

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>China's Top 100 Firms CSR Development Index (2012)</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Research Methodology and Technical Route	1
1.1.1	Theoretical Model	3
1.1.2	Benchmarking Analysis	4
1.1.3	Industry-Specific Evaluation Index System	4
1.1.4	Value Assignment and Ratings	8
1.1.5	Targeted Enterprises	12
1.1.6	Information Sources	15
1.1.7	Validity Period of Information	16
1.1.8	Developmental Stages	16
1.2	Evaluation Results	17
1.2.1	Rankings	17
1.2.2	Leading Practices	17
1.3	Best in Class and Leaders (32 Firms)	17
1.3.1	Analysis of Business Type	17
1.3.2	Best in Class and Leaders Operate Mainly in the Metal Smelting and Pressing Industry	30
1.3.3	The Social Responsibility Rating for Best in Class and Leaders Is Comparatively High, While that of Environmental Responsibility Is the Lowest	30
1.4	Followers (42 Firms)	31
1.4.1	Analysis of Business Type	31
1.4.2	Followers Are Predominantly Mixed Industries	31
1.4.3	Followers' Performance Shined in Social Responsibilities, While Performance in Responsibility Management Was Relatively Poor	32
1.5	Starters (41 Firms)	33
1.5.1	Analysis of Business Type	34
1.5.2	Starters Enterprises Are Widely Distributed Among Different Industries and 12 Industries Each Have One Starter	34

1.5.3	Starters Scored Evenly in All Four CSR Indices; Environmental Responsibility Received the Highest Rating . . . . .	35
1.6	Bystanders (185 Firms) . . . . .	36
1.6.1	Analysis of Business Type . . . . .	36
1.6.2	Bystanders Operate Mainly in Mixed Industries . . . . .	36
1.6.3	Among the Top 100, Bystanders Scored Below Average, with a Responsibility Management Rating of Less Than 5 Points . . . . .	37
1.7	Phase-Specific Characteristics of CSR Development of China's Top 100 Firms . . . . .	39
1.7.1	China's Top 100 Firms on Average Scored 23.1 Points, and as a Whole, They Have Transitioned from Being Bystanders to Starters. However, 60 % of Companies Remain as Bystanders, and 15 Companies Scored Zero or Even Negative Points . . . . .	39
1.7.2	SOEs Outperformed Private and Foreign-Funded Enterprises Both on the CSR Index and in Annual Increase . . .	40
1.7.3	Taiwan-Funded Enterprises Scored the Highest in CSR Performance . . . . .	41
1.7.4	For China's Top 100 Firms, the Rating for Responsibility Management Is Lower Than the Rating for CSR Practices, While the Rating for Marketing Responsibility Is Higher Than that of Environmental and Social Responsibilities . . .	42
1.7.5	Eighty-Seven Companies Ranked Higher in 2012 Than in 2011 . . . . .	43
1.7.6	One Hundred and Twenty-Seven Companies Ranked Lower in 2012 Than in 2011 . . . . .	43
	Appendix: China's Top 100 Enterprises CSR Development Index (2012) . .	45
<b>2</b>	<b>China's Top 100 Foreign-Funded Enterprises CSR Development Index (2012)</b> . . . . .	<b>83</b>
2.1	Characteristics of Target Enterprises . . . . .	83
2.1.1	Top 100 Foreign-Funded Companies Operate in 29 Industries . . . . .	83
2.1.2	Most of the Companies Are US-Funded, and Demonstrates Typical Country-Specific Characteristics . . . . .	84
2.2	Rating Results . . . . .	84
2.3	Phase-Specific Characteristics for the Top 100 Foreign-Funded Firms . . . . .	85
2.3.1	The Overall Level of CSR Is Low; Nearly 80 % of the Enterprises Are Bystanders . . . . .	85
2.3.2	Taiwan-Funded and Japan-Funded Enterprises Fall under the Beginning Stages of CSR Development . . . . .	85

