

# Contents

## Part I Argumentation Theory as a Discipline

<b>1</b>	<b>Argumentation</b> . . . . .	3
	Frans H. van Eemeren, Sally Jackson and Scott Jacobs	
1.1	What Is Argumentation? . . . . .	3
1.2	A Brief History of the Study of Argumentative Discourse . . . .	5
1.3	Contemporary Perspectives. . . . .	9
1.4	Case Study: Critical Analysis of Advertorials . . . . .	14
1.5	Practical Applications of Argumentation Study . . . . .	21
1.5.1	Pedagogical Applications: The Cultivation of Argumentative Competence . . . . .	21
1.5.2	Interventions: The Design of Discourse Processes . . . . .	22
	Further Reading . . . . .	23
	References. . . . .	24
<b>2</b>	<b>The Language of Argumentation in Dutch</b> . . . . .	27
	Frans H. van Eemeren	
	References. . . . .	30
<b>3</b>	<b>In What Sense Do Modern Argumentation Theories Relate to Aristotle? The Case of Pragma-Dialectics</b> . . . . .	31
	Frans H. van Eemeren	
3.1	Overview of the Expose . . . . .	31
3.2	Argumentation Theory as a Hybrid Discipline . . . . .	31
3.3	The Dialectical and the Rhetorical Perspective . . . . .	32
3.4	Connections Between Modern Argumentation Theory and Aristotle . . . . .	37
3.5	Dealing with the Relationship of Dialectic and Rhetoric . . . . .	41

3.6	The Pragma-Dialectical Gambit . . . . .	44
3.7	Conclusion. . . . .	47
	References. . . . .	51
<b>4</b>	<b>Bingo! Promising Developments in Argumentation Theory . . . . .</b>	<b>55</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren	
4.1	Changes in the State of the Art of Argumentation Theory. . . . .	55
4.2	Empiricalization of the Treatment of Argumentation . . . . .	57
4.3	Contextualization of the Treatment of Argumentation . . . . .	62
4.4	Formalization of the Treatment of Argumentation . . . . .	66
4.5	Bingo! . . . . .	70
	References. . . . .	73
 <b>Part II The Pragma-Dialectical Research Program</b>		
<b>5</b>	<b>Argumentation Studies' Five Estates . . . . .</b>	<b>81</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren	
5.1	The Study of Argumentation as Normative Pragmatics . . . . .	82
5.2	The Philosophical Estate . . . . .	84
5.3	Theoretical Estate . . . . .	91
5.4	Reconstruction Estate . . . . .	94
5.5	Empirical Estate . . . . .	98
5.6	Practical Estate . . . . .	101
5.7	Conclusion. . . . .	106
	References. . . . .	108
<b>6</b>	<b>The Study of Argumentation as Normative Pragmatics. . . . .</b>	<b>111</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Peter Houtlosser	
6.1	Rhetorical and Dialectical Perspectives on Argumentation . . . .	111
6.2	The Pragma-Dialectical Theory of Argumentation . . . . .	112
6.3	A Research Programme for the Study of Argumentation . . . . .	114
6.3.1	Philosophical Research: Argumentation and Conceptions of Reasonableness . . . . .	115
6.3.2	Theoretical Research: Models of Argumentation in Discourse. . . . .	116
6.3.3	Empirical Research: Properties of Argumentative Reality. . . . .	117
6.3.4	Analytical Research: Reconstruction of Argumentative Discourse . . . . .	117
6.3.5	Practical Research: Ways of Improving Argumentative Practices. . . . .	118

6.4	The Incompatibility of the Rhetorical and the Dialectical Perspective . . . . .	119
6.5	Reconciling Dialectical and Rhetorical Insights in the Analysis . . . . .	120
6.6	Conclusion. . . . .	123
	References. . . . .	124
<b>7</b>	<b>From Ideal Model of Critical Discussion to Situated Argumentative Discourse: The Step-by-Step Development of the Pragma-Dialectical Theory of Argumentation . . . . .</b>	<b>127</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren	
7.1	Introduction . . . . .	127
7.2	The Pragma-Dialectical Standard Theory . . . . .	129
7.3	Consolidating the Standard Theory . . . . .	131
7.4	The Extended Pragma-Dialectical Theory. . . . .	134
7.5	Empirical Research of Effectiveness Through Reasonableness. . . . .	136
7.6	Taking the Institutional Context of Argumentative Discourse into Account . . . . .	138
7.7	Institutional Preconditions for Strategic Maneuvering and Argumentative Patterns . . . . .	141
	References. . . . .	145
<b>8</b>	<b>The Case of Pragma-Dialectics . . . . .</b>	<b>149</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Peter Houtlosser	
8.1	The Pragma-Dialectical Approach to Argumentation . . . . .	149
8.2	The Five Components of the Pragma-Dialectical Research Program. . . . .	150
8.3	Four Meta-Theoretical Premises Serving as Methodological Principles . . . . .	152
8.4	The Model of a Critical Discussion. . . . .	154
8.5	Stages in Resolving a Difference of Opinion . . . . .	156
8.6	Distribution of Speech Acts in a Critical Discussion . . . . .	158
8.7	Analysis as Reconstruction. . . . .	159
8.8	An Analytic Overview of Argumentative Discourse . . . . .	160
8.9	Analytic Transformations in Reconstructing Argumentative Discourse. . . . .	162
8.10	Rules for Critical Discussion . . . . .	164
8.11	The Ten Commandments of Critical Discussion . . . . .	166
8.12	Fallacies as Counterproductive Moves in Resolving Disagreement. . . . .	168
8.13	Violations of the Code of Conduct for Critical Discussion. . . . .	169
8.14	Making Use of Insight in Strategic Maneuvering . . . . .	173

