

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>SMEs and Competitiveness: Facts and Challenges . . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Competitiveness: Definition and Evolution . . . . .	2
1.2	Competitive Strategy . . . . .	5
1.3	Model of Competitiveness . . . . .	10
1.4	Methods of Competitive Analysis . . . . .	15
1.5	Summarizing: SMEs Significance and Limitations . . . . .	19
	References . . . . .	22
<b>2</b>	<b>New Approaches in Supporting to SMEs Competitiveness . . . . .</b>	<b>29</b>
2.1	Collaboration and Coopetition . . . . .	30
2.2	Benchmarking . . . . .	33
2.3	Brokering and Crowdsourcing . . . . .	37
2.4	Business Intelligence Technology . . . . .	41
2.4.1	Technological Framework . . . . .	41
2.4.2	Business Process Management via Business Intelligence . . . . .	44
2.4.3	In-Memory Analytics . . . . .	45
2.4.4	Big Data and MapReduce Model . . . . .	46
2.4.5	Cloud Computing . . . . .	47
2.5	Trust and Risk Management . . . . .	48
2.6	Summarizing: Concept of Benchmarking Collaborative Network . . . . .	51
	References . . . . .	52
<b>3</b>	<b>Prototyping Competitive Strategy . . . . .</b>	<b>59</b>
3.1	Hierarchical Model of Decision Problem . . . . .	61
3.2	Hierarchical-Regression Prototyping Method . . . . .	63
3.2.1	PM1: Identification of the Competitiveness Criteria . . . . .	63
3.2.2	PM2: Selecting the Key Competitiveness Criteria . . . . .	65
3.2.3	PM3: Prototyping the Competitive Strategy Variants . . . . .	68

3.2.4	PM4: Prioritizing the Competitive Strategy Variants . . .	70
3.2.5	Summarizing the HRPM Method . . . . .	72
3.3	Crisp Method: Case Study and Utilities Verification . . . . .	72
3.3.1	Step 1: Quantitative Research . . . . .	72
3.3.2	Step 2: Research Experiment . . . . .	73
3.3.3	The HMDP Model . . . . .	73
3.3.4	PM1: Identification of the Competitiveness Criteria . . .	74
3.3.5	PM2: Selecting the Key Competitiveness Criteria . . . .	74
3.3.6	PM3: Prototyping the Competitive Strategy Variants . . .	76
3.3.7	PM4: Prioritizing the Competitive Strategy Variants . . .	77
3.3.8	Verification of HRPM’s Utility . . . . .	79
3.3.9	Example 1: The Case of Clinic W09 . . . . .	79
3.3.10	Example 2: The Case of Clinic W03 . . . . .	81
3.4	Summarizing . . . . .	83
3.5	Fuzzy Hierarchical-Regression Prototyping Method . . . . .	83
3.5.1	FPM3: Prototyping the Competitive Strategy Variants with Fuzzy Prototyping Goal Value . . . . .	84
3.5.2	FPM4: Prioritizing the Competitive Strategy Variants with Fuzzy Prototyping Goal Value . . . . .	85
3.6	Fuzzy Method: Case Study and Utilities Verification . . . . .	87
3.6.1	FPM1: Identification of the Competitiveness Criteria . . .	87
3.6.2	FPM2: Selecting the Key Competitiveness Criteria . . . .	87
3.6.3	FPM3: Prototyping the Competitive Strategy Variants with Fuzzy Prototyping Goal Value . . . . .	87
3.6.4	FPM4: Prioritizing the Competitive Strategy Variants with Fuzzy Prototyping Goal Value . . . . .	91
3.7	Summarizing: How to Effectively Implement Analytical Method? . . . . .	93
	References . . . . .	94
4	<b>Arranging Benchmarking Collaborative Group . . . . .</b>	<b>99</b>
4.1	Benchmarking Collaborative Paradigm . . . . .	100
4.2	Benchmarking Collaborative Method . . . . .	101
4.2.1	AM1: Creating the Benchmarking Collaborative Group . . . . .	102
4.2.2	AM2: Providing the Technology Platform . . . . .	104
4.2.3	AM3: Supplying the Source Data . . . . .	105
4.2.4	AM4: Providing the Strategic Information . . . . .	107
4.3	Benchmarking Collaboration: Case Study and Utilities Verification . . . . .	109
4.3.1	AM1: Creating the Benchmarking Collaborative Group . . . . .	109
4.3.2	AM2: Providing the Technology Platform . . . . .	111
4.3.3	AM3: Supplying the Source Data . . . . .	115

4.3.4	AM4: Providing the Strategic Information . . . . .	118
4.3.5	Summarizing the BCM Method . . . . .	121
4.4	Summarizing: How to Effectively Coordinate the Group? . . . . .	122
	References . . . . .	123
<b>5</b>	<b>Coordinating Benchmarking Collaborative Group . . . . .</b>	<b>125</b>
5.1	Model of Benchmarking Collaborative Network . . . . .	125
5.2	Method of Brokering Strategic Information . . . . .	127
5.2.1	CM1: Arranging the Benchmarking Collaborative Group . . . . .	128
5.2.2	CM2: Signing the Contract . . . . .	129
5.2.3	CM3: Implementing the DBI System . . . . .	130
5.2.4	CM4: Delivering the Services . . . . .	133
5.2.5	CM5: Is a Required Change Possible? . . . . .	134
5.2.6	STOP: When Is the Time for Termination of Collaboration? . . . . .	136
5.3	Brokering Strategic Information: Case Study and Utilities Verification . . . . .	136
5.3.1	CM1: Arranging the Benchmarking Collaborative Group . . . . .	136
5.3.2	CM2: Signing the Contract . . . . .	137
5.3.3	CM3: Implementing the DBI System . . . . .	137
5.3.4	CM4: Delivering the Services . . . . .	139
5.3.5	CM5: Finalization of the Experiment . . . . .	140
	References . . . . .	140
<b>6</b>	<b>Benchmarking Collaborative Network: Summarizing . . . . .</b>	<b>141</b>
	References . . . . .	144
	<b>Annex 1. Results of the Survey . . . . .</b>	<b>145</b>
	<b>Annex 2. Results of the Analysis . . . . .</b>	<b>151</b>
	<b>Bibliography . . . . .</b>	<b>165</b>
	<b>Index . . . . .</b>	<b>167</b>



<http://www.springer.com/978-3-319-16735-0>

Benchmarking Collaborative Networks

A Key to SME Competitiveness

Rostek, K.

2015, XIX, 169 p. 55 illus., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-16735-0