2.3.3	Responsibility Management Lags Behind Responsibility Practices; Foreign Funded Companies Perform Relatively Well in Environmental Responsibility . . . . .	94
	Appendix: China's Top 100 Foreign-Funded Enterprises CSR Development Index (2012) . . . . .	95
<b>3</b>	<b>CSR Development Index of the Banking Industry (2012)</b> . . . . .	107
3.1	Research Overview . . . . .	107
3.2	Rating Results . . . . .	107
3.3	Phase-Specific Characteristics . . . . .	111
3.3.1	Banking Industry Averaged 40.1 Points on the CSR Index, Remaining at the Followers Stage . . . . .	111
3.3.2	CSR Levels Varied Considerably Within the Banking Industry . . . . .	111
3.3.3	Private Banks Outperformed State-Owned and Foreign-Funded Banks . . . . .	112
3.3.4	Responsibility Practices Are Ahead of Responsibility Management, and Marketing Responsibility Scored Higher Than Environmental and Social Responsibility . . . . .	113
3.3.5	Of the Banks Evaluated, 62 % Released CSR Reports, Demonstrating a High Level of CSR Information Disclosure . . . . .	113
3.4	Model Cases . . . . .	114
3.4.1	China Minsheng Bank Set Up CMBC Social Responsibility Management Committee . . . . .	114
3.4.2	Industrial Bank Follows in Step with International Norms, and Transmits the Voice of the Chinese Banking Sector . . . . .	115
3.4.3	Bank of Communications Set Up the Social Responsible Committee Under the Board of Directors . . . . .	116
	Appendix: CSR Development Index for the Banking Industry (2012) . . . . .	117
<b>4</b>	<b>CSR Development Index of the Communication Industry (2012)</b> . . . . .	119
4.1	Research Overview . . . . .	119
4.2	Rating Results . . . . .	119
4.3	Phase-Specific Characteristics . . . . .	122
4.3.1	Communication Industry Averaged 36.6 Points, Standing at the Starter Stage . . . . .	122
4.3.2	CSR Levels Within the Industry Varied Greatly . . . . .	123
4.3.3	State-Owned and Private Companies Scored Higher Than Foreign-Funded Enterprises, Each Remaining at the Follower and Starter Stage, Respectively . . . . .	123
4.3.4	Responsibility Practices Outperformed Responsibility Management, and Environmental Responsibility Scored Higher Than Economic and Social Responsibility . . . . .	124

4.3.5	In Total, 62.5 % of Communication Enterprises Released CSR Reports, Showing a High Level of CSR Information Disclosure . . . . .	124
4.4	Model Cases . . . . .	125
4.4.1	Huawei Co., Ltd.: CSR Strategy . . . . .	125
4.4.2	China Mobile: Key CSR Management Tasks . . . . .	127
	Appendix: CSR Development Index for the Communication Industry (2012) . . . . .	128
<b>5</b>	<b>CSR Development Index for the Electronics Industry (2012).</b> . . . .	<b>129</b>
5.1	Research Overview . . . . .	129
5.2	Rating Results . . . . .	129
5.3	Phase-Specific Characteristics . . . . .	131
5.3.1	Electronics Industry Averaged 31.5 Points, Staying at the Starter Stage . . . . .	131
5.3.2	CSR Levels Vary Considerably Within the Electronics Industry, Most of the Industry Consists of Bystanders . . . . .	132
5.3.3	SOEs Performed Best and Were Assessed as Leaders, Followed by Foreign-Funded Enterprises as Followers, and Private Enterprises as Bystanders . . . . .	132
5.3.4	Responsibility Management Scored a Little Bit Higher Than Responsibility Practices, Environmental Responsibility Outperformed Social and Marketing Responsibility . . . . .	134
5.3.5	All Together, 62 % of the Companies Released CSR Reports, the Level of CSR Information Disclosure in Need of Further Improvement . . . . .	134
5.4	Model Cases . . . . .	135
5.4.1	Intel (China) Ltd.: Analysis of Substantial CSR Issues . . . . .	135
5.4.2	China Electronics Corporation (CEC): Continuous Improvement Plan . . . . .	135
5.4.3	Canon (China): First CSR Rating Report by Foreign-Funded Enterprise . . . . .	136
	Appendix: CSR Development Index for the Electronics Industry (2012). . . . .	138
<b>6</b>	<b>CSR Development Index for the Petroleum and Petrochemical Industry (2012)</b> . . . . .	<b>139</b>
6.1	Research Overview . . . . .	139
6.2	Rating Results . . . . .	139
6.3	Phase-Specific Characteristics . . . . .	139
6.3.1	Petroleum and Petrochemical Industry Averaged 29.5 Points, a Beginner According to the Index . . . . .	139
6.3.2	There is a Considerable Difference in CSR Levels Within the Industry . . . . .	140

