

# $r_\infty$ -Matrices, Triangular $L_\infty$ -Bialgebras and Quantum $_\infty$ Groups

Denis Bashkirov and Alexander A. Voronov

**Abstract.** A homotopy analogue of the notion of a triangular Lie bialgebra is proposed with a goal of extending basic notions of the theory of quantum groups to the context of homotopy algebras and, in particular, introducing a homotopical generalization of the notion of a quantum group, or quantum $_\infty$ -group.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** Primary 17B37, 17B62; Secondary 17B63, 18G55, 58A50.

**Keywords.**  $L_\infty$ -algebra, Maurer–Cartan equation, universal enveloping algebra, Lie bialgebra,  $L_\infty$ -bialgebra, classical  $r$ -matrix, Yang–Baxter equation, triangular Lie bialgebra, co-Poisson–Hopf algebra, quantization, quantum group.

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Conventions and notation

We will work over a ground field  $k$  of characteristic zero. A differential graded (dg) vector space  $V$  will mean a complex of  $k$ -vector spaces with a differential of degree one. The degree of a homogeneous element  $v \in V$  will be denoted by  $|v|$ . In the context of graded algebra, we will be using the Koszul rule of signs when talking about the graded version of notions involving symmetry, including commutators, brackets, symmetric algebras, derivations, *etc.*, often omitting the modifier *graded*. For any integer  $n$ , we define a *translation* (or  *$n$ -fold desuspension*)  $V[n]$  of  $V$ :  $V[n]^p := V^{n+p}$  for each  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ . For two graded vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$ , we define grading on the space  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  of  $k$ -linear maps  $V \rightarrow W$  by  $|f| := n - m$  for  $f \in \text{Hom}(V^m, W^n)$ .

---

This work was supported by the World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan, the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications with funds provided by the National Science Foundation, and a grant from the Simons Foundation (#282349 to A.V.).

### 1.2. Quantum groups

Recall that a *quantum group* in the sense of Drinfeld and Jimbo is an associative, coassociative Hopf algebra  $A$  subject to the condition of being *quasitriangular* [5]. The latter implies, in particular, the existence of a solution  $\mathcal{R}$  to the *quantum Yang–Baxter equation*  $\mathcal{R}^{12}\mathcal{R}^{13}\mathcal{R}^{23} = \mathcal{R}^{23}\mathcal{R}^{13}\mathcal{R}^{12}$  set up in  $A$ . More conceptually, the quasitriangularity condition provides data needed to put a braided structure on the monoidal category of left  $A$ -modules.

The most basic examples of quantum groups appear as *quantizations* or certain types of *deformations* (in the sense of Hopf algebras) of universal enveloping algebras and algebras of functions on groups. In the first case, starting with a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a Hopf-algebra deformation  $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$  of its universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ , one passes to the “(semi)classical limit”  $\delta(x) := \frac{\Delta_h(x) - \Delta_h^{op}(x)}{h}$  thus equipping  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  with a *co-Poisson–Hopf structure* with  $\delta : U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{g})$  being the *co-Poisson cobracket*. In particular, the restriction  $\delta|_{\mathfrak{g}}$  becomes a well-defined cobracket on  $\mathfrak{g}$  turning it into a Lie bialgebra.

### 1.3. Quantization of triangular Lie bialgebras

The above process can be reversed: as it was shown in [13], any finite-dimensional Lie bialgebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [, ], \delta)$  can be *quantized*, meaning that one can always come up with a Hopf-algebra deformation  $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$  whose classical limit, in the sense of the above formula, agrees with  $\delta$ . While a priori  $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$  is just a Hopf algebra, one would really be interested in having a quasitriangular structure on it. As a special case, it was shown in [4] that such a structure exists, when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a *triangular* Lie bialgebra. This class of Lie bialgebras is defined as follows: let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $r \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  (“a classical *r-matrix*”) be a skew-symmetric element satisfying the *classical Yang–Baxter equation*

$$[r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{23}, r_{13}] = 0,$$

which can be conveniently restated in the form of the *Maurer–Cartan equation*

$$[r, r] = 0$$

taking place in the graded Lie algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1]$  with respect to the *Schouten bracket* for elements  $r$  of degree one:  $r \in (S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1])^1 = (S(\mathfrak{g}[-1]))^2 = S^2(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2] = \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$ . Such an element  $r$ , called a *Maurer–Cartan element*, gives rise to a Lie cobracket on  $\mathfrak{g}$  in the form of the coboundary  $\partial_{\text{CE}}(r) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$  of  $r$  taken in the cochain *Chevalley–Eilenberg complex* of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with coefficients in  $\mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$  (here,  $r$  is regarded as a 0-cocycle). The compatibility with the Lie-algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is packed into the relation  $\partial_{\text{CE}}^2(r) = 0$ , thus guaranteeing that  $\mathfrak{g}$  with such a cobracket is indeed a Lie bialgebra. The *co-Jacobi identity*, which could be rewritten as

