

# Contents

## Part I Introduction and Preliminaries

<b>1 Overview</b>	3
1.1 Introduction	3
1.2 Target of the Proposed Theory	5
1.3 State of the Art—The Magnitude Optimum Criterion	6
1.3.1 Type-I Control Loops	6
1.3.2 Type-II Control Loops	7
1.3.3 Type-III Control Loops	8
1.4 Automatic Tuning of PID Controllers	8
References	9
<b>2 Background and Preliminaries</b>	11
2.1 Definitions and Preliminaries	11
2.2 Frequency Domain Modeling	14
2.3 Internal Stability	15
2.4 Robustness	18
2.5 Type of Control Loop	19
2.6 Sensitivity and Complementary Sensitivity Function	21
2.7 The Magnitude Optimum Design Criterion	23
2.8 Summary	26
References	27

## Part II Explicit Tuning of the PID Controller

<b>3 Type-I Control Loops</b>	31
3.1 Introduction	32
3.2 Conventional PID Tuning Via the Magnitude Optimum Criterion	33

3.2.1	I Control . . . . .	34
3.2.2	Preservation of the Shape of the Step and Frequency Response . . . . .	35
3.2.3	PI Control . . . . .	37
3.2.4	PID Control . . . . .	38
3.2.5	Drawbacks of the Conventional Tuning Method . . . . .	41
3.2.6	Why PID Control? . . . . .	41
3.3	Revised PID Tuning Via the Magnitude Optimum Criterion . . . .	42
3.4	Performance Comparison Between Conventional and Revised PID Tuning. . . . .	46
3.4.1	Plant with One and Two Dominant Time Constants. . . . .	46
3.4.2	Plant with Five Dominant Time Constants . . . . .	47
3.4.3	A Pure Time Delay Process . . . . .	49
3.4.4	A Nonminimum Phase Process . . . . .	51
3.4.5	A Process with Large Zeros . . . . .	51
3.4.6	Comments on Pole-Zero Cancellation . . . . .	53
3.4.7	Comments on Disturbances Rejection . . . . .	55
3.4.8	Rejection of Output Disturbances . . . . .	57
3.4.9	Rejection of Input Disturbances. . . . .	60
3.4.10	Robustness to Model Uncertainties . . . . .	62
3.5	Performance Comparison Between Revised PID Tuning and Other Methods . . . . .	66
3.5.1	Internal Model Control. . . . .	67
3.5.2	Ziegler–Nichols Step Response Method . . . . .	70
3.5.3	Simulation Results. . . . .	71
3.6	Explicit Tuning of PID Controllers Applied to Grid Converters . . . . .	76
3.6.1	Simplified Control Model and Parameters. . . . .	77
3.7	Summary . . . . .	82
	References . . . . .	83
<b>4</b>	<b>Type-II Control Loops . . . . .</b>	<b>85</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	85
4.2	Conventional PID Tuning Via the Symmetrical Optimum Criterion. . . . .	88
4.2.1	I Control . . . . .	88
4.2.2	PI Control . . . . .	90
4.2.3	PID Control . . . . .	90
4.2.4	Drawbacks of the Conventional Tuning . . . . .	94
4.3	Revised PID Tuning Via the Symmetrical Optimum Criterion . . .	94
4.4	Performance Comparison Between Conventional and Revised PID Tuning. . . . .	98
4.4.1	Plant with One Dominant Time Constant . . . . .	98

