

Contents

1 Introduction	1
1.1 Combining exploitation and exploration	1
1.2 Research question	3
1.3 Structure	3
2 Theoretical departure and approach – balancing exploitation and exploration	5
2.1 Process models	8
2.2 Exploitation and exploration capabilities. Trade-off or mutually reinforcing?	10
2.3 Summary	16
3 Total Quality Management	17
3.1 Background	17
3.2 Definitions	18
3.2.1 Quality defined	18
3.2.2 Total Quality defined	19
3.2.3 Total Quality Management defined	19
3.3 TQM as a complete management concept	20
3.4 The EFQM Excellence model	22
3.5 Some challenges	24
3.6 TQM as one approach to the building of exploitation capability	24
3.7 Summary	25
4 Business Process Reengineering	27
4.1 The process hierarchy	29
4.2 Some challenges, contradictions and vagueness in the BPR concept	30
4.3 Common stages in BPR processes	31
4.4 BPR as one approach to the building of radical process exploration capability	32
4.5 Summary	33
5 Is a synergistic approach to the combining of TQM and BPR appropriate?	35
5.1 The interdependence between radical innovations and continuous improvement	36
5.2 Slow or fast growing economies – different needs at different times, or?	38
5.3 Learning as a driving force to a synergistic combining of continuous improvement and radical innovation	39
5.4 Business Process Management (BPM)	41

5.5	Summary	42
6	Research model and definitions	43
6.1	Research Model	43
6.2	Clarifications and definitions	43
6.2.1	Innovation	44
6.2.2	Exploitation and exploration	45
6.2.3	Incremental and radical innovation	46
6.2.4	Contrasting characteristics of TQM and BPR	46
6.3	Summary	48
7	Research design	49
7.1	Background and historical lines. The Norwegian Action Research tradition and the national research programs this research is part of	49
7.1.1	The heritage from Kurt Lewin	50
7.1.2	Enterprise Development 2000	52
7.1.2.1	The RF module and the cooperation with the industry network in Sunnhordland	53
7.1.3	Value Creation 2010	54
7.1.3.1	The VC 2010 main project in the Development Coalition Hordaland & Rogaland	55
7.1.4	Overall research objective for the action research at IRIS	55
7.2	Methodological choice; case study design	56
7.2.1	Advantages of choosing a case study design in my research setting compared to alternative methods	57
7.2.2	Research challenges and case study strategy	58
7.2.2.1	Intrinsic or instrumental?	60
7.2.2.2	The choice of a single compared to a multiple case study design?	60
7.2.2.3	Exploratory, descriptive and explanatory purposes	61
7.2.3	The components of my case study designs	61
7.2.3.1	The study's questions	62
7.2.3.2	The study's propositions	63
7.2.3.3	Units of analysis	64
7.2.3.4	Linking data to propositions	66
7.2.3.5	The interaction between research phases	67
7.2.4	Validity and reliability challenges	68
7.2.4.1	Construct validity	69
7.2.4.2	Internal validity	72

7.2.4.3	External validity	73
7.2.4.4	Reliability	74
7.2.5	Additional and specific aspects of doing case studies through action research	74
7.2.5.1	Action Research as a co-generative process	76
7.2.5.2	My role as the “friendly outsider” in the co-generative process	78
7.2.5.3	Where does action research differ from consulting?	78
7.2.6	The case study – comments to common criticism	79
7.3	Summary	82
8	Combining TQM and BPR synergistically, Aker Stord – a case study	83
8.1	Start-up of TKA at Aker Stord and timeline	84
8.2	TKA: The basic philosophy and strategy. The importance of employee participation	85
8.3	Interpreting “employee participation in TKA”	87
8.3.1	Theoretical definition	88
8.3.2	Operational definition	88
8.3.2.1	Three stages of participation in the TKA process	89
8.3.2.2	Two ways to participate	89
8.3.2.3	Five organizational levels of participation in TKA	90
8.3.2.4	Employee participation in general	94
8.3.2.5	TKA activities at Aker Stord	94
8.3.2.6	Departmental Committees (DC) as the coordination body for local improvement activities at Aker Stord	96
8.4	The practical carrying out of the audits “Employee participation in TKA”	98
8.5	Results from the first audit. Employee participation in TKA at Aker Stord in 1996	99
8.5.1	A summary of the results of the 1996 audit	99
8.5.2	Observations	100
8.5.2.1	Direct information from own manager	105
8.5.2.2	Introductory training in TKA for all employees	105
8.5.3	Recommendations from the 1996 audit “Employee Participation in TKA”	106
8.6	The Aker Stord EFQM Self Evaluation	110
8.7	Introducing BPR at Aker Stord	112
8.7.1	The BPR project “Procurement Personnel”	118
8.8	Time series – repeating the audit “Employee Participation in TKA in 1997 and 1998/9	120
8.8.1	The 1997 audit on employee participation in TKA. Important changes.	120

