

# Preface

This atlas is a product of the *Pancreaticobiliary Guidelines* sponsored by the Papanicolaou Society of Cytopathology [1–5]. The guidelines are composed of five documents covering indications for endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)-guided fine-needle aspiration (FNA) biopsy, techniques of EUS-FNA, terminology and nomenclature of pancreaticobiliary disease, ancillary testing, and post-biopsy treatment and management. The final guidelines resulted from an initial draft document prepared by multidisciplinary committees of physicians with expertise on diagnosis and management of patients with pancreaticobiliary disease (listed below). The documents are published in *Diagnostic Cytopathology* [1–5] and in the open access journal, *Cytojournal* [6–10]. A comprehensive review of all the five documents is published in *Cancer Cytopathology* [11]. All documents are based on the expertise of the authors, a review of the literature, discussion of the draft documents at several national and international meetings over an 18-month period, and synthesis of online comments of the draft document, which was posted in an online interactive forum on the Papanicolaou Society of Cytopathology Web site [[www.papsociety.org](http://www.papsociety.org)].

This atlas focuses on the proposed standardized terminology scheme for pancreaticobiliary specimens [5] supplemented with cytological criteria, sample interpretive reports, succinct ancillary testing recommendations, and management options.

The proposed terminology scheme recommends a six-tiered system: nondiagnostic, negative, atypical, neoplastic [benign or other], suspicious, and positive. Unique to this scheme is the “neoplastic” category separated into “benign” (primarily serous cystadenoma) or “other” (premalignant mucinous cysts, neuroendocrine tumors, and solid-pseudopapillary neoplasms). The positive or malignant category is reserved for high-grade and/or aggressive malignancies including ductal adenocarcinoma, acinar cell carcinoma, poorly differentiated neuroendocrine carcinomas, pancreatoblastoma, lymphoma, and metastases.

This proposed scheme provides terminology that correlates the diagnostic nomenclature with the 2010 WHO classification of pancreatic tumors [12] and standardizes the categorization of the various diseases of the pancreas, some of which are difficult to diagnose specifically by cytology. In addition, this terminology scheme attempts to provide maximum flexibility for patient management, which has become increasingly conservative for some neoplasms.

## References

1. Adler D, Max Schmidt C, Al-Haddad M, et al. Clinical evaluation, imaging studies, indications for cytologic study, and preprocedural requirements for duct brushing studies and pancreatic FNA: the papanicolaou society of cytopathology recommendations for pancreatic and biliary cytology. *Diagn Cytopathol*. 2014;42(4):325–32.
2. Brugge W, Dewitt J, Klapman JB, et al. Techniques for cytologic sampling of pancreatic and bile duct lesions. *Diagn Cytopathol*. 2014;42(4):333–7.
3. Kurtycz D, Tabatabai L, Michaels C, et al. Postbrushing and fine-needle aspiration biopsy follow-up and treatment options for patients with pancreatobiliary lesions: the papanicolaou society of cytopathology guidelines. *Diagn Cytopathol*. 2014;42(4):363–71.
4. Layfield L, Ehya H, Filler AC, et al. Utilization of Ancillary studies in the cytologic diagnosis of biliary and pancreatic lesions: the papanicolaou society of cytology guidelines of pancreatobiliary cytology. *Diagn Cytopathol*. 2014;42(4):351–62.
5. Pitman MB, Centeno BA, Ali SZ, et al. Standardized terminology and nomenclature for pancreatobiliary cytology: the papanicolaou society of cytopathology guidelines. *Diagn Cytopathol*. 2014;42(4):338–50.
6. Adler D, Schmidt CM, Al-Haddad M, et al. Clinical evaluation, imaging studies, indications for cytologic study and preprocedural requirements for duct brushing studies and pancreatic fine-needle aspiration: the papanicolaou society of cytopathology guidelines. *Cytojournal*. 2014;11(Suppl 1):1.
7. Brugge WR, De Witt J, Klapman JB, et al. Techniques for cytologic sampling of pancreatic and bile duct lesions: the papanicolaou society of cytopathology guidelines. *Cytojournal*. 2014;11(Suppl 1):2.
8. Kurtycz DF, Field A, Tabatabai L, et al. Post-brushing and fine-needle aspiration biopsy follow-up and treatment options for patients with pancreatobiliary lesions: the papanicolaou society of cytopathology guidelines. *Cytojournal*. 2014;11(Suppl 1):5.
9. Layfield LJ, Ehya H, Filie AC, et al. Utilization of ancillary studies in the cytologic diagnosis of biliary and pancreatic lesions: the papanicolaou society of cytopathology guidelines. *Cytojournal*. 2014;11(Suppl 1):4.
10. Pitman MB, Centeno BA, Ali SZ, et al. Standardized terminology and nomenclature for pancreatobiliary cytology: the papanicolaou society of cytopathology guidelines. *Cytojournal*. 2014;11(Suppl 1):3.
11. Pitman MB, Layfield LJ. Guidelines for pancreaticobiliary cytology from the papanicolaou society of cytopathology: a review. *Cancer Cytopathol*. Jun 2014;122(6):399–411.
12. Bosman FT, Carneiro F, Hruban RH, Theise ND, editors. WHO classification of tumours of the digestive system. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2010. Bosman FT, Jaffe ES, Lakhani SR, Ohgaki H, editors. World Health Organization classification of tumours.

The Papanicolaou Society of Cytopathology System for  
Reporting Pancreaticobiliary Cytology  
Definitions, Criteria and Explanatory Notes  
Pitman, M.B.; Layfield, L.  
2015, XV, 96 p. 70 illus. in color., Softcover  
ISBN: 978-3-319-16588-2