

Contents

1	General Introduction	1
	Bibliography	5
 Part I History and Law		
2	Introductory Theoretical Remarks on the Alleged Problematic Nature of the Interaction of History and Law	9
	Bibliography	12
3	Clio's Modern Paradox Unraveled	15
3.1	Theoretical Historical Developments.....	17
3.1.1	The Ghost of von Ranke.....	18
3.1.2	The Professionalization of History & Science as the New Intellectual Gold Standard.....	19
3.1.3	The Three-Headed Annales & Postmodern Headaches...	21
3.1.4	Descending the Ivory Tower	24
3.1.5	Conclusions on Clio's Modern Paradox	25
	Bibliography	26
 Part II Historians as Expert Witnesses		
4	Introduction Part II	31
	Bibliography	33
5	Modern Interactions of Law and History	35
5.1	The Dreyfus Affair: Paleography	36
5.2	The Nuremberg and Tokyo Tribunals: The Birth of International Tribunals	37
5.3	The Amicus Curiae Brief: The Webster Case	40
	Bibliography	42

6	The Globalization of the Historian as an Expert Witness	45
6.1	The Second Wave: Post-Holocaust and Post-World War II Litigation	46
6.1.1	Eichmann in Jerusalem	47
6.1.2	The Frankfurt-Auschwitz Trials and the Ludwigsburg Paradigm	49
6.1.3	Dealing with a Troublesome Past: Vichy in Court	51
6.1.4	Conclusions on the Post-War Judgment of History in Court	57
6.2	A Third Wave: Irving v. Lipstadt: Holocaust Denial in Court	59
	Bibliography	64
7	Litigation-Driven History	67
7.1	Expert Witnessing Through the Ages	68
7.2	An Introduction to Historians as Expert Witnesses in American Courts	71
7.2.1	Brown v. Board of Education	72
7.2.2	Equal Employment Opportunity Commission v. Sears, Roebuck and Co.	74
7.2.3	Toxic Tort Litigation: Lead Paint Toxic Tort Litigation ..	76
7.3	A Guide to the Practice of Expert Witnessing by Historians	80
7.3.1	Consulting	80
7.3.2	Recruitment of the Expert Witness	82
7.3.3	Preparing the Witness Report	86
7.3.4	The Collaboration with Lawyers	88
7.3.5	Witnessing	89
7.3.6	Rules of Expert Witnessing: From Frye to Daubert	93
7.4	Preliminary Conclusions on the Historian as an Expert Witness in American Courts	99
	Bibliography	104
8	A Reassessment of Wijffels' Concept of Forensic History	107
	Bibliography	115

Part III Tobacco Wars

9	Introduction Part III	119
	Bibliography	125
10	A History of Tobacco	127
10.1	The Early History of the Nicotiana Tabacum	128
10.2	The Shift to Cigarettes	130
10.2.1	The Invention of Flue-Curing	130
10.2.2	Matches	131
10.2.3	Mechanization of Cigarette Manufacturing	132

10.2.4	The Oligopolistic Structure of the Tobacco Industry	133
10.2.5	World War I	136
10.2.6	Taxation	137
10.2.7	Mass-Marketing Techniques	138
10.3	The Rise of a Deadly Product.....	140
	Bibliography	141
11	Tobacco and Health: Towards a Contemporary Perspective	143
11.1	The Discovery of Tobacco Health Hazards	144
11.2	The Reports of the Surgeon General	148
	Bibliography	156
12	The Tobacco Industry and Its Tactics	157
12.1	The Tobacco Controversy: A Careful Construction	158
	Bibliography	171
13	Tobacco Litigation	173
13.1	The First Wave: Cipollone v. Liggett Group	173
13.2	The Second Wave: Government v. The Tobacco Industry.....	179
13.2.1	The State Attorney Cases	180
13.2.2	The Master Settlement Agreement	183
13.2.3	US v. Philip Morris et al.	186
13.3	The Third Wave: Engle Progeny Cases	187
13.3.1	Engle	188
13.3.2	Smaller Cases	191
13.4	Tobacco Tactics in Court: Legal Game Theory	192
13.4.1	Game I: The First Wave of Tobacco Litigation	195
13.4.2	Game II: The Second Wave of Tobacco Litigation	198
13.4.3	Game III: The Third Wave of Tobacco Litigation.....	200
	Bibliography	208
14	United States v. Philip Morris et al.	211
14.1	Brandt's Experience	213
14.2	Expert Historians Take the Stand	216
14.2.1	Robert Proctor	217
14.2.2	Kenneth Ludmerer	222
14.2.3	Allan Brandt	228
14.2.4	Peter English	237
14.2.5	Theodore Wilson	242
14.2.6	Conclusions on the Testimony Offered by Historians in US v. Philip Morris.....	245
14.3	The Final Judgment by Judge Kessler.....	248
14.4	Confronting Ethics with De Baets	252
14.4.1	Article 10: Integrity; Historical Truth	253
14.4.2	Article 12: Disclosure of Information & Article 14: Free Debate; Accountability; Universalism...	254

