

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	The Incomplete Promise of Democratization in Latin America: The Social and Political Relevance of Media Democratization . . .	4
1.2	Research Interests and Research Question	6
1.3	Chapter Overview	9
	References	11
2	Democracy, Media, and Their Democratization in Latin America	15
2.1	Mass Media and Democracy: From the Public Sphere to Broadcasting Regulation	16
2.1.1	Deliberative Democracy and the Concept of the Public Sphere	16
2.1.2	The Public Sphere as a Rationale for Media Regulation: Defining the “Democratization of Social Communication” . . .	21
2.1.3	Broadcasting Regulation: Obsolete in the Age of Internet and Digital Convergence?	26
2.2	The Development of Media (Regulation) in Latin America: From Markets and States to Recurring Calls for Democratization	28
2.2.1	The Origin of Latin American Media: Between Commercial Markets and a Complicit State	29
2.2.2	After the 1980s Transition: Between Calls for Broader Democratization and Neoliberal Transformations	32
2.2.3	The New Left Turn Since 1998/2003: Between Political Polarization and Spirits of Change	36
2.3	International Norms and Actors	38
2.3.1	UNESCO: From a New World Communication Order to Cultural Diversity	39
2.3.2	The OAS Special Rapporteur for Freedom of Expression	42

2.4	Summing Up: From the Public Sphere to Media Democratization in Concentrated and Commercial Media Markets of Latin America	44
	References	47
3	Analyzing Policy Change: Theoretical and Methodological Considerations	55
3.1	The Advocacy Coalition Framework	56
3.1.1	Origins, Development and Applications of the ACF	56
3.1.2	How the ACF Works: Time Span, Policy Subsystem and Advocacy Coalitions Defined by Belief Systems	58
3.1.3	Two Paths for Change: Policy-Oriented Learning and External Shocks	60
3.1.4	Who Is Walking the Paths? The Ambivalent Position of Policy Brokers and Scientists	63
3.1.5	A Critical Discussion of the ACF's Applicability to Latin American Media Policy	65
3.2	Research Design and Methodological Considerations	66
3.2.1	Qualitative Comparative Method and Within-Case Analysis	66
3.2.2	Case Selection and Definition of Time Frame	68
3.2.3	Analytical Framework	69
3.2.4	Operationalization	72
3.2.5	Data Collection and Data Analysis	75
3.2.6	A Short Note on Normativity and Objectivity	77
	References	79
4	Argentina: Radical Change Amid Sharp Political Conflict	83
4.1	Historical Background and the State of Media Democratization in 2003	84
4.1.1	The Origins of Broadcasting: Between Private Initiative and State Control	85
4.1.2	Turbulent Times: Military Governments and Media Control	86
4.1.3	Democratic Transition and the 1990s: Paving the Way for Concentration	87
4.1.4	The Weak State of Media Democratization in 2003	90
4.2	Media Politics in the Kirchners' Era Since 2003: From Government Reluctance to Enthusiasm in a Heartbeat	94
4.2.1	Néstor Kirchner's Presidency: A New Era, But Not in Media Politics	95
4.2.2	Cristina Fernández de Kirchner and the Farmers' Conflict	98
4.2.3	A New Media Law Is Passed After 19 Intense Months of Public Debate	100
4.2.4	Excursus: The New Law's Changes in Media Regulation	103

4.2.5	What Happened Next: Intensified Conflict, 4 Years of Legal Disputes, and a Delayed and Partial Implementation	105
4.3	The Coalition for Democratization: The Coalition for Democratic Broadcasting (CRD) and Its 21 Basic Points	108
4.3.1	Composition and Development: The CRD as the Coalition's Undisputed Voice	109
4.3.2	Belief System: Communication Rights and Media Regulation	114
4.3.3	Resources: Flexible Ties and Broad Legitimacy	117
4.4	The Private Coalition: Clarín and Its Allies	118
4.4.1	Composition and Development: Clarín and Its Changing Political Partners	119
4.4.2	Belief System: The Glue Holding Together Coalitions of Convenience	122
4.4.3	Resources: Media Power and Political Ties	125
4.5	The Path to Policy Change	126
4.5.1	Policy-Oriented Learning: Unlikely and Unwanted	127
4.5.2	External Shock: How the Coalition for Democratization Successfully Took Advantage of Changing Media-State Relations	130
4.5.3	International Linkages and the Role of Brokers	134
4.6	Summary and Preliminary Conclusions	137
	References	140
5	Brazil: Much Debate About No Reform	149
5.1	Historical Background and the State of Media Democratization in 2003	150
5.1.1	Introduction of Radio and Television, Initial Regulation	150
5.1.2	Military Rule (1964–1985): Concentrated Broadcasters as a Tool for Nation Building and Development	152
5.1.3	Democratic Transition: New Constitution, Little Effect on Communication Policy	153
5.1.4	The Weak State of Media Democratization Before Lula	155
5.2	Media Politics Under a Progressive Government: Conferences, Forums, Scandals, and Ministerial Working Groups—But Hardly Any Reform	161
5.2.1	Lula's Campaign, Context of Elections, and the Role of Media (Policy)	162
5.2.2	Two Ministerial Attempts to Reform the Community Radio Law: Suggestive Reports, No Reform	165
5.2.3	Mensalão Corruption Scandal: A Major Shock for Lula's Government	166
5.2.4	ANCINE and ANCINAV: Another Failed Attempt to Reform Media Policy	167