8.15	Fallacies as Derailments of Strategic Maneuvering . . . . .	174
8.16	Conclusion. . . . .	176
	References. . . . .	177
<b>9</b>	<b>The Pragma-Dialectical Theory of Argumentation</b>	
	<b>Under Discussion . . . . .</b>	<b>181</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren	
9.1	The Pragma-Dialectical Theory of Argumentation. . . . .	181
9.2	The Reception of the Pragma-Dialectical Theory. . . . .	183
9.3	The Dialectical and Pragmatic Dimensions of the Pragma-Dialectical Theory . . . . .	185
9.4	The Need for Extensions of the Scope of the Pragma-Dialectical Theorizing. . . . .	186
9.5	The Rhetorical Dimension and Moral Quality of the Pragma-Dialectical Theory . . . . .	187
9.6	Criticisms Pertaining to the Pragma-Dialectical Treatment of the Fallacies. . . . .	189
9.7	Criticisms Pertaining to the Epistemic Dimension of the Pragma-Dialectical Theory . . . . .	192
9.8	Conclusion. . . . .	197
	References. . . . .	197
	<b>Part III The Dialectical Dimension of Pragma-Dialectics</b>	
<b>10</b>	<b>Rationale for a Pragma-Dialectical Perspective. . . . .</b>	<b>203</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Rob Grootendorst	
10.1	Reasonableness and Argumentation. . . . .	203
10.2	Conceptions of Reasonableness in Argumentation Theories. . . . .	207
10.3	Dialectical Interpretation of Critical Reasonableness . . . . .	211
10.4	Pragma-Dialectical Background of the Rules . . . . .	214
	References. . . . .	220
<b>11</b>	<b>In Reasonableness. . . . .</b>	<b>223</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren	
11.1	Argumentation and Reasonableness. . . . .	223
11.2	Norm and Reality . . . . .	225
11.3	Fallacies as Unreasonable Discussion Moves . . . . .	227
11.4	Reasonableness and Effectiveness . . . . .	230
11.5	Strategic Manoeuvring and Fallacies . . . . .	232
11.6	General and Context-Dependent Criteria for the Fulfilment of Norms of Reasonableness. . . . .	235
11.7	" <i>in Reasonableness</i> " (" <i>in Alle Redelijkheid</i> ") Revisited. . . . .	238
	References. . . . .	240

<b>12 A Procedural View of Critical Reasonableness . . . . .</b>	<b>245</b>
Frans H. van Eemeren and Peter Houtlosser	
12.1 Reasonableness in a Critical Philosophy of Argumentation . . . . .	245
12.2 Procedural Implementation of Critical Reasonableness. . . . .	246
12.3 Pragmatic Preconditions of Critical Reasonableness. . . . .	249
12.4 A Pragma-Dialectical Redress of the Balance Between Logic and Rhetoric . . . . .	251
References. . . . .	251
<b>13 A Pragma-Dialectical Procedure for a Critical Discussion. . . . .</b>	<b>253</b>
Frans H. van Eemeren and Rob Grootendorst	
References. . . . .	271