$$[\partial_{\text{CE}}(r), \partial_{\text{CE}}(r)] = 0, \tag{1}$$

follows from the following statement, which is an odd version of the Hamiltonian correspondence in Poisson geometry, if one regards  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1]$  as the shifted

Gerstenhaber algebra of functions on the odd Poisson manifold  $(\mathfrak{g}[-1])^*$  and  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}[-1], S(\mathfrak{g}[-1]))$  as the graded Lie algebra of vector fields on  $(\mathfrak{g}[-1])^*$ .

**Proposition 1.** *The linear map*

$$\partial_{\text{CE}}: S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1] \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}[-1], S(\mathfrak{g}[-1]))$$

*is a graded Lie-algebra morphism.*

A *triangular Lie bialgebra* is a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  provided with a Lie cobracket  $\partial_{\text{CE}}(r)$  coming out of an  $r$ -matrix  $r$ . A basic statement concerning this class of Lie bialgebras is that  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  can be quantized to a *triangular Hopf algebra*  $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ . This condition is stronger than being quasitriangular, and in particular, the category of (left) modules over a triangular Hopf algebra turns out to be symmetric monoidal, as opposed to just being braided.

#### 1.4. The homotopy quantization program

The upshot of the above construction is that there is a source of quantum groups coming from the data of a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a solution of the Maurer–Cartan equation in  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1]$ . The goal of our project is to promote this construction to the realm of homotopy Lie algebras. In particular, this would generalize the work [2] done for the case of Lie 2-bialgebras. Here is an outline of our program:

1. Develop the notion of a *triangular  $L_\infty$ -bialgebra* extending the classical one. In analogy with the classical case, the input data for this construction consists of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a solution  $r$  of a generalized Maurer–Cartan equation set up in an appropriate algebraic context;
2. Show that the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  of a triangular  $L_\infty$ -bialgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits a natural *homotopy co-Poisson–Hopf* structure;
3. Extend the *Drinfeld twist* construction [5], which equips a cocommutative Hopf algebra with a new, triangular coproduct, to the homotopical context. Apply it to the case of universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  of the previous step to obtain a *quantum $_\infty$  group*.

The current paper is dedicated to describing the first step of the construction, which we believe might be interesting on its own.

The second step is work in progress. While the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a strongly homotopy associative (or  $A_\infty$ -) algebra that also turns out to be a cocommutative, coassociative coalgebra object in Lada–Markl’s, see [10], symmetric monoidal category of  $A_\infty$ -algebras, we would be interested in verifying that  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  is actually a *Hopf $_\infty$  algebra*, that is, possesses an antipodal map satisfying certain compatibility conditions. We would also need to translate an  $L_\infty$ -bialgebra structure on an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  into a co-Poisson $_\infty$  structure on the Hopf $_\infty$  algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$ . This would result in providing  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  with the structure of a cocommutative co-Poisson $_\infty$  coalgebra object in Lada–Markl’s symmetric monoidal category of  $A_\infty$ -algebras.

Furthermore, we would like to develop deformation theory of homotopy Hopf algebras and use it to quantize homotopy Lie bialgebras. A different approach to

quantization of homotopy Lie bialgebras (using the framework of PROPs) was taken in [12], in which a different notion of a homotopy Hopf algebra (or rather, homotopy bialgebra) was used. That notion depends on the choice of a minimal resolution of the bialgebra properad. The notion we outline above appears to be more canonical.

In the future we would also be interested in investigating what this program produces for  $L_\infty$ -algebras arising in the geometric context, such as generalized Poisson geometry,  $L_\infty$ -algebroids, or  $BV_\infty$ -geometry.