14.4.3	Article 13: Critical and Objective Method; Independence	256
14.4.4	Article 19: Protection of the Historical Profession.....	257
14.4.5	Conclusion	258
	Bibliography	258
15	Debates: Reflections in Academic and National Media	261
15.1	Proctor in <i>Nature</i>	261
15.2	Laura Maggi in <i>The American Prospect</i>	262
15.3	Patricia Cohen in <i>The New York Times</i>	264
15.4	David Rothman in the <i>Bulletin of the History of Medicine</i>	265
15.5	Proctor in <i>The Lancet</i>	266
15.6	The Tobacco Companies v. Robert Proctor	267
15.7	Polemic Debates.....	273
	Bibliography	275
16	Historians in Tobacco Litigation, a Conclusion	277
16.1	The Framework Convention on Tobacco Control.....	277
16.2	Litigation as a Solution	279
16.3	The Role of Historians in Tobacco Litigation.....	282
16.3.1	Advocacy.....	284
16.3.2	Ethics	288
16.3.3	Transparency	292
	Bibliography	295
17	Final Conclusion on the Involvement of Historians in Tobacco Litigation	297
	Bibliography	301
18	Final Conclusion	303
	Bibliography	309
	Five General Principles on Forensic History	311
	Appendices	313
	Appendix I: Expert Witness Profiles	313
	Historians as Expert Witnesses in Tobacco Litigation.....	313
	0. Standard Expert Witness Profile	315
	1. Stephen Ambrose	316
	2. Jonathan Bean	318
	3. Hyman Berman	319
	4. Allan Brandt	320
	5. James Breeden	321
	6. John Burnham	322
	7. Augustus Burns III	324
	8. Fred Carstensen.....	325
	9. Michael Chesson	327

10. Elizabeth Cobss Hoffman	328
11. Luis Díaz-Hernández	330
12. Thomas DiBacco	331
13. John Drobny	332
14. Peter English	333
15. Frederick Fausz	335
16. Lacy Ford	336
17. Otis Graham Jr.	338
18. George Green	339
19. Janette Greenwood	340
20. Jon Harkness	341
21. Paul Harvey	343
22. James Hilty	344
23. Joan Hoff	345
24. Robert Hudson	347
25. Jacob Judd	348
26. Louis Kyriakoudes	349
27. Timothy Lenoir	353
28. Kenneth Lipartito	354
29. Charles Lowery	355
30. Kenneth Ludmerer	356
31. James Kirby Martin	358
32. Luis Martinez-Fernandez	360
33. Glenn May	362
34. Gregg Michel	363
35. Wayne Morgan	365
36. Robert Norrell	366
37. Michael Parrish	368
38. Terry Parssinen	370
39. Robert Proctor	372
40. Randy Roberts	377
41. Mark Rose	379
42. David Sansing	380
43. Todd Savitt	381
44. Michael Schaller	382
45. James Sharp	384
46. John Skates	385
47. John Snetsinger	386
48. William Stueck	387
49. Joseph Tulchin	389
50. Theodore Wilson	390
Appendix II: Historians Who Have Testified as an Expert Witness in Tobacco Litigation	392
Appendix III: Timetable Involvement Historians as Expert Witnesses in Tobacco Cases	405

Appendix IV: A Code of Ethics for Historians, by Antoon De Baets	440
A Code of Ethics for Historians (Proposal)	440
Introduction	440
Core Tasks	441
Universal Rights	441
Duty-Dependent Rights	441
Duties in General	442
Duties Regarding Subjects of Study	443
Duties Regarding Work	443
Duties Regarding Society at Large	445
Duties Regarding the Historical Profession	446
Index	447

List of Tables

Table 1	Deceased	393
Table 2	Active in In re: Engle progeny cases	395
Table 3	Institution	397
Table 4	Number of cases	399
Table 5	Published on the history of smoking and the tobacco industry	401
Table 6	Remuneration	403
Table 7	Involvement of historians in tobacco litigation 1986–2013	406
Table 8	Involvement of historians in tobacco litigation 1986–2014	407
Table 9	Historians in tobacco litigation 1986–2014 and Westlaw reference	425

<http://www.springer.com/978-3-319-14291-3>

Historians as Expert Judicial Witnesses in Tobacco
Litigation

A Controversial Legal Practice

Delafontaine, R.

2015, XXV, 453 p. 5 illus., 2 illus. in color., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-14291-3