## **Part IV The Pragmatic Dimension of Pragma-Dialectics**

<b>14 Viewing the Study of Argumentation as Normative Pragmatics. . . . .</b>	<b>275</b>
Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen	
14.1 Introduction . . . . .	275
14.2 The Speech Act Perspective in the Philosophical Component . . . . .	276
14.3 The Speech Act Perspective in the Theoretical Component . . . . .	280
14.4 The Speech Act Perspective in the Reconstruction Component . . . . .	286
14.5 The Speech Act Perspective in the Empirical Component . . . . .	289
14.6 The Speech Act Perspective in the Practical Component . . . . .	292
References. . . . .	295
<b>15 The Speech Acts of Arguing and Convincing in Externalized Discussions . . . . .</b>	<b>297</b>
Frans H. van Eemeren and Rob Grootendorst	
15.1 Introduction . . . . .	297
15.2 Argumentation as an Illocutionary Act Complex. . . . .	299
15.3 Conditions for a Happy Performance of the Illocutionary Act Complex of Arguing . . . . .	303
15.4 Arguing and Convincing . . . . .	306
15.5 The Conventionality of Perlocutions . . . . .	311
15.6 The Conventions of the Perlocutionary Act of Convincing . . . . .	312
15.7 Speech Acts and Rules for Rational Discussions. . . . .	314
15.8 Conclusion. . . . .	316
References. . . . .	317

<b>16</b>	<b>Rules for Argumentation in Dialogues</b> . . . . .	319
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Rob Grootendorst	
16.1	Introduction . . . . .	319
16.2	Rules for Communication . . . . .	319
16.3	Interactional Effects . . . . .	323
16.4	Rules for Argumentation . . . . .	326
16.5	Conclusion. . . . .	328
	References. . . . .	328
<b>17</b>	<b>For Reason's Sake: Maximal Argumentative Analysis of Discourse</b> . . . . .	331
	Frans H. van Eemeren	
17.1	Indirect Argumentation in Letters to the Editor. . . . .	331
17.2	Dialectical Analysis of Discourse . . . . .	332
17.3	Dialectical Definition of Argumentation. . . . .	333
17.4	Identifying Argumentation . . . . .	333
17.5	The Conditional Relevance of Argumentation. . . . .	336
17.6	Interpreting Indirect Argumentation. . . . .	338
17.7	Dialectical Analysis and Reasonable Interpretation . . . . .	341
17.8	Strategies of Dialectical Analysis . . . . .	343
	References. . . . .	345
 <b>Part V Strategic Manoeuvring in Argumentative Discourse</b>		
<b>18</b>	<b>Strategic Maneuvering: Maintaining a Delicate Balance</b> . . . . .	349
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Peter Houtlosser	
18.1	Meta-Theoretical Starting-Points . . . . .	349
18.2	The Pragma-Dialectical Model of Critical Discussion . . . . .	350
18.3	Strategic Maneuvering in Resolving a Difference of Opinion. . . . .	352
18.4	The Traditional Conceptions of Dialectic and Rhetoric . . . . .	354
18.5	Maintaining a Delicate Balance. . . . .	357
18.6	Fallacies as Derailements of Strategic Maneuvering . . . . .	360
18.7	Argumentation in Vivo: 'Clear Thinking in Troubled Times' . . . . .	362
18.8	An Integrated Pragma-Dialectical Analysis. . . . .	364
	18.8.1 Confrontation Stage . . . . .	364
	18.8.2 Opening Stage . . . . .	366
	18.8.3 Argumentation Stage. . . . .	369
	18.8.4 Concluding Stage . . . . .	370
18.9	An Evaluation of Shell's Strategic Maneuvering . . . . .	371
	18.9.1 The Use of <i>Conciliatio</i> . . . . .	371
	18.9.2 Confrontation Stage . . . . .	372

18.9.3	Opening Stage . . . . .	373
18.9.4	Argumentation Stage . . . . .	374
18.9.5	Concluding Stage . . . . .	376
18.10	Conclusion. . . . .	376
	References. . . . .	377
<b>19</b>	<b>Strategic Maneuvering: Examining Argumentation in Context . . . . .</b>	<b>381</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Peter Houtlosser	
19.1	Introduction . . . . .	381
19.2	Dialectical and Rhetorical Perspectives on Argumentation . . . . .	382
19.3	Strategic Maneuvering Combining Aiming for Critical Reasonableness and Artful Effectiveness . . . . .	384
19.4	Three Inseparable Aspects of Strategic Maneuvering . . . . .	385
19.5	Strategic Maneuvering in Different Kinds of Argumentative Activity Types . . . . .	387
19.6	Parameters in Determining the Strategic Function of Argumentative Maneuvers . . . . .	390
19.7	Fallacies as Derailments of Strategic Maneuvering . . . . .	392
19.8	Strategic Maneuvering by Appealing to an Authority. . . . .	394
19.9	Strategic Maneuvering by Pointing Out an Inconsistency . . . . .	395
19.10	Conclusion. . . . .	397
	References. . . . .	398
<b>20</b>	<b>Rhetoric in a Dialectical Framework: Fallacies as Derailments of Strategic Manoeuvring . . . . .</b>	<b>403</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Peter Houtlosser	
20.1	Introduction . . . . .	403
20.2	Hamblin's Revolution in the Study of Fallacies . . . . .	405
20.3	Woods and Walton's Formal Analysis and Walton's Later Analysis . . . . .	406
20.4	Fallacies as Violations of Rules for Critical Discussion . . . . .	407
20.5	Fallacies as Derailments of Strategic Manoeuvring . . . . .	410
20.6	A Case in Point: Argumentation from Authority . . . . .	414
20.7	Conclusion. . . . .	415
	Appendix: Pragma-Dialectical Rules for Critical Discussion and Fallacies . . . . .	416
	References. . . . .	421
<b>21</b>	<b>Strategic Maneuvering with the Burden of Proof . . . . .</b>	<b>425</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Peter Houtlosser	
21.1	The Pragma-Dialectical Approach to Argumentation . . . . .	425
21.2	Strategic Maneuvering in Argumentative Discourse. . . . .	427