6.3.3	SOEs Outperformed Foreign-Funded Companies in CSR Development . . . . .	141
6.3.4	CSR Elements Each Received Average Scores, All Remaining at the Starter Stage. . . . .	142
6.3.5	A Total of 62.5 % of Companies in the Petroleum and Petrochemical Industry Released CSR Report, Demonstrating a High Level of Information Disclosure . . . .	143
6.4	Model Cases . . . . .	143
6.4.1	Sinopec Group: Set up a CSR Demonstration Base. . . . .	143
6.4.2	China National Petroleum Corporation (CNPC): Social Responsibility Memorabilia . . . . .	144
	Appendix: CSR Development Index for the Petrochemical Industry (2012) . . . . .	145
<b>7</b>	<b>CSR Development Index for the PC Industry (2012)</b> . . . . .	<b>147</b>
7.1	Research Overview. . . . .	147
7.2	Rating Result . . . . .	147
7.3	Phase-Specific Characteristics . . . . .	147
7.3.1	PC Industry Scored 23.7 Points on the CSR Development Index, Remaining at the Starter Stage . . . . .	147
7.3.2	Levels of CSR Development Varied Greatly Within the Industry, 56.3 % Companies Ranked as Bystanders . . . .	150
7.3.3	Private Enterprises Outperformed Foreign-Funded Enterprises . . . . .	150
7.3.4	Responsibility Management and Responsibility Practices Scored Closely, Environmental Responsibility Outperformed Market Responsibility and Social Responsibility. . . . .	152
7.3.5	Only Half of the Investigated Companies Released CSR Report, Information Disclosure Needs Further Improvement . . . . .	152
7.4	Model Cases . . . . .	153
7.4.1	Lenovo Group Limited: Zero Emission—Milestone and New Starting Point. . . . .	153
7.4.2	Lite-On Technology Corporation: Materiality Analysis Process—Analysis of Materiality Issues . . . . .	154
7.4.3	Sony (China) Corporation Limited: Analysis of Sustainable Development. . . . .	157
	Appendix: CSR Development Index for the PC Industry (2012) . . . . .	157
<b>8</b>	<b>CSR Development Index of Equipment Manufacturing Industry (2012)</b> . . . . .	<b>159</b>
8.1	Research Overview. . . . .	159
8.2	Rating Results . . . . .	159
8.3	Phase-Specific Characteristics . . . . .	161

8.3.1	Equipment Manufacturing Industry Averaged 20.3 Points, Being Starters in CSR Development . . . . .	161
8.3.2	The Enterprises Vary Greatly in CSR Development Levels, and Most of Them Are Bystanders . . . . .	163
8.3.3	SOEs Outperformed Private and Foreign-Funded Enterprises . . . . .	164
8.3.4	Responsibility Practices Scored Higher Than Responsibility Management, Market, Environment, and Social Responsibility Scored Closely . . . . .	164
8.3.5	Only 34.5 % of the Investigated Companies Released CSR Reports, Information Disclosure in Need of Further Improvement . . . . .	166
8.4	Model Cases . . . . .	167
8.4.1	Sinosteel Corporation: Comparison Table of CSR Performance . . . . .	167
8.4.2	China National Erzhong Group Co.: CSR System Model . . . . .	167
	Appendix: CSR Development Index for the Equipment Manufacturing Industry (2012) . . . . .	169
<b>9</b>	<b>CSR Development Index for the Food and Beverage Industry (2012) . . . . .</b>	<b>173</b>
9.1	Research Overview . . . . .	173
9.2	Rating Result . . . . .	176
9.3	Phase-Specific Characteristics . . . . .	176
9.3.1	Food and Beverage Industry Averaged 17.9 Points on the CSR Index, and as a Whole are Bystanders . . . . .	176
9.3.2	CSR Levels of the Food and Beverage Companies Vary Greatly . . . . .	179
9.3.3	State-Owned Enterprises Outperformed Private and Foreign-Funded Companies . . . . .	179
9.3.4	Responsibility Practices Outperformed Responsibility Management, with Market Responsibility Scoring Higher than Environmental and Social Responsibility . . . . .	181
9.3.5	Only 28 % of the Investigated Companies Released CSR Reports, and the Overall Level of CSR Information Disclosure Was Low . . . . .	181
9.4	Model Cases . . . . .	183
9.4.1	China National Salt Industry Corporation: CSR Research . . . . .	183
9.4.2	COFCO: CSR Implementation Mechanism . . . . .	183
	Appendix: CSR Development Index for the Food and Beverage Industry (2012) . . . . .	184