4.4.2	Plant with Two Dominant Time Constants . . . . .	101
4.4.3	A Non-minimum Phase Process . . . . .	103
4.4.4	Plant with Long Time Delay. . . . .	106
4.4.5	Plant with Large Zeros. . . . .	106
4.5	DC Link Voltage Control on an AC/DC Converter-Type-II Control Loop . . . . .	110
4.5.1	Simplified Control Model and Parameters. . . . .	111
4.5.2	Modeling of the Control Loop in the Frequency Domain . . . . .	111
4.6	Summary . . . . .	114
	References . . . . .	114
<b>5</b>	<b>Type-III Control Loops . . . . .</b>	<b>117</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	117
5.2	PID Tuning Rules for Type-III Control Loops. . . . .	119
5.2.1	Pole-Zero Cancellation Design . . . . .	119
5.2.2	Revised PID Tuning Rules. . . . .	123
5.2.3	Simulation Results. . . . .	127
5.3	Explicit PID Tuning Rules for Type- $p$ Control Loops. . . . .	133
5.3.1	Extending the Design to Type- $p$ Control Loops. . . . .	135
5.3.2	Simulation Results. . . . .	146
5.3.3	Robustness Performance. . . . .	154
5.4	Summary . . . . .	158
	References . . . . .	159
<b>6</b>	<b>Sampled Data Systems . . . . .</b>	<b>161</b>
6.1	Type-I Control Loops. . . . .	161
6.1.1	Performance Comparison Between Analog and Digital Design in Type-I Control Loops. . . . .	165
6.2	Type-II Control Loops . . . . .	171
6.2.1	Performance Comparison Between Analog and Digital Design in Type-II Control Loops . . . . .	174
6.3	Type-III Control Loops. . . . .	179
6.3.1	Performance Comparison Between Analog and Digital Design in Type-III Control Loops. . . . .	181
6.3.2	Sampling Time Effect Investigation in Type-III Control Loops . . . . .	188
6.4	Summary . . . . .	196
	References . . . . .	196

**Part III Automatic Tuning of the PID Controller**

<b>7 Automatic Tuning of PID Regulators for Type-I Control Loops . . .</b>	<b>199</b>
7.1 Why Automatic Tuning? . . . . .	199
7.2 The Algorithm of Automatic Tuning of PID Regulators . . . . .	202
7.2.1 Integral Control of the Approximate Plant . . . . .	203
7.2.2 Integral Control of the Real Plant . . . . .	204
7.2.3 Proportional-Integral Control. . . . .	204
7.2.4 Proportional-Integral-Derivative Control . . . . .	205
7.2.5 The Tuning Process . . . . .	206
7.2.6 Starting up the Procedure . . . . .	210
7.3 Simulation Examples . . . . .	212
7.3.1 Plant with One Dominant Time Constant . . . . .	212
7.3.2 Plant with Two Dominant Time Constants . . . . .	215
7.3.3 Plant with Dominant Time Constants and Time Delay . . . . .	216
7.3.4 Plant with Dominant Time Constants, Zeros, and Time Delay . . . . .	218
7.3.5 A Nonminimum Phase Plant with Time Delay . . . . .	221
7.4 Automatic Tuning for Processes with Conjugate Complex Poles . . . . .	224
7.4.1 Direct Tuning of the PID Controller for Processes with Conjugate Complex Poles . . . . .	225
7.4.2 Automatic Tuning of the PID Controller for Processes with Conjugate Complex Poles . . . . .	228
7.4.3 Simulation Examples . . . . .	234
7.5 Summary . . . . .	236
References . . . . .	240
<b>8 Changes on the Current State of the Art . . . . .</b>	<b>243</b>
8.1 The Magnitude Optimum Criterion—Present and Future of PID Control . . . . .	243
8.2 Open Issues and Future Work . . . . .	247
References . . . . .	247
<b>Appendix A: The Magnitude Optimum Criterion . . . . .</b>	<b>249</b>
<b>Appendix B: Analog Design-Proof of the Optimal Control Law . . . . .</b>	<b>253</b>
<b>Appendix C: Digital Design-Proof of the Optimal Control Law . . . . .</b>	<b>269</b>
<b>Index . . . . .</b>	<b>293</b>

PID Controller Tuning Using the Magnitude Optimum  
Criterion

Papadopoulos, K.

2015, XX, 296 p. 127 illus., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-07262-3