5.2.5	Public Broadcasting Reform: Creating the Brazilian Communication Company (EBC)	169
5.2.6	The First National Communication Conference (Confecom): Creating a Forum	170
5.2.7	Media Policy Under Dilma Since 2011: New President, New Minister, Old Reluctance to Reform	172
5.2.8	Re-establishment of the Council for Social Communication (CCS)	174
5.3	The Coalition for Democratization: Civil Society Networks Around an Institutionalized Core	175
5.3.1	Composition and Development: An Institutionalized FNDC with Weak External Links	176
5.3.2	Belief System: From a Class-Based Perspective to Communication Rights	184
5.3.3	Resources: The Disadvantages of Strong Institutionalization and a Class-Based Frame of Reference	188
5.3.4	Dynamics of Change Since 2011	190
5.4	The Private Coalition: Stable Ties Between Commercial Media and Politicians	191
5.4.1	Composition: Private Broadcasting Networks and the “Bancada Dos Radiodifusores”	192
5.4.2	Belief System: Communication as a Private Service	196
5.4.3	Resources: Media Power and Political Ties	200
5.5	The Untrodden, Narrow Paths to Policy Change	201
5.5.1	Policy-Oriented Learning: A Dialogue of the Deaf	202
5.5.2	External Shock: The 2005 Mensalão Scandal	206
5.5.3	Brokers and Policy Translators	209
5.6	Summary and Preliminary Conclusions	213
	References	216
6	Broadening the Scope: Advocacy Coalitions and Media Reforms in Chile and Uruguay	225
6.1	Chile: Fragmented Civil Society, No Democratizing Media Reforms	225
6.2	Uruguay: Incremental but Thorough Policy Change Driven by Civil Society	229
	References	233
7	Comparison and Generalization: Conditions for Media Democratization	237
7.1	The State of Media Democratization in Argentina and Brazil: Similar Outsets, Different Outcomes	237
7.2	Advocacy Coalitions and Media Politics in Argentina and Brazil	240
7.2.1	The Coalitions for Democratization: Fighting for Democratizing Media Reforms	241

7.2.2	The Private Coalitions: Preserving the Status Quo	245
7.2.3	Media Politics: Brokerage Capacities and the Paths to Reforms	247
7.3	Generalization: A Model for Media Reforms by Civil Society Coalitions	251
7.3.1	Typologies of Coalitions for Democratization	251
7.3.2	Prospects of Media Reforms: The Paths to Policy Change . . .	255
7.3.3	Conclusion: A Model for Media Reforms by Civil Society Coalitions	257
	References	260
8	Conclusions and Outlook	261
8.1	Summary: What Makes Media Democratization Possible?	261
8.1.1	Different States of Media Democratization	262
8.1.2	Three Facilitating Factors: Open, Focused Network, Rights Perspective, and a Fragmented Private Coalition . . .	264
8.1.3	New Dynamics for Communication Rights: The Pioneering Role of Latin American Civil Society	268
8.2	Media Politics in Perspective: Power Shifts in Latin America and the Role of Social Actors	270
	References	274

<http://www.springer.com/978-3-319-21277-7>

Advocacy Coalitions and Democratizing Media Reforms
in Latin America

Whose Voice Gets on the Air?

Mauersberger, C.

2016, XIX, 275 p. 18 illus., 5 illus. in color., Hardcover

ISBN: 978-3-319-21277-7