21.3	The ‘Burden of Proof’ as a Procedural Concept . . . . .	429
21.4	Strategic Maneuvering with Regard to the Burden of Proof. . . . .	435
21.4.1	Confrontation Stage . . . . .	435
21.4.2	Opening Stage . . . . .	436
21.4.3	Argumentation Stage. . . . .	437
21.4.4	Concluding Stage . . . . .	439
21.5	Conclusion. . . . .	440
	References. . . . .	440
<b>22</b>	<b>Seizing the Occasion: Parameters for Analysing Ways of Strategic Manoeuvring . . . . .</b>	<b>443</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Peter Houtlosser	
22.1	The Strategic Function of Argumentative Moves. . . . .	443
22.2	Parameters Determining the Possibilities for Strategic Manoeuvring . . . . .	443
22.3	Strategic Manoeuvring with Unexpressed Premises: A Case in Point . . . . .	447
22.4	The Case of Moosbrugger . . . . .	451
22.5	Conclusion. . . . .	453
	References. . . . .	454
<b>23</b>	<b>A Pragmatic View of the Burden of Proof . . . . .</b>	<b>455</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Peter Houtlosser	
23.1	A Dialectical Profile of the Division of the Burden of Proof. . . . .	455
23.1.1	Opening Stage . . . . .	456
23.2	Acquiring a Burden of Proof . . . . .	457
23.3	Relating the Burden of Proof to the Pragmatic Status Quo. . . . .	459
23.4	Violating the Pragmatic Status Quo. . . . .	461
23.5	A Pragmatic View on Deciding the Order of Defense in a Mixed Dispute. . . . .	462
23.6	Conclusion. . . . .	464
	References. . . . .	464
 <b>Part VI Analysis as Reconstruction</b>		
<b>24</b>	<b>Reconstructing Argumentative Discourse with the Help of Speech Act Conditions . . . . .</b>	<b>469</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen	
24.1	A Pragma-Dialectical Approach to Argumentative Discourse. . . . .	469
24.2	Distribution of Speech Acts in a Critical Discussion . . . . .	471
24.3	Integration of Searlean and Gricean Insights. . . . .	473



24.4	Normative Reconstruction of Conversational Argument . . . . .	476
24.5	Dialectical Transformations . . . . .	478
24.6	The Interpretation of Indirect Speech Acts . . . . .	479
24.7	The Substitution of Indirect Arguments and Standpoints . . . . .	481
24.8	Clues for the Reconstruction of Argumentation in the Macro-context . . . . .	482
24.9	Conclusion. . . . .	484
	References. . . . .	485
<b>25</b>	<b>Analyzing Argumentative Discourse. . . . .</b>	<b>487</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Rob Grootendorst	
25.1	Rhetorical Stages in Persuading an Audience . . . . .	487
25.2	Dialectical Stages in Resolving a Dispute . . . . .	489
25.3	Dialectical Versus Rhetorical Stages . . . . .	490
25.4	An Ideal Model of a Critical Discussion and Argumentative Reality. . . . .	491
25.5	Classification of Speech Acts . . . . .	492
25.6	Distribution of Speech Acts in a Critical Discussion . . . . .	494
	25.6.1 Assertives . . . . .	494
	25.6.2 Directives . . . . .	495
	25.6.3 Commissives . . . . .	495
	25.6.4 Expressives . . . . .	495
	25.6.5 Declaratives . . . . .	496
25.7	A Pragma-Dialectical Analysis of Argumentative Discourse. . . . .	497
25.8	Transformations in a Pragma-Dialectical Analysis. . . . .	499
25.9	An Analytic Overview. . . . .	504
	Reference . . . . .	506
<b>26</b>	<b>Analysis and Evaluation of Argumentative Discourse . . . . .</b>	<b>507</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen	
26.1	Introduction . . . . .	507
26.2	The Research Challenge . . . . .	508
26.3	Examples. . . . .	510
26.4	Research Method . . . . .	511
26.5	Recent Research . . . . .	514
26.6	Research Proposal. . . . .	517
26.7	Practical Relevance . . . . .	518
	References. . . . .	518
<b>27</b>	<b>The Pragma-Dialectical Method of Analysis and Evaluation . . . . .</b>	<b>521</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren	
27.1	Purpose of My Presentation . . . . .	521
27.2	Reconstructing an Analytic Overview and Identifying Fallacious Moves . . . . .	522
27.3	KLM Apologizes for Destroying Squirrels . . . . .	524

