

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Economic Background of Competition Policy in China	3
2.1	State of Development of Chinese Economy	3
2.1.1	Planned Economy Until 1978	4
2.1.2	Economic Reforms Since 1978	5
2.1.2.1	Dual-Track System	6
2.1.2.2	Emergence of TVEs	6
2.1.2.3	Commencement of Foreign Direct Investment	7
2.1.2.4	Endorsement of a Socialist Market Economy	8
2.1.3	Establishment of a Legal Framework for the Market Economy	9
2.1.3.1	Enactment of Basic Economic Laws	9
2.1.3.2	Recognition of Market Integration at Constitutional Level	10
2.1.4	Impact of WTO Accession	11
2.1.5	Status Quo of Economic Development	11
2.2	Reform of State-Owned Enterprises	12
2.2.1	Privatisation of Small and Medium-Sized SOEs	13
2.2.2	Restructuring of Retained SOEs	14
2.2.3	Corporatisation and Regulatory Reform	15
2.2.4	Reform of Industry Structures: Public vs Private Sector	16
2.2.4.1	Retreatment from Nonessential Sectors	17
2.2.4.1.1	State Council 2005 Opinions	17
2.2.4.1.2	State Council 2010 Opinions	17
2.2.4.2	Concentration in Strategic Sectors and Formation of National Champions	19
2.2.4.2.1	SASAC 2006 Opinion	19
2.2.4.2.2	Specific Industries	21
2.2.5	Current Market Structure	22
2.3	Policies on Foreign Direct Investments	24
2.3.1	Regulation of FDI	24
2.3.2	Importance of Foreign M&A in China	26

2.3.3	Market Distortions by Foreign M&A and a Call for a Stronger Market Protection	27
2.4	Summary	29
3	Historical Development of Chinese Merger Control Regime	31
3.1	Discriminatory Merger Review Framework Prior to the Adoption of the AML	31
3.1.1	Lack of Merger Control for Purely Domestic Transactions	31
3.1.2	Merger Control Regime Under the M&A Rules 2006 and Guidelines 2007	32
3.1.2.1	Scope of Application	34
3.1.2.1.1	Lack of Definition of “Acquisition”	34
3.1.2.1.2	Different Criteria as Notification Thresholds	35
3.1.2.2	Procedural Aspects	36
3.1.2.3	Substantive Standard	36
3.1.2.4	Exemptions from Antitrust Review	37
3.1.2.5	National Security Review	37
3.1.2.6	Merger Control Enforcement	37
3.2	Formation of Merger Control Regime Under the AML	38
3.2.1	Impetus for Adoption of the AML	40
3.2.2	Policy Concerns Delaying Adoption of the AML	41
3.2.3	Legislative Amendments	42
3.2.3.1	Notification Thresholds	43
3.2.3.2	Sectoral Application	44
3.2.3.3	Provisions Coloured with Industrial Policy Considerations	44
3.3	Summary	45
4	Institutional Framework for Enforcement of the AML	47
4.1	Establishment of Two-Tier Enforcement Regime	47
4.2	Enforcement Agencies	50
4.2.1	AMC	50
4.2.2	MOFCOM and Anti-monopoly Bureau	51
4.2.3	Local Authorities	53
4.3	Summary	54
5	Objectives and Policy Standards of Merger Control as Embodied in the AML	55
5.1	Multitude of Competition and Non-competition Goals in Article 1 AML	56
5.1.1	Competition Goals	57
5.1.1.1	Fair Market Competition	57
5.1.1.2	Economic Efficiency	59
5.1.1.3	Consumer Welfare	61
5.1.2	Non-competition Goals	62
5.1.2.1	Notion of “Social Public Interest” and “Healthy Development of the Socialist Market Economy”	62
5.1.2.2	Inclusion of Industrial Policy	63