## 2. The big bracket and $L_\infty$ -bialgebras

Recall that the structure of a (strongly) homotopy Lie algebra (or  $L_\infty$ -algebra) on a graded vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$  may be given by a *codifferential*, i.e., a degree-one, square-zero coderivation  $D$  such that  $D(1) = 0$ , on the graded cocommutative coalgebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1])$  equipped with the shuffle comultiplication. The data given by  $D$  is equivalent to a collection of “higher Lie brackets”  $l_k : S^k(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[1]$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , of degree one obtained by restriction of  $D$  to the  $k$ th symmetric component of  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1])$  followed by projection to the cogenerators. The condition  $D^2 = 0$  is equivalent to the *higher Jacobi identities*, homotopy versions of the Jacobi identity. Outside of deformation theory, nontrivial examples of homotopy Lie algebras are known to arise in the context of multisymplectic geometry [1, 14], Courant algebroids [15], and closed string field theory [7, 11, 17].

In order to discuss the structure of an  $L_\infty$ -bialgebra on a graded vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we need to mix the graded Lie algebra  $\text{Hom}(S(\mathfrak{g}[1]), \mathfrak{g}[1])$ , used to define the structure of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with the graded Lie algebra  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}[-1], S(\mathfrak{g}[-1]))$ , used to define the cobracket on  $\mathfrak{g}$  in the classical, Lie algebra setting in Section 1.3. Consider the graded vector space

$$\mathcal{B} := \prod_{m,n \geq 0} \text{Hom}(S^m(\mathfrak{g}[1]), S^n(\mathfrak{g}[-1]))[2]$$

and provide it with the structure of a graded Lie algebra given by the graded commutator, called the *big bracket*,

$$[f, g] := f \circ g - (-1)^{|f| \cdot |g|} g \circ f$$

under the *circle*, or  $\cup_1$  *product*, cf. [6] and [16]:

$$\begin{aligned} & (f \circ g)(x_1 \cdots x_n) \\ &:= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{k,l}} (-1)^\varepsilon f(x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(k)}) g(x_{\sigma(k+1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)})_{(1)} g(x_{\sigma(k+1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)})_{(2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{g}[1]$ ,

$$f \in \prod_{m \geq 0} \text{Hom}(S^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}[1]), S^m(\mathfrak{g}[-1]))[2],$$

$$g \in \prod_{m \geq 0} \text{Hom}(S^l(\mathfrak{g}[1]), S^m(\mathfrak{g}[-1]))[2],$$

$n = k + l$  – otherwise we set  $(f \circ g)(x_1 \cdots x_n) = 0$ ,  $\text{Sh}_{k,l}$  is the set of  $(k, l)$  *shuffles*: permutations  $\sigma$  of  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) < \dots < \sigma(k)$  and  $\sigma(k+1) < \sigma(k+2) < \dots < \sigma(n)$ ,  $\varepsilon = |x_\sigma| + |g|(|x_{\sigma(1)}| + \dots + |x_{\sigma(k)}|)$ ,  $(-1)^{|x_\sigma|}$  is the *Koszul sign* of the permutation of  $x_1 \cdots x_n$  to  $x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}$  in  $S(\mathfrak{g}[1])$ , and we use Sweedler's notation to denote the result  $g_{(1)} \otimes g_{(2)}$  of applying to  $g \in S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2]$  the (shifted) comultiplication  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2] \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2] \otimes S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$  followed by the projection  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[1]$  onto the cogenerators in the first tensor factor. This graded Lie algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ , under the assumption that  $\dim \mathfrak{g} < \infty$  and in a slightly different incarnation, was introduced by Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach [8] in relation to Lie bialgebras and later used by O. Kravchenko [9] in relation to  $L_\infty$ -bialgebras. The graded Lie algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  has the property that its Maurer–Cartan elements represent  $L_\infty$  brackets and cobrackets on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , as well as mixed operations, comprising the structure of an  $L_\infty$ -bialgebra on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Here we adopt Kravchenko's approach and define an  $L_\infty$ -bialgebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  as a Maurer–Cartan element  $\mu$  in the subalgebra

$$\mathcal{B}^+ := \prod_{m, n \geq 1} \text{Hom}(S^m(\mathfrak{g}[1]), S^n(\mathfrak{g}[-1]))[2]$$

of the graded Lie algebra  $\mathcal{B}$ .<sup>1</sup> This means

$$\mu = \sum_{m, n \geq 1} \mu_{mn},$$

$$\mu_{mn} : S^m(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow S^n(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2] \quad \text{of degree 1,} \quad (2)$$

$$[\mu, \mu] = 0.$$

### 3. $r_\infty$ -matrices and triangular $L_\infty$ -bialgebras

For an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , one can generalize the Schouten bracket to an  $L_\infty$  structure on  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1]$  by extending the higher brackets  $l_k$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  as graded multiderivations of the graded commutative algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$ . This  $L_\infty$  structure may also be described via *higher derived brackets* (in the semiclassical limit) on the BV $_\infty$ -algebra  $S(\mathfrak{g}[-1])$ , see [3, Example 3.4]. The  $L_\infty$  structure can be naturally extended to the completion

$$\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1] := \prod_{n \geq 0} S^n(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1].$$

<sup>1</sup>Maurer–Cartan elements in  $\mathcal{B}$  would correspond to more general, *curved*  $L_\infty$ -bialgebras.