8.8.1.1	The situation at Aker Stord in 1997	121
8.8.1.2	Observations	123
8.8.1.3	Changes from 1996 to 1997 summarized	135
8.8.1.4	The 1997 audit conclusions	135
8.8.2	Important changes – the BPR project “The Future Workplace” and the Prefabrication of Pipes reengineering project”	136
8.8.3	The 1998/99 audit on employee participation in TKA and important changes	142
8.8.3.1	Information/training	143
8.8.3.2	Participation in problem identification and idea generation	144
8.8.3.3	Participation in implementation and follow-up of improvement projects	147
8.8.3.4	Changes from 1997-1998/99 – summary	149
8.9	The challenges of combining TQM and BPR synergistically – empirical analysis	150
8.9.1	TQM and BPR. Trade-off or reciprocally supportive?	151
8.9.1.1	The importance of organizational learning	152
8.9.1.2	The importance of leadership	154
8.9.2	Employee participation in TKA	155
8.9.2.1	Factors explaining employee participation in TKA	155
8.9.2.2	TKA and arenas for company-union cooperation	156
8.9.2.3	What to choose – The individual-oriented suggestion box system or the collective-oriented DC system?	159
8.9.2.4	The change of focus from TQM to BPR	159
8.9.2.5	Autonomy	160
8.9.2.6	Aker Stord’s participatory TKA practice in relation to quality management practice in Norway– a national survey	161
8.9.2.7	Direct employee participation in quality management	163
8.9.2.8	Indirect employee participation in quality management	164
8.9.2.9	The relationship between indirect and direct employee participation in quality management	165
8.9.2.10	Summarizing the national survey	166
8.9.3	Summarizing the Aker Stord experiences and way forward	166
8.9.3.1	Main findings and conclusions from the Aker Stord case study discussion briefly summarized	167
8.9.3.2	Some important shortcomings of today’s participatory practice that need to be researched	171

9 Towards a synergistic combining of TQM and BPR in a Norwegian industrial setting	175
9.1 Approach to the synergistic combining of TQM and BPR	175
9.2 Industrial democracy	176
9.2.1 Employee participation defined	177
9.2.2 Industrial democracy, definition and limitations	179
9.2.3 Industrial democracy in Norway – a practical approach	180
9.2.3.1 Democratic dialogue	184
9.2.3.2 Characteristics of Industrial Democracy in Norway and my approach summarized	185
9.2.4 ID as an enabler for TQM and BPR	185
9.3 A framework for the organizing of employee participation in the further development of an approach to the synergistic combining of forms of TQM and BPR	189
9.3.1 Basic conditions for employee participation in a synergistic combining of TQM and BPR – motivation, ability and opportunity	189
9.3.1.1 Motivation to participate	190
9.3.1.2 Employees' ability to take part in innovation processes	192
9.3.1.3 Employees' possibilities to take part in innovation processes	194
9.3.2 Coordination between direct and indirect participation in innovation	194
9.3.2.1 Direct employee participation in innovation	195
9.3.2.2 Indirect participation in innovation	197
 10 New tools to support a synergistic combining of TQM and BPR	 201
10.1 Tool 1. The "Enterprise Development Conference"	201
10.1.1 Background – The ED Conference as a tool for planning incremental and radical innovation synergistically	202
10.1.2 The Titania case – identifying future challenges	203
10.1.2.1 Background and planning	203
10.1.2.2 The Titania conference	205
10.1.2.3 Results and following up	210
10.1.3 The ED Conference – basic principles and methodology	212
10.1.4 Developing incremental and radical innovations synergistically through the ED Conference – empirical analysis	219
10.1.4.1 The ED Conference at different stages in a strategy process	219
10.1.4.2 Identifying innovation initiatives for the development and the concretization of the company's strategy	219

10.1.4.3	Improvements in the companies' ability to continuously innovate through employee participation	220
10.1.4.4	Success criteria for achieving employee participation in innovation	225
10.1.4.5	The combining of direct and indirect employee participation in innovation	226
10.1.4.6	Initiating incremental and radical innovations synergistically	227
10.2	Tool 2. The Actor-Process Diagram and the combining of TQM and BPR characteristics synergistically.	228
10.2.1	Business process redesign and the combining of TQM and BPR synergistically at Serigstad	229
10.2.1.1	Background: Productivity challenges at Serigstad Iron Foundry	230
10.2.1.2	Organizing the redesign project at Serigstad	231
10.2.1.3	Mobilizing for the redesign process	233
10.2.1.4	Starting up the redesign process at Serigstad	235
10.2.1.5	The Actor-Process Diagram – background	236
10.2.1.6	The Actor-Process Diagram for mapping, evaluating and redesigning work processes – basic principles.	237
10.2.1.7	Following up the results from the Actor-Process Diagram analysis of the existing production process at Serigstad	238
10.2.1.8	The redesign process and widening the scope	239
10.2.1.9	Important changes	241
10.2.1.10	Results	243
10.2.1.11	Marketing and sales problems	243
10.2.2	Empirical analysis: The Actor-Process Diagram and the combining of TQM and BPR characteristics synergistically	244
10.2.2.1	Building a process oriented organization. How much employee participation?	244
10.2.2.2	The comprehensiveness of the participation	246
10.2.2.3	A new world of work and organizational performance	247
10.2.2.4	Lessons learnt for ensuring employee participation in business process redesign and for the reciprocally reinforcing combining of direct and indirect employee participation	248
10.2.2.5	Towards the synergistic combining of TQM and BPR	249
11	Discussion and conclusion	253
11.1	Discussion	253
11.1.1	Combining TQM and BPR	255
11.1.2	TQM, BPR and ID	256
11.1.3	The need for adaptations and further methodological development	258

11.1.4 The synergistic approach	261
11.1.5 Propositions	265
11.2 Methodological reflections and limitations	266
11.2.1 The quality of a single case study design. The question of validity and reliability	266
11.2.1.1 Action research and the bias challenge	268
11.2.1.2 Case studies and scientific knowledge	270
11.3 Further research	271
11.4 Main findings and conclusion	274
References list	277
Appendices	
Appendix 1 The VC 2010 regional partnership Hordaland & Rogaland and the local networks	297
Appendix 2 Employee participation in TKA. Interview guides Aker Stord 1997	298
Appendix 3 Survey. Quality Management in Norway	312

Managing Process Innovation through Exploitation and
Exploration

A Study on Combining TQM and BPR in the Norwegian
Industry

Tønnessen, T.

2014, XVII, 318 p. 23 illus., Softcover

ISBN: 978-3-658-04402-2