

Chapter 2

Extension of the Two-Dimensional JKR Theory to the Case with a Large Contact Width

2.1 Introduction

Contact mechanics pioneered by Hertz [1] has been widely applied in many branches of engineering, particularly in studies of tribology and indentation. Since the 1970s, molecular interactions between contacting objects have also been incorporated into contact mechanics models. Johnson et al. [2] developed the JKR model of adhesive contact based on a balance between elastic and surface energies. On the other hand, Derjaguin et al. [3] proposed the DMT model in which the stress field remains in the Hertz profile within the contact region while intermolecular adhesion is assessed outside the contact area. A more general model (MD model) was developed by Maugis [4], who showed that the JKR and DMT models can in fact be unified within a Dugdale type of cohesive model of adhesive contact.

2.2 Theoretical Model

The adhesive contact mechanics represented by JKR and DMT models has triggered extensive research efforts over the past three decades [5–13]. In almost all the works, parabolic approximation for the rounded profile of the sphere or cylinder is adopted, which is only valid for cases with small contact radii as shown in Fig. 2.1a. However, many experiments have found that small particles could have a large contact radius in adhesive contact with elastic substrates, even under a zero external loading [14, 15] as shown schematically in Fig. 2.1b. Extension of the classical JKR theory to the case with a large contact radius is needed. The sphere case has been successfully extended by Maugis [16]. In this chapter, we extend the two-dimensional plane strain JKR theory (a long cylinder in adhesive contact with a half space) to the corresponding two-dimensional case with a large contact width.




Fig. 2.1 Plain strain model of an elastic cylinder of radius R in adhesive contact with an elastic half-space. **a** The contact half-width a is very small and the classical two-dimensional JKR theory can be adopted. **b** The contact half-width a is much larger, which results in classical JKR theory invalid

The normal displacement along the contact interface between an elastic cylinder and an elastic half-space can be written as

$$u_y = \delta_y - f(x) \quad (2.1)$$

where δ_y denotes the relative displacement of the centers of the cylinder and the half space during contact formation, $f(x)$ is a function describing the profile of the rounded cylinder.

The normal displacement along the contact interface can be related to the interface can be related to the interfacial normal traction $p(x)$ via Green's functions as

$$\frac{1}{\pi E^*} \int_{-a}^a \frac{p(s)}{x-s} ds = \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} \quad (2.2)$$

where the effect of tangential traction in the contact region can be neglected according to Johnson [17] and Chen and Gao [18]. E^* denotes the effective Young's modulus, which is composed of Young's moduli E_1 and E_2 , and Poisson's ratios ν_1 and ν_2 , of the cylinder and half space, $1/E^* = (1 - \nu_1^2)/E_1 + (1 - \nu_2^2)/E_2$.

Combining Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2) yields

$$\frac{1}{\pi E^*} \int_{-a}^a \frac{p(s)}{x-s} ds = -f'(x) \quad (2.3)$$

In both Hertz and JKR theories, the parabolic approximation, i.e., $f(r) = r^2/(2R)$ or $f(x) = x^2/(2R)$ for the rounded profile of the sphere (3D case) or the cylinder (2D case), was used, which is only valid for the case with a small contact radius. For the case with a large contact radius, the profile should be described by

an exact function $f(x) = R - \sqrt{R^2 - x^2}$ and the differential of the profile function with respect to x is

$$f'(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{R^2 - x^2}} \quad (2.4)$$

Solving Eqs. (2.3) and (2.4) subject to the boundary condition $\int_{-a}^a p(x) = F$ yields the solution to interfacial normal traction $p(x)$. The whole solving process is standard but very complex. A similar method has been used by Chen and Gao [18], so that we skip all the details here and present the final interfacial tractions in the contact region

$$p(x) = \frac{-E^*}{2\pi\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}} \int_{-a}^a \frac{s\sqrt{a^2 - s^2}}{(x - s)\sqrt{R^2 - s^2}} ds + \frac{F}{\pi\sqrt{a^2 - x^2}} \quad (2.5)$$

From Eq. (2.5), one can see that the normal traction in the contact region is singular, which is similar to that of an interface crack model in fracture mechanics. According to the knowledge in fracture mechanics, the stress intensity factor near the contact edges can be obtained as

$$K_I = -\lim_{x \rightarrow a} \sqrt{2\pi(a - x)} p(x) = \frac{E^*}{2\sqrt{\pi a}} \int_{-a}^a \frac{s\sqrt{a^2 - s^2}}{(a - s)\sqrt{R^2 - s^2}} ds - \frac{F}{\sqrt{\pi a}} \quad (2.6)$$

where the negative sign in front of the right side is due to the definition that compressive traction is assumed to be positive.