5.1.2.2.1	Promotion of Formation of National Champions	64
5.1.2.2.1.1	Drafting Documents	65
5.1.2.2.1.2	Article 5 AML	66
5.1.2.2.1.3	Article 7 AML	66
5.1.2.2.1.4	Merger Control Provisions . . .	67
5.1.2.2.2	Prevention of Foreign Takeovers of Domestic Enterprises	68
5.1.2.2.2.1	Drafting Documents	68
5.1.2.2.2.2	SAIC 2004 Report	69
5.1.2.2.2.3	Merger Control Provisions . . .	70
5.1.2.2.2.4	National Security Review . . .	71
5.2	Reasons for the Consideration of Non-competition Criteria	72
5.2.1	International Comparison	73
5.2.2	Unique Chinese Characteristics	78
5.2.2.1	Constitutional Constraints	78
5.2.2.1.1	Socialist Market Economy	78
5.2.2.1.2	Supremacy of the State Sector	79
5.2.2.2	Historical Tradition of Government Intervention	80
5.2.2.3	Stage of Economic Development	81
5.3	Limits for the Consideration of Industrial Policy Goals	84
5.3.1	Reconciliation with Other Competition Policy Goals	84
5.3.2	Need for Competition in Home Markets	86
5.3.3	Transparency and Legal Certainty	87
5.4	Summary	88
6	Overview of Published Decisions	91
6.1	InBev/AB	91
6.2	Coca-Cola/Huiyuan	93
6.3	Mitsubishi Rayon/Lucite	95
6.4	GM/Delphi	97
6.5	Pfizer/Wyeth	99
6.6	Panasonic/Sanyo	100
6.7	Novartis/Alcon	104
6.8	Uralkali/Silvinit	105
6.9	Alpha V/Savio	108
6.10	GE China/Shenhua	109
6.11	Seagate/Samsung	111
6.12	Henkel HK/Tiande	116
6.13	Western Digital/Hitachi	117
6.14	Google/Motorola Mobility	120
6.15	UTC/Goodrich	124
6.16	Wal-Mart/Yihaodian	126
6.17	ARM/G&D/Gemalto	127
6.18	Glencore/Xstrata	129
6.19	Marubeni/Gavilon	140
6.20	Baxter/Gambro	143
6.21	MediaTek/MStar	146
6.22	Summary	149

7	Scope of Application of Merger Control Law	151
7.1	Business Operators	152
7.2	Concentration	152
7.2.1	Acquisition of Control	153
7.2.1.1	Definition Under Draft Notification Rules March 2008	154
7.2.1.2	Definition Under Draft Notification Measures January 2009	155
7.2.1.3	Possible Standards for Definition	155
7.2.1.4	The Case of Minority Shareholdings	157
7.2.2	Acquisition of Decisive Influence	158
7.2.3	Joint Ventures	159
7.2.3.1	General Qualification as Concentration	159
7.2.3.2	Specific Requirements for Qualification	160
7.2.4	Filing Exemption for Intra-Group Reorganisations Under Article 22 AML	161
7.3	Notification Thresholds and Discretionary Review Power	161
7.3.1	Turnover Thresholds Under Notification Thresholds Provisions	161
7.3.1.1	Turnover Criteria	162
7.3.1.2	Local Nexus by Referring to Business Activities of at Least Two Business Operators	162
7.3.1.3	Evidence for Industrial Policy Consideration	164
7.3.2	Calculation of Turnover	164
7.3.2.1	Notion of Turnover	165
7.3.2.2	Turnover of Affiliated Companies	165
7.3.2.2.1	Group-Wide Calculation as General Rule	166
7.3.2.2.2	Limitation to Target for Calculation of Seller Turnover	166
7.3.2.3	Aggregation of Transactions	167
7.3.3	Discretionary Power of MOFCOM to Review Transactions Under the Notification Thresholds	167
7.4	Extraterritorial Application Under Article 2 AML	169
7.4.1	Lack of Significance Test	170
7.4.2	Outlook on Enforcement Issues in the International Context	171
7.5	Sectoral Application	173
7.5.1	Agricultural Sector	174
7.5.2	State-Owned Industries	174
7.5.2.1	Statutory Exemptions	174
7.5.2.1.1	Article 7 AML	175
7.5.2.1.1.1	Business Operators Covered by Article 7 AML	175
7.5.2.1.1.2	Impact of Article 7 AML	177
7.5.2.1.1.2.1	Exemption from AML	177
7.5.2.1.1.2.2	Special Jurisdiction of Regulatory Agencies	180