While investigating the deformation-theoretic meaning of solutions  $r = r(\lambda) \in \lambda \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1][[\lambda]]$ , where  $\lambda$  is the *deformation parameter*, that is to say, a (degree-zero) formal variable, of the *generalized Maurer–Cartan equation*

$$l_1(r) + \frac{1}{2!}l_2(r \odot r) + \frac{1}{3!}l_3(r \odot r \odot r) + \cdots = 0, \quad (3)$$

where  $\odot$  refers to multiplication in  $S(V)$  for  $V = \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2]$ , certain analogies can be drawn with basic constructions of the theory of quantum groups.

Note that an  $L_\infty$ -algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  endows the graded Lie algebras  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^+$  with the structure of a dg Lie algebra. Namely, bracketing with the Maurer–Cartan element  $l_1 + l_2 + \cdots \in \prod_{m \geq 1} \text{Hom}(S^m(\mathfrak{g}[1]), \mathfrak{g}[-1])[2]$ , representing the  $L_\infty$ -algebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , creates a differential

$$d\gamma := [l_1 + l_2 + \cdots, \gamma]$$

on  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^+$ , compatible with the “big” bracket.

An  $L_\infty$ -morphism  $\varphi$  from the  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1]$  to the dg Lie algebra  $\mathcal{B}^+$ , that is to say, a morphism  $S(\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2]) \rightarrow S(\mathcal{B}^+[1])$  of dg coalgebras mapping 1 to 1, amounts to defining a series of degree-zero linear maps  $\varphi_n : S^n(\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2]) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^+[1]$  for all  $n \geq 1$  satisfying the following compatibility conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} & d\varphi_n(x_1 \odot \cdots \odot x_n) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Sh}_{k, n-k}} (-1)^\varepsilon [\varphi_k(x_{\sigma(1)} \odot \cdots \odot x_{\sigma(k)}), \varphi_{n-k}(x_{\sigma(k+1)} \odot \cdots \odot x_{\sigma(n)})] \\ & = \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{\tau \in \text{Sh}_{m, n-m}} (-1)^{|x_\tau|} \varphi_{n-m+1}(l_m(x_{\tau(1)} \odot \cdots \odot x_{\tau(m)}) \odot x_{\tau(m+1)} \odot \cdots \odot x_{\tau(n)}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2]$  and  $\varepsilon = |x_\sigma| + |x_{\sigma(1)}| + \cdots + |x_{\sigma(k)}|$ . There is a *canonical  $L_\infty$ -morphism*  $\varphi : \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^+$ , which may be defined by the maps

$$\varphi_n(x_1 \odot \cdots \odot x_n)(y) := l_{n+p}(x_1 \odot \cdots \odot x_n \odot N(y)),$$

where  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2]$ ,  $y \in S^p(\mathfrak{g}[1])$ ,  $N(y) \in S^p(\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2])$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , and  $N : S(\mathfrak{g}[1]) \rightarrow S(\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2])$  is the graded-algebra morphism induced by the obvious linear map  $\mathfrak{g}[1] \hookrightarrow \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2] \hookrightarrow S(\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2])$ . The following theorem generalizes Proposition 1 to the  $L_\infty$  setting.

**Theorem 2.** *The above maps  $\varphi_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , define an  $L_\infty$ -morphism*

$$\varphi : \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^+$$

*from the  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1]$  to the dg Lie algebra  $\mathcal{B}^+$ .*

The proof of the theorem is a straightforward checkup that reduces the statement to the higher Jacobi identities for the  $L_\infty$  brackets on  $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1]$ . This theorem also generalizes Kravchenko's result [9, Theorem 19], which provides an  $L_\infty$ -morphism from an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  to the graded Lie algebra  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ .

