachers	118
4.5.2	The Relationship between Different Components of KAT	119
4.5.3	Difference and Similarities of Knowledge for Teaching the Concept of functions.....	119
4.5.4	The Relationship between KAT and Courses Taken	120
5	Chapter Five: Conclusion and Discussion	121
5.1	Conclusion	121
5.1.1	Knowledge of Algebra for Teaching in China and the U.S.....	121
5.1.2	The Relationship between Different Components of KAT	124
5.1.3	The Difference and Similarities of Knowledge for Teaching the Concept of Functions	125
5.1.4	The Relationship between Prospective Teachers' KAT and Their Course Taking	127
5.2	Discussion	129

5.2.1 Can Knowledge for Teaching Mathematics be Measured across Cultures?.....	129
5.2.2 Do Chinese Teachers Have Sound Knowledge for Teaching?	131
5.2.3 Basic Knowledge and Skills and Flexibility in Problem Solving	132
5.2.4 What Can We Learn from the Study?	133
5.3 Limitation	134
5.4 Recommendations	135
5.4.1 Study on the Meaning of Mathematics Knowledge Needed for Teaching	135
5.4.2 Teachers' Knowledge for Teaching Other Topics in China and the U.S.....	136
5.4.3 Are There Some Teaching Strategies Effective for Developing Flexibility?.....	136
5.5 Coda.....	136
References	139
Appendices	157
Appendix A: Rubrics	157
Appendix B: Interview Transcripts or Key Points	162
Appendix C: SME Model Parameters	170

Prospective Mathematics Teachers' Knowledge of
Algebra

A Comparative Study in China and the United States of
America

Huang, R.

2014, XXI, 179 p. 23 illus., Softcover

ISBN: 978-3-658-03671-3