	7.5.2.1.2	Article 22 AML	181
	7.5.2.1.3	Industry Restructuring Plans	183
	7.5.2.1.4	Stipulations Confirming AML Application	184
	7.5.2.2	<i>De facto</i> Exemption	185
	7.5.2.2.1	China Unicom/China Netcom	185
	7.5.2.2.2	Other Cases	187
	7.5.2.3	Recent Increased Enforcement Scrutiny Vis-à-Vis SOEs	189
7.6		Summary	191
8		Merger Review Procedure	193
8.1		Suspension Obligation	194
8.2		Pre-notification Procedure	195
8.3		Notification	196
	8.3.1	Time Limits	197
	8.3.1.1	Earliest Moment for Notification	197
	8.3.1.2	Deadline for Notification	199
	8.3.2	Notification Party	200
	8.3.3	Documents	200
	8.3.3.1	Wide-Ranging Documentation Including Non-competition-Related Information	201
	8.3.3.2	Formal Filing Requirements	203
	8.3.3.3	Protection of Confidentiality	203
	8.3.4	Acceptance of Notification and Supplementary Requests	204
8.4		Course of Proceedings	206
	8.4.1	Review Periods	207
	8.4.1.1	High Phase II Rate	208
	8.4.1.2	Lack of “Fast Track” Procedure	210
	8.4.2	Hearings and Parties’ Right of Defence	210
	8.4.3	Consultation of Third Parties	212
8.5		Publication of Decisions	216
	8.5.1	Scope of Publication	216
	8.5.2	Types of Decisions Published	218
8.6		Investigation of Suspicious Mergers and Investigation Powers of MOFCOM	220
	8.6.1	Report of Suspicious Mergers	221
	8.6.2	Course of Proceedings and MOFCOM’s Investigation Powers	221
	8.6.3	Sanctions	223
	8.6.4	Enforcement Against SOEs	224
8.7		Summary	226
9		Substantive Merger Control Test	229
9.1		Relevant Market	230
	9.1.1	Product Market	231
	9.1.1.1	Substitutability Test	231
	9.1.1.2	Hypothetical Monopolist Test	237
	9.1.2	Geographic Market	239
9.2		Prohibition Standard in Article 28 Sentence 1 AML	242
	9.2.1	Factors to Be Considered by MOFCOM Under Article 27 AML	244

9.2.1.1	Parties' Market Shares and Ability to Control the Markets	245
9.2.1.2	Degree of Concentration in Relevant Market	251
9.2.1.3	Influence on Market Access and Technological Progress	253
9.2.1.4	Influence on Consumers and Other Business Operators	255
9.2.1.4.1	Protection of Consumer Welfare	255
9.2.1.4.2	Influence on Other Business Operators	255
9.2.1.5	Influence on National Economic Development	258
9.2.1.6	Discretionary Power of MOFCOM to Consider Additional Factors	259
9.2.1.6.1	Impact of Branding	259
9.2.1.6.2	Other Additional Factors	260
9.2.1.6.2.1	Efficiency Defence	260
9.2.1.6.2.2	Failing Firm Defence	261
9.2.2	Lack of Requirement of "Substantial" Anticompetitive Effects Under Article 28 AML	262
9.2.3	Theories of Harm Applied by MOFCOM	263
9.2.3.1	Horizontal Concentrations	264
9.2.3.1.1	Unilateral Effects	264
9.2.3.1.1.1	Mitsubishi Rayon/Lucite	264
9.2.3.1.1.2	Pfizer/Wyeth	265
9.2.3.1.1.3	Panasonic/Sanyo	266
9.2.3.1.1.4	Novartis/Alcon	266
9.2.3.1.1.5	Uralkali/Silvinit	267
9.2.3.1.1.6	Alpha V/Savio	268
9.2.3.1.1.7	UTC/Goodrich	269
9.2.3.1.1.8	Glencore/Xstrata	269
9.2.3.1.1.9	Marubeni/Gavilon	270
9.2.3.1.1.10	Baxter/Gambro	271
9.2.3.1.1.11	MediaTek/MStar	271
9.2.3.1.1.12	Evaluation	272
9.2.3.1.2	Coordinated Effects	272
9.2.3.1.2.1	Novartis/Alcon	273
9.2.3.1.2.2	Uralkali/Silvinit	273
9.2.3.1.2.3	Alpha V/Savio	274
9.2.3.1.2.4	Seagate/Samsung	274
9.2.3.1.2.5	Western Digital/Hitachi	275
9.2.3.1.2.6	Baxter/Gambro	275
9.2.3.1.2.7	Evaluation	276
9.2.3.2	Vertical Concentrations	277
9.2.3.2.1	Mitsubishi Rayon/Lucite	277
9.2.3.2.2	GM/Delphi	277
9.2.3.2.3	GE China/Shenhua	280
9.2.3.2.4	Henkel HK/Tiande	280
9.2.3.2.5	Google/Motorola Mobility	281
9.2.3.2.6	ARM/G&D/Gemalto	284
9.2.3.2.7	Glencore/Xstrata	285