An  $r_\infty$ -matrix  $r$  is a (generalized) Maurer–Cartan element  $r = r(\lambda)$  in the  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\lambda\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1][[\lambda]]$ , i.e., a degree-one solution of the generalized Maurer–Cartan equation (3). Sending an  $r_\infty$ -matrix to the subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}^+$  of the big-bracket dg Lie algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  under an  $L_\infty$ -morphism  $\varphi : \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^+$  would yield a Maurer–Cartan element  $\mu' = \mu'(\lambda)$ :

$$\mu' := \varphi(e^r) = \varphi_1(r) + \frac{1}{2!}\varphi_2(r \odot r) + \frac{1}{3!}\varphi_3(r \odot r \odot r) + \cdots,$$

depending on the deformation parameter  $\lambda$ , in the dg Lie algebra  $\lambda\mathcal{B}^+[[\lambda]]$ :

$$d\mu' + \frac{1}{2}[\mu', \mu'] = 0,$$

or, equivalently, a Maurer–Cartan element

$$\mu = \mu' + l_1 + l_2 + \cdots$$

in the graded Lie algebra  $\lambda\mathcal{B}^+[[\lambda]]$ :

$$[\mu, \mu] = 0,$$

giving rise to an  $L_\infty$ -bialgebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , as per Section 2, in analogy with  $\partial_{\text{CE}}(r)$  giving rise to an ordinary Lie cobracket in the classical, nonhomotopical case, see also Example 3 below.

We call the  $L_\infty$ -bialgebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu)$  produced out of an  $L_\infty$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and an  $r_\infty$ -matrix  $r$  by transferring it to a Maurer–Cartan element  $\mu' = \varphi(e^r)$  in  $\mathcal{B}^+$  via the canonical  $L_\infty$ -morphism  $\varphi$ , as above, a *triangular  $L_\infty$ -bialgebra*.

**Example 3.** In the case of a classical Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the graded Lie algebra  $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1]$  is just the completed graded Lie algebra of (right-)invariant multi-vector fields on the corresponding local Lie group with the Schouten bracket (or, equivalently, up to degree shift, functions on the formal odd Poisson manifold  $(\mathfrak{g}[-1])^*$ ), and the canonical  $L_\infty$ -morphism  $\varphi$  is just linear:  $\varphi = \varphi_1 = \partial_{\text{CE}}$ , equal to the Chevalley–Eilenberg differential of 0-cochains of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with coefficients in the graded  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[2]$ . The fact that  $\varphi$  is an  $L_\infty$ -morphism translates into being a dg Lie morphism, i.e., satisfying two compatibility conditions

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi(x) &= 0, \\ [\varphi(x), \varphi(y)] &= \varphi([x, y]), \end{aligned}$$

where  $d$  is the operator taking the big bracket with the Lie structure  $l_2 \in \text{Hom}(S^2(\mathfrak{g}[1]), \mathfrak{g}[-1])[2] \subset \mathcal{B}^+$ :

$$d\alpha := [l_2, \alpha].$$

The first condition means that  $\partial_{\text{CE}}(\varphi(x)) = \partial_{\text{CE}}^2(x) = 0$ , and the second states that  $\partial_{\text{CE}}$  is a Lie-algebra morphism, which is the assertion of Proposition 1. An  $r_\infty$ -matrix is a solution  $r \in (\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1])^1 = (S^2(\mathfrak{g}[-1]))^2 = \mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$  of the generalized Maurer–Cartan equation (3), which turns into the classical Maurer–Cartan equation  $[r, r] = 0$  in this case, and thereby  $r$  is just a classical  $r$ -matrix. Thus, the transfer  $\varphi(e^r) = \varphi(r)$  of an  $r$ -matrix is a Lie cobracket  $\varphi(r)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , satisfying the compatibility condition with the Lie bracket and the co-Jacobi identity (1), resulting in the structure of a triangular Lie bialgebra on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Here we ignored the deformation parameter  $\lambda$ , because  $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1]$  is just a graded Lie algebra and the generalized Maurer–Cartan equation in  $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1]$  and the morphism  $\varphi$  have only finitely many terms.

