

Table of Contents

List of Tables.....	XVII
List of Figures	XIX
List of Abbreviations.....	XXI
List of Symbols	XXV
Abstract (English version).....	XXVII
Résumé (Version française)	XXVIII
Zusammenfassung (deutsche Version).....	XXIX
1. Introduction	1
1.1 The Problematic of Repatriation in a Franco-German Context	1
1.2 Research Objectives from a Theoretical and Practical Point of View	3
1.3 Thesis Structure.....	4
2. Bourdieu's Theory of Practice as Theoretical Framework	7
2.1 Bourdieu's Reconciliation of Structure and Agency	7
2.2 Major Elements of Bourdieu's Theory of Practice	8
2.2.1 The Field as Relatively Autonomous Microcosm	8
2.2.2 Capital as Principal Cause for Distinction.....	9
2.2.3 Habitus as Psychosomatic Mind.....	12
2.2.4 Summarizing the Interplay Between Field, Capital and Habitus	15
2.3 Bourdieu's Theory of Practice in a Franco-German Career Mobility Context.....	16
2.3.1 Bourdieu's Theory as Framework in Career Research.....	16
2.3.2 Repatriation to France and Germany through the Lens of Bourdieu's Theory of Practice – The Research Idea	19
2.4 Critical Discussion of Bourdieu's Theory of Practice: Contributions and Limitations in an International Career Mobility Context	21
3. Setting the Bourdieuan Scene of Return: Habitus, Field and Capital...24	
3.1 Field or Habitus or Both: Reconciling the Culturalist and Institutionalist Approach in Comparative Research Through a Bourdieuan Perspective.....	24
3.1.1 Approaches in Comparative Research.....	24
3.1.2 Institutionalism versus Culturalism: A Debate Through a Critical Bourdieuian Lens.....	27
3.2 Taking a Cultural Habitus Perspective: Comparing the German and French General-, Career- and Work Habitus	31
3.2.1 Understanding the Habitus in an Intercultural Context: Combining Knowledge from Etic and Emic Research.....	32
3.2.2 Cultural Dimension Models: Presenting and Discussing the Basic Cultural Frameworks	33
3.2.3 Country Scores and Study Results in a Franco-German Context: From the General to the Work- and Career Habitus	38
3.2.4 Discussion and Conclusions Regarding the German and French Habitus in a Work- and Career Context.....	45

3.3 Taking a Field Perspective: Comparing the German and French Economic and Career Fields	47
3.3.1 Drawing on Knowledge from the Economic Field: Comparing the German and French Economic Systems.....	47
3.3.1.1 The German Rhine Capitalism.....	48
3.3.1.2 The French State-Enhanced Capitalism	50
3.3.1.3 Summarizing the Major Differences.....	51
3.3.2 Drawing on Knowledge from the German and French Career Fields: Comparing the German and French Education and Career Systems.....	52
3.3.2.1 The German and French Education Systems	52
3.3.2.1.1 <i>The German Egalitarian Way of Developing Cultural Capital and Work Habitus</i>	52
3.3.2.1.2 <i>The French Elitist Way of Developing Cultural Capital, Social Capital and General Habitus</i>	57
3.3.2.1.3 <i>Summarizing the Major Differences and Similarities</i>	65
3.3.2.2 The German and French Career Systems.....	67
3.3.2.2.1 <i>The Rules of the Game in the German Functional Career System</i>	68
3.3.2.2.2 <i>The Rules of the Game in the French Latin Career System</i>	72
3.3.2.2.3 <i>Summarizing the Major Differences and Similarities</i>	79
3.3.3 Repatriation from a Field Perspective: What Remains to do and the Relationship between Field and Habitus	81
3.4 Taking an International Career Mobility Perspective: The Impact on Capital and Habitus	84
3.4.1 Contrasting Assigned Expatriates and Self-Initiated Expatriates.....	85
3.4.2 The Role of Capital in the Motivation for Expatriation and Repatriation.....	89
3.4.3 The Development of Capital in International Career Mobility and its Value upon Return	92
3.4.3.1 The Development of Economic Capital.....	92
3.4.3.2 The Development of Cultural Capital	97
3.4.3.3 The Development of Social Capital	98
3.4.3.4 International Work Mobility from a Symbolic Capital Perspective	100
3.4.4 International Career Mobility and Cultural Adjustment: A Habitus Perspective.....	105
3.4.5 Repatriation from a Capital and Habitus Perspective: The Development of the Psychosomatic Mind and Elements of Distinction upon Return	109
3.5 Summarizing the Major Research Gaps and Presenting our Research Through a Bourdieuan Lens	112
4. Research Design.....	116
4.1 The Research Paradigm: Interpretivism as Epistemological Position	116
4.2 Methodological Framework of the Comparative Study Between France and Germany.....	120
4.2.1 Applying a Qualitative Research Approach	120
4.2.2 Using Semi-structured Interviews as Instrument for Primary Data Collection.....	122
4.2.3 Applying a Qualitative Sample Plan as Sampling Approach	127
4.2.4 The Importance of Social Networks to get Access to Our Field	135