27.4	The Discussion Stages of the Resolution Process . . . . .	525
27.5	The Difference of Opinion . . . . .	529
27.6	The Point of Departure of the Discussion. . . . .	530
27.7	The Expressed and Unexpressed Premises of the Argumentation . . . . .	531
27.8	The Argument Schemes that Are Employed . . . . .	533
27.9	The Argumentation Structure . . . . .	534
27.10	The Strategic Maneuvering Taking Place in the Discourse . . . . .	537
27.11	The Evaluation of the Argumentative Discourse . . . . .	540
	References. . . . .	542
<b>28</b>	<b>Making the Best of Argumentative Discourse . . . . .</b>	<b>543</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Rob Grootendorst	
28.1	Pragma-Dialectical Analysis of Argumentative Discourse. . . . .	543
28.2	Interpreting Argumentative Discourse . . . . .	545
28.3	Analysis Versus Interpretation . . . . .	546
	28.3.1 A Posteriori and a Priori . . . . .	547
	28.3.2 A Priori Analysis . . . . .	548
28.4	Principles of Ordinary Discourse. . . . .	549
28.5	Empirical Grounds for Reconstruction . . . . .	552
28.6	Theoretical Grounds for Reconstruction . . . . .	553
	References. . . . .	554
 <b>Part VII Fallacies in Argumentative Discourse</b>		
<b>29</b>	<b>Fallacies in Pragma-Dialectical Perspective . . . . .</b>	<b>557</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and R. Grootendorst	
29.1	Fallacies as Incorrect Moves in a Discussion . . . . .	557
29.2	Dialectical Rules and Violations of the Rules . . . . .	559
29.3	The Pragmatic Dimension of Fallacies . . . . .	567
29.4	The Conditional Character of Fallacy Analysis . . . . .	570
29.5	Advantages of a Pragma-Dialectical Approach . . . . .	571
	References. . . . .	573
<b>30</b>	<b>Fallacies as Derailments of Argumentative Discourse Acceptance Based on Understanding and Critical Assessment. . . . .</b>	<b>575</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren	
30.1	Engaging in a Pragma-Dialectical Approach of Argumentation . . . . .	575
30.2	Maintaining Reasonableness in Argumentative Discourse. . . . .	577
30.3	Aiming for Effectiveness in Argumentative Discourse . . . . .	579
30.4	Strategic Maneuvering to Combine Effectiveness and Reasonableness. . . . .	581

30.5	The Need for a Comprehensive Approach of the Fallacies . . . . .	584
30.6	The Pragma-Dialectical Treatment of the Fallacies . . . . .	586
30.7	Strategic Maneuvering and the Deceptiveness of Fallacies . . . . .	586
30.8	Context-Independent Criteria for Judging Fallaciousness . . . . .	589
30.9	Context-Dependent Criteria for Judging Fallaciousness . . . . .	591
	References. . . . .	592
<b>31</b>	<b>Linguistic Criteria for Judging Composition and Division Fallacies . . . . .</b>	<b>595</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen	
31.1	Introduction . . . . .	595
31.2	Properties of Wholes and the Constituent Parts. . . . .	595
31.3	Reconstruction of the Argument Form of Part/Whole Argumentation . . . . .	596
31.4	The Crucial Argument Scheme in Part/Whole Argumentation . . . . .	597
31.5	Absolute and Relative Properties. . . . .	598
31.6	Structured and Unstructured Wholes . . . . .	600
31.7	The Transferability of Properties. . . . .	600
31.8	Non-transferable Properties . . . . .	601
31.9	Characterization of the Fallacies of Composition and Division . . . . .	603
31.10	The Identification of Composition and Division Fallacies. . . . .	605
31.11	Composition and Division Fallacies as Derailments of Strategic Manoeuvring. . . . .	607
	References. . . . .	609
<b>32</b>	<b>The <i>History of the Argumentum Ad Hominem</i> Since the Seventeenth Century . . . . .</b>	<b>611</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Rob Grootendorst	
32.1	Introduction . . . . .	611
32.2	The Main Pre-hamblin Approaches . . . . .	611
	32.2.1 The <i>Argumentum Ad Hominem</i> Is Placed Third in Locke's List . . . . .	612
32.3	The Standard Treatment. . . . .	615
32.4	Hamblin's Criticism of the Standard Treatment. . . . .	619
32.5	Post-hamblin Developments . . . . .	620
	References. . . . .	627
<b>33</b>	<b>How to Respond to Fallacious Moves? . . . . .</b>	<b>631</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Peter Houtlosser	
33.1	What Is Wrong When a Fallacy Has Been Committed? . . . . .	631