<b>10</b>	<b>CSR Development Index for the Automotive Industry (2012)</b>	187
10.1	Research Overview	187
10.2	Rating Result	187
10.3	Phase-Specific Characteristics	187
10.3.1	Automotive Industry Averaged 14.6 Points, Being Bystanders as a Whole.	187
10.3.2	CSR Development Levels Vary Greatly Within the Industry.	189
10.3.3	State-Owned Companies Outperformed Private and Foreign-Funded Companies	190
10.3.4	Responsibility Practices Outperformed Responsibility Management, with Market Responsibility Scoring Higher than Environmental and Social Responsibilities	190
10.3.5	In 2011, 30 % of the Investigated Companies Released CSR Reports, but the Overall Level of CSR Information Disclosure is Quite Low	194
10.4	Model Cases	195
10.4.1	Dongfeng Motor Corporation: Social Responsibility Concept	195
10.4.2	Anhui Jianghuai Automobile Co., Ltd. (JAC): SWOT Analysis	196
10.4.3	BYD Co., Ltd.: Promote Suppliers' Construction of CSR System	196
	Appendix: CSR Development Index for the Automobile Industry (2012)	199
<b>11</b>	<b>CSR Development Index for the Retail Industry (2012)</b>	201
11.1	Research Overview	201
11.2	Rating Results	201
11.3	Phase-Specific Characteristics	205
11.3.1	Retail Industry Scored 14.0 Points, Being Bystanders as a Whole	205
11.3.2	CSR Development Levels Vary Greatly in the Retail Industry	205
11.3.3	Private Retail Companies Performed Better Than State-Owned and Foreign-Funded Companies	207
11.3.4	Responsibility Practices Outperformed Responsibility Management, with Market Responsibility Scoring Higher Than Environmental and Social Responsibilities	207
11.3.5	Of all the Companies, 27 % Released CSR Reports, but the Overall level of Information Disclosure Is Low	208
11.4	Model Cases	209
11.4.1	Guangbai Group: Criteria + Culture CSR Management Model	209

11.4.2	Suning: CSR Management System . . . . .	209
11.4.3	Yonghui Superstore: Core CSR Issues. . . . .	210
	Appendix: CSR Development Index of Retailing Industry (2012). . . . .	211
<b>12</b>	<b>CSR Development Index of Daily-Use Chemical Industry (2012) . . .</b>	<b>215</b>
12.1	Research Overview . . . . .	215
12.2	Rating Results . . . . .	215
12.3	Phase-Specific Characteristics . . . . .	215
12.3.1	Daily-Use Chemical Industry Averaged 13.6 Points, Being Bystanders as a Whole. . . . .	215
12.3.2	CSR Performances of the Daily-Used Chemical Companies Are Roughly at the Same Level. . . . .	217
12.3.3	Foreign-Funded Companies Outperformed Private Ones . . . . .	217
12.3.4	Responsibility Management Fell Behind Responsibility Practices, with Environmental Responsibility Scoring Higher Than Market Responsibility and Social Responsibility. . . . .	217
12.3.5	Only 20 % of the Investigated Companies Released CSR Reports, and the Level of Information Disclosure Was Low. . . . .	219
12.4	Model Cases . . . . .	219
12.4.1	L'Oreal: Sustainable Consumption . . . . .	219
12.4.2	Unilever (China): Sustainable Living Plan . . . . .	220
12.4.3	P&G: Committed to Environmental Performance of Suppliers . . . . .	221
	Appendix: CSR Development Index for the Daily-Use Chemical Industry (2012) . . . . .	222
<b>13</b>	<b>China Southern Power Grid: A Myriad of Twinkling Lights, Great Rapport with CSG . . . . .</b>	<b>223</b>
13.1	China Southern Power Grid . . . . .	223
13.2	CSR Management . . . . .	224
13.2.1	CSG has Identified Its Core Values and Facilitates the Active Implementation of CSR. . . . .	224
13.2.2	CSG has Cultivated Profound CSR Values, Which are Well Accepted and Actively Implemented by Its Staff Members . . . . .	225
13.2.3	Identify Key CSR Issues and Areas . . . . .	227
13.2.4	Facilitate In-Depth Management Reform and Ensure the Implementation of CSR with Systematic Regulations. . . . .	228
13.2.5	Improve CSR Management and Facilitate CSR Work in a Methodical, Planned, and Systematic Way. . .	229