	9.2.3.2.8	Marubeni/Gavilon	285
	9.2.3.2.9	Evaluation	286
9.2.3.3		Conglomerate Concentrations	286
	9.2.3.3.1	Related Markets	287
	9.2.3.3.2	Assessment of Effects in Coca-Cola/Huiyuan	288
	9.2.3.3.2.1	General Consistency with International Precedents	288
	9.2.3.3.2.1.1	European Commission's Assessment of Conglomerate Cases	288
	9.2.3.3.2.1.2	ACCC's Assessment of CCA/Berri	291
	9.2.3.3.2.2	Likelihood of the Occurrence of Anticompetitive Effects	293
	9.2.3.3.2.3	Justification of the Prohibition	295
	9.2.3.3.3	Assessment of Effects in Wal-Mart/Yihaodian	296
	9.2.3.3.4	Evaluation	297
9.2.4		Relation Between Competition-Based Test Under Article 28 AML and Non-competition-Related Factors Under Article 27 (5) AML	298
	9.2.4.1	Coca-Cola/Huiyuan	298
	9.2.4.1.1	Accusations of Industrial Policy Underpinning	299
	9.2.4.1.2	Arguments in Favour of a Competition-Based Approach	301
	9.2.4.1.3	Foreign Acquisitions Subsequent to <i>Coca-Cola/Huiyuan</i>	305
	9.2.4.1.3.1	Diageo/Quanxing (Shui Jing Fang)	305
	9.2.4.1.3.2	Nestlé/Yinlu	306
	9.2.4.1.3.3	Yum!/Little Sheep	307
	9.2.4.1.3.4	Nestlé/Hsu Fu Chi	308
	9.2.4.2	InBev/AB	308
	9.2.4.3	Transactions Involving Strategically Important Commodities for Chinese Market: Uralkali/Silvinit, Glencore/Xstrata, and Marubeni/Gavilon	310
	9.2.4.4	Evaluation	313
9.3		Imposing Restrictive Conditions Under Article 29 AML	313
	9.3.1	Submission of Remedies by Merging Parties	314
	9.3.2	Standard for Imposing of Restrictive Conditions	315
	9.3.3	Types of Restrictive Conditions	316
	9.3.3.1	MOFCOM's Choice	317
	9.3.3.2	Divestiture Measures	319

9.3.3.2.1	Mitsubishi Rayon/Lucite	321
9.3.3.2.2	Pfizer/Wyeth	322
9.3.3.2.3	Panasonic/Sanyo	323
9.3.3.2.4	Alpha V/Savio	323
9.3.3.2.5	Western Digital/Hitachi	324
9.3.3.2.6	UTC/Goodrich	324
9.3.3.2.7	Glencore/Xstrata	324
9.3.3.2.8	Baxter/Gambro	325
9.3.3.2.9	Evaluation	325
9.3.3.3	Behavioural Remedies	325
9.3.3.3.1	Restrictions on Future Acquisitions or Investments in China	326
9.3.3.3.1.1	InBev/AB	326
9.3.3.3.1.2	Mitsubishi Rayon/Lucite	328
9.3.3.3.2	Restrictions on Future Business Scope: Wal-Mart/Yihaodian	330
9.3.3.3.3	Nondiscrimination and Anti-retaliation Provisions	331
9.3.3.3.3.1	GM/Delphi	331
9.3.3.3.3.2	GE China/Shenhua	331
9.3.3.3.3.3	Henkel HK/Tiande	331
9.3.3.3.3.4	Google/Motorola Mobility	331
9.3.3.3.3.5	ARM/G&D/Gemalto	332
9.3.3.3.4	Maintenance of <i>Status Quo</i> of Supply to China	333
9.3.3.3.4.1	Uralkali/Silvinit	333
9.3.3.3.4.2	Glencore/Xstrata	334
9.3.3.3.5	Abandonment of Business Strategies: Novartis/Alcon	334
9.3.3.3.6	Hold-Separate Obligations	336
9.3.3.3.6.1	Seagate/Samsung	336
9.3.3.3.6.2	Western Digital/Hitachi	336
9.3.3.3.6.3	Marubeni/Gavilon	337
9.3.3.3.6.4	MediaTek/MStar	337
9.3.3.3.7	Ancillary Remedies	338
9.3.3.3.8	Review of Remedies	339
9.3.3.3.9	Evaluation	340
9.4	Exemption from Prohibition Under Article 28 Sentence 2 AML	341
9.4.1	Positive Effects on Competition Significantly Overweigh Negative Effects	341
9.4.2	Concentration Is in Public Interest	343
9.4.2.1	Notion of Public Interest	343
9.4.2.2	Impact of Provision	344
9.5	Summary	346
10	Outlook on Judicial Enforcement of Merger Control Law	347
10.1	Judicial Review of Merger Control Decisions	347
10.1.1	Administrative Reconsideration by MOFCOM	348
10.1.2	Administrative Court Action	349
10.1.3	Third Party Challenge	351

10.2 Private Enforcement of Merger Control 352

10.3 Summary 353

11 Conclusion 355

Appendix: Table of Chinese Legal Documents 357

Bibliography 369

Chinese Merger Control Law

An Assessment of its Competition-Policy Orientation
after the First Years of Application

Weinreich-Zhao, T.

2015, XIX, 393 p., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-662-43867-1