33.2	Responding Constructively to Fallacious Moves . . . . .	635
33.3	Conclusion. . . . .	639
	References. . . . .	640

## **Part VIII Various Theoretical Issues**

<b>34</b>	<b>In Context Giving Contextualization Its Rightful Place in the Study of Argumentation . . . . .</b>	<b>645</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren	
34.1	The Role of Context in a Reconstructive Analysis . . . . .	645
34.2	Walton's Postmodern View of Argumentation in Context . . . . .	649
34.3	Contextualization in Institutionalized Communicative Activity Types . . . . .	652
34.4	Macro-Contextual Conventionalization and the Identification of Fallacies . . . . .	657
34.5	Conclusion. . . . .	662
	References. . . . .	664
<b>35</b>	<b>The Role of Logic in Analyzing and Evaluating Argumentation . . . . .</b>	<b>667</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren	
35.1	Introduction . . . . .	667
35.2	The Role of Logic in Reconstructing Unexpressed Premises . . . . .	669
35.3	The Role of Logic in Evaluating Argumentation in Discourse. . . . .	673
35.4	Conclusion. . . . .	679
	References. . . . .	680
<b>36</b>	<b>Dialectical Profiles and Indicators of Argumentative Moves . . . . .</b>	<b>681</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren, Peter Houtlosser and A. Francisca Snoeck Henkemans	
36.1	A Pragma-Dialectical Perspective on Argumentative Discourse. . . . .	681
36.2	Argumentative Indicators and the Model of a Critical Discussion . . . . .	686
36.3	Dialectical Profiles of Sequences of Moves in a Critical Discussion . . . . .	688
36.4	Determining the Material Point of Departure for the Discussion. . . . .	689
36.5	Indicators of Causal Arguments and Critical Reactions to Causal Arguments . . . . .	694

36.6	Indicators of Complementary Coordinative Argumentation . . . . .	696
36.7	Conclusion. . . . .	699
	References. . . . .	700
<b>37</b>	<b>Identifying Argumentation Schemes. . . . .</b>	<b>703</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Tjark Krugier	
37.1	Argumentation Schemes and Argumentation Assessment . . . . .	703
37.2	A Typology of Argumentation Schemes . . . . .	704
37.3	Verbal Indications of the Argumentation Types . . . . .	707
37.4	Contextual Indications for the Type of Argumentation. . . . .	709
	References. . . . .	711
<b>38</b>	<b>From Analysis to Presentation: A Pragma-Dialectical Approach to Writing Argumentative Texts . . . . .</b>	<b>713</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Rob Grootendorst	
38.1	Introduction . . . . .	713
38.2	The Analysis of Argumentative Texts . . . . .	714
38.3	The Presentation of Argumentative Texts. . . . .	715
	38.3.1 A Simple Approach to Rewriting . . . . .	716
	38.3.2 A More Sophisticated Approach to Rewriting. . . . .	717
	38.3.3 Our Approach to Rewriting . . . . .	717
38.4	From Analytic Transformations to Presentation Transformations . . . . .	718
	38.4.1 Four Ways of Dealing with Feedback from the Primary Text. . . . .	718
	38.4.2 Four Questions a Rewriter Has to Deal with . . . . .	720
38.5	Presentation Transformations Concerning Deletion . . . . .	721
38.6	Other Presentation Transformations. . . . .	723
	38.6.1 The Presentation Transformation of Addition . . . . .	723
	38.6.2 The Presentation Transformation of Permutation . . . . .	723
	38.6.3 The Presentation Transformation of Substitution . . . . .	724
38.7	An Example of Pragma-Dialectical Presentation Strategies. . . . .	724
	38.7.1 Primary Text . . . . .	724
	38.7.2 Revised Text . . . . .	727
38.8	Conclusion. . . . .	728
	References. . . . .	729