13.3	Cases: Decreasing Customer Outage . . . . .	230
13.3.1	International Benchmarking . . . . .	231
13.3.2	Formulating Countermeasures . . . . .	232
13.3.3	Adopt Appropriate Measures to Produce Practical Results . . . . .	234
13.3.4	Achievement in Decreasing Customer Outage . . . . .	237
13.4	Conclusion . . . . .	239
<b>14</b>	<b>China Minsheng Banking Co., Ltd. (CMBC): Social Responsibility Is a Conscious Activity of Systematization, Institutionalization and Scientification of Enterprises . . . . .</b>	<b>241</b>
14.1	Brief Introduction to CMBC . . . . .	241
14.2	Macro-Background for Commercial Banks to Strengthen Social Responsibility Works . . . . .	242
14.2.1	Complexity of External Macro-Economic Environment Requires Commercial Banks to Further Serve the Real Economy and Promote Financial Shared Benefits. . . . .	242
14.2.2	Trend of Harmonious Development Between Human Beings and the Natural Environment in this Era Requires Commercial Banks to Lay Stress on Developing Green Finance and Assisting Environmental Protection. . . . .	243
14.2.3	Deep Promotion of Harmonious Socialist Society Construction Requires Commercial Banks to Strengthen Harmonious Mutual Benefits and Pay Back the Public. . . . .	244
14.3	CSR Management of CMBC . . . . .	245
14.3.1	Unadorned Responsibility Ideal. . . . .	245
14.3.2	Systematic Responsibility Mechanism . . . . .	246
14.3.3	Solid Responsibility Basis . . . . .	249
14.3.4	Characteristic Responsibility Directions . . . . .	252
14.4	Model Cases . . . . .	254
14.4.1	Case One: CMBC Pays Attention to Loss of Human Art with You—Protecting Dunhuang. . . . .	254
14.4.2	Case Two: Information Poverty Relief—Pioneering Poverty-Relief Mode in China . . . . .	258
14.5	Summary and Outlook . . . . .	261
<b>15</b>	<b>China Samsung: To Foster a Favorite Brand for Chinese People and Contribute to Chinese Society . . . . .</b>	<b>263</b>
15.1	Corporate Profile . . . . .	263
15.1.1	Samsung Group . . . . .	263
15.1.2	China Samsung. . . . .	263

15.2	CSR Management . . . . .	264
15.2.1	Samsung Business Philosophy. . . . .	264
15.2.2	Core Value and Principles . . . . .	265
15.3	Philosophy of Sharing Fruits of Labor. . . . .	266
15.3.1	Shared Corporate Achievements . . . . .	266
15.3.2	Four Basic Principles . . . . .	266
15.4	CSR of Samsung (China). . . . .	267
15.4.1	Deeply Rooted in China and Contributing to China . . . .	267
15.4.2	Favored by the People of China and Contribution to Chinese Society . . . . .	267
15.5	Case One: Green Management. . . . .	268
15.5.1	Background Information . . . . .	268
15.5.2	Framework of Environment Management . . . . .	268
15.6	Green Management Practice: Improvement on Energy Saving of Fresh Air . . . . .	275
15.6.1	Field Effect Analysis . . . . .	275
15.6.2	Energy-Saving Effect . . . . .	276
15.6.3	Other Effects . . . . .	276
15.7	China Samsung's Vision and Prospect on CSR . . . . .	282

Research Report on Corporate Social Responsibility of  
China

Chen, J.; Huang, Q.; Peng, H.; Zhong, H.  
2015, XXVII, 282 p. 119 illus., Hardcover  
ISBN: 978-3-662-45362-9