The dynamic Griffith energy balance criterion can be expressed as

$$G = \frac{K_I^2}{2E^*} = \Delta\gamma \quad (2.7)$$

where $\Delta\gamma$ is the work of adhesion, $\Delta\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 - \gamma_{12}$, γ_1 and γ_2 are the intrinsic surface energies of the two solids, and γ_{12} is the interfacial energy of the contact region.

Substituting the stress intensity factor in Eq. (2.6) into the Griffith energy balance criterion yields the controlling equation

$$\frac{1}{2E^*} \left[\frac{E^*}{2\sqrt{\pi a}} \int_{-a}^a \frac{s\sqrt{a^2 - s^2}}{(a - s)\sqrt{R^2 - s^2}} ds - \frac{F}{\sqrt{\pi a}} \right]^2 = \Delta\gamma \quad (2.8)$$

which relates the contact half-width a to the external loading F , so that the external loading can be explicitly expressed as a function of the contact half-width a as

$$\frac{F}{E^*R} = \frac{a^2}{2R^2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{t(1-t^2)^{1/2}}{(1-t)\sqrt{1-a^2t^2/R^2}} dt - \sqrt{\frac{2\pi a \Delta \gamma}{E^*R^2}} \quad (2.9)$$

Let us introduce the dimensionless parameters

$$Y = \frac{F}{E^*R}, X = \frac{a}{R}, m = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi a \Delta \gamma}{E^*R}} \quad (2.10)$$

With these notations, Eq. (2.9) becomes

$$Y = \frac{1}{2}X^2 \int_{-1}^1 \frac{t(1-t^2)^{1/2}}{(1-t)\sqrt{1-X^2t^2}} dt - mX^{1/2} \quad (2.11)$$

2.2.1 Extension of the JKR Theory

For the case with small contact radius, i.e., $a \ll R$, we have

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{t(1-t^2)^{1/2}}{(1-t)\sqrt{1-a^2t^2/R^2}} dt \approx \frac{\pi}{2} \quad (2.12)$$

Equation (2.9) will reduce to the classical plane strain JKR solution [6, 8] as


$$\frac{F}{E^*R} = \frac{\pi a^2}{4R^2} - \sqrt{\frac{2\pi a \Delta \gamma}{E^*R^2}} \quad (2.13)$$

Using the dimensionless parameters in Eqs. (2.10), (2.13) can be rewritten as

$$Y = \frac{\pi}{4}X^2 - mX^{1/2} \quad (2.14)$$

Figure 2.2 shows the relation between the dimensionless external force $F/(E^*R)$ and the dimensionless contact half-width a/R for both the classical JKR and the present theories with various values of the parameter m . From the numerical calculations, one can see that the JKR approximation is valid with less than 4 % relative error of $F/(E^*R)$, only when the ratio of a/R is smaller than about 0.4 for

Fig. 2.2 Dimensionless external loading $F/(E^*R)$ as a function of the dimensionless contact half-width a/R predicted by classical JKR and the present theories for different values of the parameter m



each value of parameter m . If a/R is larger than 0.4, relative errors will increase and the real rounded profile should be considered to find the correct contact solution.

For the case of zero applied load, the dimensionless contact half-width a_0/R under zero load ($F = 0$) can be found for adhesive contact models with different profile functions. For the case with parabolic assumption, the explicit solution to the dimensionless contact half-width can be expressed as

$$X = \left(\frac{16m^2}{\pi^2} \right)^{1/3} \quad (2.15)$$

which is identical to the classical plane strain JKR solution


$$a_0 = \left(\frac{32R^2\Delta\gamma}{\pi E^*} \right)^{1/3} \quad (2.16)$$

However, for the case with a real rounded profile, the corresponding contact half-width can be obtained from Eq. (2.11) and is expressed by the implicit equation

$$m = \frac{1}{2} X^{3/2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{t(1-t^2)^{1/2}}{(1-t)\sqrt{1-X^2t^2}} dt \quad (2.17)$$

Numerical calculation is used to solve Eq. (2.17). The dimensionless contact half-width has a function of the parameter m as shown in Fig. 2.3. One can see that when $m = \pi/4$, the classical JKR solution would predict $a_0 = R$, i.e., the contact

Fig. 2.3 Relation between the dimensionless contact half-width a_0/R and the parameter m predicted by theories with parabolic assumption and real rounded profile function, respectively



half-width equals the cylinder radius due to the surface energy driven [19]. In fact, the classical plane strain JKR solution is invalid theoretically without the condition of a very small contact width. While in the case with a real rounded profile function, only when $m \rightarrow \infty$, $a_0 = R$ can be asymptotically realized.

2.2.2 Extension of the Hertz Theory

In the classical plane strain or three-dimensional Hertz solution, molecular interaction force between contact surface is not considered, i.e., the work of adhesion $\Delta\gamma$ is zero, which means $m = 0$. Following from Eq. (2.11) we have

$$Y = \frac{1}{2}X^2 \int_{-1}^1 \frac{t(1-t^2)^{1/2}}{(1-t)\sqrt{1-X^2t^2}} dt \quad (2.18)$$


which describes the extension of plain strain Hertz solution.