## Part IX Experimental Research Concerning Argumentation

<b>39 The Skill of Identifying Argumentation</b> . . . . .	733
Frans H. van Eemeren, Rob Grootendorst and Bert Meuffels	
39.1 A Cognitive Caesura? . . . . .	733
39.2 Method and Procedure. . . . .	735
39.2.1 Instruction and Testing . . . . .	736
39.2.2 Description of Tests . . . . .	736
39.3 Results . . . . .	738
39.4 Conclusion. . . . .	740
References. . . . .	741
 <b>40 Student Performance in Identifying Unexpressed</b>	
<b>Premises and Argumentation Schemes</b> . . . . .	743
Frans H. van Eemeren, Kees de Glopper,	
Rob Grootendorst and Ron Oostdam	
40.1 Introduction . . . . .	743
40.2 Theoretical Background. . . . .	743
40.2.1 Unexpressed Premises . . . . .	743
40.2.2 Argumentation Schemes . . . . .	744
40.3 Hypotheses . . . . .	746
40.4 Design. . . . .	747
40.4.1 Test Format . . . . .	747
40.4.2 Identifying Unexpressed Premises (Test 1) . . . . .	748
40.4.3 Identifying Argumentation Schemes (Test 2) . . . . .	749
40.4.4 Subjects. . . . .	750
40.5 Results . . . . .	751
40.5.1 Identifying Unexpressed Premises . . . . .	751
40.5.2 Identifying Argumentation Schemes . . . . .	751
40.5.3 Individual Differences . . . . .	753
40.5.4 Individual Differences and Schooltype. . . . .	755
40.6 Discussion . . . . .	755
References. . . . .	756
 <b>41 The Extended Pragma-Dialectical Argumentation</b>	
<b>Theory Empirically Interpreted.</b> . . . .	759
Frans van Eemeren, Bart Garssen and Bert Meuffels	
41.1 The Analytical Status of the Notion	
of ‘Strategic Maneuvering’. . . . .	759
41.2 Three Predictions . . . . .	761
41.3 Prediction 1 . . . . .	762
41.3.1 Method Prediction 1 . . . . .	762
41.3.2 Results Prediction 1 . . . . .	763
41.4 Prediction 2 . . . . .	765
41.4.1 Methodological Considerations. . . . .	765

41.4.2	Method Prediction 2 . . . . .	767
41.4.3	Results Prediction 2 . . . . .	767
41.5	Prediction 3 . . . . .	769
41.5.1	Method Prediction 3 . . . . .	769
41.5.2	Results Prediction 3 . . . . .	769
41.6	Conclusion. . . . .	770
	References. . . . .	771
<b>42</b>	<b>Effectiveness Through Reasonableness: A Pragma-Dialectical Perspective . . . . .</b>	<b>773</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren, Bart Garssen and Bert Meuffels	
42.1	Introduction . . . . .	773
42.2	The Pragma-Dialectical Theory of Argumentation . . . . .	774
42.3	The Pragma-Dialectical Treatment of the Fallacies . . . . .	776
42.4	Fallacies as Derailments of Strategic Maneuvering . . . . .	777
42.5	Empirical Interpretation of the Extended Pragma-Dialectical Model . . . . .	780
42.5.1	Hypothesis 1 . . . . .	782
42.5.2	Hypothesis 2 . . . . .	786
42.5.3	Hypothesis 3 . . . . .	789
42.6	Conclusions and Implications for Pragma-Dialectical Effectiveness Research . . . . .	790
	References. . . . .	793
<b>43</b>	<b>The Disguised <i>abusive ad hominem</i> Empirically Investigated: Strategic Maneuvering with Direct Personal Attacks . . . . .</b>	<b>795</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren, Bart Garssen and Bert Meuffels	
43.1	Introduction . . . . .	795
43.2	The <i>ad hominem</i> Fallacy . . . . .	796
43.3	The <i>abusive ad hominem</i> According to Woods and Walton . . . . .	798
43.4	The <i>abusive ad hominem</i> as a Violation of the Freedom Rule . . . . .	799
43.5	The <i>abusive ad hominem</i> as a Derailment of Strategic Maneuvering. . . . .	801
43.6	Empirical Research Concerning Strategic Maneuvering with Abusive Attacks . . . . .	805
43.7	Hypothesis. . . . .	807
43.8	Material. . . . .	807
43.9	Participants . . . . .	809
43.10	Statistical Analysis . . . . .	809
43.11	Results . . . . .	810
43.12	Replication. . . . .	811
43.13	Conclusion. . . . .	812
	References. . . . .	812

<b>44 The Disguised <i>ad baculum</i> Fallacy Empirically Investigated.</b>	
<b>Strategic Maneuvering with Threats . . . . .</b>	<b>815</b>
Frans H. van Eemeren, Bart Garssen and Bert Meuffels	
44.1 The argumentum ad baculum in the Standard	
Theory of Pragma-Dialectics . . . . .	815
44.2 The argumentum ad baculum in the Extended	
Theory of Pragma-Dialectics . . . . .	816
44.3 Pragmatic Argumentation, Advising and Threatening. . . . .	818
44.4 The Experiment . . . . .	820
44.4.1 Material. . . . .	820
44.4.2 Participants . . . . .	823
44.4.3 Statistical Analysis . . . . .	823
44.4.4 Results . . . . .	823
44.5 Replication. . . . .	824
44.6 Conclusion. . . . .	825
References. . . . .	826