**Example 4.** When  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a dg Lie algebra, the picture is dramatically different from the classical picture of Example 3. Now an  $r_\infty$ -matrix  $r$  may have many more components than just one in  $\mathfrak{g} \wedge \mathfrak{g}$ :

$$r \in (\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1])^1 = (\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1]))^2 = \prod_{n \geq 0} (S^n(\mathfrak{g}[-1]))^2 = \prod_{n \geq 1} (S^n(\mathfrak{g}[-1]))^2.$$

However,  $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}[-1])[1]$  is just a dg Lie algebra, and the generalized Maurer–Cartan equation (3) is still classical,

$$dr + \frac{1}{2}[r, r] = 0.$$

The  $L_\infty$ -morphism  $\varphi$  is still linear  $\varphi = \varphi_1$ , *i.e.*,  $\varphi$  is a dg Lie-algebra morphism. The transfer  $\mu' = \varphi(e^r) = \varphi(r)$  of  $r$  via  $\varphi$  will result in a  $L_\infty$ -bialgebra structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  with higher operations  $\mu_{mn}$ , see (2), which are trivial for all pairs  $(m, n)$  but those with  $m = 1, n \geq 1$  and  $m = 2, n = 1$ . Thus, even in the case of a dg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , our construction creates a triangular  $L_\infty$ -bialgebra, rather than just a (dg) triangular Lie algebra.

### Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach for useful remarks. A.V. also thanks IHES, where part of this work was done, for its hospitality.

### References

- [1] J. Baez, A. Hoffnung, and C. Rogers. Categorical symplectic geometry and the classical string. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 293(3):701–725, 2010.
- [2] C. Bai, Y. Sheng, and C. Zhu. Lie 2-bialgebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 320(1):149–172, 2013.
- [3] D. Bashkirov and A.A. Voronov. The BV formalism for  $L_\infty$ -algebras. Preprint IPMU14-0339, Kavli IPMU, Kashiwa, Japan, November 2014. [arXiv:1410.6432 \[math.QA\]](#).
- [4] V.G. Drinfeld. Hamiltonian structures on Lie groups, Lie bialgebras and the geometric meaning of classical Yang–Baxter equations. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 268(2):285–287, 1983.



- [5] V.G. Drinfeld. Quantum groups. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, vol. 1, 2 (Berkeley, Calif., 1986)*, pages 798–820. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987.
- [6] M. Gerstenhaber and A.A. Voronov. Homotopy  $G$ -algebras and moduli space operad. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (3):141–153 (electronic), 1995.
- [7] T. Kimura, J. Stasheff, and A.A. Voronov. On operad structures of moduli spaces and string theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 171(1):1–25, 1995.
- [8] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach. Jacobian quasi-bialgebras and quasi-Poisson Lie groups. In *Mathematical aspects of classical field theory (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, volume 132 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 459–489. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
- [9] O. Kravchenko. Strongly homotopy Lie bialgebras and Lie quasi-bialgebras. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 81(1):19–40, 2007.
- [10] T. Lada and M. Markl. Strongly homotopy Lie algebras. *Communications in Algebra*, 23(6):2147–2161, 1995.
- [11] M. Markl. Loop homotopy algebras in closed string field theory. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 221(2):367–384, 2001.
- [12] S.A. Merkulov. Quantization of strongly homotopy Lie bialgebras. Preprint 151, Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Bonn, Germany, 2006.
- [13] N. Reshetikhin. Quantization of Lie bialgebras. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (7):143–151, 1992.
- [14] C. Rogers.  $L_\infty$ -algebras from multisymplectic geometry. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 100(1): 29–50, 2012.
- [15] D. Roytenberg. On the structure of graded symplectic supermanifolds and Courant algebroids. In *Quantization, Poisson brackets and beyond (Manchester, 2001)*, volume 315 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 169–185. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [16] J. Terilla. Quantizing deformation theory. In *Deformation spaces*, Aspects Math., E40, pages 135–141. Vieweg + Teubner, Wiesbaden, 2010.
- [17] B. Zwiebach. Closed string field theory: quantum action and the Batalin–Vilkovisky master equation. *Nuclear Phys. B*, 390(1):33–152, 1993.

Denis Bashkirov

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota

Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

e-mail: [bashk003@umn.edu](mailto:bashk003@umn.edu)

Alexander A. Voronov

School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota

Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA

and

Kavli IPMU (WPI), University of Tokyo

Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan

e-mail: [voronov@umn.edu](mailto:voronov@umn.edu)

Geometric Methods in Physics

XXXIII Workshop, Białowieża, Poland, June 29 – July 5,  
2014

Kielanowski, P.; Bieliavsky, P.; Odziejewicz, A.;

Schlichenmaier, M.; Voronov, T. (Eds.)

2015, IX, 326 p. 11 illus., 1 illus. in color., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-18211-7

A product of Birkhäuser Basel