The classical plain strain Hertz solution can be obtained from Eq. (2.14) as

$$Y = \frac{\pi}{4}X^2 \quad (2.19)$$

Figure 2.4 shows the relation between the dimensionless external force $F/(E^*R)$ and the dimensionless contact half-width a/R for both the classical Hertz solution and its extension with a real rounded profile function, from which one can see that even in the Hertz solution, when the ratio of a/R is larger than about 0.4, the parabolic assumption can no longer be valid and the exact rounded profile function should be used to find the contact solution.

Fig. 2.4 Dimensionless external loading $F/(E^*R)$ as a function of the dimensionless contact half-width a/R in the form of Hertz contact predicted with the parabolic assumption and the real rounded profile function, respectively



2.3 Conclusion

For the plane strain adhesive contact model between a cylinder and a soft elastic substrate, the ratio of the contact width to the cylinder radius can be so large that the parabolic approximation for the cylinder profile in the classical JKR theory is no longer valid. The use of an exact expression for the cylinder profile allows the classical JKR theory to be extended to the case with a larger contact width. It is found that when the ratio of a/R is approximately smaller than about 0.4, the parabolic assumption in the classical two-dimensional Hertz and JKR theories can be reasonable to approximate the rounded profile.

References

1. Hertz H (1982) On the contact of elastic solids. *J Reine Angew Math* 92:156–171
2. Johnson KL, Kendall K, Roberts AD (1971) Surface energy and contact of elastic solids. *Proc R Soc Lond Ser A-Math Phys Sci* 324(1558):301–313
3. Derjaguin BV, Muller VM, Toporov YP (1975) Effect of contact deformations on adhesion of particles. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 53(2):314–326
4. Maugis D (1992) Adhesion of spheres: the JKR-DMT transition using a dugdale model. *J Colloid Interface Sci* 150(1):243–269
5. Carpick RW, Agrait N, Ogletree DF, Salmeron M (1996) Variation of the interfacial shear strength and adhesion of a nanometer-sized contact. *Langmuir* 12(13):3334–3340
6. Chaudhury MK, Weaver T, Hui CY, Kramer EJ (1996) Adhesive contact of cylindrical lens and a flat sheet. *J Appl Phys* 80(1):30–37
7. Greenwood JA (1961) Adhesion of elastic spheres. *Proc R Soc Lond Ser A-Math Phys Eng Sci* 197(453):1277–1297
8. Baney JM, Hui CY (1997) A cohesive zone model for the adhesion of cylinders. *J Adhes Sci Technol* 11(3):393–406

9. Greenwood JA, Johnson KL (1998) An alternative to the Maugis model of adhesion between elastic spheres. *J Phys D-Appl Phys* 31(22):3279–3290
10. Guo X, Jin F (2009) A generalized JKR-model for two-dimensional adhesive contact of transversely isotropic piezoelectric half-space. *Int J Solids Struct* 46(20):3607–3619
11. Chen S, Gao H (2006) Non-slipping adhesive contact between mismatched elastic spheres: a model of adhesion mediated deformation sensor. *J Mech Phys Solids* 54(8):1548–1567
12. Chen SH, Gao HJ (2007) Bio-inspired mechanics of reversible adhesion: orientation-dependent adhesion strength for non-slipping adhesive contact with transversely isotropic elastic materials. *J Mech Phys Solids* 55(5):1001–1015
13. Chen SH, Mi CH (2009) Friction properties of bio-mimetic nano-fibrillar arrays. *Chin Phys Lett* 26(10):108103
14. Demejo LP, Rimai DS, Bowen RC (1991) Adhesion-induced deformations of polyurethane substrates in contact with spherical glass particles—the effect of particle-size on the radius of contact. *J Adhes Sci Technol* 5(11):959–972
15. Rimai DS, Demejo LP, Bowen RC (1989) Adhesion-induced deformations of polymeric substrates—particle-size dependence of the contact area. *J Appl Phys* 66(8):3574–3578
16. Maugis D (1995) Extension of the Johnson-Kendall-Roberts theory of the elastic contact of spheres to large contact radii. *Langmuir* 11(2):679–682
17. Johnson KL (1971) *Contact mechanics*. Cambridge University, Cambridge
18. Chen SH, Gao HJ (2006) Non-slipping adhesive contact of an elastic cylinder on stretched substrates. *Proc R Soc A-Math Phys Eng Sci* 462(2065):211–228
19. Rimai DS, Quesnel DJ, Bowen RC (2001) Particle adhesion to highly compliant substrates: anomalous power-law dependence of the contact radius on particle radius. *Langmuir* 17(22):6946–6952

Bio-inspired Studies on Adhesion of a Thin Film on a
Rigid Substrate

Peng, Z.

2015, XIII, 97 p. 46 illus., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-662-46954-5