## Part X Case Studies

<b>45 Democracy and Argumentation . . . . .</b>	<b>829</b>
Frans H. van Eemeren	
45.1 Argumentation in the Political Context of Democracy . . . . .	829
45.2 Developments Towards Democracy. . . . .	829
45.3 The Eastern European Syllogism. . . . .	830
45.4 Democracy as “Organised Uncertainty” . . . . .	831
45.5 The Modern Conception of Democracy . . . . .	832
45.6 The Classical Conception of Democracy . . . . .	834
45.7 Democracy as an Organisational System . . . . .	835
45.8 Participatory Democracy and Critical Discussion. . . . .	837
45.9 Higher Order Conditions for Critical Discussion . . . . .	839
45.10 The Role of Argumentation in Democratic Change . . . . .	842
References. . . . .	842
<b>46 In Varietate Concordia—United in Diversity European</b>	
<b>Parliamentary Debate as an Argumentative Activity Type . . . . .</b>	<b>845</b>
Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen	
46.1 Introduction . . . . .	845
46.2 Conventionalized Communicative Practices	
as Argumentative Activity Types . . . . .	846
46.3 Institutional Preconditions for Strategic Maneuvering. . . . .	849
46.4 Plenary Debate in the European Parliament . . . . .	852
46.5 Preliminary Observations Concerning Strategic	
Maneuvering in European Parliamentary Debate . . . . .	855
46.6 Conclusion. . . . .	859
References. . . . .	859



<b>47</b>	<b>Exploiting the Room for Strategic Maneuvering in Argumentative Discourse Dealing with Audience Demand in the European Parliament. . . . .</b>	<b>861</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Bart Garssen	
47.1	The Contextualization of Strategic Maneuvering in Communicative Activity Types . . . . .	861
47.2	Characterizing Communicative Activity Types Argumentatively . . . . .	862
47.3	Extrinsic Constraints on Strategic Maneuvering in Political Deliberation . . . . .	864
47.4	The Complexities of Dealing with Audience Demand in Political Deliberation . . . . .	866
47.5	The Audience's Frame of Reference and the Argumentative Situation . . . . .	868
47.6	Adapting to Audience Demand in the Plenary Debate in the European Parliament . . . . .	870
47.7	Conclusion. . . . .	872
	References. . . . .	873
<b>48</b>	<b>Flexible Facts: A Pragma-Dialectical Analysis of a Burden of Proof Manipulation. . . . .</b>	<b>875</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Peter Houtlosser	
48.1	Odd Communications . . . . .	875
48.2	Standpoints in a Critical Discussion . . . . .	876
48.3	Standpoints and Modalities . . . . .	877
48.4	Strategic Manoeuvring. . . . .	879
48.5	Strategic Absurdity . . . . .	880
48.6	Conclusion. . . . .	882
	References. . . . .	882
<b>49</b>	<b>William the Silent's Argumentative Discourse . . . . .</b>	<b>883</b>
	Frans H. van Eemeren and Peter Houtlosser	
49.1	William the Silent and the Dutch Revolt . . . . .	883
49.2	An Integrated Method of Analysis . . . . .	884
49.3	Williams' Apologie as a Specimen of Argumentative Discourse . . . . .	885
49.4	Analysis of Williams' Strategic Manoeuvring . . . . .	886
	49.4.1 Confrontation Stage . . . . .	886
	49.4.2 Opening Stage . . . . .	888
	49.4.3 Argumentation Stage . . . . .	888
	49.4.4 Concluding Stage . . . . .	889
49.5	Conclusion. . . . .	890
	References. . . . .	890

<b>50 The Rhetoric of William the Silent's <i>Apologie</i></b>	
<b>a Dialectical Perspective . . . . .</b>	893
Frans H. van Eemeren and Peter Houtlosser	
50.1 The <i>Apologie</i> Situated in Its Historical Context. . . . .	893
50.2 A Pragma-Dialectical Method of Analysis . . . . .	895
50.3 Strategic Manoeuvring in the Opening Stage	
of the <i>Apologie</i> . . . . .	896
50.4 Conclusion. . . . .	899
References. . . . .	900
 <b>Erratum to: Student Performance in Identifying Unexpressed</b>	
<b>Premises and Argumentation Schemes. . . . .</b>	E1
Frans H. van Eemeren, Kees de Glopper, Rob Grootendorst	
and Ron Oostdam	
 <b>List of Sources . . . . .</b>	901

Reasonableness and Effectiveness in Argumentative  
Discourse

Fifty Contributions to the Development of  
Pragma-Dialectics

van Eemeren, F.H.

2015, XXIV, 904 p. 35 illus., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-20954-8