4.2.5 Using Qualitative Content Analysis to Analyze and Interpret the Empirical Material.....	137
4.3 Validity, Transferability and Intersubjective Confirmability as Quality Criteria.....	141
4.4 Ethical Considerations	144
5. Results.....	146
5.1 The Franco-German Sample	146
5.2 The Phase of Expatriation: Comparing the Impact of Expatriation on German and French Career Agents' Capital and Habitus.....	151
5.2.1 The Importance of Symbolic Capital in German and French Career Agents' Motivation to Expatriate	151
5.2.2 The Impact of International Work Mobility on German and French Career Agents' Capital Portfolio.....	159
5.2.2.1 The Development of German and French Career Agents' Economic Capital Basis.....	159
5.2.2.2 The Development of German and French Career Agents' Cultural Capital Basis.....	164
5.2.2.3 The Development of German and French Career Agents' Social Capital Basis.....	173
5.2.3 The Impact of International Work Mobility on German and French Career Agents' Habitus.....	181
5.2.4 Summarizing the Major Insights from a Franco-German Career Mobility Perspective.....	193
5.3 The Phase of Repatriation: Comparing the Return to the German and French Career Field.....	195
5.3.1 Why Repatriate? German and French Career Agents' Motives to Return Home.....	195
5.3.2 Similarities and Differences in German and French Career Agents' Re-entry Success.....	202
5.3.3 Conducting a Relational Analysis: The Field-Dependency of Symbolic Capital in a Franco-German Repatriation Context	206
5.3.3.1 The Symbolic Capital of International Work Experience as Additional Element in Career Agents' Capital Portfolio Upon Return	206
5.3.3.2 The Transformation of the Developed Capital Portfolio into Symbolic Capital on the German and French Career Fields.....	217
5.3.3.2.1 <i>Economic Capital – Really a Token?</i>	217
5.3.3.2.2 <i>The Transformation of Incorporated Cultural Capital into Symbolic Capital on the German and French Career Fields</i>	221
5.3.3.2.3 <i>The Transformation of Institutionalized Cultural Capital into Symbolic Capital on the German and French Career Fields</i>	229
5.3.3.2.4 <i>Social Capital to Give Value to German and French Career Agents' Capital Portfolio</i>	234
5.3.3.3 The Role of German and French Career Agents' Habitus in Repatriation	241
5.4 Re-entry Mechanisms and Later Careers: Summarizing the Results and Comparing the Structure-based French and the Habitus-based German Career Models of Return	253

6. Discussion and Conclusion.....	264
6.1 Confronting Empirical Results with Existing Literature: Discussing the Theoretical Contributions	264
6.1.1 Discussing the Results from an International Work Mobility Perspective: Contributing to the Expatriation and Repatriation Literature.....	264
6.1.1.1 Discussing Definitions and Subgroups of Internationally Mobile Workers	264
6.1.1.2 Discussing Expatriation and Repatriation Motives.....	266
6.1.1.3 Discussing the Development of Career Capital during Expatriation	267
6.1.1.4 Discussing the Adaptation and Re-adaptation of the Habitus.....	270
6.1.2 Discussing the Re-entry Mechanisms into the German and French Career Fields: Challenging Existing Career Models and Contributing to a Better Understanding of the Career Fields	273
6.1.2.1 Discussing the Necessity of a Detailed Portfolio Analysis	273
6.1.2.2 Discussing the Critical Portfolio Requirements on the German and French Career Fields	275
6.1.2.3 Discussing the Moderators Influencing the Value of the Elements on the German and French Career Fields.....	280
6.1.2.4 Discussing the Borders of Career Fields	282
6.1.3 Discussing Bourdieu's Theory of Practice in an International Career Mobility Context.....	285
6.1.3.1 Bourdieu's Theory of Practice in Comparative Research: The Role in Reconciling Structure and Agency and the Institutional and Culturalist Position.....	285
6.1.3.2 Discussing the Bourdieuan Elements: Contributing to the Interplay of Elements and Clarifying the Concept of the Habitus in a Career Context.....	286
6.2 Discussing the Managerial Implications of the Findings: Deducing Recommendations	289
6.2.1 Recommendations for Career Agents.....	289
6.2.2 Recommendations for Companies	291
6.3 Limitations and Further Research	292
6.4 Conclusion	295
List of References.....	297

All electronic type records as well as all documents in appendix 2 (interview transcripts, questionnaires and pre- and postscripts; CD-ROM) of this thesis remain disclosed from the public as these contain personal information of the interviewed persons.

In this thesis, we exclusively used the generic masculine. This also includes the feminine form and has been done to support comprehension and ease of reading.

Repatriation to France and Germany
A Comparative Study Based on Bourdieu's Theory of
Practice

Walther, M.

2014, XXIX, 328 p. 38 illus., 5 illus. in color., Softcover

ISBN: 978-3-658-05699-5